THE $J^{\pi} = 2^+$ AND 0⁺, $T = 0^{-8}$ Be LEVELS AT ABOUT $E_x = 20$ MeV

PLACIDO D'AGOSTINO, ALBERTO D'ARRIGO, GIOVANNI FAZIO, GIORGIO GIARDINA, ANTONIO ITALIANO, ANNA TACCONE

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Gruppo Collegato di Messina, and Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Universitá, Salita Sperone 31, Vill. S. Agata 98166 Messina, Italia

and

ROCCO PALAMARA

Istituto di Tecnologia dell'Universitá, Via Diana 5, 89125 Reggio Calabria, Italia

Received 18 October 1991

UDC 539.128

Original scientific paper

The ⁷Li (d, $\alpha \alpha$) n reaction induced by deuterons of an incident energy of 7 MeV has been used to excite the ⁸Be nucleus in the region of excitation energy E_x of about 20 MeV. Each of the obtained $\alpha \alpha$ coincidence spectra was fitted by an incoherent sum of the $J^{\pi} = 2^+$ and 0^+ , T = 0 ⁸Be levels at $E_x = 20.1$ and 20.2 MeV, respectively. The results show that the experimental data are well fitted when the Γ values deduced for these levels are 0.90 and 0.70 MeV, respectively.

1. Introduction

In a recent work¹⁾ an appropriate choice of the beam energy and detector geometry allowed us to observe the ⁸Be excitation energy region around 20 MeV by the ⁷Li (d, $\alpha\alpha$) n reaction. In fact, the analysis of the $\alpha\alpha$ bidimensional spectra obtained by the above reaction at 7 MeV deuteron incident energy shows the $J^{\pi} = 2^+$ and 0^+ , T = 0 ⁸Be contributions at $E_x = 20.1$ and 20.2 MeV, respectively. The width values deduced¹⁾ for the two ⁸Be states are (0.85 \pm 0.25) MeV and (0.75 \pm 0.25) MeV for the 2⁺ and 0⁺ states, respectively.

These results represent the first quantitative estimate of the width of the two ⁸Be states. Therefore, it is necessary to perform new experiments leading to the formation of both even-spin positive-parity states in the ⁸Be excitation energy region around 20 MeV, extended the research range and improving the analysis conditions of the above-mentioned work.

In the ⁷Li (d, *aa*) n experiment, performed by Arena et al.¹⁾, the choice of 7 MeV incident energy and of detection geometries allowed us to obtain, for kinematic reasons, the *aa* bidimensional spectra free from the 16.76 MeV ⁵He state and from the contributions of ground, 3.04 and 11.4 MeV ⁸Be states. Moreover, for dynamical reasons, the contributions of the first excited ⁵He state were absent, while the ground state ones of the same nucleus were present at such a low level (4-5%) that no correction to the data was necessary for them. Thus the *aa* coincidences region of interest can be populated by the ⁸Be levels that fall in the E_x regions close to 17 and 20 MeV. The above mentioned ⁸Be levels decay also in the *a*-channel.

Now, bearing this in mind, we analyzed the aa bidimensional spectra coming from the ⁷Li (d, aa) n reaction at a beam incident energy of 7 MeV in the detector configurations that populate: i) both the 17 and 20 MeV excitation energy regions; ii) the 17 MeV E_x region only. At lower deuteron incident energies it is not possible to excite the two above 2⁺ and 0⁺ ⁸Be levels; at higher incident energies the high spin (4⁺) ⁸Be state at 19.86 MeV excitation energy — with a (700 \pm 100) keV width²⁾ — can be excited and entirely populate the kinematical region of our concern. Furthermore, in the above experiment the aa spectra were obtained by using a thinner ⁷Li target to improve the energy resolution.

2. Experimental details

The ⁷Li (d, aa) n experiment was carried out at the Van de Graaf CN accelerator of the National Laboratories in Legnaro (Padova). The intensity of the 7 MeV deuteron beam current (about 80 nA) was measured by a Faraday cup charge integrator. The target was made by evaporating LiF (enriched to 99.9% in ⁷Li), until the thickness of 100 µg/cm² was reached.

The experimental apparatus was the same as the one shown in a previous work³⁾. Now, in order to perform kinematically complete measurements, the *aa* coincidence spectra are obtained by two solid state detectors (100 μ m thick) placed at ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 angles on the opposite sides with respect to the beam direction. The different detector configurations were chosen in the order to allow formation of the two $J^{\pi} = 2^+$ and 0^+ , T = 0 ⁸Be levels at $E_x = 20.1$ and 20.2 MeV, respectively, by the ⁷Li (d, *aa*) n reaction. We measured the energy of the two *a* particles and the time-of-flight difference by means of a standard electronic set-up. The energy of each event was corrected for the loss in the target and spurious coincidences were suppressed by the time window of 10 ns selected off-line.

The true events were projected onto the central kinematical curve (the one corresponding — in the E_1 , E_2 plane — to the ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 angles defined by the beam direction and detector axes) by standard tecniques⁴). In such a way one easily takes into account the effects coming from the finite geometry and energy resolution of the detectors.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the aa coincidence distribution versus the curvilinear abscissa s — representing the arclength of the rectified kinematical curve — at $\vartheta_1 = 82^{\circ}$ and $\vartheta_2 = 80^{\circ}$. Owing to the identity of the detector particles (*a* particles), in our spectra any contributions due to a resonant state are present in two peaks. Each of these peaks is contributed by the unresolved ⁸Be levels at $E_x = 16.6$ and 16.9 MeV (see E_{1-2} curve representing the relative energy of the *aa* system), while the spectrum is free from the ⁵He_{g-s} contributions (see E_{1-3} and E_{2-3} curves representing the relative energy of the *a* particle, in coincidence with the other *a*-particle emitted at the first step of the reaction, is



Fig. 1. Distribution of the $\alpha\alpha$ coincidences along the rectified central kinematical curve versus curvilinear abscissa s for the ⁷Li (d, $\alpha\alpha$) n reaction at $E_d = 7$ MeV, $\vartheta_1 = 82^\circ$ and $\vartheta_2 = 80^\circ$. For the meaning of the dotted lines labelled with E_{1-2} , E_{1-3} and E_{2-3} , see text. Dash-dotted line is a guide to the eye.

FIZIKA B 1 (1992) 2, 139-146

detected at the angles ϑ_1 and ϑ_2 , respectively). Here the error bars represent only the statistical error.

Figs. 2 and 3 show the aa coincidence spectra at $\vartheta_1 = 82^\circ$, $\vartheta_2 = 62^\circ$ and 76°, respectively. As one can see, in both the spectra three well separated peaks appear. The two lateral peaks can clearly be attributed to the formation of the ⁸Be states at excitation energies of about 17 MeV (see E_{1-2} curve). Analogously, the central can be attributed to the formation of ⁸Be at E_x of about 20 MeV.

Now, if we rule out the 19.86 MeV ⁸Be state formation because of the 4⁺ high spin of this state and the relatively low incident energy, the ⁸Be states which can decay into the 2 α channel are the 16.6, 16.9, 20.1 and 20.2 MeV ones. However, the two lateral peaks are populated by the 16.6 and 16.9 MeV ⁸Be levels, while the central peak is mainly populated by the two above ⁸Be levels in the 20 MeV E_x region (the unresolved 20.1 and 20.2 MeV).



Fig. 2. Same as Fig. 1 but with $\vartheta_2 = 62^\circ$. The dashed line is the result of the fit for the ⁸Be levels in the region at about $E_x = 20$ MeV.

FIZIKA B 1 (1992) 2, 139-146

This statement is true because the ${}^{5}\text{He}_{g\cdot s}$ in the central region of each spectrum (the one of our concern) contributes at a low level, as already partly described in one of our previous works¹).

In fact, by using the plane wave approximation (PWA) to determine the direction of a symmetry axis for the angular correlation⁵⁾ of the *a* particles, for the angle ϑ_s (the angular shift with respect to the recoil ⁵He nucleus direction) where the angular correlation shows a symmetry axis, we found the values of 14° (for the pick-up process) and 51° and -165° (for the heavy particle stripping process). Owing to these ϑ_s values the ⁵He_g.s. contribution is at its maximum in the spectrum at about $\vartheta_2 = 60°$ — for the case of pick-up and of compound nucleus decay — and at $\vartheta_2 = 59°$ — for one ($\vartheta_s = 51°$) of the two symmetry axes predicted by the heavy particle stripping. Therefore, following the same procedure in the previously mentioned work¹), the event contribution corresponding to the ⁵He_g.s. in the cen-



Fig. 3. Same as Fig. 2 but with $\vartheta_2 = 76^\circ$.

tral region of the spectrum at $\vartheta_2 = 62^\circ$ (Fig. 2) is calculated as being small. For the other symmetry axis ($\vartheta_s = -165^\circ$) predicted by the heavy particle stripping process, one can observe that if the correlation function is represented by the form $W(\vartheta_{ret} = K[1 + 3\sin^2(\vartheta_{ret} - \vartheta_s)]$ (where the angle ϑ_{ret} refers to the *a*particle emission direction in the relative coordinate system with respect to the recoil ⁵He nucleus axis) one has to choose the spectrum at $\vartheta_2 = 76^\circ$ in order to have the ⁵He_g.s. contribution at its maximum. But in this case one has to observe that the ⁸Be levels at excited energies of about 17 MeV also exist (in the spectrum region contributed from the ⁵He_g.), while the central region of this spectrum at $E_x \simeq 20$ MeV is almost free from other contributions. If $W(\vartheta_{ret})$ is represented by the form $K[1 + 3\cos^2(\vartheta_{ret} - \vartheta_s)]$, one has to choose the spectrum at $\vartheta_2 = 66^\circ$ where the ⁵He_g.s. contribution appears in the central region of the spectrum entirely overlapped by the ⁸Be levels at $E_x \simeq 20$ MeV. This spectrum has already been considered in our previous work¹).

In order to analyze the central peak present in the spectra at $\vartheta_2 = 62^\circ$ and 76° , we separated the 20 MeV ⁸Be contributions from the others (17 MeV ⁸Be and ⁵He_g, contributions). In Figs. 2 and 3 circles indicate the events pertaining to the whole of the $J^{\pi} = 2^+$ and 0^+ , $T = 0^{8}$ Be level contributions. Now, by assuming that each contribution due to the 2^+ and 0^+ , $T = 0^{8}$ Be states in its own relative coordinate system (RCS) can be represented by a Lorentzian form and that such contributions can be summed incoherently, the MINUIT code performs an autoconsistent calculation⁶ and gives the normalization constant and the width of the two above ⁸Be states as a result of the fit. Namely, each of such contributions is represented by

$$(J_{3-12})^{-1} \cdot \frac{C\Gamma^2}{(E_x - E_{1-2})^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2}$$

in the laboratory system (LS), where J_{3-12} is the LS-RCS transformation Jacobian, E_{1-2} is the relative energy of the aa system and C a normalization constant. Now, by assuming 20.1 and 20.2 MeV for the E_x values, the fit takes the experimental data of the central peak well into account and gives the C and Γ values for the two mentioned ⁸Be states.

The result of the fit is displayed as dashed-line in both Figs. 2 and 3 and the Γ average values deduced for the 2⁺ and 0⁺ ⁸Be levels

 $\Gamma(2^+) = (0.90 \pm 0.20) \text{ MeV}$ and $\Gamma(0^+) = (0.70 \pm 0.20) \text{ MeV}$

are in line with the ones found in a previous work¹⁾ and with the values adopted in literature²⁾.

As one can see, the hypothesis that the central peak in both spectra is mainly populated by the two ⁸Be levels at excitation energies of 20.1 and 20.2 MeV is satisfactory. However, the Γ -values found by us¹) for the two mentioned ⁸Be states are very reliable results, although in the analysis of the experimental data we summed the contributions due to the mentioned 2⁺ and 0⁺ states incoherently.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare and to the Comitato Regionale per le Ricerche Nucleari e di Struttura della Materia for the financial support which made this work possible. We also would like to thank Dr. L. Hobbins for useful suggestions during the revision of the English text.

References

- N. Arena, Seb. Cavallaro, A. D'Arrigo, G. Fazio, G. Giardina, A. Italiano and A. Taccone, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 60 (1991) 2175;
- 2) F. Ajzenberg-Selove, Nucl. Phys. A490 (1988) 1;
- N. Arena, Seb. Cavallaro, P. D'Agostino, G. Fazio, G. Giardina, A. Italiano, F. Mezzanares, M. Herman and M. Lombardi, Nuovo Cimento A102 (1989) 1327;
- N. Arena, Seb. Cavallaro, G. Fazio, G. Giardina and F. Mezzanares, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 34 (1982) 97; N. Arena, C. Barbagallo, Seb. Cavallaro, P. D'Agostino, G. Fazio, G. Giardina and F. Mezzanares, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 36 (1983) 135; N. Arena, Seb. Cavallaro, G. Fazio, G. Giardina, A. Italiano and F. Mezzanares, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57 (1986) 1839;
- 5) C. Milone and R. Potenza, Nucl. Phys. 84 (1966) 25;
- N. Arena, Seb. Cavallaro, A. D'Arrigo, G. Fazio, G. Giardina, A. Italiano, M. Herman and M. Lombardi, Phys. Rev. C 40 (1989) 1126; N. Arena, Seb. Cavallaro, P. D'Agostino, A. D'-Arrigo, G. Fazio, G. Giardina, A. Italiano, R. Palamara and A. Taccone, Few-Body Systems 10 (1991) 187.

STANJA $J^{\pi} = 2^+$ I 0⁺, T = 0 ⁸Be NA ENERGIJAMA POBUĐENJA OKO 20 MeV

PLACIDO D'AGOSTINO, ALBERTO D'ARRIGO, GIOVANNI FAZIO, GIORGIO GIARDINA, ANTONIO ITALIANO, ANNA TACCONE

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Gruppo Collegato di Messina, and Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università, Salita Sperone 31, Vill. S. Agata 98166 Messina, Italia

i

ROCCO PALAMARA

Istituto di Tecnologia dell'Universitá, Via Diana 5, 89125 Reggio Calabria, Italia

UDK 539.128

Originalni znanstveni rad

Reakcija ⁷Li (d, aa) n inducirana deuteronima energije 7 MeV je iskorištena za proučavanje jezgre ⁸Be na energijama pobuđenja E_x oko 20 MeV. Koincidentni aa spektri poravnani su nekoherentnim zbrojem stanja $J^{\pi} = 2^+$ na $E_x = 20,1$ MeV i $J^{\pi} = 0^+$ na $E_x = 20,2$ MeV. Rezultati pokazuju da su eksperimentalni podaci najbolje opisani ako se za širine navedenih stanja uzmu vrijednosti 0,90 MeV odnosno 0,70 MeV.