## A GROUND STATE SOLUTION FOR A NONHOMOGENEOUS ELLIPTIC KIRCHHOFF TYPE PROBLEM INVOLVING CRITICAL GROWTH AND HARDY TERM

### SAFIA BENMANSOUR, NADJET YAGOUB AND ATIKA MATALLAH

ABSTRACT. This paper concerns singular elliptic Kirchhof's equations whose nonlinearity has a critical growth and contains an inhomogeneous perturbation in a regular bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . To explore the existence of a ground state solution, we rely on various techniques related to variational methods and the Nehari decomposition.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, we explore the existence of a ground state solution for the following Kirchhoff type problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions, a Hardy term and a critical Sobolev exponent:

$$\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mu}\right) \begin{cases} -\left(\alpha \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{2} - \mu \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}}) dx + \beta\right) \left(\Delta u + \mu \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}\right) = u^{2*-1} + g \text{ in } \Omega,\\ u = 0 & \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$   $(N \ge 3)$ ,  $\mu < \bar{\mu}$ ,  $\bar{\mu} = \frac{(N-2)^2}{4}$ ,  $\alpha, \beta$  are positive constants,  $2_*$  is the critical Sobolev exponent defined by  $2_* := 2N/(N-2)$  and g satisfying a suitable condition.

This problem is related to the following well known Hardy inequality [3]:

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx\right)^{1/2} \le 1/\overline{\mu} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} \text{ for all } u \in C_0^{\infty}\left(\Omega\right).$$

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J20, 35IJ60, 47J30.

Key words and phrases. Variational methods, critical growth, Hardy term, singular elliptic problems, Kirchhoff equations.

<sup>133</sup> 

We shall work with the Hilbert space  $H_{\mu} := H_{\mu}(\Omega)$  for  $0 \leq \mu < \overline{\mu}$ equipped with the norm

$$||u||_{\mu}^{2} := \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u|^{2} - \mu \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \right) dx,$$

which is equivalent to the norm of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . The space  $H^{-1}$  is the topological dual of  $H_{\mu}$  endowed with the norm

$$||f||_{-} = \sup_{||u||_{\mu} \le 1} \frac{|f(u)|}{||u||_{\mu}}$$

and  $||u||_6 := \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^6 dx\right)^{1/6}$  is the norm in  $L^6(\Omega)$ . Without the Hardy term  $\mu \frac{u}{|x|^2}$ , the problem  $(\mathcal{P}_{\mu})$  is related to the original

Kirchhoff's equation [8] proposed by Kirchhoff himself in 1883 to describe the transversal oscillations of a stretched string. He take into account the changes in length of the string produced by transverse vibrations. His model can be considered as a generalization of the classical D'Alembert wave equation for free vibrations of elastic strings. These problems serve also to model other physical phenomena as biological systems where u describes a process which depends on the average of itself (for example, population density), for more details see [1], [2] and the references therein.

In the case  $\alpha = 0$  (without nonlocal term) and  $\beta = 1$ , Tarantello [9] established a multiplicity results to  $(\mathcal{P}_0)$  when g satisfies a certain hypothesis.

On the other hand, Kang et al. [7] generalized the main result of [9] to the following singular problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \mu \frac{u}{\left|x\right|^{2}} = \left|u\right|^{2_{*}-2} u + \lambda \frac{u}{\left|x\right|^{c}} + g\left(x\right) & \text{in } \Omega\\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

with  $\mu < \bar{\mu} = \frac{(N-2)^2}{4}$ , 0 < c < 2,  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1(\mu)$ , where  $\lambda_1(\mu)$  is the first eigenvalue of the operator  $L_{\mu} := -\Delta - \mu \frac{1}{|x|^2}$ . Here, the authors imposed the presence of the term  $\lambda \frac{u}{|x|^c}$  which provided them with the main tool to obtain the second solution.

A natural and interesting question is whether the results concerning the solutions in [9] remain valid for a nonlocal operator with a Hardy term. Stimulated by [9] and [7], we study our problem and give some positive answer. However, in the case  $\alpha > 0$ , the problem becomes more complicated to study, in particular in dimension higher than 3. Furthermore, the main difficulties when we investigate our problem are that for this kind of problems with critical exponent, it is not easy to verify that the critical value level is contained

in the range where Palais-Smale condition holds, this is the reason why the multiplicity result is not expected. To our best knowledge, the results in this paper are new.

Before stating our result, we give some notation and assumptions. The best constant  $S_{\mu}$  is defined by

$$S_{\mu} := \inf_{u \in H_{\mu} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{\mu}^2}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^6 dx\right)^{1/3}}.$$

By Sobolev inequality, note that  $S_{\mu} > 0$ . The weight function g belongs to  $H^{-1}$  and satisfies the following hypothesis

$$(g_{\mu}) \qquad \left| \int_{\Omega} g u dx \right| \le G_{\mu}(u), \ \forall \ u \in H_{\mu} \text{ and } \|u\|_{6} = 1$$

with

$$G_{\mu}(u) := \frac{1}{10^{5/2}} [12\alpha^{2} \|u\|_{\mu}^{8} + 80\beta \|u\|_{\mu}^{2} + 4\alpha \|u\|_{\mu}^{4} G_{\mu}(u)] [3\alpha \|u\|_{\mu}^{4} + F_{\mu}(u)]^{1/2}$$
  
where  $F_{\mu}(u) := \sqrt{9\alpha^{2} \|u\|_{\mu}^{8} + 20\beta \|u\|_{\mu}^{2}}.$ 

Now, we state our main result.

THEOREM 1.1. Let N = 3,  $\mu < \bar{\mu}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta \ge 0$  and  $g \ne 0$  satisfying  $(g_{\mu})$ . Then the problem  $(\mathcal{P}_{\mu})$  admits a ground state solution.

### 2. Some preliminary results

We define the energy functional corresponding to the problem  $(\mathcal{P}_{\mu})$  by

$$J_{\mu}(u) = \frac{\alpha}{4} \|u\|_{\mu}^{4} + \frac{\beta}{2} \|u\|_{\mu}^{2} - \frac{1}{6} \|v\|_{6}^{6} - \int_{\Omega} gudx, \ \forall u \in H_{\mu}.$$

Note that  $J_{\mu} \in C^{1}(H_{\mu}, \mathbb{R})$ . As the approach is variational so, a weak solution of problem  $(\mathcal{P}_{\mu})$  is a critical point of  $J_{\mu}$  i.e. it satisfies:

$$\left(\alpha \left\|u\right\|_{\mu}^{2} + \beta\right) \left(\int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \nabla v - \mu \frac{uv}{\left|x\right|^{2}}) dx\right) - \int_{\Omega} u^{5} v dx - \int_{\Omega} g v dx = 0, \ \forall v \in H_{\mu}.$$

The following definitions and lemmas play crucial roles in the sequel of this work.

DEFINITION 2.1. A nontrivial solution of the problem  $(\mathcal{P}_{\mu})$  is called a ground state solution if its energy is less than the energies of all other nontrivial solutions of  $(\mathcal{P}_{\mu})$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. A sequence  $(u_n)$  is said to be a Palais-Smale sequence at level c ((P-S)<sub>c</sub> in short) for  $J_{\mu}$  in  $H_{\mu}$  if

$$J_{\mu}(u_n) = c + o_n(1)$$
 and  $J'_{\mu}(u_n) = o_n(1)$  in  $H^{-1}$ .

We say that  $J_{\mu}$  verifies the Palais-Smale condition at level c if any  $(P-S)_c$ sequence for  $J_{\mu}$  has a convergent subsequence in  $H_{\mu}$ .

The functional  $J_{\mu}$  is not bounded from below on  $H_{\mu}$  but it is on a subset of  $H_{\mu}$ . A good candidate for an appropriate subset of  $H_{\mu}$  is the so called Nehari manifold defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mu} = \left\{ u \in H_{\mu} \setminus \{0\} : \left\langle J_{\mu}'(u), u \right\rangle = 0 \right\}$$

So, u belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_{\mu}$  implies that,  $\alpha \|u\|_{\mu}^{4} + \beta \|u\|_{\mu}^{2} = \|v\|_{6}^{6} + \int_{\Omega} gudx$ . For more details about the Nehari decomposition, interested readers can consult [4] and [7]. Let us define its subsets

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mu}^{+} := \{ u \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu} : h''_{u}(1) > 0 \}, \ \mathcal{N}_{\mu}^{0} := \{ u \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu} : h''_{u}(1) = 0 \}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mu}^{-} := \{ u \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu} : h_{u}^{\prime\prime}(1) < 0 \}$$

where  $h_u(s) = J_\mu(su)$  for  $s \in \mathbb{R}^*$  and  $u \in H_\mu \setminus \{0\}$  and  $h''_u(s) = -5 \|u\|_6^6 s^4 +$  $3\alpha \, \|u\|_{\mu}^4 \, s^2 + \beta \, \|u\|_{\mu}^2$  . For more details about these maps see [5]. Set

$$H_{u}(s) = h'_{u}(s) + \int_{\Omega} gudx = -\|u\|_{6}^{6} s^{5} + \alpha \|u\|_{\mu}^{4} s^{3} + \beta \|u\|_{\mu}^{2} s$$

The function  $H_u(s)$  attains its maximum  $\widetilde{G}_{\mu}(u)$  at the point  $s_{\max}^u$  where

$$\widetilde{G}_{\mu}(u) := 10^{-5/2} \|u\|_{6}^{-9} [12\alpha^{2} \|u\|_{\mu}^{8} + 80\beta \|u\|_{6}^{6} \|u\|_{\mu}^{2} + 4\alpha \|u\|_{\mu}^{4} \widetilde{F}_{\mu}(u)] [3\alpha \|u\|_{\mu}^{4} + \widetilde{F}_{\mu}(u)]^{1/2}$$

and

$$s_{\max}^{u} = 10^{1/2} \|u\|_{6}^{3} \left(3\alpha \|u\|_{\mu}^{4} + \widetilde{F}_{\mu}(u)\right)^{1/4}$$

with

$$\widetilde{F}_{\mu}(u) := \|u\|_{\mu} \left(9\alpha^2 \|u\|_{\mu}^6 + 20\beta \|u\|_6^6\right)^{1/2}.$$

Let, for  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,

$$\widetilde{\xi}_g := \inf_{v \in H_{\mu} \setminus \{0\}} \left\{ \widetilde{G}_{\mu}(v) - \left| \int_{\Omega} gv dx \right| \right\}, \, \xi_g := \inf_{\|v\|_6 = 1} \left\{ G_{\mu}(v) - \int_{\Omega} gv dx \right\}.$$

To prove that the subsets  $\mathcal{N}^+_{\mu}$  and  $\mathcal{N}^-_{\mu}$  are not empty, we need the following lemma.

136

LEMMA 2.3. Suppose that the hypothesis  $(g_{\mu})$  holds. Then, for any  $u \in H_{\mu} \setminus \{0\}$ , there exist three unique values  $s_1^+ = s_1^+(u)$ ,  $s^- = s^-(u) \neq 0$  and  $s_2^+ = s_2^+(u)$  such that:

$$i) \ s_{1}^{+} < -s_{\max}^{u}, \ s_{1}^{+}u \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}^{-}, \ and \ J_{\mu}(s_{1}^{+}u) = \max_{s \leq -s_{\max}^{u}} J_{\mu}(su),$$
  

$$ii) \ -s_{\max}^{u} < s^{-} < s_{\max}^{u}, \ s^{-}u \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}^{+} \ and \ J_{\mu}(s^{-}u) = \min_{|s| \leq s_{\max}^{u}} J_{\mu}(su)$$
  

$$iii) \ s_{2}^{+} > s_{\max}^{u}, s_{2}^{+}u \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}^{-} \ and \ J_{\mu}(s_{2}^{+}u) = \max_{s \geq s_{\max}^{u}} J_{\mu}(su).$$

The proof of this lemma follows from the fact that  $H_u(s)$  is concave. We have for t > 0,

$$\Psi(tu) = t\Psi(u), \text{ where } \Psi(u) = \widetilde{G}_{\mu}(u) - \left|\int_{\Omega} gudx\right|,$$

and for a given  $\gamma > 0$ , we derive that

(2.1) 
$$\inf_{\|v\|_6 \ge \gamma} \Psi(u) \ge \gamma \widetilde{\xi}_g.$$

In particular, if g satisfies  $(g_{\mu})$ , this infimum is bounded away from zero.

LEMMA 2.4. If g satisfies  $(g_{\mu})$ , then  $\mathcal{N}^{0}_{\mu} = \emptyset$ .

PROOF. If  $\mathcal{N}^0_{\mu} \neq \emptyset$  then, for  $u \in \mathcal{N}^0_{\mu}$  we have that

(2.2) 
$$3\alpha \|u\|_{\mu}^{4} + \beta \|u\|_{\mu}^{2} = 5 \|u\|_{6}^{6}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\widetilde{F}_{\mu}(u) = 3\alpha \|u\|_{\mu}^{4} + 2\beta \|u\|_{\mu}^{2} \text{ and } (s_{\max}^{u})^{2} = 1$$

Consequently, we get

(2.3)  

$$\Psi(u) = \widetilde{G}_{\mu}(u) - \left| \int_{\Omega} gudx \right| \leq \widetilde{G}_{\mu}(u) - \int_{\Omega} gudx$$

$$= H_u(1) - \int_{\Omega} gudx = h'_u(1) = 0.$$

Condition (2.2) implies that

$$\|v\|_6 \ge \left(\frac{\beta}{5}S_\mu\right)^{1/4} := \gamma.$$

From (2.1) and (2.3) we obtain

$$0 < \gamma \widetilde{\xi}_g \le \Psi(u) = 0,$$

which yields a contradiction.

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}$ . There exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a differentiable function  $s: B(0,\varepsilon) \subset H_{\mu} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $s(0) = 1, s(v)(u-v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}$  for  $||v|| < \epsilon$  and (2.4)

$$\langle s'(0), v \rangle = \frac{2\left(2\alpha \|u\|_{\mu}^{2} + \beta\right) \left(\int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \nabla v - \mu \frac{uv}{|x|^{2}}) dx\right) - 6\beta \int_{\Omega} u^{5} v dx - \int_{\Omega} g v dx}{3\alpha \|u\|_{\mu}^{4} + \beta \|u\|_{\mu}^{2} - 5 \|u\|_{6}^{6}}$$

PROOF. Let  $F : \mathbb{R} \times H \to \mathbb{R}$ , defined by

$$F(t,w) = \alpha t^{3} ||u - w||_{\mu}^{4} + \beta t ||u - w||_{\mu}^{2} - t^{5} ||u - w||_{6}^{6} - \int_{\Omega} g(u - w) dx.$$

As F(1,0) = 0,  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(1,0) = 3\alpha \|u\|_{\mu}^4 + \beta \|u\|_{\mu}^2 - 5 \|u\|_6^6 \neq 0$  then, the proof is obtained by the implicit function theorem at the point (1,0).

Define

(2.5) 
$$c_0 = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}^+} J_{\mu}(v) \text{ and } c_1 = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}^-} J_{\mu}(v)$$

From Lemma 2, we easily deduce that  $c_0 = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}} J_{\mu}(u)$ .

LEMMA 2.6. The functional  $J_{\mu}$  is coercive and bounded from below on  $\mathcal{N}_{\mu}$ and  $\frac{-25}{48\beta} \|g\|_{-}^2 \leq c_0 < 0.$ 

PROOF. Let  $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}$ , so  $\alpha \|u\|_{\mu}^{4} + \beta \|u\|_{\mu}^{2} = \|u\|_{6}^{6} + \int_{\Omega} gudx$ . Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mu}(u) &= \frac{\alpha}{12} \|u\|_{\mu}^{4} + \frac{\beta}{3} \|u\|_{\mu}^{2} - \frac{5}{6} \int_{\Omega} g u dx \\ &\geq \frac{\beta}{3} \|u\|_{\mu}^{2} - \frac{5}{6} \|g\|_{-} \|u\|_{\mu}, \\ &\geq \frac{-25}{48\beta} \|g\|_{-}^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $J_{\mu}$  is coercive and bounded from below on  $\mathcal{N}_{\mu}$  and  $c_0 \geq \frac{-25}{48\beta} \|g\|_{-}^2$ .

Let  $v \in H_{\mu}$  such that

$$-\Delta v - \mu \frac{v}{\left|x\right|^2} = g.$$

As  $g \neq 0$ , thus  $\int_{\Omega} gv dx = ||v||_{\mu}^2 = ||g||_{-}^2$ . Let  $s_0 = s^-(v), v \in H_{\mu} \setminus \{0\}$  defined as in Lemma 1. So,  $s_0 v \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}^+$  and consequently, we have that

$$J_{\mu}(s_{0}v) = -\frac{3\alpha}{4}s_{0}^{4} \|v\|_{\mu}^{4} - \frac{\beta}{2}s_{0}^{2} \|v\|_{\mu}^{2} + \frac{5}{6}s_{0}^{6} \|v\|_{6}^{6}$$
  
$$\leq -\frac{\alpha}{4}s_{0}^{4} \|v\|_{\mu}^{4} - \frac{\beta}{3}s_{0}^{2} \|v\|_{\mu}^{2} < 0,$$

thus,  $c_0 < 0$ .

LEMMA 2.7. There exist  $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}^+_{\mu}$  and  $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{N}^-_{\mu}$  verifying i)  $J_{\mu}(u_n) < c_0 + \frac{1}{n}$  and  $J_{\mu}(w) \ge J_{\mu}(u_n) - \frac{1}{n} \|w - u_n\|_{\mu} \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\mu}$ . ii)  $J_{\mu}(v_n) < c_1 + \frac{1}{n}$  and  $J_{\mu}(w) \ge J_{\mu}(v_n) - \frac{1}{n} \|w - v_n\|_{\mu} \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\mu}$ .

PROOF. From Lemma 4, we deduce that  $J_{\mu}$  is coercive and bounded from below on  $\mathcal{N}_{\mu}$ .

Applying the Ekeland Variational Principle to the minimizing problem (2.5) (see [6]), we obtain two minimizing sequences  $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}^+_{\mu}$  and  $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{N}^-_{\mu}$  satisfying i) and ii).

Let  $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}^+_{\mu}$  then, we have that

$$J_{\mu}(u_{n}) = \frac{\alpha}{12} \|u_{n}\|_{\mu}^{4} + \frac{\beta}{3} \|u_{n}\|_{\mu}^{2} - \frac{5}{6} \int_{\Omega} gu_{n} dx < c_{0} + \frac{1}{n} \le -\frac{\beta}{3} s_{0}^{2} \|g\|_{-}^{2},$$

which implies that

(2.6) 
$$\int_{\Omega} g u_n dx \ge \frac{2}{5} \beta s_0^2 \left\| g \right\|_{-}^2 > 0,$$

and consequently, we get

(2.7) 
$$\frac{2}{5}\beta s_0^2 \|g\|_{-} \le \|u_n\|_{\mu} \le \frac{5}{2\beta} \|g\|_{-}$$

Therefore,  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $H_{\mu}$ .

LEMMA 2.8. Let g satisfies  $(g_{\mu})$ . Then,  $\|J'_{\mu}(u_n)\|_{\mu}$  tends to 0 as n goes to  $+\infty$ .

PROOF. If  $\|J'_{\mu}(u_n)\|_{\mu} > 0$  for n large then, by Lemma 3 with  $u = u_n$  and  $w = \delta \frac{J'_{\mu}(u_n)}{\|J'_{\mu}(u_n)\|_{\mu}}$ ,  $\delta > 0$  small, and we find  $s_n(\delta) := s \left[ \delta \frac{J'_{\mu}(u_n)}{\|J'_{\mu}(u_n)\|_{\mu}} \right]$ , such that

$$w_{\delta} = s_n(\delta) \left[ u_n - \delta \frac{J'_{\mu}(u_n)}{\left\| J'_{\mu}(u_n) \right\|_{\mu}} \right] \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}.$$

Applying the Ekeland Variational Principle [6], we get

$$\frac{1}{n} \|w_{\delta} - u_{n}\|_{\mu} \geq J_{\mu}(u_{n}) - J_{\mu}(w_{\delta}) = (1 - s_{n}(\delta)) \langle J_{\mu}(w_{\delta}), u_{n} \rangle + \delta s_{n}(\delta) \left\langle J_{\mu}'(w_{\delta}), \frac{J_{\mu}'(u_{n})}{\|J_{\mu}'(u_{n})\|} \right\rangle + o_{n}(\delta).$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{n}(1+|s_{n}'(0)| \|u_{n}\|_{\mu}) \geq -s_{n}'(0) \left\langle J_{\mu}'(u_{n}), u_{n} \right\rangle + \left\| J_{\mu}'(u_{n}) \right\|_{\mu} = \left\| J_{\mu}'(u_{n}) \right\|_{\mu}$$

where  $s'_n(0) = \left\langle s'(0), \frac{J'_{\mu}(u_n)}{\|J'_{\mu}(u_n)\|_{\mu}} \right\rangle$ . Thus, from (2.7), we conclude that  $\|J'_{\mu}(u_n)\|_{\mu} \leq \frac{C}{n} \left(1 + |s'_n(0)|\right)$ .

On the other hand, by (2.4) and (2.7), we have that

$$|s'_{n}(0)| \leq \frac{C}{\left|3\alpha \left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mu}^{4} + \beta \left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mu}^{2} - 5 \left\|u_{n}\right\|_{6}^{6}\right|}$$

Now, we assume that

(2.8) 
$$3\alpha \|u_n\|_{\mu}^4 + \beta \|u_n\|_{\mu}^2 - 5 \|u_n\|_6^6 = o_n(1).$$

From (2.7) and (2.8), we derive that

$$||u_n||_6 \ge \gamma$$
, for some  $\gamma > 0$ .

By (2.8) and the fact that  $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}$ , we get

$$\int_{\Omega} g u_n dx = -2\alpha \|u_n\|_{\mu}^4 + 4 \|u_n\|_6^6 + o_n(1)$$

Considering the definition of  $\widetilde{\xi}_g$  and the above equality, we lead to

$$0 < \gamma \widetilde{\xi}_g \le \gamma \left( \widetilde{G}_{\mu}(u_n) - \int_{\Omega} g u_n dx \right) + o_n(1)$$
  
=  $\gamma h'_{u_n}(1) + o_n(1)$   
=  $o_n(1).$ 

which is absurd. Thus,  $\left\|J'_{\mu}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{\mu}$  tends to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ .

# 3. EXISTENCE OF A GROUND STATE SOLUTION

Let  $(u_n)$  be the minimizing sequence obtained in the Lemma 5,  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $H_{\mu}$ , then,  $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$  weakly in  $H_{\mu}$ . Consequently, we get

$$\left\langle J_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}\right),w\right\rangle =0,\;\forall w\in H_{\mu}.$$

Thus,  $u_0$  is a critical point for  $J_{\mu}$ .

By (2.6), we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} g u_0 dx > 0.$$

Then,  $u_0 \neq 0$  and  $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}$ .

Hence, we get

$$c_0 \le J_{\mu}(u_0) = \frac{\alpha}{12} \|u_0\|_{\mu}^4 + \frac{\beta}{3} \|u_0\|_{\mu}^2 - \frac{5}{6} \int_{\Omega} g u_0 dx \le \lim_{n \to \infty} J_{\mu}(u_n) = c_0.$$

Then,  $c_0 = J_{\mu}(u_0)$  and  $u_n \to u_0$  in  $H_{\mu}$  and necessarily  $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}^+$ .

140

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

This research work is supported by the General Direction of Scientific Research and Technological Development (DGRSDT), Algeria.

#### References

- C. O. Alves, F. J. S. A. Corrêa and T. F. Ma, Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type, Comput. Math. Appl. 49 (2005) 85–93.
- [2] P. D'Ancona and Y. Shibata, On global solvability of nonlinear viscoelastic equations in the analytic category, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 17 (1994) 477–489.
- [3] K. S. Chou and C. W. Chu, On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev-Hardy inequality, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 48 (1993), 137–151.
- [4] C. Y. Chen, Y. C. Kuo and T. F. Wu, The Nehari manifold for a Kirchhoff type problems with critical exponent functions, J. Differential Equations 250 (2011), 1876– 1908.
- [5] P. Drábek and S. I. Pohozaev, Positive solutions for the p-Laplacian: application of the fibering method, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 127 (1997), 703–726.
- [6] I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 47 (1974), 324–353.
- [7] D. Kang and Y. Deng, Multiple solutions for inhomogeneous elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev-Hardy, Nonlinear Anal. 60 (2005), 729–753.
- [8] G. Kirchhoff, Mechanik, Teubner, Leipzig 1883.
- [9] G. Tarantello, On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 9 (1992) 281–304.

## Rješenje osnovnog stanja za nehomogen eliptički problem Kirchhoffovog tipa uključujući kritični rast i Hardyjev član

Nadjet Yagoub, Safia Benmansour i Atika Matallah

SAŽETAK. Ovaj se članak bavi singularnim eliptičkim Kirchhofovim jednadžbama čija nelinearnost ima kritični rast i sadrži nehomogenu perturbaciju u regularnoj ograničenoj domeni od  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Da bismo istražili postojanje rješenja temeljnog stanja, oslanjamo se na razne tehnike povezane s varijacijskim metodama i Neharijevom dekompozicijom. Safia Benmansou Ecole supérieure de management de Tlemcen Laboratoire d'analyse et contrôle des équations aux dérivées partielles Université Djilali Liabes Sidi Bel Abbès, Algérie *E-mail*: safiabenmansour@hotmail.fr

Nadjet Yagoub Laboratoire d'analyse et contrôle des équations aux dérivées partielles Université Djilali Liabes Sidi Bel Abbès, Algérie *E-mail*: manadjet2220gmail.com

Atika Matallah Ecole supérieure de management de Tlemcen Laboratoire d'analyse et contrôle des équations aux dérivées partielles Université Djilali Liabes Sidi Bel Abbès, Algérie *E-mail*: atika\_matallah@yahoo.fr

Received: 11.10.2022. Revised: 7.1.2023.; 8.2.2023. Accepted: 14.3.2023.