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Abstract:
The main objective of the present study was to check the relationship between commonly used unilateral and bilateral countermovement jump (CMJ) performance variables (jump heights, unilateral CMJ jump/bilateral CMJ jump height index, reactive strength index modified and bilateral deficit) and Force-velocity-Power (F-v-P) outcomes from loaded CMJs. Seventeen physical education students performed unilateral CMJ jumps, bilateral CMJ jumps and two-load (20 and 70% of the participant’s body mass) F-v-P tests. The results show that bilateral CMJ jump, unilateral CMJ jump height and the reactive strength index modified calculated from the bilateral CMJ jump are moderately correlated (r = 0.567-0.633, p<.05) to $F_0$. No statistically significant correlations were found between F-v-P outcomes and unilateral CMJ jump height to bilateral CMJ jump index, reactive strength index modified calculated from the unilateral CMJ jump and bilateral deficit. Multiple linear stepwise regressions between F-v-P outcomes and performance variables revealed that only $F_0$ can be statistically significantly predicted by CMJ height ($R^2 = 40\%$). Although the unilateral and bilateral CMJ tests are less time-consuming and less fatiguing than the progressive loaded CMJ jump test, the results of our study show that the mechanical properties of the lower extremities obtained by unilateral CMJ jump and bilateral CMJ jump cannot be generalized to those measured by F-v-P profiling.
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Introduction
Ballistic activities (e.g., jumping or sprinting) are closely related to performance in sports such as volleyball, basketball, football, and rugby. Jumping performance is determined by the net force impulse one can directly produce onto the ground (Linthorne, 2001). The capability to produce a high net impulse has been associated with muscular mechanical power output capabilities (Jiménez-Reyes, Samozino, Brughelli, & Morin, 2017). It was found that jump height is determined by maximal power output and the lower limb’s force-velocity-power (F-v-P) profile (Samozino, et al., 2014a). The F-v-P profile has been proposed as a testing procedure to comprehensively evaluate neuromuscular capabilities. The most common way to determine the individual F-v-P profile is by performing vertical jumps under several loading conditions (between five and nine). However, it was recently proposed that the two-point method is a reliable and valid procedure to determine the individual F-v-P profile from a vertical jump (García-Ramos, Pérez-Castilla, & Jaric, 2021). A linear regression model is typically applied to the recorded force and velocity data to determine the F-v-P profile outcome variables (García-Ramos, et al., 2021), namely maximal theoretical force ($F_t$), maximal unloaded velocity ($v_u$) and maximal theoretical power ($P_{max}$) (Morin & Samozino, 2016; Smajla, Spudić, Kozinc, & Šarabon, 2022). The slope of the F-v-P profile (Slope) reflects the balance between force and velocity capacities (Samozino, et al., 2012) and it was found that deviation from the optimal Slope ($Slope_{opt}$) (Samozino, et al., 2012) limited jumping performance.

Unilateral and bilateral countermovement jumps (CMJ) are commonly utilized tasks to evaluate the ballistic performance of the lower body. Though similar, there is still an evident mechanical and kinematic difference between the two jumps (van Soerst, Roebroeck, Bobbert, Hujing & van Ingen Schenau, 1985). Several studies reported that the amount of force produced per leg in a bilateral countermovement jump (BCMJ) is significantly lower than that of a unilateral countermovement jump (UCMJ) (Bobbert, de Graaf, Jonk, & Casius, 2006). Previous studies also reported a strong correlation ($r = 0.72$, p<.001) between UCMJ height and
concentric peak torque of knee extensors (Alves, et al., 2022; Fischer, et al., 2017). The reasons for lower force produced bilaterally are due to a reduced neural drive (Škarabot, Cronin, Strojnjk, & Avela, 2016). When performing a BCMJ, the muscles of the individual legs will be at a reduced state of activation, because the weight is distributed over both legs, meaning that they are further away from their maximal state of activation (Bobbert, et al., 2006). Nonetheless, we can not neglect the non-neural factors, which may influence the lower force in a BCMJ. When comparing the two jumps from a kinematic point of view, we can see that the range of motion (ROM) is greater in BCMJ (van Soerst, et al., 1985). Consequently, some extensor muscles will reach higher shortening velocities. In fact, Bobbert et al. (2006) showed that shortening velocities explain 75% of the deficit in the work per leg. This would mean that, due to the $F-v-P$ profile of the lower limbs, these muscles tend to produce less force and less work when performing a BCMJ than when performing a UCMJ.

The literature investigating the relationship between bilateral deficit (BLD) and athletic performance has gained attention in recent years. To date, the BLD, defined by a reduction in the amount of force from a single limb during maximal bilateral actions, has been shown to be weakly to moderately correlated to the approach jump performance, agility, and sprinting performance (Pleša, Kozinc, Smajla, & Šarabon, 2022a). To the author’s knowledge, only one study (Samozino, Rejc, di Prampero, Belli, & Morin, 2014b) has examined the relationship between BLD and $F-v-P$ outcome variables, but not $Slope_{opt}$, which has previously been shown to be a predictor of jump performance (Samozino, Rejc, di Prampero, Belli, & Morin, 2012).

Differences in shortening velocities between unilateral and bilateral actions and the displacement of the $F-v-P$ curves during bilateral actions have been previously shown (Samozino, et al., 2014b). In comparison to the BCMJ, during the UCMJ, the leg extensor muscles of a single leg react against the whole-body mass, while in the BCMJ conditions, body mass is redistributed between both legs. Therefore, the absolute loading per leg is higher. From a theoretical perspective, UCMJ could represent similar muscle loading conditions as the loaded BCMJ, which indeed requires the application of higher muscle forces at a lower contraction velocity. It likely seems that in UCMJ, muscles work at a higher force-producing capacity, reflecting the force-generating capacities of the muscles. Conversely, during BCMJ, limbs operate at higher velocity, reflecting the velocity and consequently power capacities of the lower limb muscles. The magnitude of BLD or simply an index between UCMJ and BCMJ heights (UCMJ/BCMJ) could potentially be used as a predictor of lower limb $F-v-P$ characteristics. The more the $F-v-P$ profile is oriented towards force capabilities, the higher the loss of force from unilateral to bilateral push-offs due to changes in movement velocity.

Sport activities (jumping, change of direction) largely depend on the ability to produce maximal force in a minimal bout of time. To quantify such an ability, we can use the reactive strength index (RSI), or in the case of CMJ, reactive strength index modified (RSI$_{mod}$) (Pleša, Kozinc, Smajla, & Šarabon, 2022b). RSI$_{mod}$ is the ratio between jump height and the time to take off and represents the athlete’s reactive strength (Suchomel, Bailey, Sole, Grazer, & Beckham, 2015). Measures of physical and sporting performance are moderately (strength, speed, endurance performance) and largely (change of direction speed) associated with RSI (Jarvis, Turner, Read, & Bishop, 2022). Moreover, McMahon and coworkers (McMahon, Jones, Suchomel, Lake, & Comfort, 2018) revealed that higher RSI$_{mod}$ reflects greater eccentric and concentric force, velocity and power of the CMJ with a similar countermovement displacement and, presumably, the measure could be related to the $F-v-P$ characteristics of the lower extremities.

The fact that a higher UCMJ height strongly correlates to the knee extensors’ strength (Alves, et al., 2022), guided us to the hypothesis that $F-v-P$ outcome variables may be predicted through unilateral and bilateral CMJ performance. We aimed to mimic the concept of the two-point method by utilizing BCMJ and UCMJ force and velocity conditions to map the entire $F-v-P$ spectrum. Therefore, the main objective of the present study was to check the relationship between commonly used unilateral and bilateral CMJ performance variables (jump heights, UCMJ/BCMJ height index, RSI and BLD) and $F-v-P$ outcomes from loaded CMJs. Understanding the properties associated with the $F-v-P$ profiles is important for maximizing jumping performance. Several attempts have been made in the literature to optimize the $F-v-P$ assessment protocol and the results of our study aim to answer the question of whether $F-v-P$ characteristics can be predicted using only unilateral and bilateral unloaded CMJ test variables.

Materials and methods

Participants

Seventeen active physical education students (ten males: age 23.4±2.2 years, mass 79.2±6.2 kg, height 1.83±0.07 m and seven females, age 22.6±3.5, mass 61.9±4.0 kg, height 1.64±0.05 m), experienced in resistance training, participated in the study. The inclusion criterion was strength-training experience, defined by a training history that included strength exercises at least two times per week in the last five years. They were all experi-
enced in executing vertical jumps (unilateral, bilateral, and loaded jumps), as these jump tests were administered as part of the battery of tests used to assess their physical fitness at least four times a year over the past three to five years. The exclusion criteria were the following: hip and knee injuries (e.g., ligament, meniscus, or cartilage damage), chronic diseases (systemic, cardiac and/or respiratory diseases and neuromuscular disorders), history of lower back pain or other acute injuries in the past six months that could in any way negatively influence maximal jumping performance. We used a Sample size calculator (G*Power ver 3.1, Frank Faul; Universität Kiel, Kiel, Germany) to estimate the sample size needed for the study. We calculated the required number of participants where $\beta = 0.20$, $\alpha = 0.05$ and the hypothesized correlation between the $F-v-P$ outcomes and introduced variables (jump heights, UCMJ/BCMJ height index, RSI and BLD) was large ($r = 0.7$) (Samozino, et al., 2014b; Yamauchi, Mishima, Nakayama, & Ishii, 2009). This calculation required 13 participants to be tested. The study was approved by the National Medical Ethics Committee (No. 0120-690/2017/8) and adhered to the tenets of the Oviedo Convention and Declaration of Helsinki. Participants were informed about the testing procedures prior to signing an informed consent. They were instructed to avoid any strenuous exercise at least two days prior to the testing session.

**Experimental approach to the problem**

The study was designed to compare the variables obtained from unilateral and bilateral CMJ tests to the variables obtained from the two-point $F-v-P$ CMJ jump test in a cross-sectional design. Participants attended to a laboratory once. Tests were performed in a randomized order to avoid any systematic negative effect of fatigue onto mechanical variables. All tests were performed on a bilateral force plate (model 9260AA6, Kistler, Wintherthur, Switzerland) with Kistler MARS software to record ground reaction force data. Testing was preceded by a standard warm-up procedure—a 5-minute ride on a bicycle ergometer at 50 revolutions per minute at about 1.5 W/kg and 5-min of trunk, hips, knees and ankles mobility exercises (10 slow repetitions each). Each participant also performed two to three submaximal introductory CMJs before the testing.

**Countermovement jump tests**

In the bilateral test execution (Figure 1, A), participants were instructed to stand straight and still in the center of the force plate. In the unilateral test execution (Figure 1, B), participants were instructed to stand straight on one leg in the center of the left or right force plate, respectively. The non-jumping leg was slightly flexed with the foot hovering at the mid-shin level, and no additional swinging of this leg was allowed. Hands were placed on the hips. From this position, participants initiated a fast downward movement until a crouching position with a knee angle of about 90°, followed by a jump for maximal height as quickly and explosively as possible (bilaterally or unilaterally, respectively). The appropriate depth of the countermovement was determined before the testing using a manual goniometer (Saehan Co., Masan, Korea), centered at the lateral epicondyle of the knee and aligned with the lateral malleolus and greater trochanter in the (single-leg) 90-degree squat position. Then, one introductory trial was performed for each jump. One examiner (positioned in a sagittal view) visually verified that the appropriate depth was used during all trials. If the depth was not appropriate, the trial was repeated. For each test, three valid trials were performed with a 1-minute recovery period (Petrigina, et al., 2019). Two minutes of rest were administered between different vertical jump tests. The jump with the maximum achieved height was taken for further analysis.
**Force-velocity-Power test**

A two-point method, introduced by García-Ramos and Jaric (2018), was used to assess the \( F-v-P \) profile of the lower extremities. Two distant loads were used (García-Ramos, et al., 2021). Bilateral CMJs were performed with the barbell loaded with an additional 20% and 70% of the participant's body mass. Hands were placed onto the barbell and remained in the same position during the jump (Figure 1, C). Leg length (distance from the tip of the big toe with the ankle in plantar flexion to the anterior superior iliac spine), and the height of the initial squat (distance from the ground to the anterior superior iliac spine during a standardized 90-degree squat; position description above) were measured to calculate the push-off distance (Samozino, Morin, Hintzy, & Belli, 2010) (i.e., lower extremities extension range). One introductory trial was performed with each load. As for the unloaded jumps, one of the examiners (positioned in a sagittal view) visually verified that the appropriate depth was used during all trials. If the depth was not appropriate, the trial was repeated. For each loading condition, three valid trials were performed. The rest between the jumps was one minute, and between the two loading conditions there were two minutes of rest. The jump with the maximum achieved height at each loading condition was taken for further analysis.

**Data analysis**

Ground reaction force data during CMJ were sampled at 1,000 Hz, filtered using a moving average filter with 50-ms window and analyzed using the Kistler MARS software built-in module for CMJ. Recorded metrics included jump time, jump height (calculated using impulse-momentum method), average concentric force and average concentric velocity of the push-off. Based on average force and velocity data in loaded CMJs conditions, the least squares linear regression model was used to determine the four outcome measures of the \( F-v-P \) relationships, where \( F_0 \) represented the force-intercept and \( Slope \) was the inclination of the force-velocity curve. Additionally, \( v_0 \) (maximal theoretical velocity, i.e., x-intercept) and \( P_{max} \) \( (F_0/V_0)/4 \) were calculated following the instructions of Samozino et al. (2012). The deviation from the theoretical optimal \( Slope \) (i.e., inclination of force-velocity curve maximizing vertical jumping performance for given values of \( P_{max} \) and push-off distance) was computed in % for each subject as proposed by Samozino et al. (2014a).

Moreover, RSI\text{mod} for bilateral and unilateral CMJs were calculated as an index between jump height and jump time (calculated as time from the initiation of the countermovement to the point of takeoff) (Heishman, et al., 2019). Self preferred push-off leg was used in the calculation of unilateral RSI\text{mod}. Finally, BLD was calculated from the average net force (GRF – body weight) from the CMJs (Equation 1) as proposed by Škarabot et al. (2016):

\[
BLD(\%) = \left(100 \times \frac{bilateral \ max}{right + left \ unilateral}\right) - 100 \quad (1).
\]

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software package (version 26.0, Armonk, NY, IBM Corporation). The associations between \( F-v-P \) outcomes and other variables (jump heights, UCMJ/BCMJ height index, RSI and BLD) were assessed by the Pearson's correlation coefficient and Spearman's Rho coefficient. Multiple linear stepwise regressions (forward selection) were conducted with \( F-v-P \) outcomes as dependent variables and jump heights, UCMJ/BCMJ height index, RSI and BLD variables as candidate predictors. Before conducting the statistical analyses, several assumptions were checked. The normal distribution of data was assessed using Shapiro-Wilk tests. Linearity and homoscedasticity were examined through scatter plots. The presence of outliers was determined using standardized residuals statistics (values between -3 and 3), normality of residuals with predicted probability plots, and independence of observations with the Durbin-Watson test (values between 1 and 3 were considered acceptable). Durbin-Watson statistics and collinearity diagnostic tests were performed. We conservatively set the thresholds for the presence of collinearity at ≤0.3 for tolerance and ≥3 for variance inflation factor. Correlation coefficients were interpreted according to Hopkins (2000) (0.00–0.19 trivial; 0.20–0.29 small; 0.30–0.49 moderate; 0.50–0.69 large; 0.70–0.89 very large; 0.90–0.99 nearly perfect; 1.00 perfect). The successive predictors were included in the regression model if they statistically significantly (\( p<.05 \)) contributed to the proportion of explained variance in \( F-v-P \) outcome variables (i.e., probability of F entry: \( p \leq .05 \), probability of F removal: \( p \geq .10 \)).

**Results**

Descriptive statistics of the test results is shown in Table 1.

The results of the correlation analyses are shown in Table 2. The results show that CMJ height, UCMJ height and the RSI\text{mod}_{BCMJ} variables are statistically significantly correlated to \( F_0 \) \( (r = 0.567-0.633, \) moderate correlation). All other variables (predictors) were not statistically significantly correlated (trivial to moderate magnitudes of correlation) with \( F-v-P \) outcomes (dependent variables).

Stepwise regression indicated that only BCMJ height was able to predict the \( F_0 \) \( (r = 0.633, \) \( R^2 = 40 \% \), \( p = .006 \), equation: \( F_0 = 48.8 \times BCMJ \) height + 15.2).
Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the obtained variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>95% CI (lower; upper)</th>
<th>Range (min; max)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCMJ height [m]</td>
<td>0.348 (0.073)</td>
<td>0.311; 0.385</td>
<td>0.226; 0.447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCMJ height [m]</td>
<td>0.163 (0.038)</td>
<td>0.143; 0.182</td>
<td>0.101; 0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCMJ height/BCMJ height</td>
<td>0.47 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.45; 0.49</td>
<td>0.39; 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSImod_{UCMJ} [m/s]</td>
<td>0.48 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.42; 0.54</td>
<td>0.30; 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSImod_{BCMJ} [m/s]</td>
<td>5.27 (1.60)</td>
<td>4.45; 6.10</td>
<td>3.26; 9.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLD [%]</td>
<td>-9.9 (10.1)</td>
<td>-15.1; -4.7</td>
<td>-33.2; 11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₀ [N/kg]</td>
<td>32.19 (5.61)</td>
<td>29.30; 35.07</td>
<td>24.02; 44.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v₀ [m/s]</td>
<td>4.95 (2.10)</td>
<td>3.87; 6.03</td>
<td>3.26; 10.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{max} [W/kg]</td>
<td>37.88 (10.06)</td>
<td>32.71; 43.05</td>
<td>25.73; 63.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope [N m⁻¹ kg⁻¹]</td>
<td>-7.57 (3.09)</td>
<td>-9.15; -5.98</td>
<td>-13.49; -2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope_{opt} [%]</td>
<td>56.9 (23.5)</td>
<td>44.8; 69.0</td>
<td>16.2; 101.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. CI – confidence interval; SD – standard deviation; BCMJ – bilateral countermovement jump; UCMJ – unilateral countermovement jump; RSImod – reactive strength index modified; BLD – bilateral deficit; F₀ – maximal theoretical force, v₀ – maximal theoretical velocity, P_{max} – maximal theoretical power; Slope – inclination of the force-velocity regression line, Slope_{opt} – magnitude of the difference between actual and optimal inclinations of force-velocity regression lines.

Table 2. Correlation analysis results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>F₀ [N/kg]</th>
<th>v₀ [m/s]</th>
<th>P_{max} [W/kg]</th>
<th>Slope [N m⁻¹ kg⁻¹]</th>
<th>Slope_{opt} [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCMJ height [m]</td>
<td>0.633** (0.219; 0.854)</td>
<td>-0.113 (-0.573; 0.402)</td>
<td>0.308 (-0.202; 0.687)</td>
<td>-0.35 (-0.711; 0.157)</td>
<td>0.341 (-0.167; 0.706)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCMJ height [m]</td>
<td>0.587** (0.119; 0.823)</td>
<td>0.005 (-0.496; 0.849)</td>
<td>0.326 (-0.183; 0.698)</td>
<td>0.288 (-0.675; 0.224)</td>
<td>0.278 (-0.234; 0.669)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCMJ height/BCMJ height</td>
<td>0.024 (-0.462; 0.499)</td>
<td>0.020 (-0.507; 0.477)</td>
<td>0.122 (-0.381; 0.569)</td>
<td>0.037 (-0.452; 0.509)</td>
<td>0.04 (-0.511; 0.449)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSImod_{UCMJ} [m/s]</td>
<td>0.589* (0.15; 0.833)</td>
<td>0.056 (-0.449; 0.534)</td>
<td>0.402 (-0.096; 0.74)</td>
<td>0.272 (-0.666; 0.24)</td>
<td>0.26 (-0.252; 0.659)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSImod_{BCMJ} [m/s]</td>
<td>-0.408 (-0.743; 0.09)</td>
<td>-0.049 (-0.529; 0.454)</td>
<td>-0.479 (-0.78; 0.002)</td>
<td>0.109 (-0.392; 0.561)</td>
<td>-0.099 (-0.553; 0.401)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLD [%]</td>
<td>0.373 (-0.131; 0.724)</td>
<td>-0.150 (-0.598; 0.370)</td>
<td>-0.03 (-0.504; 0.457)</td>
<td>-0.307 (-0.687; 0.203)</td>
<td>0.305 (-0.206; 0.685)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Pearson correlation coefficients with 95% confidence intervals are represented for all the variables except for v₀, where Spearman’s rho was calculated due to non-normal data distribution. BCMJ – bilateral countermovement jump; UCMJ – unilateral countermovement jump; RSImod – reactive strength index modified; BLD – bilateral deficit; F₀ – maximal theoretical force, v₀ – maximal theoretical velocity; P_{max} – maximal theoretical power; Slope – inclination of the force-velocity regression line; Slope_{opt} – magnitude of the difference between actual and optimal inclinations of force-velocity regression lines; * – p<.05; ** – p<.001.

Discussion and conclusions

The aim of our study was to analyze the relationship between the F-v-P profile parameters and UCMJ and BCMJ performance variables. Our results show that CMJ height, UCMJ height and the RSImod_{UCMJ} are moderately correlated (r = 0.567-0.633, p<.05) to F₀. Moreover, only BCMJ height was able to statistically significantly predict the F₀ result (r = 0.633, R² = 40%). Other predictor variables did not show any statistically significant correlation with the F-v-P profile parameters. Therefore, the results partly support the main hypothesis that UCMJ and BCMJ variables can be used for predicting the F-v-P profile parameters of the lower body while performing ballistic movements. All three variables were moderately correlated to F₀, but not to the other F-v-P profile parameters. F₀ reflects the maximum concentric force output that the athlete’s lower limbs can theoretically produce during ballistic push-off at null velocity (Morin & Samozino, 2016; Nishioka & Okada, 2022; Samozino, et al., 2012). Therefore, the association between performance variables and the RSImod_{UCMJ} and F₀ could mean that these variables are indices that evaluate the ability to produce force at lower velocities. However, although CMJ height, UCMJ height, and the RSImod_{BCMJ} may serve as indicators, these vertical jump variables have a low impact on F₀. Only BCMJ height was able to predict F₀ result, explaining 40% of the variance.

Through the literature, several studies assessed the association between performance variables and the F-v-P profile. A study done by Nishioka and Okada (2022) evaluated the association between drop jump reactive strength index (DJ RSI) and the parameters of F-v-P profiles obtained from
SJ and CMJ. Their results showed that DJ RSI was not significantly correlated with any vertical F-v-P profile parameters. In contrast, we found a statistically significant correlation between RSImodUCMJ and FvP. The difference in the results could be due to the RSI parameter that was used. We used RSImodBCMJ, where the movement pattern is the same as the F-v-P profiling testing procedure. Another reason for the different results could be due to the specificity of the stretch-shortening cycle (SSC) type. DJ is performed with the use of fast SSC, while slow SSC is present while performing a CMJ. Previous studies reported a strong linear association between bilateral squat jump height and Pmax (r = 0.78-0.84; p<.01), but no association between jump height and P0 (r = -0.14; p>.01) (Kozinc, Marković, Hadžić, & Šarabon, 2021; Marcote-Pequeño, et al., 2019; Samozino, et al., 2014a). This is in contrast with our findings, where BCMJ height had moderate statistically significant associations with F0 (r = 0.63) but not with Pmax. Similar to our study, Kozinc et al. (2021) used a UCMJ to evaluate the association between jump height and F-v-P profile. Their results showed that unilateral CMJ height has a moderate to weak but statistically significant correlation with the isokinetic knee extension F0 (r = 0.48). This agrees with our results, where we found a moderate correlation between UCMJ height and F0 (r = 0.57). The reason behind the weak correlation between UCMJ variables and F-v-P profile parameters could be due to unilateral jumping. An individual has to overcome the same weight and inertia by one leg exclusively, compared to bilateral jumping. This can affect the F-v-P relationship by reducing the shortening velocity of leg extensor muscles (Bobbert, et al., 2006; Kozinc, et al., 2021). Moreover, lower shortening velocities in UCMJ muscles mean that a higher force-producing capacity is expressed (Samozino, et al., 2014b). Thus, we can speculate that as bilateral actions rely on the performance of two limbs at the same time, it seems unlikely that both limbs operate at their highest force-producing capacity, which could contribute to bilateral deficit irrespective of physiology.

In our study, we used the two-point method to assess the F-v-P profile of the lower extremities. The method has been proven to be valid, but it can be speculated that the reliability of the results is lower than by using multiple (5-7) load method procedure because low reliability of one of the experimental points may highly compromise the accuracy of the 2-point method (Garcia-Ramos & Jarić, 2018) and consequently the results of our study. Moreover, participants were instructed to perform an explosive countermovement jumps (unilateral, bilateral, and loaded) to the 90-degree knee angle position. The results of previous investigations showed that deep countermovements increase net vertical impulse, leading to a higher jump height (Sánchez-Sixto, Harrison, & Floría, 2018). Moreover, it was shown that self-preferred and 90-degree knee angle-loaded squat jumps differ in absolute values and reliability of the F-v-P outcomes (Janicijević, et al., 2020). Especially when unilateral CMJs are performed, the amplitude of the jump is more demanding to standardize. Relatively higher unilateral average ground reaction force (27 %) and higher average velocity (21 %) were produced in UCMJ than in BCMJ, presumably due to a higher absolute loading per individual leg. Moreover, in strength trained athletes, eccentric overload prior to the concentric phase of the front squat enhances the velocity and power of the vertical movement (Munger, Archer, Leyva, Wong, Coburn, Costa, & Brown, 2017). Due to a higher absolute loading in UCMJ, eccentric overload could have been more emphasized and therefore the effect of the potentiation was higher. On the one hand, higher unilateral forces could be a consequence of mechanisms, defining BLD. But on the other hand, neural inhibition could have limited the force produced by the muscles in the unilateral jump test (Aagaard, 2003; Aagaard et al., 2000; Škarabot, Brownstein, Casolo, Del Vecchio, & Ansdel, 2021). It seems that inhibitory mechanisms were not emphasized in our study, because of higher relative forces per leg produced in UCMJ. The reason for that could be highly strength trained individuals included in the study. Postural stabilization requirements during unilateral actions also influence the expression of bilateral deficit to a great extent (Škarabot, et al., 2016). Coactivation, which increases joint stiffness during balance tasks, could have impaired dynamic net force production (Latash, 2018) in the ankle, knee, and hip joints in UCMJ. Moreover, we included 17 participants in the analysis, which is slightly more than the required number based on a priori sample size calculation. Nevertheless, the relatively low sample size could have resulted in a low power of correlation statistics, increasing the likelihood of a Type II error. In other words, due to the low sample size and low correlation coefficients in some variables, we cannot confirm our assumption that there is a statistically significant correlation between some F-v-P outcomes and unilateral and bilateral CMJ performance variables, even though it may be true.

In conclusion, the commonly used unilateral and bilateral CMJ performance variables (jump heights, UCMJ/BCMJ height index, RSImod, and BLD) are of limited use in predicting F-v-P profile parameters. Although the UCMJ and BCMJ tests are less time-consuming and less fatiguing than progressive loaded CMJ test, the results of our study show that the mechanical properties of the lower extremities obtained by UCMJ and BCMJ cannot be generalized to those calculated by the F-v-P profiling.
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