INFLUENCE OF CUTTER ERRORS ON FORMING ACCURATE
VARIABLE HYPERBOLIC CIRCULAR ARC TOOTH TRACE
CYLINDRICAL GEARS

Summary

The cutter error is an important factor in the accurate forming of the variable hyperbolic circular arc tooth trace (VH-CATT) cylindrical gears. Also, the study of the relationship between the cutter error and the forming of accurate teeth is beneficial for the gear modification design and the improvement in contact performance. Firstly, based on the principle of forming VH-CATT cylindrical gears, the sources of error in the tooth forming related to accuracy were analysed, including the errors in the cutter position and the cutter geometry, such as the error of rotation around the x-axis $\gamma$, the error of rotation around the y-axis $\beta$, the error of translation along the x-axis $\Delta x$, the error of translation along the y-axis $\Delta y$, the error of translation along the z-axis $\Delta z$, the pressure angle error $\Delta \alpha$ and the tooth line radius error $\Delta R_r$. Next, based on the meshing theory and processing, an ideal tooth surface equation and a tooth surface equation with cutter errors were derived, and the tooth surface reconstruction was done. Then, the gear tooth thickness error was defined to characterize the accuracy of gear forming. Finally, the influences of the cutter error on the tooth thickness error and gear contact were investigated. The study shows that all cutter errors have certain influence on the tooth thickness error, contact area and load distribution; $\Delta x$ has basically no effect on the gear tooth thickness error; $\Delta x$ and $\gamma$ make the actual meshing point deviate from the middle section. The study content and the applied methods are helpful in the tooth surface error traceability, the counter-adjustment of the tooth surface processing and the modification design. This study provides also a basis for gear design and load-bearing contact analysis.
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1. Introduction

To overcome the shortcomings of the traditional gear, the circular arc gear has been developed. Then, the gear tooth profile was developed as circular arc tooth profile, the middle section tooth profile as involute, and the other section tooth profiles as variable hyperbolic family, which is called the variable hyperbolic circular arc tooth trace (VH-CATT) cylindrical
gear. The gear has the advantages of spur gears, bevel gears and herringbone gears, and has a good application prospect in the field of high speed and heavy-duty transmission [1-3].

At present, the VH-CATT cylindrical gear has achieved positive results related to the meshing principle, 3D model and contact performance, manufacturing and product application. For example, Tseng J. T. [4-5], Zhao Fei [6] and Song Aiping [7] devised a space coordinate system to deduce the meshing function, meshing equation, tooth surface equation, contact line equation, curvature formula, lubrication angle formula, etc. Ma et al. [8-9] proposed a double-sided generation method to process the circular arc gear, achieved a CNC process for modifying the gear tooth surface and analysed the real tooth surface contact problem. Hou Li completed a gear parametric model based on UG, but the model was not accurate [10], hence, he made an accurate gear 3D model based on the mathematical model by using MATLAB [6]. Ma Dengqiu [11-12] developed a meshing contact impact model to analyse the characteristics of the meshing contact impact of the VH-CATT cylindrical gear, such as the impact of the stress distribution law on the tooth surface. Sun Zhijun [13] and Wei Yongqiao [14] developed a contact stress calculation model of the VH-CATT cylindrical gear based on the Hertz contact theory, which provided a reference for the calculation of the contact stress of the gear. Many other researchers also investigated contact strength, bending strength, gear transmission errors, computerized design and simulation of meshing [15-19], including a comparison of the maximum contact stress in spur gears, helical gears and VH-CATT cylindrical gears, applying the finite element analysis as the research method.

According to current literature, the research on the forming of accurate VH-CATT cylindrical gears is not in-depth. However, the geometric characteristics of VH-CATT cylindrical gears are complex. When the cutter has errors, it will further aggravate the complexity of the tooth surface geometric characteristics, and then affect the dynamic contact characteristics of the gear. Therefore, it is important to study the relationship between the cutter error and the forming of accurate gears to facilitate the design, processing and application of VH-CATT cylindrical gears.

As regards the tooth surface error, Gosselin [20] analysed the influence of adjustment parameter errors in a machine tool on the transmission performance of the spiral bevel gear. Litvin [21] analysed the influence of the machine tool error on the gear tooth surface contact position and transmission performance. Tang Jinyuan [22] proposed a contact analysis method for the spiral bevel gear transmission with errors based on the error modelling theory of a multi-body system and the gear meshing principle. The study shows that the motion error and the installation error of the machine tool have a great impact on the gear contact quality. Tang Jinyuan also derived an analytical expression of the normal error at any position of the tooth surface considering the machine tool adjustment parameter errors based on the meshing principle, and proposed a concept of the error influence coefficient [23]. Zhou Chao [24] studied the influence of the eccentricity error and the error of perpendicularity in the grinding wheel spindle on the tooth surface error of the spiral bevel gear, and proposed a concept of the sensitive direction error and a calculation method for determining the sensitive direction error. The study provides a basis for the research on the relationship between the cutter error and the geometric properties of VH-CATT cylindrical gears.

In the present paper, the sources of error related to tooth forming accuracy were analysed, such as the cutter position error and the cutter geometry error. Based on the meshing theory and processing, using the space meshing theory and differential geometry, an ideal tooth surface equation and a tooth surface equation with cutter errors were deduced. Because tooth thickness is one of the important tooth profile features, the tooth thickness error of the gear is used to characterize the accuracy of gear forming. Finally, the influences of the cutter errors on the tooth thickness error and gear contact were investigated. The research results provide a reference for the design, profile modification and lubrication of VH-CATT cylindrical gears.
2. Principle of forming and analysis of source of error in the gear tooth surface forming

VH-CATT cylindrical gears are processed by double-edged rotary milling with a large cutter head shown in Fig. 1. The rotary knife disk is mounted on the spindle of a special machine tool used for forming VH-CATT cylindrical gears and revolves around it with the angular velocity \( \omega_d \). The distance between the cutter axis and the machine spindle axis is \( R_t \), namely the tool radius, which can also be called the tool line radius. In the case of the VH-CATT cylindrical gear, one of the main structural parameters of the gear teeth is the tooth line radius, so, henceforth \( R_t \) is used to represent the tooth line radius. The workpiece rotates around its own axis during machining with the angular velocity \( \omega_1 \), it forms a close generating motion with the reciprocating motion \( v_1 \) of the blade along the \( x \)-axis. When the tooth is cut completely, the workpiece rotates to the next position for cutting until the whole gear is cut. This processing method can cut the concave and the convex tooth surface at the same time, and it can ensure the accuracy of machining the tooth shape as well as processing efficiency.
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Fig. 1 Forming principle of VH-CATT cylindrical gear  Fig. 2 Position error of VH-CATT cylindrical gear

In the actual machining, the parts of a special machine tool used for manufacturing VH-CATT cylindrical gears are affected by manufacturing error, installation error as well as deformation and abrasion. The contact position between the cutter blade and the workpiece will deviate from an ideal position. That is to say, there are errors in the machining of VH-CATT cylindrical gears. It is very complicated to directly develop a model incorporating the installation and manufacturing errors, working conditions, wear and deformation. Direct modelling is not conducive to adequate gear design and processing. However, in the case of any source of error, the tooth profile error is caused by the cutter position or blade geometry error. Therefore, it is only necessary to study the influence of the cutter position error and the geometric error on the accuracy of forming VH-CATT cylindrical gears. Fig. 2 shows a schematic diagram of the cutter position error, and Fig. 3 shows a schematic diagram of the cutter geometry error.

![Fig. 3](image3.png)

Fig. 3 Cutter geometry error of VH-CATT cylindrical gear

Theoretically, the cutter head has six position errors, including three rotation errors and three translation errors. \( \gamma, \beta \) and \( \delta \) are errors of rotation around the \( x \)-, \( y \)- and \( z \)-axis, respectively. \( \Delta x, \Delta y \) and \( \Delta z \) are errors of translation along the \( x \)-, \( y \)- and \( z \)-axis, respectively. \( \delta \) has no effect on the gear forming accuracy theoretically. In addition, the cutter has two cutter geometry errors, namely the pressure angle error \( \Delta \alpha \) and the tooth line radius error \( \Delta \tau_r \). Therefore, this paper studies the influence of \( \gamma, \beta, \Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z, \Delta \alpha \) and \( \Delta \tau_r \) on the accuracy of forming VH-CATT cylindrical gears.
3. Tooth surface equation of VH-CATT cylindrical gear

3.1 Ideal gear tooth surface equation

The reference coordinate system of an ideal CATT cylindrical gear was set up as shown in Fig. 4. Fig. 4 (b) is the extracted coordinate transformation system. $O_1X_1Y_1Z_1$ is the dynamic coordinate system for the gear blank; $O_2X_2Y_2Z_2$ is the dynamic coordinate system for the cutter; $O_3X_3Y_3Z_3$ is the static coordinate systems for the cutter; $O_0X_0Y_0Z_0$ is the auxiliary coordinate system. $R_1$ is the pitch circle of the gear blank; $m$ is the gear module; $B$ is the gear face width of the gear blank; $\omega$ is the rotational speed of the cutter head; $\omega_1$ is the rotational speed of the gear blank; $\theta$ is the cutter head angle; $\phi_1$ is the rotation angle of the gear blank.

![Fig. 4 Reference coordinate system of ideal VH-CATT cylindrical gear](image)

According to the tool profile shown in Fig. 3, the cutter equation in $O_2X_2Y_2Z_2$ could be written as:

$$r_{2}^{d} = -\left( R_1 \pm \frac{\pi}{4} m \pm u \sin \alpha \right) i_2 + u \cos \alpha k_2,$$

where $\alpha$ is the pressure angle of the cutter, $u$ is the displacement from a random point on the cutting tool surface to a reference point in the direction of the cutting edge; ‘±’ represents the tool cutting edge outside and inside, respectively.

By applying coordinate transformation, the cutter equation in $O_3X_3Y_3Z_3$ could be written as:

$$r_{3}^{d} = -\left( R_1 \pm \frac{\pi}{4} m \pm u \sin \alpha \right) \cos \theta j_3 + \left( R_1 \pm \frac{\pi}{4} m \pm u \sin \alpha \right) \sin \theta j_3 + u \cos \alpha k_3.$$  

According to the meshing principle [25], the position vectors of the gear blank and the cutter are the same at the contact point, and the relative speed $v_{3}^{dc}$ between the gear blank and the cutter at the contact point is perpendicular to the common normal vector $n_3^c$ at the contact point, namely,

$$n_3^{c} \cdot v_{3}^{dc} = 0,$$

where
\[ n_i^d = \frac{\partial r_i^d}{\partial \theta} \times \frac{\partial r_i^d}{\partial u} \] (4)

\[ v_i^{dg} = \left( \omega_i^d \times r_i^d + v_d \right) - \left( \omega_i^g \times r_i^d + E_3 \times \omega_i^g \right), \] (5)

where \( v_i^d \) is the cutter speed; \( v_i^g \) is the gear blank speed; \( \omega_i^d \) is the rotational angular speed of the cutter; \( v_d \) is the translation speed of the cutter; \( \omega_i^g \) is the rotational angular speed of the gear blank; \( E_3 \) is the expression of \( O_3 \) to \( O_1 \). All parameters are expressions of the contact point in the coordinate system \( O_3X_3Y_3Z_3 \).

According to the spatial geometric position of the coordinate systems \( O_1X_1Y_1Z_1, O_9X_9Y_9Z_9 \) and \( O_3X_3Y_3Z_3 \), there is a relationship shown as Eq. (6).

\[ k_1 = k_j = -j_3 \] (6)

Namely, \( E_3, \omega_i^d, \omega_i^g \) and \( v_d \) could be written as:

\[ E_3 = -(R_T + R_i \phi_1) i_3 - R_i k_3 \] (7)

\[ \omega_i^d = 0 \] (8)

\[ \omega_i^g = \omega_i j_3 \] (9)

\[ v_d = \omega_i R_i i_3 \] (10)

According to the gear geometry, the expression of the contact point between the cutter and the gear blank in the coordinate system \( O_1X_1Y_1Z_1 \) is the ideal tooth surface equation. By the coordinate transformation from \( O_3X_3Y_3Z_3 \) to \( O_1X_1Y_1Z_1 \), the ideal tooth surface equation can be expressed as:

\[ r_i^g = M_{13} r_i^d \] (11)

and

\[ M_{13} = M_{1f} M_{f0} M_{03} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi_1 & 0 & -\sin \phi_1 (R_T + R_i \phi_1) \cos \phi_1 - R_i \sin \phi_1 \\ -\sin \phi_1 & 0 & \cos \phi_1 (R_T + R_i \phi_1) \sin \phi_1 + R_i \cos \phi_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \] (12)

where \( M_{1f} \) is the coordinate transformation matrix from \( O_9X_9Y_9Z_9 \) to \( O_1X_1Y_1Z_1 \); \( M_{f0} \) is the coordinate transformation matrix from \( O_9X_9Y_9Z_9 \) to \( O_0X_0Y_0Z_0 \); \( M_{03} \) is the coordinate transformation matrix from \( O_0X_0Y_0Z_0 \) to \( O_3X_3Y_3Z_3 \).

Combining Eqs. (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10) and (11), the ideal tooth surface equation of the VH-CATT cylindrical gear can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_i &= -\left( R_T \pm \frac{\pi}{4} m \pm u \sin \alpha \right) \cos \theta + \left( R_T + R_i \phi_1 \right) \cos \phi_1 - \left( R_T + u \cos \alpha \right) \sin \phi_1 \\
y_i &= -\left( R_T \pm \frac{\pi}{4} m \pm u \sin \alpha \right) \cos \theta + \left( R_T + R_i \phi_1 \right) \sin \phi_1 + \left( R_T + u \cos \alpha \right) \cos \phi_1 \\
z_i &= -\left( R_T \pm \frac{\pi}{4} m \pm u \sin \alpha \right) \sin \theta \\
u &= \pm \frac{\sin \alpha}{\cos \theta} \left[ \cos \theta \left( R_T + \frac{\pi m}{4} \right) - R_T \right] \\
u &= \pm \frac{\sin \alpha}{\cos \theta} \left[ \cos \theta \left( R_T + \frac{\pi m}{4} \right) - R_T \right],
\end{align*}
\] (13)
3.2 Tooth surface equation with cutter errors

The reference coordinate system of the tooth surface equation with cutter errors was set up as shown in Fig. 5. $O_1X_1Y_1Z_1$, $O_2X_2Y_2Z_2$, $O_3X_3Y_3Z_3$, and $O_4X_4Y_4Z_4$ are consistent with Fig. 4. $O_5X_5Y_5Z_5$ is the static coordinate system of the cutter theoretical position; $O_6X_6Y_6Z_6$ is the coordinate system with the error of rotation around the $x$-axis; $O_7X_7Y_7Z_7$ is the coordinate system with the error of rotation around the $y$-axis.

![Fig. 5 Coordinate transformation system of tooth surface equation with cutter errors](image)

3.2.1 Tool surface and normal vector with errors

According to the tool profile shown in Fig. 3, the cutter equation with errors in the $O_2X_2Y_2Z_2$ coordinate system could be written as:

$$
\varepsilon r_2^d = \left( \varepsilon R_r \pm \frac{m\pi}{4} \pm u \sin \varepsilon \alpha \right) i_2 + u \cos \varepsilon \alpha k_2,
$$

where $\varepsilon R_r$ is the tooth line radius with errors, and $\varepsilon R_r = R_r + \Delta R_r$; $\varepsilon \alpha$ is the pressure angle of the cutter with errors, and $\varepsilon \alpha = \alpha + \Delta \alpha$; $u$ and $\pm$ are consistent with Eq. (1).

The cutter equation with errors in $O_3X_3Y_3Z_3$ could be written as:

$$
\varepsilon r_3^d = \left( \varepsilon R_r \pm \frac{m\pi}{4} \pm u \sin \varepsilon \alpha \right) \cos \theta i_1 + \left( \varepsilon R_r \pm \frac{m\pi}{4} \pm u \sin \varepsilon \alpha \right) \sin \theta j_1 + u \cos \varepsilon \alpha k_1
$$

According to Eq. (15), the normal vector of the tool surface with errors is expressed as:

$$
\varepsilon n_3 = \frac{\partial \varepsilon r_3^d}{\partial u} \times \frac{\partial \varepsilon r_3^d}{\partial \theta} = \left( \varepsilon R_r \pm \frac{m\pi}{4} \pm u \sin \varepsilon \alpha \right) \left( -\cos \varepsilon \alpha \cos \theta i_1 + \cos \varepsilon \alpha \sin \theta j_1 + \sin \varepsilon \alpha k_1 \right)
$$

3.2.2 Relative speed at the contact point with errors

The relative speed with errors $\varepsilon v_{3e}$ in the $O_3X_3Y_3Z_3$ coordinate system can be expressed as:

$$
\varepsilon v_{3e} = \varepsilon v_3^d - \varepsilon v_3^e = \left( \varepsilon \omega_{i_3}^d \times \varepsilon r_3^d + \varepsilon v_{i_3}^d \right) - \left( \varepsilon \omega_{i_3}^e \times \varepsilon r_3^d + \varepsilon E_{3} \times \varepsilon \omega_{i_3}^e \right)
$$
where $\varepsilon_v^d$ is the cutter speed with errors; $\varepsilon_v^g$ is the gear blank speed with errors; $\varepsilon_\omega^d$ is the rotational angular speed with cutter errors, and $\varepsilon_\omega^d = \theta$; $\varepsilon_v^g$ is the translation speed with cutter errors, and $\varepsilon_v^g = \omega_1 R_1 \hat{t}_i$; $\varepsilon_\omega^g$ is the rotational angular speed with cutter errors of the gear blank; $\varepsilon E_3$ is the expression of $O_3$ to $O_1$.

The coordinate transformation matrix $M_{33}$ from $O_3X_3Y_3Z_3$ to $O_5X_5Y_5Z_5$ can be written as:

$$M_{33} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta & \sin \beta \sin \gamma & -\sin \beta \cos \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \gamma & \sin \gamma & 0 \\ \sin \beta & -\sin \gamma \cos \beta & \cos \beta \cos \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$ (18)

The inverse matrix of $M_{33}$ can be written as:

$$M_{33}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta & 0 & \sin \beta & 0 \\ \sin \beta \sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma \cos \beta & 0 \\ -\sin \beta \cos \gamma & \sin \gamma & \cos \beta \cos \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$ (19)

The expression of $O_3$ to $O_1$ in the $O_5X_5Y_5Z_5$ can be written as $\varepsilon E_5$:

$$\varepsilon E_5 = -\left( \Delta x + \varepsilon R + R_0 \varphi \right) \hat{t}_i - \Delta y \hat{j}_i - \left( R_1 + \Delta \varphi \right) \hat{k}_i$$ (20)

Therefore,

$$\varepsilon E_3 = M_{33}^{-1} \varepsilon E_5$$ (21)

Similarly, $\varepsilon_\omega^g$ can be calculated using Eq. (22).

$$\varepsilon_\omega^g = M_{33}^{-1} \varepsilon_\omega^g$$ (22)

where $\varepsilon_\omega^g = \omega_1 \hat{t}_i$.

Combining Eqs. (17), (21) and (22), the relative speed with errors $\varepsilon v^d$ can be calculated.

3.2.3 Tooth surface model with errors

Similarly, according to the meshing principle [23], $\varepsilon n_1 \cdot \varepsilon v^d = 0$, the expression of $u$ with errors can be expressed as $\varepsilon u$:

$$\varepsilon u = -\frac{1}{\cos \gamma \cos \theta} \left[ -R_1 \cos \theta \cos \varepsilon \alpha + B \cos \beta \cos \theta \cos \varepsilon \alpha - C \sin \beta \cos \theta \cos \varepsilon \alpha \\
-C \sin \gamma \cos \beta \sin \theta \cos \varepsilon \alpha \mp C \cos \gamma \cos \beta \sin \varepsilon \alpha \mp B \cos \gamma \sin \beta \sin \varepsilon \alpha \\
-2 \sin \gamma \sin \beta \sin \theta \cos \varepsilon \alpha \pm \cos \gamma \cos \theta \sin \varepsilon \alpha \left( \varepsilon \varphi \pm \frac{m \pi}{4} \right) \right]$$ (23)

where $B = R_1 + \Delta \varphi$, $C = R_1 + R_0 \varphi + \Delta \alpha$. 
The coordinate transformation of the cutter equation with errors from \(O_{3}X_{3}Y_{3}Z_{3}\) to \(O_{1}X_{1}Y_{1}Z_{1}\) can be expressed as:

\[
^{c}r_{1}^{e} = ^{c}M_{13}^{e} r_{i}^{d}
\]  

(24)

\[
^{c}M_{13} = ^{c}M_{1f}^{e} M_{f0}^{e} M_{06}^{e} M_{65}^{e} M_{43}^{e}
\]  

(25)

where \(^{c}M_{13}\) is the coordinate transformation matrix from \(O_{3}X_{3}Y_{3}Z_{3}\) to \(O_{1}X_{1}Y_{1}Z_{1}\); \(^{c}M_{1f}\) is the coordinate transformation matrix from \(O_{3}X_{3}Y_{3}Z_{3}\) to \(O_{1}X_{1}Y_{1}Z_{1}\); \(^{c}M_{f0}\) is the coordinate transformation matrix from \(O_{3}X_{3}Y_{3}Z_{3}\) to \(O_{1}X_{1}Y_{1}Z_{1}\); \(^{c}M_{06}\) is the coordinate transformation matrix from \(O_{3}X_{3}Y_{3}Z_{3}\) to \(O_{1}X_{1}Y_{1}Z_{1}\); \(^{c}M_{65}\) is the coordinate transformation matrix from \(O_{3}X_{3}Y_{3}Z_{3}\) to \(O_{1}X_{1}Y_{1}Z_{1}\); \(^{c}M_{43}\) is the coordinate transformation matrix from \(O_{3}X_{3}Y_{3}Z_{3}\) to \(O_{1}X_{1}Y_{1}Z_{1}\). The expression of \(^{c}M_{13}\) is given by Eq. (26).

\[
^{c}M_{13} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\phi_{i} + \beta) & \sin \gamma \sin(\phi_{i} + \beta) & -\cos \gamma \sin(\phi_{i} + \beta) \\
\sin(\phi_{i} + \beta) & -\sin \gamma \cos(\phi_{i} + \beta) & \cos \gamma \cos(\phi_{i} + \beta) \\
0 & -\cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma & -\Delta \gamma
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(26)

Combining Eqs. (15), (24) and (26), the tooth surface equation with cutter head errors can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
^{c}x_{i} &= -\left(R_{r}^{c} \pm \frac{m \pi}{4} \pm u \sin \gamma \right) \cos \theta \cos(\phi_{i} + \beta) + \left[R_{r}^{c} \pm \frac{m \pi}{4} \pm u \sin \gamma \right] \sin \theta \sin \gamma - u \cos \gamma \alpha \cos \gamma \\
&+ \left[R_{r}^{c} + R_{\phi} \pm \Delta x \right] \cos \phi_{i} - \left(R_{r} + \Delta \gamma \right) \sin \phi_{i} \\
^{c}y_{i} &= -\left[R_{r}^{c} \pm \frac{m \pi}{4} \pm u \sin \gamma \right] \cos \theta \sin(\phi_{i} + \beta) + \left[R_{r}^{c} \pm \frac{m \pi}{4} \pm u \sin \gamma \right] \sin \theta \sin \gamma \cos(\phi_{i} + \beta) \\
&+ \left(R_{r}^{c} + R_{\phi} \pm \Delta x \right) \sin \phi_{i} + \left(R_{r} + \Delta \gamma \right) \cos \phi_{i} \\
^{c}z_{i} &= -\left[R_{r}^{c} \pm \frac{m \pi}{4} \pm u \sin \gamma \right] \sin \theta \cos \gamma - u \cos \gamma \alpha \sin \gamma - \Delta \gamma \\
^{c}u &= -\frac{1}{\cos \gamma \cos \theta} \left[R_{r}^{c} \cos \theta \cos \gamma + B \cos \theta \cos \cos \alpha + C \sin \beta \cos \cos \cos \alpha - C \sin \gamma \cos \beta \sin \theta \cos \alpha \right] \\
&- B \sin \gamma \sin \beta \sin \theta \cos \gamma \cos \theta \sin \alpha \left[R_{r}^{c} \pm \frac{m \pi}{4} \pm C \cos \gamma \cos \beta \sin \alpha \mp B \cos \gamma \sin \beta \sin \alpha \right]
\end{align*}
\]  

(27)

Fig. 6 shows an error and ideal tooth surface model, whereby the ideal tooth surface is shown in red, and the error tooth surface is shown in black. The parameters are as follows: \(z = 29, B = 80 \text{ mm}, \alpha = 20^\circ, m = 8 \text{ mm} \text{ and } R_{T} = 500 \text{ mm} \). The part cutter error parameters are as follows: \(\gamma = 0.1^\circ, \Delta y = 2 \text{ mm}, \Delta R_{T} = 10 \text{ mm} \text{ and } \Delta \alpha = 1^\circ\).
4. Tooth thickness and tooth thickness error

The tooth thickness of VH-CATT cylindrical gears is an important parameter, and it has also a great influence on the design, profile modification, lubrication, strength and measuring of gears. Therefore, the tooth thickness must be studied; especially the tooth thickness with errors must be studied. The tooth thickness of VH-CATT cylindrical gears is divided into two categories: the normal tooth thickness $S_i$ and the circumferential tooth thickness $S$. This paper mainly discusses the circumferential tooth thickness, denoted by $S$.

The tooth thickness of an arbitrary cross section is shown schematically in Fig. 7. The arc length between point $A$ and point $B$ is the tooth thickness. If the coordinates of $A$ and $B$ are known, $S$ can be calculated by Eq. (28).

\[
\begin{align*}
S_i &= \sqrt{(A_x - B_x)^2 + (A_y - B_y)^2} \\
S &= 2R_k \arcsin\left(\frac{S_i}{2R_k}\right)
\end{align*}
\]  

(28)

where $(x_A, y_A)$ are the coordinates of point $A$, $(x_B, y_B)$ are the coordinates of point $B$, $S_i$ is the chord length, $R_k$ is the arbitrary circular radius between the tooth top and the base circle.
In this paper, the difference between the actual tooth thickness of VH-CATT cylindrical gears $S$ and the ideal tooth thickness of VH-CATT cylindrical gears $S_i$ is defined as the tooth thickness error $S - S_i$. And the influences of $\beta$, $\gamma$, $\Delta x$, $\Delta y$, $\Delta z$, $\Delta \alpha$ and $\Delta R$, were studied.

$$S_g = S_i - S \quad (29)$$

5. **Influence of cutter error on tooth thickness error**

Table 1 gives basic structural parameters of VH-CATT cylindrical gears, and it is used to discuss the influence of cutter errors on the tooth thickness error, mainly including the modulus, gear number, gear face width, pressure angle and tooth line radius. The values of the structural parameters are selected by referring to literature [26].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Structural parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Structural parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modulus $m$/mm</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pressure angle $\alpha/\degree$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Gear face width $B$/mm</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gear number $z$</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Tooth line radius $R_t$/mm</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1 Influence of error of rotation around $y$-axis $\beta$ on tooth thickness error

Fig. 8 shows the influence of the error of rotation around the $y$-axis $\beta$ on the tooth thickness error. The errors of rotation around the $y$-axis $\beta$ are equal to $1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ rad}$, $5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ rad}$, $-5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ rad}$ and $-1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ rad}$, respectively. $\beta$ is a positive value when the error rotates clockwise around the $y$-axis; and $\beta$ is a negative value when the error rotates counterclockwise around the $y$-axis.

![Fig. 8 Influence of $\beta$ on tooth thickness error](image)

![Fig. 9 Variation trend of tooth thickness error along tooth face width with $\beta$ error](image)
It is obvious that the absolute value of the tooth thickness error $S_e$ increases with an increase in $\beta$, and the tooth thickness error is negative when the error is caused by the clockwise rotation; the tooth thickness error is positive when the error is caused by the counterclockwise rotation. It is shown that the tooth thickness decreases when the error is caused by the clockwise rotation and increases when the error is caused by the counterclockwise rotation. The reason is as follows: when the cutter rotates clockwise, it is equivalent to the tool travelling a certain distance along the direction of the $z$ negative half axis, called negative addendum modification, the tooth thickness reduces, the tooth thickness error is negative, and the larger $\beta$ is, the larger the travelling distance is, as is the tooth thickness error; when the cutter rotates counterclockwise, it is equivalent to the tool travelling a certain distance along the direction of the $z$ positive half axis, called positive addendum modification, the tooth thickness increases, the tooth thickness error is positive, and the larger $\beta$ is, the larger the travelling distance is, as is the tooth thickness error. At the same time, the absolute value of the tooth thickness error gradually increases from the gear root to the gear top in the direction of the tooth height. In addition, Fig. 9 shows that the degree of the gear drum is low when the error is caused by the counterclockwise rotation and the degree of gear drum increases when the error is caused by the clockwise rotation.

5.2 Influence of error of rotation around x-axis $\gamma$ on tooth thickness error

Fig. 10 shows the influence of the error of rotation around the x-axis $\gamma$ on the tooth thickness error. The errors of rotation around the x-axis $\gamma$ are equal to 0.0174rad, 0.0087rad, -0.0087rad and -0.0174rad, respectively. $\gamma$ is a positive value when the error rotates clockwise around the x-axis; and $\gamma$ is a negative value when the error rotates counterclockwise around the x-axis.

![Fig. 10 Influence of $\gamma$ on tooth thickness error](image)

It is obvious that the tooth thickness error of the face width middle section is zero; the tooth thickness error of the other sections increases with an increase in $\gamma$. When the error is caused by the clockwise rotation, the tooth thickness error in the positive direction of tooth face width is positive, the tooth thickness increases, and the negative direction of the tooth face width is negative, and the tooth thickness reduces. The opposite occurs when the error is caused by the clockwise rotation. The reason is as follows: when the error is caused by the clockwise rotation, it is equivalent to the tool travelling a certain distance along the direction of the $z$ positive half axis in the positive direction of the tooth face width, namely positive the addendum modification; in the negative direction of the tooth face width, it is equivalent to the tool travelling a certain distance along the direction of the $z$ negative half axis, namely the negative addendum modification. The larger $\gamma$ is, the larger the travelling distance is and the larger the tooth thickness error is. The reason for the counterclockwise rotation is the same as in the case of the clockwise rotation, so it is not further discussed.
5.3 Influence of error of translation along x-axis $\Delta x$ on tooth thickness error

Fig. 11 shows the influence of the error of translation along the x-axis $\Delta x$ on the tooth thickness error. The errors of translation along the x-axis $\Delta x$ are equal to 2mm, 1mm, -1mm and -2mm, respectively. The translation along the direction of the x positive half axis has a positive value, and the translation along the direction of the x negative half axis has a negative value.

It is obvious that the error of translation along the x-axis $\Delta x$ has no influence on the tooth thickness error. The reason is as follows: when the error is caused by the error of translation along the x-axis, a complete model of the VH-CATT cylindrical gear can be obtained by adjusting the initial position of the gear blank and the length of the cutter blade, so the tooth thickness error is basically zero. Fig. 12 shows a 3D model with no error and a model with the error $\Delta x=2$mm. It can be seen that the error 3D model is rotated by an angle $\beta_c$ relative to the no error 3D model. When the error model is rotated by an angle $\beta_c$ counterclockwise, the error model and the no error model coincide exactly.

![Fig. 11 Influence of $\Delta x$ on tooth thickness error](image1)

(a) Model before rotation  
(b) Model after rotation  
![Fig. 12 Error model and no error model](image2)

5.4 Influence of error of translation along y-axis $\Delta y$ on tooth thickness error

Fig. 13 shows the influence of the error of translation along the y-axis $\Delta y$ on the tooth thickness error. The errors of translation along the y-axis $\Delta y$ are equal to 4mm, 2mm, -2mm and -4mm, respectively. The translation along the direction of the y positive half axis has a positive value, and the translation along the direction of the y negative half axis has a negative value.

It is obvious that the tooth thickness error increases with an increase in $\Delta y$. When the error is caused by translation along the direction of the y positive half axis, it is equivalent to the error occurring when the processed gear surface of the VH-CATT cylindrical gear is translated by a certain distance towards the z1 negative half axis. So, the tooth thickness error of the z1 negative half axis is positive, and the tooth thickness error of the z1 positive half axis is negative. The larger $\Delta y$ is, the larger the tooth thickness error is. The reason is as follows: literature [26] shows that the tooth thickness of an ideal VH-CATT cylindrical gear gradually decreases from the middle surface to the end face along the direction of the tooth face width, and the tooth is a drum-shaped tooth. When the cutter moves forward along the y positive half axis, the tooth thickness of the z1 negative half axis increases, and the tooth thickness of the z1 positive half axis decreases, then the tooth thickness error of the z1 negative half axis is positive, and the tooth thickness error of the z1 positive half axis is negative. The phenomenon with the error of translation along the y negative half axis is opposite to the error of translation along the y positive half axis, but the reason for the error of translation along the y negative half axis is the same as in the case of the error of translation along the y positive half axis, so it is not discussed here.
5.5 Influence of error of translation along z-axis $\Delta z$ on tooth thickness error

Fig. 14 shows the influence of the error of translation along the z-axis $\Delta z$ on the tooth thickness error. The errors of translation along the z-axis $\Delta z$ are equal to 2mm, 1mm, -1mm and -2mm, respectively. The translation along the direction of the z positive half axis has a positive value, and the translation along the direction of the z negative half axis has a negative value.

It is obvious that the tooth thickness error increases with an increase in $\Delta z$. When the error is caused by translation along the direction of the z positive half axis, the tooth thickness error is positive, and when it is translated in the negative direction, the tooth thickness error is negative. The reason is as follows: when the cutter head travels a certain distance along the z positive half axis, the tooth thickness increases; when the cutter head travels a certain distance along the z negative half axis, the tooth thickness decreases. Therefore, the tooth thickness error of the positive translation is positive, and the tooth thickness error of the negative translation is negative.

![Fig. 13 Influence of $\Delta y$ on tooth thickness error](image1)

![Fig. 14 Influence of $\Delta z$ on tooth thickness error](image2)

5.6 Influence of pressure angle error $\Delta \alpha$ on tooth thickness error

Fig. 15 shows the influence of the pressure angle error $\Delta \alpha$ on the tooth thickness error. The pressure angle errors $\Delta \alpha$ are equal to 0.5°, 1.0°, 1.5° and 2.0°, respectively.

It is obvious that the tooth thickness error of the pitch circle is zero; the tooth thickness error from the tooth root to the pitch circle is positive, and the tooth thickness error from the pitch circle to the tooth top is negative, resulting in the tooth shape to become sharp. The reason is as follows: according to Fig. 3, the geometric dimension of the blade from the centre line to the top becomes smaller, and the geometric dimension of the blade from the centre line to the root becomes larger. Therefore, the cutting amount from the gear root to the pitch circle decreases, and the cutting amount from the pitch circle to the tooth top increases, that is, the tooth thickness near the tooth root increases, and the tooth thickness near the tooth top decreases. Therefore, the tooth thickness error of the tooth root is positive, and the tooth thickness error of the tooth top is negative.

5.7 Influence of tooth line radius error $\Delta R_t$ on tooth thickness error

Fig. 16 shows the influence of the tooth line radius error $\Delta R_t$ on the tooth thickness error. The tooth line radius errors $\Delta R_t$ are equal to 10mm, 5mm, -5mm and -10mm, respectively.
It is obvious that the tooth thickness error of the face width middle section is zero; when the tooth line radius error $\Delta R_t$ is greater than 0, the tooth thickness error is positive; when the tooth line radius error $\Delta R_t$ is less than 0, the tooth thickness error is negative; the larger the tooth line radius error is, the greater the absolute value of the tooth thickness error closer to the tooth top and the end face is. When $\Delta R_t$ is less than 0, the end face near the tooth top becomes sharp; when $\Delta R_t$ is greater than 0, the tooth thickness of the end face near the tooth top increases.

The reason is as follows: the tooth profile from the middle section to the end face shows an intersection trend, and the tooth line radius is an important factor affecting the intersection trend. The smaller the tooth line radius is, the more obvious the intersection trend of the tooth profile is, and the more obvious the sharpening of the tooth top is. When the tooth line radius tends to infinity, the VH-CATT cylindrical gear becomes an involute spur gear, and there is no change in the tooth thickness from the middle section to the end face. In fact, the essence of the tooth line radius error is the change in the tooth line radius. When $\Delta R_t$ is less than 0, the tooth line radius decreases and the tooth top becomes sharp. When $\Delta R_t$ is greater than 0, the tooth line radius increases and the tooth thickness of the tooth top increases.

6. Influence of errors on gear contact

Fig. 15 Influence of $\Delta \alpha$ on tooth thickness error

Fig. 16 Influence of $\Delta R_t$ on tooth thickness error

Fig. 17 Influence of cutter errors on contact position
Research shows that cutter errors have a certain influence on the tooth surface structure. It is very important to investigate the influence of cutter errors on the contact performance. The tooth contact analysis (TCA) is an effective means for analysing the gear system contact [27]. So, a TCA model was developed to calculate the actual meshing point position and the contact area, the main parameters being as follows: modulus $m = 8\text{mm}$, pressure angle $\alpha = 20^\circ$, gear number $z_1 = 29$ and $z_2 = 41$, tooth line radius $R_1 = 200\text{mm}$. Fig. 17 shows the influence of the cutter errors on the contact position. The point represents the actual contact point, the black surface is the driving gear tooth surface, and the red surface is the driven gear tooth surface. It can be seen from the figure that $\gamma$ and $\Delta \gamma$ make the actual meshing point deviate from the middle section, and the other error items do not change the actual meshing point position, but it does not mean that it has no effect on the contact performance. In addition, the contact point position will change to the tooth face width end face if the $\Delta R_r$ is too large, as shown in Fig. 17(f). Fig. 18 shows the influence of the cutter errors on the contact area of the gear pair. It indicates that all the cutter errors have an influence on the contact area, but $\Delta R_r$ and $\Delta R_t$ have a larger influence on the contact area than those of the other error items, and $\Delta \gamma$ has a larger influence on the gear top contact area than that of the gear root.

![Fig. 18 Influence of cutter errors on contact area of gear pair](image)

The load tooth contact analysis (LTCA) is an important method for analyzing the bearing situation of the tooth surface under real load. The analysis results are important technical indicators for measuring the dynamic transmission performance and they are also a link between the geometric parameter design of the tooth surface and the analysis of system dynamics behaviour [28]. Therefore, to analyse the influence of cutter errors in real contact, an LTCA model was set up and solved through mathematical programming to analyse the influence of cutter errors on the distribution of tooth surface load, Fig. 19 shows the process of the LTCA.
In this paper, based on the conditions of deformation coordination, force balance, and non-embedding, the following mathematical model is developed to describe the equilibrium state of the tooth contact under load:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f &= \min \left[ \frac{1}{2} (P^T S) P \right] \\
    [w]_m &= -[S]_m [F]_m + s_z [e] + [d]_m, \quad m = 1, II \\
    T &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} (d_{ij} n_{ij} F_{ij} + d_{ii} n_{ii} F_{ii}) \\
    S_i : d_j &= 0 \quad \| F_j = 0 \quad (F_j > 0), s_z \geq 0, F_j \geq 0
\end{align*}
\]

where \( P \) is the tooth surface load, and \( P = [F_1; F_2; \cdots; F_n] \); \( j = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, 2n \); \( 1, II \) represent the first and the second pair of teeth; \( [S] \) is the flexibility matrix of the tooth contact point; \( S = [S_1; 0; 0; S_2] \); \( [F] \) is the load matrix of the tooth contact point; \( [w] \) is the initial clearance matrix; \( [d] \) is the residual clearance matrix; \( n_i \) and \( n_{ii} \) are the unit normal vectors of the first and the second pair of teeth; \( d_{ij} \) and \( d_{ii} \) are the matrixes composed of the rotation radius of discrete points on the contact line; \( [e] \) is the unit diagonal matrix; \( s_z \) is the normal displacement of the gears driven under external load.

Fig. 20 shows the influence of the cutter errors on the tooth surface load distribution. Fig. 20 (a) shows the tooth surface load distribution of an ideal gear pair; Figs. 20 (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f) show the tooth surface load distribution of \( \Delta y = 0.5 \text{ mm} \), \( \gamma = 0.0052 \text{ rad} \), \( \Delta z = 0.2^\circ \), \( \Delta R_t = 5 \text{ mm} \), \( \Delta z = 0.5 \text{ mm} \), respectively. Because \( \Delta z \) and \( \beta \) have the same impact on the load distribution, only the influence of \( \Delta z \) is discussed. It can be seen from Fig. 20 that the load distribution of the ideal gear is in the middle of the tooth width; the load distribution area deviates from the cross-section of the tooth width, when there are errors \( \Delta y \) and \( \gamma \), load changes are reduced or increased; the load distribution position remains unchanged, when there is a tooth line radius error \( \Delta z \), \( R_t \) and \( \Delta \alpha \). Observing the maximum load on the tooth surface, the tooth surface error increases when there is an error \( \Delta z \); the tooth surface error decreases, when there is an error \( \Delta R_t \); \( \Delta \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) errors have a certain influence on the load on the double-tooth meshing area.
7. Conclusion

This paper discusses the influence of cutter errors on forming accurate VH-CATT cylindrical gears. Firstly, sources of errors in the tooth forming accuracy were analysed. Next, an ideal tooth surface equation and tooth surface equations with cutter errors of the VH-CATT cylindrical gears were deduced. Then, the tooth thickness error of the gear is used to represent the gear forming accuracy. Finally, influences of the cutter errors on the tooth thickness error and the gear contact were investigated. The main conclusions can be expressed as follows:

1. The sources of error in the gear forming accuracy mainly include the position errors $\gamma$, $\beta$, $\Delta x$, $\Delta y$, $\Delta z$ and the geometric errors $\Delta \alpha$ and $\Delta R_t$.

2. The absolute value of the tooth thickness error increases with an increase in $\beta$, and the tooth thickness decreases when the error is caused by clockwise rotation and increases when the error is caused by counterclockwise rotation. The tooth thickness error of the face width middle section is zero, but the tooth thickness error of the other sections increases with an increase in $\gamma$. The error of translation along the x-axis $\Delta x$ has no influence on the tooth thickness error. The tooth thickness error increases with an increase in $\Delta y$ and $\Delta z$. Further, the tooth thickness error of the pitch circle is zero, the tooth thickness error from the tooth root to the pitch circle is positive, and the tooth thickness error from the pitch circle to the tooth top is negative, and the tooth shape becomes sharp. The larger the tooth line radius error is, the greater the absolute value of the tooth thickness error closer to the tooth top and the end face is. The end face near the tooth top becomes sharp when $\Delta R_t$ is less than 0; the tooth thickness of the end face near the tooth top increases when $\Delta R_t$ is greater than 0. In a word, all errors except $\Delta x$ have a certain influence on the tooth thickness error.

3. $\gamma$ and $\Delta y$ make the actual meshing point deviate from the middle section, the other error items do not change the actual meshing point position, and the contact point position will change to the tooth face width end face if $\Delta R_t$ is too large; all the cutter errors have an influence on the contact area, load distribution and the maximum load on the tooth surface.

4. The main future work will focus on the analysis of the influence of errors on contact performance and modification of the design method.
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