

Understanding Dissociative Identity Disorder: A Literature Review

Yudha Prasetyo Utomo¹, Muhammad Luthfi Adnan²,
Eska Agustin Putri Susanti²

¹Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Indonesia, Sleman, Indonesia, ²Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Indonesia, Sleman, Indonesia

Abstract - Interest in dissociative identity disorder (DID) in psychiatry has increased rapidly although epidemiologically the cases of patients with DID are still rare, several studies exist trying to understand how DID might occur and the best therapeutic approach. Some of the symptoms of DID can also be found in non-clinical populations even though they are not directly related to the disorder. The findings of DID are often associated with other psychiatric disorders and can complicate the diagnosis. Various studies have tried to understand the case of DID either objectively or subjectively. Diagnosis of DID must be diagnosed by carefully experienced clinicians with clinical interviews with some proper tests that could help to identify various identities. Current DID therapy may be able to strengthen the identity of the patient's control and prevent impaired social functioning of the patient. Many pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments have been developed to improve symptoms of the disorder, but none of them have been effective due to the quality of the studies conducted. Further research is still needed on DID as a whole to assist in the correct diagnosis and therapy for DID patients.

Keywords: dissociative identity disorder; diagnosis; therapy; review; psychiatry

Copyright © 2023 KBCSM, Zagreb

e-mail: apr.kbcm@gmail.com • www.http://apr.kbcm.hr

Introduction

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID), also known as Multiple Personalities Disorder (MPD) is one of the controversial disorders since the 20th century. According to the 5th edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-V), DID is an identity disorder that is indicated by the presence of or more personalities with discontinuities in the sense of self-feeling and choices that affect behaviour, awareness, memory, per-

ception, cognition and sensory function [1]. Based on The International Classification of Diseases (ICD) 11th Edition, DID is characterized as disruption of identity in which there are two or more distinct personality states (dissociative identities) associated with marked discontinuities in the sense of self and agency [2]. The classification of DID by both DSM and ICD changes every year along with the development of research on DID [3].

Since the 1970s, there has been an increase in interest in DID cases recorded by MEDLINE as many as 39 research titles, 212 titles during the 1980s, 391 titles during the 1990s, but decreased in the 2000s to 179. One of the DID cases were found in the United States

Correspondence to:

Eska Agustin Putri Susanti, Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Islam Indonesia, Sleman, Indonesia
E-mail: 077110427@uii.ac.id

and recorded in the 4th edition of the Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM IV-TR) by the American Psychiatric Association in 2000 [4]. Epidemiological studies of DID have used DSM-III-R or DSM-IV diagnostic criteria. DSM-5 introduces specific forms of pathological ownership into DID criteria [5]. Clinical studies on DID are still few, limited to those carried out in North America, Europe and Turkey where the average level of general inpatient psychiatric unit patients, adolescent inpatient units and programs that deal with substance abuse, eating disorders, and obsessive-compulsive disorder are reaching 1 %-5 % who can meet the criteria according to the DSM IV-TR [6,7].

DID symptoms are often compared to symptoms of Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD), namely amnesia, confusion about self-identity, and memory problems [8]. Physiological effects on the body can be seen with differences in eye sharpness, drug response, allergies, differences in plasma glucose levels, heart rate and immune function [9]. The severity of DID covers a broad spectrum, with mild symptoms as depersonalization and derealization that also occurs in non-clinical populations, due to sleep deprivation, fatigue, stress, or substance abuse. More severe forms of dissociation may involve an inability to access information (e.g., dissociative amnesia) or control motor processes (e.g., tonic immobilization) on voluntary or involuntary sensory, affective, and cognitive processes [10].

Several studies have been conducted to determine the cause of DID and the best approach to its treatment. Currently, DID is associated with traumatic events, particularly in childhood [7]. Research into how the mechanism of DID occurs may improve patient treatment [11]. Treatment efforts for DID patients revolve around understanding how DID affects cognitive and neurological function of the brain with its effects on stress [12]. This study aimed to discuss about DID formation and its effects on brain neurobiology and current therapeutic approaches.

Subjects and Methods

This study uses a literature study method that is based on various literatures and supports the discussion regarding the purpose of this review. The literature search using PubMed and Google Scholar databases using keywords “dissociative”, “dissociative identity”, and “dissociative identity disorder”. Our search inclusion criteria included publications in English, studies in humans, and publications in 2000-2022. After passing the exclusion process, the bibliography in scientific journals that have successfully passed the inclusion and exclusion criteria will undergo the scanning process again to find out any additional publications regarding “dissociative”, “dissociative identity”, “dissociative identity disorder”, “diagnosis of dissociative identity disorder”, and “treatment of dissociative identity disorder”. In this literature review, we use all scientific journals that discuss DID, diagnoses and therapies that are available today. A scientific journal will undergo an exclusion process if it does not contain one of the keywords or does not support the discussion of this literature review.

Results and Discussion

Model of Forming Identity in DID Patients

Based on the aetiology of Reinders (2008), there are three models of identity formation, namely iatrogenic position, traumagenic position, and pseudogenic position [13]. The iatrogenic position can be formed from the treatment of the patient’s consciousness as a result of medical examination or treatment [14]. This condition may be caused by the diagnosis of clinicians who are absent from diagnosing disorders that lead to DID, as a result, treatment is limited to the symptoms that occur [14,15]. This condition can then endanger the patient because sometimes certain awareness can arise in certain situations that are except to be shown to the therapist, so the validity of the diagnosis can be doubted [16,17]. An example of an iatrogenic position is a Sybil case and in one case report that reported an iatrogenic event in a case of a personality disorder patient [18,19].

A traumatic position is a form of the implications of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). Patients experience dissociation from painful experiences of memory about oppres-

sion, causing protective / avoidance actions from psychological disorders [13]. This theory is supported by a collaborative study by Bowman (1985), Dalenberg (2004), Sar (2007) and other studies that link DID with traumatic experiences [5,13,20]. The identity formed from traumagenic positions is in the form of neutral identity states (NIS) which function in the form of functional daily activities and trauma-related identity states that function as a form of defensive expression from trauma [5,13,21].

A pseudogenic position is a form of stimulation without therapy that is formed by a conscious and active stimulation process and in reasons for seeking attention [13]. One of them is in the form of avoiding judicial punishment for crimes committed [22]. A diagnosis that shows the presence of DID will avoid patients from legal decisions or executions [23]. While several cases of using DID have occurred, this approach is rarely successful because the use of insanity reasons often contradicts the testimony of witnesses [23]. However, further training is needed for jurors to recognize DID as the complexity of the disorder can affect courts [24].

Pathophysiology of DID

The pathophysiology of DID is still unanswered. However, several studies have been carried out showing a change in shape in the amygdala, hippocampus, and influence on the orbitofrontal cortex and blood flow to the brain from DID patients [25]. The study shows the connectedness of DID with some personality disorders, such as the most common is disruption of acceptance (76 %), then self-denial (68 %), borderline (53 %) and passive-aggressive activity (45 %) [26]. DID patients have a relationship with Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and BPD that related with childhood trauma, child abuse both physically and sexually [21,26].

A meta-analysis showed that changes in amygdala and hippocampal volume in DID patients on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were similar to those with PTSD [27]. This is

because the work of the limbic system affects human emotions which work more actively in DID patients [28]. Reduction of the size of the amygdala and hippocampus in DID patients is caused by long-term intensive stress exposure [29]. Traumatic stress exposure in children is known to have an effect on the process of regulating emotions that are played by the amygdala and long-term memory regulated by the hippocampus [30]. The further effects of amygdala and hippocampus reduction in DID patients affect biochemical responses to the limbic system [31]. The biochemical response to the brain that plays a role in stress response is glutamate secretion that affects neuronal circuits in the cortico-limbic resulting in reduced plasticity in neurons in patients with DID [31].

Diagnosis of DID Patients

In establishing the clinical diagnosis of a patient with DID, a careful history and various examinations are required for a correct diagnosis [32]. Some tests can be used to measure the effect of trauma on patients or logical thinking [36]. However, these tests are not intended to diagnose DID [37] 5th Edition (DSM-5. Diagnosis performed on DID patients will show symptoms related to other diseases such as BPD, PTSD, Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (OCD), or schizophrenia [5,38-40].

Current Treatment

DID treatment research has been developed based on case studies, follow-up studies, and clinical trials for development of better treatment [41]. The focus of DID treatment consists of three stages [7]. The first stage is involving patient cooperation to help security and stability [7]. The second stage is to maintain stability while exploring trauma narratives and overcoming emotions, beliefs, and behaviours related to trauma [7]. At this stage, the patient will experience struggles with the realization of a traumatic experiences, so that most patients will avoid the synthesis of traumatic experiences [42]. The third stage is emphasizing the integration of identity and life

without dependence on dissociation [7]. In this phase, patients who are detached from dissociative nature also need special guidance in dealing with daily emotional stress, disappointment and pressure to realize personal and intrapersonal functions [43,44].

Adequate treatment and therapy in patients with DID can suppress symptoms of dissociative events, improve the social function of DID patients and reduce the amount of time for hospitalization and drug use [45,46].

Treatment of DID requires special monitoring of patients when patients feel amnesia and transitional conditions per identity [47]. Control specifically requires cooperation between therapists and primary identity in DID patients [48,49]. Because patients with DID have suicidal tendencies and strong self-destructive habits, it is necessary to have a proper diagnostic process to improve the cure rate of patients with DID [36].

DID therapy requires the role of experienced clinicians in handling DID patients to improve the quality of life of patients in their daily social lives [50]. The use of drugs in handling DID patients is still limited to the treatment of symptoms that appear in DID patients. Drugs that can be used are antidepressants (SSRIs, Non - SSRIs, tricyclic antidepressants, and monoamine oxidase inhibitors), mood stabilizers such as carbamazepine to reduce patient aggressiveness and naltrexone to reduce self-injury [11]. Non-pharmacological treatment such as dialectical behavior therapy, cognitive-behavioral treatment, or scheme

therapy can be an alternative therapy, although further research is needed for its long-term effect in DID cases [46,51,52].

Conclusion

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID) is one of the most controversial disorders and has attracted public attention over the past century. The existence of other identities in patients with DID makes it difficult to enforce the diagnosis, so the correct diagnostic method has not been found and more experience is needed by the clinicians to diagnose the disorder. Some of the tests only show some of the symptoms that lead to DID. Further research is still needed to uncover the mysteries regarding DID. Better therapeutic approaches are needed to improve DID symptoms and improve patients' quality of daily life. However, with technological advances that are always evolving, the various unsolved mysteries of DID will soon be revealed and explain further about the disruption of DID.

Acknowledgements

None.

Conflict of interest

None to declare.

Funding Sources

None.

References

1. Brand BL, Sar V, Stavropoulos P, Krüger C, Korzekwa M, Martínez-Taboas-A, et al. Separating Fact from fiction: an empirical examination of six myths about dissociative identity disorder. *Harv Rev Psychiatry*. 2016;24:257-70.
2. World Health Organization (WHO). ICD-11 for mortality and morbidity statistics (ICD-11 MMS) [Internet]. Geneva (CH): WHO; 2022 [updated 2022; cited 2022 Jun 21]. Available from: <https://icd.who.int/browse11>
3. Malhotra N, Gupta N. Dissociative disorders: reinvention or reconceptualization of the concept? *Indian J Soc Psychiatry*. 2018;34:44-8.
4. Paris J. The rise and fall of dissociative identity disorder. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 2012;200:1076-9.
5. Vissia EM, Giesen ME, Chalavi S, Nijenhuis ERS, Draijer N, Brand BL, et al. Is it trauma- or fantasy-based? Comparing dissociative identity disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, simulators, and controls. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2016;134:111-28.
6. International Society for the Study of Trauma and Dissociation. Guidelines for treating dissociative identity disorder in adults, third revision: summary version. *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2011;12:188-212.
7. Dorahy MJ, Brand BL, Sar V, Krüger C, Stavropoulos P, Martínez-Taboas A, et al. Dissociative identity disorder: an empirical overview. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2014;48:402-17.

8. Laddis A, Dell PF, Korzekwa M. Comparing the symptoms and mechanisms of “dissociation” in dissociative identity disorder and borderline personality disorder. *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2017;18:139-73.
9. Rehan MA, Kuppa A, Ahuja A, Khalid S, Patel N, Cardi FSB, et al. A strange case of dissociative identity disorder: are there any triggers? *Cureus*. 2018;10:e2957.
10. Krause-Utz A, Frost R, Chatzaki E, Winter D, Schmahl C, Elzinga BM. Dissociation in borderline personality disorder: recent experimental, neurobiological studies, and implications for future research and treatment. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2021;23:37.
11. Gentile JP, Dillon KS, Gillig PM. Psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy for patients with dissociative identity disorder. *Innov Clin Neurosci*. 2013;10:22-9.
12. Şar V, Dorahy MJ, Krüger C. Revisiting the etiological aspects of dissociative identity disorder: a biopsychosocial perspective. *Psychol Res Behav Manag*. 2017;10:137-46.
13. Reinders AATS. Cross-examining dissociative identity disorder: neuroimaging and etiology on trial. *Neurocase*. 2008;14:44-53.
14. Finch EF, Iliakis EA, Masland SR, Choi-Kain LW. A meta-analysis of treatment as usual for borderline personality disorder. *Personal Disord Treat*. 2019;10:491-9.
15. Floris J, McPherson S. Fighting the whole system: dissociative identity disorder, labeling theory, and iatrogenic doubting. *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2015;16:476-93.
16. Meganck R. Beyond the impasse - reflections on dissociative identity disorder from a freudian-lacanian perspective. *Front Psychol*. 2017;8:789.
17. Casey P. Editors Comment: the debate on dissociative identity disorder. *BJPsych Adv*. 2021;27:102-3.
18. Blueford J. The proposed etiologies of dissociative identity disorder. *Undergrad Res J Publ*. 2013;6:102-7.
19. Burrin C, Daniels NF, Cardinal RN, Hayhurst C, Christmas D, Zimbron J. Iatrogenic complications of compulsory treatment in a patient presenting with an emotionally unstable personality disorder and self-harm. *Case Rep Psychiatry*. 2021;6615723:1-8.
20. Bailey TD, Brand BL. Traumatic dissociation: theory, research, and treatment. *Clin Psychol Sci Pract*. 2017;24:170-85.
21. Huntjens RJC, Wessel I, Ostafin BD, Boelen PA, Behrens F, van Minnen A. Trauma-related self-defining memories and future goals in dissociative identity disorder. *Behav Res Ther*. 2016;87:216-24.
22. Loewenstein RJ. Firebug! Dissociative identity disorder? Malinger? Or ...? An intensive case study of an arsonist. *Psychol Inj Law*. 2020;13:187-224.
23. Paris J. Dissociative identity disorder: validity and use in the criminal justice system. *BJPsych Adv*. 2019;25:287-93.
24. O'Mahony B, Milne B, Smith K. Investigative interviewing, dissociative identity disorder and the role of the registered intermediary. *J Forensic Pract*. 2018;20:10-9.
25. Reinders AATS, Willemsen ATM, den Boer JA, Vos HPJ, Veltman DJ, Loewenstein RJ. Opposite brain emotion-regulation patterns in identity states of dissociative identity disorder: a PET study and neurobiological model. *Psychiatry Res*. 2014;223:236-43.
26. Ashraf A, Krishnan R, Wudneh E, Acharya A, Tohid H. Dissociative identity disorder: a pathophysiological phenomenon. *J Cell Sci Ther*. 2016;7:5.
27. Blihar D, Crisafio A, Delgado E, Buryak M, Gonzalez M, Waechter R. A meta-analysis of hippocampal and amygdala volumes in patients diagnosed with dissociative identity disorder. *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2021;22:365-77.
28. Chalavi S, Vissia EM, Giesen ME, Nijenhuis ERS, Draijer N, Cole JH, et al. Abnormal hippocampal morphology in dissociative identity disorder and post-traumatic stress disorder correlates with childhood trauma and dissociative symptoms. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2015;36:1692-704.
29. Chalavi S, Vissia EM, Giesen ME, Nijenhuis ERS, Draijer N, Barker GJ, et al. Similar cortical but not subcortical gray matter abnormalities in women with posttraumatic stress disorder with versus without dissociative identity disorder. *Psychiatry Res*. 2015;231:308-19.
30. Brand BL, Lanius RA. Chronic complex dissociative disorders and borderline personality disorder: disorders of emotion dysregulation? *Borderline Personal Disord Emot Dysregulation*. 2014;1:13.
31. Rutkofsky IH, Khan AS, Sahito S, Aqeel N, Tohid H. The neuropsychiatry of dissociative identity disorder: why split personality patients switch personalities intermittently? *J Cell Sci Ther*. 2017;8:2-7.
32. Mueller-Pfeiffer C, Rufibach K, Perron N, Wyss D, Kuenzler C, Prezewowsky C, et al. Global functioning and disability in dissociative disorders. *Psychiatry Res*. 2012;200:475-81.
33. Sar V, Alioğlu F, Akyuz G. Depersonalization and derealization in self-report and clinical interview: the spectrum of borderline personality disorder, dissociative disorders, and healthy controls. *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2017;18:490-506.
34. Hartmann E, Benum K. Rorschach assessment of two distinctive personality states of a person with dissociative identity disorder. *J Pers Assess*. 2019;101:213-28.
35. Brand BL, Chasson GS. Distinguishing simulated from genuine dissociative identity disorder on the MMPI-2. *Psychol Trauma*. 2015;7:93-101.
36. Brand BL, Loewenstein RJ, Spiegel D. Dispelling myths about dissociative identity disorder treatment: an empirically based approach. *Psychiatry*. 2014;77:169-89.
37. Nester MS, Schielke HJ, Brand BL, Loewenstein RJ. Dissociative identity disorder: diagnostic accuracy and DSM-5 criteria change implications. *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2022;23:451-63.
38. Ross CA, Ferrell L, Schroeder E. Co-occurrence of dissociative identity disorder and borderline personality disorder. *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2014;15:79-90.
39. Belli H. Dissociative symptoms and dissociative disorders comorbidity in obsessive compulsive disorder: symptom screening, diagnostic tools and reflections on treatment. *World J Clin Cases*. 2014;2:327-31.
40. Laddis A, Dell PF. Dissociation and Psychosis in dissociative identity disorder and schizophrenia. *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2012;13:397-413.
41. Boysen GA, Vanbergen A. A review of published research on adult dissociative identity disorder: 2000-2010. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 2013;201:5-11.
42. MacIntosh HB. Titration of technique: clinical exploration of the integration of trauma model and relational psychoanalytic approaches to the treatment of dissociative identity disorder. *Psychoanal Psychol*. 2015;32:517-38.
43. Pollock BE, Macfie J, Elledge LC. Evidence for phase-based psychotherapy as a treatment for dissociative identity disorder comorbid with major depressive disorder and alcohol dependence. *J Trauma Dissociation*. 2017;18:595-609.
44. Öztürk E, Sar V. Formation and functions of alter personalities in dissociative identity disorder: a theoretical and clinical elaboration. *J Psychol Clin Psychiatry Form*. 2016;6:00385.

45. Cronin E, Brand BL, Mattanah JF. The impact of the therapeutic alliance on treatment outcome in patients with dissociative disorders. *Eur J Psychotraumatol*. 2014;5:10.3402/ejpt.v5.22676.
46. Huntjens RJC, Rijkeboer MM, Arntz A. Schema therapy for dissociative identity disorder (DID): rationale and study protocol. *Eur J Psychotraumatol*. 2019;10:1571377.
47. Morton J. Interidentity amnesia in dissociative identity disorder. *Cogn Neuropsychiatry*. 2017;22:315-30.
48. Urbina TM, May T, Hastings M. Navigating undiagnosed dissociative identity disorder in the inpatient setting : a case report. *J Am Psychiatr Nurses Assoc*. 2017;23:223-9.
49. Webster KD, Michalowski S, Hranilovich TE. Multimodal treatment with ect for identity integration in a patient with dissociative identity disorder , complex post-traumatic stress disorder, and major depressive disorder : a rare case report. *Front Psychiatry*. 2018;9:1-5.
50. Myrick AC, Webermann AR, Loewenstein RJ, Lanius R, Putnam FW, Brand BL. Six-year follow-up of the treatment of patients with dissociative disorders study. *Eur J Psychotraumatol*. 2017;8:1344080.
51. Foote B, Van Orden K. Adapting dialectical behavior therapy for the treatment of dissociative identity disorder. *Am J Psychother*. 2016;70:343-64.
52. van Minnen A, Tibben M. A brief cognitive-behavioural treatment approach for PTSD and dissociative identity disorder, a case report. *J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry*. 2021;72:101655.