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We have applied partial algebraization technique to the cut-off Coulomb potential $-Z e^{2} /(r+\beta)$. It has been found that for a spin $j$ representation $2 j+1$ exact solutions are obtained but they belong to excited states of potentials for different values of $\beta$. Degeneracies observed in the spectrum have been compared with exact numerical results.

## 1. Introduction

Recently the partial algebraic technique has been applied to a class of problems which are partially solvable ${ }^{1-3)}$. (For a recent exposition see Karman and Oliver ${ }^{4)}$ ). Relation between this technique and that of supersymmetric quantum mechanics has also been shown by Roy and Roychoudhury ${ }^{5}$. Attempts has been made to apply partial algebraization ${ }^{6)}$ to the nonpolynomial potential

$$
V(x)=\frac{x^{2}}{1+g x^{2}}
$$

However this technique does not give any non-trivial results for the non-polynomial potential. This motivated us to see whether another important nonlinear type potential viz. the cut-off Coulomb potential $-Z e^{2} /(r+\beta)$ can be treated by this technique. We show that a hidden $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ symmetry exists for this potential and though the general formula for $E_{n}$ for any $n$ can be found explicitly independent of $\beta$, the restriction on $\beta$ itself is such that for each $\beta$ only one solution is obtained. However, we found that there is a Coulomb like degeneracy in those solutions by having recourse to numerical analysis.

## 2. Results

Before we cast the cut-off Coulomb potential problem in $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ symmetric form we briefly describe the method of partial algebraization. Given a Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \psi=E \psi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we perform an imaginary gauge transformation on the wave function $\left.\psi(x)^{7}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi(x) \mathrm{e}^{-f(x)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gather*}
H=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+V(x)  \tag{3}\\
H_{G}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+A(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+\Delta V \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V=V(x)+\frac{1}{2} A^{\prime}(x)-\frac{1}{2} A^{2}(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

while

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\int A(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The gauge transformed eigenvalue equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{G} \widetilde{\psi}(x)=E \widetilde{\psi}(x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we consider a finite dimensional representation of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ group with spins. The generators of the group are

$$
T^{+}=2 j \xi-\xi^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} \xi}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
T^{0}=-j \xi-\xi^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} \xi}  \tag{8}\\
T^{-}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \xi}
\end{gather*}
$$

The corresponding finite dimensional representation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{j}=\left(1, \xi, \xi^{2}, \ldots, \xi^{2 j}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose the gauge such a way that $H_{G}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{G}=\sum_{a, b \pm 0} C_{a b} T^{a} T^{b}+\sum_{a, b \pm 0} C_{a} T^{a}+\text { constant } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{a b}$ and $C_{a}$ are numerical coefficients. Using (8), (10) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{G}=-\frac{1}{2} P_{4}(\xi) \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} \xi^{2}}+P_{3}(\xi) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \xi}+P_{2}(\xi) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n}(\xi)$ denotes at most a polynomial of degree $n$.
To bring (11) in Schrödinger like form we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\int \mathrm{d} \xi P_{4}^{-1 / 2}(\xi)=F(\xi), \text { say } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{G}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+\frac{P_{4}^{\prime}+4 P_{3}}{4 P_{4}^{1 / 2}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+P_{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the basis can be chosen as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\bar{\psi}\}+\left(1, \xi, \xi^{2}, \ldots, \xi^{2 j}, \bar{\psi}_{2 j+2}, \bar{\psi}_{2 j+3}, \ldots\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=F^{-1}(x), \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\bar{\psi}_{2 j+k}$ is an arbitrary set of functions orthogonal to $\left(1, \xi, \ldots, \xi^{2 j}\right)$ with weight $\exp (-2 f(x))$. Then $H$ has the form

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
H_{1} & 0  \tag{16}\\
0 & H_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $H_{1}$ is finite matrix and can be diagonalized.

With these tools we now attempt to solve the cut-off Coulomb problem ${ }^{8)}$ for which the Schrödinger equation is $(\hbar=m=c=1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}(r)-\frac{1}{2} \phi^{\prime}(r)+V_{E}(r) \phi(r)=E \phi(r) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have written the radial part of the Schrödinger equation and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{E}=V(r)+\frac{l(l+1)}{2 r^{2}}=-\frac{Z e^{2}}{r+\beta}+\frac{l(l+1)}{2 r^{2}} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Henceforth we shall take $Z e^{2}=1$ ). Putting $\psi=r^{-1} \varphi(r)$, equation (16) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}} \phi+\left(2 E-2 V_{E}(r)\right) \phi(r)=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we choose the gauge $f(r)$ as (here we take $\xi=r$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r)=a r-(l+1) \log r-\log (r+\beta) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A(r)$ is given by [see equation (5)]

$$
\begin{gather*}
A(r)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} r} f(x)=a-\frac{l+1}{r}-\frac{1}{r+\beta}  \tag{21}\\
\Delta V(r)=V_{E}(r)+\frac{l+1}{r}-\frac{1}{2}\left(a-\frac{l+1}{r}-\frac{1}{r+\beta}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2(r+\beta)^{2}} \\
=V(r)+\frac{l+1}{r}-a-\frac{a^{2}}{2}+\left(a+\frac{l+1}{\beta}\right) \frac{1}{2(r+\beta)^{2}}-\frac{l+1}{r \beta} \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

and finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{G}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}+\left(a-\frac{l+1}{r}-\frac{1}{r+\beta}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} r}+\Delta V(r) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we make a slight improvisation of the partial algebraization method following Ref. 9

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{G}=2 r(r+\beta)\left(E-H_{g}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Omega_{G}=\left(r^{2}+r \beta\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}-\left(2 a r^{2}+2 a r \beta-2 r(l+1)-2 r-2 \beta(l+1)\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} r} \\
+r(2-2 a l-4 a)-2 a \beta(l+1)+2(l+1) \tag{25a}
\end{gather*}
$$

where we have taken

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}=-2 E \tag{25b}
\end{equation*}
$$

to remove $r^{2}$ term from $\Omega_{G}$. The eigenvalue equation now reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{G} \widetilde{\psi}=0, \quad \text { where } \quad \widetilde{\psi}=\psi \mathrm{e}^{f(r)} \tag{25c}
\end{equation*}
$$

We immediately see that $\Omega_{G}$ can be expressed in the form given by the r.h.s. of (9). Explicitly we write,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Omega_{G}=A T_{0}^{2}+D T^{-} T^{0}+F T^{-} T^{+}+G T^{+}+H_{c} T^{-}+I T^{0} \\
\equiv(A-F) r^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}+D r \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}-G r^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} r}  \tag{26}\\
+(A-2 j A+2 j F-2 F+I) r \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} r}+\left(H_{c}-j D+D\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} r} \\
+2 j G r+A j^{2}+2 j F-2 j G r-I j \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

( $H_{c}$ has nothing to do with $H$, the Hamiltonian).
Comparing with (25a) and equating the coefficients of $r^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} r^{2}}, r^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} r}$ etc., separately, one gets 7 simultaneous equations in $A, F, G$ etc. Solving them we get (for $j \neq 0$ )

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\frac{2 j}{j+1}+\frac{2(l+1)(1-a \beta)}{j(j+1)}-\frac{2 a \beta-2(l+2)}{j+1}  \tag{28}\\
D=\beta  \tag{29}\\
G=2 a=\frac{2}{2 j+l+2}  \tag{30}\\
H_{c}=\frac{\beta}{2 l+j+1}  \tag{31}\\
F=\frac{j-1}{j+1}+\frac{2(l+1)(1-a \beta}{j(j+1)}-\frac{2 a \beta-2(l+2)}{j+1)}  \tag{32}\\
I=\frac{2 j^{+} 2 j-2}{j+1}+\frac{2(l+1)(1-a \beta)}{j(j+1)}-\frac{2(j+2)(a \beta-1-2)}{j+1} \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (22b) and (30),

$$
\begin{equation*}
-E=\frac{1}{2(2 j+l+2)^{2}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Though $E$ is apparently independent of $\beta$, it depends on $\beta$ through $l$. Because, as will be shown below, if we fix $l, \beta$ is also fixed. Below we discuss several cases.

1. $j=0$

For this case solutions (28), (32) and (33) will be inconsistent unless

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \beta=1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\beta=\frac{1}{a}=l+2 \quad \text { from Eq. (30)) }
$$

As expected there is only one solution for a fixed $l$ which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\psi}=C_{0} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $C_{0}$ being a constant), or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=C_{0} r^{l+1}(r+\beta) \mathrm{e}^{-r / \beta} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=-\frac{a^{2}}{2}=-\frac{1}{2(l+2)^{2}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. $j=\frac{1}{2}$

Here $\beta$ can not be determined from the set of equations (28) to (34). However for $i=1 / 2, \tilde{\psi}$ will be at most a linear function of $r$ and we follow the method of Ref. 7 to determine $\tilde{\psi}$. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\psi}=C_{1}\left(r-r_{0}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find $r_{0}$ we put (39) in (25c) and equate powers of $r^{2}, r$ etc. to zero separately. After some elementary algebra we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
a=\frac{1}{l+3}  \tag{40}\\
r_{0}=(a-1 / \beta)^{-1} \tag{41}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(l+2) a^{2} \beta^{2}-3 a \beta(l+2)+(2 l+3)=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (42)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \beta=\frac{3(l+2) \pm\left(9(l+2)^{2}-4(2 l+3)(l+2)\right)^{1 / 2}}{2(l+2)} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence for same $l$ we get two different values of $\beta$. However, since $r_{0}$ will have two different signs for these different values of $\beta$, the eigenvalue will be of ground state

Table 1.

| Table 1. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $l$ | $\beta$ | $E_{0}$ (ground <br> state) | $E_{1}^{*}$ |
| 0 | 2.0 | -.1230000 | -.0544741 |
| 1 | 3.0 | -.0555556 | -.0310546 |
| 2 | 4.0 | -.0312500 | -.0199477 |
| 3 | 5.0 | -.0200000 | -.0138710 |
| 4 | 6.0 | -.0138881 | -.0101969 |
| 5 | 7.0 | -.0102041 | -.0078093 |
| 6 | 8.0 | -.0078125 | -.0061712 |
| 7 | 9.0 | -.0061728 | -.0049992 |

*Numerical results.

Table 2.

| $l$ | $\beta$ | $r_{0}$ | $E_{1}^{*}$ | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.902 | 5.1762 |  | -.05551 |  |
|  | $(7.098)$ | $(-5.1962)$ |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2.945 | 11.16515 | -.056013 | -.03125 | -.01996 |
|  | $(9.0551)$ | $(-7.1652)$ |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3.964 | 19.1421 | -.03125 | -.02000 | -.013873 |
|  | $(11.0355)$ | $(-9.1421)$ |  |  |  |
| 3 | 4.9751 | 29.1246 | -.02003 | -.01388 | -.01020 |
|  | $(13.0241)$ | $(-11.1246)$ |  |  |  |
| 4 | 5.9815 | 41.11048 | -.01390 | -.0102 | -.00781 |
|  | $(15.0185)$ | $(-13.11088)$ |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6.9857 | 55.0999 | -.01021 | -.00781 | -.00617 |
|  | $(17.01447)$ | $(-15.0997)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | *Numerical results. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Numerical results.
for a particular potential and will be the excited state for another potential. Hence $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ symmetry does not give two states for the same potential contrary to the claim made in the original papers on partial algebraization ${ }^{1-3)}$. Table 2 gives the eigenvalues obtained from the present work together with the numerical values for $E_{0}$ and $E_{2}$ states (the numbers within brackets are for negative values of $r_{0}$ ). It may be noted that our exact solutions for $j=0$ and $j=1 / 2$ completely agree with those obtained from supersymmetric quantum mechanics technique ${ }^{19 \text { ) }}$ which again supports the result of Ref. 4 for $N=0$ and $N=1$, respectively. However for $N>1$ our technique can be carried on to higher values of $j$. We give below the results for $j=1$.
3. $j=1$

Here

$$
E=-\frac{1}{(l+4)^{2}}
$$

We take $\psi(r)=\left(r-r_{0}\right)\left(r-r_{1}\right)$.
We apply the method of Ref. 9 to determine $r_{0}$ and $r_{1}$. Elimination of $r_{0}$ and $r_{1}$ gives a cubic equation in $\beta$. Though in principle the equation could be solved analytically, we present below only a set of numerical solutions. The signs of $r_{0}$ and $r_{1}$ show that the energy eigenvalues are those of the first excited states.

In Table 3 energy eigenvalues for $l=0$ to $l=3$ are presented for the case $j=1$ for a particular set of $\beta$ values. Similar analysis can produce exact results for the case $j=\frac{3}{2}$, 2, etc., but then $\beta$ has to be solved numerically.

Table 3.

| $l$ | $\beta$ | $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | Energy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 6.6108 | 8.9069 | -4.7372 | -0.625 |
| 1 | 8.7617 | 16.8375 | -6.8875 | -.04 |
| 2 | 10.8364 | 26.7687 | -8.9492 | -.02777 |
| 3 | 12.8745 | 38.7024 | -10.9820 | -.020408 |
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Original scientific paper

Primijenili smo tehniku djelomične algebrizacije na odrezani Coulombov potencijal $-Z e^{2} /(r+\beta)$. Nađeno je da se za spin $j$ dobiva $2 j+1$ točnih rješenja, koja pripadaju pobuđenim stanjima potencijala za različite vrijednosti $\beta$. Opažene degeneracije u spektru uspoređene su s točnim numeričkim rezultatima.

