Original paper

# THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FEAR OF COVID-19 AND LEVELS OF CYBERCHONDRIA AND EVALUATION OF AFFECTING FACTORS

#### Sultan Esra Sayar<sup>1</sup>, Zehra Demet Ust Tasgin<sup>1</sup> & Gülsüm Gundogdu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dr., Department of Midwifery, Ataturk University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Erzurum, Turkey <sup>2</sup> Dr., Department of Midwifery, Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Erzurum, Turkey

received: 9. 12. 2021; revised: 10. 11. 2022; accepted: 6. 12. 2022

#### Summary

**Background:** The coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic exceeds the level of anxiety in some subjects and turns into fear, which causes an increase in the level of cyberchondria. This study was conducted the relationship between fear of covid-19 and levels of cyberchondria and evaluation of affecting factors.

**Subject and Methods:** This research, which was planned as a descriptive-correlational study, was carried out with 311 volunteers aged 18-65 years, who could be reached through Google Forms.

**Results:** It has been found that cyberchondria and fear of COVID-19 are affected by stataments containing some sociodemographic and medical histories of subjects'. Fear of coronavirus significantly and positively predicted cyberchondria ( $\beta$ =0.37, p<0.001).

**Conclusions:** As a result of the study, it was determined that subjects' cyberchondria levels increased together with their fear of COVID-19.

Keywords: COVID-19, Fear, Cyberchondria.

\* \* \* \* \*

### **INTRODUCTION**

COVID-19 first appeared in the Wuhan province of China and affected the whole world in a short time (WHO 2021, Can 2020). Although COVID-19 is thought to be transmitted by the droplet route and direct contact, the disease has also been observed to appear in places where there is no evidence of contact with infected people (Desdicioğlu & Yavuz 2020, Alp & Unal 2020). The most typical clearly known symptoms of the disease with an average incubation period of 5 days are high fever, dry cough, and fatigue. However, headache, nasal congestion, general pain, loss of sense of taste and smell, diarrhea, redness in the body, and color changes in the fingers can also be observed in some patients (WHO 2021, Can 2020). Pneumonia develops in 20% of COVID-19 cases, and artificial respiration methods are needed (Can 2020).

In the COVID-19 pandemic, as in other diseases, the focus has been primarily on the physical symptoms of sick subjects, and measures have been taken to protect physical health (Baltacı et al. 2021). However, it is known that suddenly emerging epidemics can have various effects on people's behaviors and psychological states (Gökler & Turan 2020). The pandemic may pave the way for new mental disorders or exacerbate the existing problems (Kardeş 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic may cause subjects to experience anxieties and fears about the outbreak, such as the thought that the outbreaks experienced in the past will repeat, the fear of catching the current disease/death, experiencing economic loss, being quarantined and away from their loved ones, stigma, the inability to protect their loved ones and infecting them with the virus, and the fear of losing their loved ones due to the virus, as well as problems such as feeling obliged to hoard some drugs and protective equipment and living in panic (Kardeş 2020).

Isolation and quarantines applied during the COVID-19 outbreak adversely affect the psychology of subjects and especially increase the level of fear and anxiety and may worsen the existing mental disorders or cause new psychological problems (Kardeş 2020, Bekaro-glu & Yılmaz 2020). During this period, many negative symptoms such as irritability, burnout, outbursts of anger, guilt, loneliness, aimlessness, domestic violence, divorce, mood and behavioral disorders, and post-traumatic stress disorder can be observed in subjects.

With the COVID-19 pandemic, changes in the social lives of subjects (staying more at home with isolation and quarantine practices, a decrease in interpersonal relations, etc.), the continuation of work and education

on online platforms have led to an increase in the time spent on the internet (Baltacı et al. 2021, Bekaroglu & Yılmaz 2020). An important reason for the increased use of the internet during this period is the search for health information. A person's anxiety about his/her health status can range from mild to pathological. The higher the anxiety of subjects is, the higher the level of research on health is (Barke et al. 2016, Baumgartner & Hartmann 2011, Erdogan & Hocaoglu 2020). Since health information can be accessed quickly and easily on any subject desired, but this information is contradictory, uncertain and inaccurate, or since subjects can access disease and health information that they have never known, research on the internet can increase the level of health anxiety of subjects and cause them to search the internet repeatedly to relax (Deniz 2020, Erdogan & Hocaoglu 2020). Cyberchondria, which was used for the first time in 2002 and is defined as excessive and abnormal searches on the internet to search for health information due to health concerns, is an abnormal behavior and is considered a disturbing emotional state.

These online searches, done by spending a lot of time on the internet in order to eliminate the anxieties of subjects and obtain health information, can increase both the e-health literacy and the anxiety and worries of a person (Erdogan & Hocaoglu 2020). Moreover, cyberchondria can cause negative consequences such as increasing the congestion and workload of hospitals, wasting resources, wasting the person's time and being directed to wrong treatments (Deniz 2020, Kalip & Çöl 2020, Alpyıldız & Aslan 2020).

Studies on the subject stated that fear and anxiety predicted cyberchondria directly and indirectly (Lin et al. 2020, Liu et al. 2020, Wu et al. 2021, Jungmann et al. 2020, Maftei & Holman 2020, Jungmann & Witthöft 2020). Laato et al. (2020) and Varma et al. (2021) indicated in their studies that cyberchondria was a side effect of the COVID-19 outbreak (Laato et al. 2020, Varma et al. 2021). This study was conducted due to a limited number of studies on the subject reached as a result of the literature review.

# SUBJECTS AND METHODS

# Setting and Study Participants

This research was conducted as a descriptive and correlational study. The study was conducted online Erzurum province in Turkey between February and April 2021. The study population consisted of subjects aged between 18-65 years, and subjects who used smartphones and social media and also wanted to support the research were included in the sample without sample selection. The study was completed with a total of 311 subjects.

### **Data Collection**

The data were collected using the personal information form prepared by the researchers and the existing scales on the subject (The Fear of Coronavirus Scale and Cyberchondria Severity Scale) . The surveys were created using the Google Documents website, and then the link was shared on the researchers' social media accounts such as Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, blogs, forums, and WhatsApp.

*Personal Information Form:* This form prepared by the researchers consists of 20 questions containing information about the sociodemographic characteristics and medical histories of subjects.

*Fear of Coronavirus (COVID-19) Scale (FCS):* The scale was developed by Ahorsu et al. (2020), and its Turkish validity and reliability studies were performed by Bakioğlu et al. (Ahorsu et al. 2020, Bakioglu et al. 2021). The scale consists of 7 items and a single dimension and is graded in a 5-point Likert type (1-Strongly disagree and 5-Strongly agree). The total score obtained from the items of the scale reflects the level of fear of coronavirus experienced by the individual. The scores that can be obtained from the scale vary between 7 and 35, and a high score indicates a high level of fear of coronavirus. Bakioglu et al. found Cronbach's alpha value as 0.88 in their study, and it was found to be 0.87 in this study (Bakioglu 2021 et al. ).

*Cyberchondria Severity Scale (CSS):* It is a scale developed by McElroy and Shevlin in 2014 to measure cyberchondria, which is defined as a form of anxiety characterized by doing excessive health research on the internet (McElroy & Shevlin 2014). The scale, whose Turkish validity and reliability studies were conducted by Uzun, consists of 33 items and 5 sub-scales and is graded in a 5-point Likert type (1-Never, 2-Rarely, 3-Occasionally, 4-Frequently, 5-Always).

- Compulsion sub-scale: items 3, 6, 8, 12, 14, 17, 24, 25
- Distress sub-scale: items 5, 7, 10, 20, 22, 23, 29, 31
- Excessiveness sub-scale: items 1, 2, 11, 13, 18, 19, 21, 30
- Reassurance sub-scale: items 4, 15, 16, 26, 27, 32
- Mistrust of medical professional sub-scale: items 9, 28, 33.

The sum of the scores obtained from the items gives the total score of the scale. Items 9, 28, and 33 on the scale without cut-off points are scored inversely, and a high score indicates a high level of cyberchondria. Cronbach's alpha value, which was found to be 0.91 in the study of Uzun was found to be 0.96 in this study (Uzun 2016).

### Data analysis

The study data were analyzed using the SPSS 25.0 (Statical Package for Social Science) program. In the evaluation of the data, percentage, mean, variance of analysis (ANOVA), independent sample t-test, Mann-Whitney U test (MWU) and Kruskal-Wallis test and simple linear regression analysis were used.

### **Ethical issues**

Before starting the study, the ethics committee approval dated 31/03/2021 and with protocol number 04/10 was obtained from the Ethics Committee Board of Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University. Before the data collection, the purpose of the study was briefly explained to the subjects in the survey, and their consent to participate in the study was obtained.

#### Limitations and Generalizability of the Study

Conducting the research online, requiring a smartphone and internet constitute the limitations of the study. The results of the study can only be generalized to the group supporting the research.

### RESULTS

A total of 350 people answered the questionnaire, but 39 subjects were excluded from the study due to exclusion criteria (not being between the ages of 18-65 and not answering the questions completely). The final sample consisted of 311 subjects.

#### **Background information**

239 subjects were female (76.8%), and the remaining 23.2% were male. The mean age was  $26.65\pm10.12$ . It was determined that 59.2% of the subjects were single, 61.1% had no children, 92.6% had higher education level, 52.4% were employed, 52.1% had a middle income, and 84.9% lived in a nuclear family.

#### **COVID-19 and Cyberchondria**

Table 1 presents the distribution of subjects according to their medical histories. In this context, it was revealed that 81.7% of the subjects did not smoke, 75.9% of them did not have COVID-19, 57.6% of them did not have relatives who had COVID-19, 82.6% of them did not lose a relative due to COVID-19, and 86.5% did research on the internet about COVID-19. It was found that 54.3% of the subjects consulted a physician 1-2 times in the last 1 year, 50.2% perceived their general health level as good, 56.6% did research on the internet before applying to the physician, 39.2% did research on the internet before starting the treatment given by the physician, 65.9% of them did not undergo an examination in the last month, 84.6% of them did not have any examinations other than the physician's recommendation in the last year, and 71.7% of them did not use any medication other than the physician's recommendation in the last 1 year.

Table 2 shows the min-max scores that subjects can receive from the FCS and the CSS and their mean scores. Accordingly, the mean score obtained by subjects from the FCS was  $17.31\pm6.03$ , and the mean score they obtained from the Cyberchondria Severity Scale was  $78.27\pm26.46$ .

Table 3 presents the distribution of the subjects' sociodemographic characteristics according to the FCS and the CSS mean scores. Accordingly, a statistically significant difference was detected between sex and the total mean score of the FCS and the Distress and the Mistrust of Medical Professional sub-scales of the CSS; between marital status, the status of having children and employment status and the Distress, Excessiveness, Reassurance, Mistrust of Medical Professional sub-scales of the CSS and the total mean score of the scale; between educational background and the Excessiveness sub-scale of the CSS and the total mean score of the scale.

The distribution of subjects' medical histories according to the FCS and the CSS Mean Scores is given in Table 4. Accordingly, a statistically significant difference was found between the number of visits to any physician in the last year, perception of the general health level and doing research on the internet about the complaint before consulting a physician and the total mean score of the Fear of Coronavirus (COVID-19) Scale. Furthermore, a statistically significant difference was determined between smoking and the Compulsion, Distress, and Mistrust of Medical Professional sub-scales of the CSS; between doing research on the internet about COVID-19 and the Distress, Excessiveness, Reassurance, Mistrust of Medical Professional sub-scales of the CSS and the total mean score of the scale; between the number of visits to any physician in the last year and the Excessiveness,

Reassurance and Mistrust of Medical Professional subscales of the CSS and the total mean score of the scale; between the preception of general health level and the Compulsion, Distress, Reassurance sub-scales of the CSS and the total mean score of the scale; between doing research on the internet about the complaint before consulting a physician and the Compulsion, Distress, Excessiveness, Reassurance, and Mistrust of Medical Professional subscales of the CSS and the total mean score of the scale; between doing research on the internet before starting the teratment given by the physician and the Compulsion, Distress, Excessiveness, and Reassurance sub-scales of the CSS and the total mean score of the scale; between the status of using medications in the last year, except for the physician's recommendation, and the Excessiveness and Mistrust of Medical Professional sub-scales of the CSS and the total mean score of the scale.

Table 5 shows the regression analysis between the mean scores of the FCS and the CSS. According to the results of the simple linear regression analysis, fear of coronavirus significantly and positively predicted cyberchondria ( $\beta$ =0.37, p<0.001). Fear of coronavirus explains 14% of the total variance in cyberchondria (R2=0.142, adjusted R2=0.140, F=51.311, p<0.001) (Table 5).

# DISCUSSION

The aim of the study was to investigate the relationship between the fear caused by the pandemic and cyberchondria. It was observed that the number of cases in Turkey at the time of the study was above 20,000 per day (Republic of Turkey Ministry of Health 2022)

When the research findings were examined, it was revealed that women experienced more fear than men in relation to the pandemic (Table 3). Women are more emotional and sensitive than men. This can cause them to feel negative events more intensely and react negatively. It is thought that the result originates from this. In the study conducted by Bakioğlu et al. (2020) and Šljivo et al. (2020) which supports the result, women's coronavirus fear levels were found to be higher (Bakioglu et al. 2020, Šljivo et al. 2020). At the same time, there are various research results showing that women have higher levels of anxiety and risk perception regarding the pandemic (Ekiz et al. 2020, Kurt et al. 2020, Ozdin & Ozdin 2020, Wang et al. 2020). Additionally, in the studies carried out by Moghanibashi-Mansourieh (2020), Qui et al. (2020), and Jungmann and Witthöft (2020), it was determined that women felt more anxiety during the pandemic (Moghanibashi-Mansourieh 2020, Qiu et al. 2020, Jungmann & Witthöft 2020). According to sex, it was observed that women scored significantly

 Table 1. Distribution of Subjects by Medical Histories

	5	
Characteristics	n	%
Smoking status		
Yes	57	18.3
No	254	81.7
Status of having COVID-	-19	
Yes	75	24.1
No	236	75.9
Having family members	who have had CO	VID-19
Yes	132	42.4
No	179	57.6
Losing a relative due to C	COVID-19	
Yes	54	17.4
No	257	82.6
Doing research on the int	ernet about COV	'ID-19
Yes	269	86.5
No	42	13.5
Number of visits to any p	hysician in the la	st year
None	53	17.0
1-2 times	166	53.4
3-4 times	71	22.8
5 times and above	21	6.8
Perception of general hea	lth level	
Poor	10	3.2
Moderate	105	33.8
Good	156	50.2
Verv good	40	12.9
Doing research on the int	ernet about the c	omplaint
before consulting a physic	cian	-
Yes	176	56.6
No	33	10.6
Sometimes	102	32.8
Doing research on the int	ernet before star	ting the
treatment given by the pl	iysician	
Yes	122	39.2
No	88	28.3
Sometimes	101	32.5
Undergoing an examinati	on in 106	34.1
	203	03.9
No		
NO Having avaminations in t	ha last vaar avea	nt for the
physician's recommendat	tion	pt for the
Yes	48	15.4
No	263	84.6
Using medications in the	last year, except f	or the
physician's recommendat	tion	
Yes	88	28.3
No	223	71.7

higher on the CSS, especially on the Distress and Mistrust of Medical Professional sub-scales. This causes women to question the situation more and seek information due to the high level of anxiety about the disease subjects, including the pandemic. The result is thought to be related to this. Likewise, Uzun (2016) and Barke et al. (2016) found in their studies higher cyberchondria scores in women. (Uzun 2016, Barke et al. 2016) In the study performed by White and Horvitz, it was concluded that women searched for health information on the internet more and they felt more anxiety after this process than men (White & Horvitz 2009). These studies support the research findings.

In Table 3, it was determined that there was a statistical difference between the sub-scales of the CSS (except for the Compulsion sub-scale) and the total mean scores of the scale according to marital status. According to the result, it was determined that the scores were higher in single subjects. Due to the fact that married subjects have

Table 2. Distribution of Subjects Act	ording to the Mean	Scores of the FCS	and the CSS
---------------------------------------	--------------------	-------------------	-------------

	Scales	Min-Max	$\overline{X} \pm SD$
FCS		7.00-35.00	17.31±6.03
	Compulsion sub-scale	8.00-40.00	13.97±7.17
CSS Distress sub-scale Excessiveness sub-scale Reassurance sub-scale	8.00-40.00	$17.70\pm7.67$	
	Excessiveness sub-scale	8.00-40.00	$22.58\pm7.47$
	Reassurance sub-scale	6.00-30.00	$13.96\pm5.87$
	Mistrust of medical profesional sub-scale	3.00-15.00	$10.05\pm3.78$
	Total	33.00-165.00	$78.27\pm26.46$

Table 3. Distribution of Subjects Sociodemographic Characteristics According to the FCS and the CSS Mean Scores

Characteristics	FCS				
Characteristics	$(\overline{X} \pm SD)$	Test and p-value			
Sex					
Female	$17.76\pm5.93$	t = 2.431			
Male	$15.81\pm6.17$	p = 0.016			
Marital status					
Married	$16.64\pm5.94$	t = -1.634			
Single	$17.77\pm6.07$	p = 0.103			
Status of having children					
Yes	$16.54\pm6.01$	t = -1.806			
No	$17.80\pm6.01$	p = 0.072			
Educational background					
Elementary and middle education	$15.78\pm6.44$	MWU = 2747.5			
Higher education	$17.43 \pm 5.99$	p = 0.173			
Employment status					
Employed	$16.94\pm6.04$	t = 1.136			
Unemployed	$17.72\pm6.02$	p = 0.257			
Perception of income level					
Poor	$17.89 \pm 7.09$				
Middle	$17.84\pm6.23$	KW = 2.612			
Good	$16.57\pm5.58$	p = 0.271			
Household structure					
Living in a nuclear family	$17.48\pm6.15$				
Living in an extended family	$15.74\pm4.91$	KW = 1.644			
Living with a roommate/alone	$16.88\pm5.63$	p=0.439			

						C	SS					
Characteristics	Com	pulsion	Dis	tress	Excess	iveness	Reass	urance	Mistrust	of medical ssional	Scale to	al score
	$(\bar{X} \pm SD)$	Test and p-value	$(\bar{X} \pm SD)$	Test and p-value	$(\bar{X} \pm SD)$	Test and p-value	$(\bar{X} \pm SD)$	Test and p-value	$(\bar{X} \pm SD)$	Test and p-value	$(\bar{X} \pm SD)$	Test and p-value
Sex												
Female	$13.93\pm7.55$	t = -0.203	$18.26\pm7.94$	t = 2.343	$21.88\pm7.60$	t = 1.277	$14.15\pm6.04$	t = 1.018	$10.30\pm3.65$	t = 2.192	$79.52\pm27.30$	t = 1.520
Male	$14.13\pm5.77$	p = 0.839	$15.86\pm6.40$	p = 0.020	$21.60 \pm 6.99$	p = 0.203	$13.35\pm5.24$	p = 0.309	$9.19\pm4.08$	p = 0.029	$74.13 \pm 23.14$	p = 0.130
Marital status												
Married	$13.34 \pm 6.12$	t = -1.301	$16.31 \pm 7.46$	t = -2.695	$21.17 \pm 7.49$	t = -2.791	$12.84 \pm 5.75$	t = -2.833	$9.50\pm3.96$	t = -2.141	$73.16 \pm 25.64$	t = -2.864
Single	$14.41 \pm 7.79$	p = 0.194	$18.67\pm7.69$	p = 0.007	$23.55\pm7.39$	p = 0.006	$14.74\pm5.84$	p = 0.005	$10.42\pm3.61$	p = 0.033	$81.80\pm26.50$	p = 0.004
Status of having ch	ildren											
Yes	$13.04\pm5.61$	t = -1.839	$15.98\pm6.96$	t = -3.220	$20.75 \pm 7.14$	t = -3.508	$12.49\pm5.43$	t = -3.610	$9.41\pm3.98$	t = -2.402	$71.66 \pm 23.98$	t = -3.582
No	$14.57\pm7.96$	p = 0.067	$18.80\pm7.90$	p = 0.000	$23.75 \pm 7.46$	p = 0.001	$14.91\pm5.96$	p = 0.000	$10.45\pm3.60$	p = 0.017	$82.48 \pm 27.15$	p = 0.000
Educational backg	round											
Elementary and middle education	$11.70 \pm 4.03$	MWU = 2978.5	$14.61 \pm 5.48$	MWU = 2551.5	$19.52 \pm 6.95$	MWU = 2448.5	$12.48 \pm 5.05$	MWU = 2812.0	$8.70 \pm 3.89$	MWU = 2588.0	$67.00 \pm 21.16$	MWU = 2472.0
Higher education	$14.16\pm7.33$	p = 0.413	$17.95 \pm 7.77$	p = 0.066	$22.82 \pm 7.47$	p = 0.037	$14.08\pm5.92$	p = 0.227	$10.15\pm3.76$	p = 0.080	<i>7</i> 9.1 <i>7</i> ± 26. 66	p = 0.043
Employment status												
Employed	$13.54\pm6.44$	t = 1.122	$16.55\pm7.39$	t = 2.810	$21.43 \pm 7.47$	t = 2.887	$13.18\pm5.91$	t = 2.482	$9.55\pm3.97$	t = 2.433	$74.26\pm26.28$	t = 2.838
Unemployed	$14.45\pm7.88$	p = 0.263	$18.97\pm7.79$	p = 0.005	$23.85\pm7.29$	p = 0.004	$14.82\pm5.71$	p = 0.014	$10.59\pm3.49$	p = 0.016	$82.69\pm26.03$	p = 0.005
Perception of incor	ne level											
Poor	$16.00\pm7.63$		$18.83\pm8.64$		$23.17 \pm 7.27$		$15.56\pm5.79$		$9.89\pm3.16$		$83.44 \pm 28.22$	
Middle	$14.48\pm7.73$	KW = 3.404	$18.30\pm8.06$	KW = 2.263	$23.35\pm7.97$	KW = 4.533	$14.25\pm5.98$	KW = 2.958	$10.30\pm3.79$	KW = 1.783	$80.67\pm28.12$	KW = 3.531
Good	$13.08\pm6.26$	p = 0.182	$16.82\pm6.97$	p = 0.322	$21.55\pm6.77$	p = 0.104	$13.40\pm5.71$	p = 0.228	$9.76\pm3.85$	p = 0.410	$74.60\pm23.70$	p = 0.171
Household structur	re											
Living in a nuclear family	$13.97 \pm 7.16$		$17.87 \pm 7.76$		$22.82 \pm 7.56$		$13.95 \pm 5.85$		$10.44 \pm 3.77$		78.75 ± 26.47	
Living in an extended family	$13.78 \pm 7.65$	$\mathrm{KW} = 0.342$	$17.57 \pm 7.87$	KW = 1.141	$20.30 \pm 7.28$	KW = 2.453	$13.96\pm6.63$	KW = 0.125	$9.35\pm4.04$	KW = 1.281	$74.96 \pm 28.94$	KW = 0.991
Living with a roommate/alone	$14.17 \pm 7.13$	p = 0.843	$16.00\pm6.53$	p = 0.565	$22.17 \pm 6.57$	p = 0.293	$14.17 \pm 5.60$	p = 0.939	$9.63 \pm 3.67$	p = 0.527	$76.13 \pm 24.57$	p = 0.609

Characteristics	F	'CS
	$(\overline{X} \pm SD)$	Test and p-value
Smoking status		
Yes	$18.05\pm6.42$	t = 1.446
No	$17.07\pm5.93$	p = 0.149
Status of having COVID-19		
Yes	$18.47\pm 6.65$	t = 1.916
No	$16.94\pm5.79$	p = 0.056
Having family members who have had COVID-19		
Yes	$17.95\pm6.51$	t = 1.626
No	$16.83\pm5.63$	p = 0.105
Losing a relative due to COVID-19		
Yes	$17.48 \pm 6.35$	t = 0.231
No	$17.27\pm5.98$	p = 0.817
Doing research on the internet about COVID-19		
Yes	$17.56 \pm 6.00$	t = 1.849
No	$15.71\pm 6.06$	p = 0.065
Number of visits to any physician in the last year		
None	$15.51 \pm 5.93$	
1-2 times	$16.89\pm5.64$	
3-4 times	$19.82\pm5.87$	KW = 18.947
5 times and above	$16.67\pm7.51$	<b>p</b> = 0.000
Perception of general health level		
Poor	$21.50\pm8.25$	
Moderate	$18.29\pm6.13$	
Good	$16.98\pm5.64$	KW = 11.872
Very good	$14.98\pm5.80$	p = 0.008
Doing research on the internet about the complaint b	efore consulting a physician	
Yes	$18.30\pm6.17$	
No	$14.97\pm5.64$	F = 6.297
Sometimes	$16.36\pm5.59$	p = 0.002
Doing research on the internet before starting the tre	eatment given by the physician	1
Yes	$17.71\pm6.59$	
No	$16.14\pm5.72$	F = 2.350
Sometimes	$17.84 \pm 5.48$	p = 0.097
Undergoing an examination in the last month		
Yes	$17.78\pm6.03$	t = 0.997
No	$17.06 \pm 6.04$	p = 0.320
Having examinations in the last year, except for the p	hysician's recommendation	
Yes	$17.00\pm5.39$	t = -0.385
No	$13.37 \pm 6.15$	p = 0.701
Using medications in the last year, except for the phy	sician's recommendation	
Yes	$17.99\pm5.48$	t = 1.251
No	$17.03 \pm 6.22$	p = 0.212

Table 4. Distribution of Subjects Medical Histories According to the FCS and the CSS Mean Scores

# Table 4 (continued)

			(	CSS		
	Com	pulsion	Dis	stress	Exces	siveness
	$(\overline{X} \pm SD)$	Test and p-value	$(\overline{X} \pm SD)$	Test and p-value	$(\overline{X} \pm SD)$	Test and p-value
Smoking status						
Yes	$16.26\pm7.90$	t = 2.695	$19.60\pm9.20$	t = 2.072	$23.68\pm8.32$	t = 1.233
No	$13.46\pm6.91$	p = 0.007	$17.28\pm7.24$	p = 0.039	$22.33\pm7.27$	p = 0.219
Status of having COVID-19						
Yes	$14.28\pm7.52$	t = 0.423	$18.35\pm7.28$	t = 0.832	$22.96\pm 6.65$	t = 0.502
No	$13.88\pm7.07$	p = 0.672	$17.50\pm7.79$	p = 0.406	$22.46\pm7.73$	p = 0.616
Having family members wh	o have had CO	OVID-19				
Yes	$13.86\pm7.74$	t = -0.233	$17.69\pm7.82$	t = -0.029	$22.30\pm7.69$	t = -0.565
No	$14.06\pm 6.74$	p = 0.816	$17.72\pm7.58$	p = 0.977	$22.79\pm7.33$	p = 0.573
Losing a relative due to CO	VID-19					
Yes	$15.48\pm9.22$	t = 1.705	$18.70\pm8.55$	t = 1.054	$23.39\pm7.78$	t = 0.872
No	$13.66\pm 6.64$	p = 0.089	$17.49 \pm 7.47$	p = 0.293	$22.41\pm7.41$	p = 0.384
Doing research on the intern	net about COV	VID-19				
Yes	$14.32\pm7.35$	t = 2.140	$18.22\pm7.79$	t = 3.060	$23.28\pm7.25$	t = 4.299
No	$11.79\pm5.44$	p = 0.033	$14.38\pm5.90$	p = 0.002	$18.10\pm7.43$	p = 0.000
Number of visits to any physical sector of the sector of t	sician in the la	ast year				
None	$13.72\pm7.21$		$15.92\pm 6.82$		$19.49\pm7.05$	
1-2 times	$13.78\pm 6.97$		$17.68\pm7.48$		$23.08\pm7.13$	
3-4 times	$15.13\pm8.05$	KW = 3.738	$19.54\pm8.46$	KW = 6.481	$24.10\pm8.06$	KW = 12.688
5 times and above	$12.29\pm5.04$	p = 0.291	$16.19\pm7.51$	p = 0.090	$21.29\pm7.29$	p = 0.005
Perception of general health	level					
Poor	$17.80\pm5.67$		$25.60\pm8.32$		$27.10 \pm 8.03$	
Moderate	$15.76\pm8.33$		$19.40\pm8.33$		$23.67\pm7.93$	
Good	$12.85\pm 6.58$	KW = 11.579	$16.40\pm 6.88$	KW = 17.539	$21.60 \pm 6.97$	KW = 7.215
Very good	$12.70\pm4.94$	p = 0.003	$16.38\pm 6.80$	p = 0.001	$22.45\pm7.49$	p = 0.065
Doing research on the intern	net about the o	compliant befo	ore consulting	a physician		
Yes	$15.26\pm7.77$		$19.53\pm8.13$		$25.83\pm 6.64$	
No	$10.91\pm5.25$	F = 7.672	$12.94\pm 6.02$	F = 14.789	$13.24\pm5.24$	F = 70.044
Sometimes	$12.74\pm 6.07$	p = 0.001	$16.10\pm 6.22$	p = 0.000	$20.00\pm5.73$	p = 0.000
Doing research on the intern	net before star	ting the treatr	nent given by	the physician		
Yes	$16.01\pm8.02$		$19.65\pm7.81$		$25.27\pm7.10$	
No	$11.38\pm5.10$	F = 11.459	$15.20\pm 6.83$	F = 9.061	$19.06\pm7.27$	F = 19.861
Sometimes	$13.78\pm 6.91$	p = 0.000	$17.53\pm7.60$	p = 0.000	$22.41\pm 6.82$	p = 0.000
Undergoing an examination	in the last mo	onth				
Yes	$14.67\pm8.23$	t = 1.232	$18.16\pm8.46$	t = 0.754	$23.44\pm7.92$	t = 1.464
No	$13.61\pm 6.54$	p = 0.219	$17.48 \pm 7.24$	p = 0.452	$22.14\pm7.29$	p = 0.144
Having examinations in the	last year, exce	pt for the phy	sician's recom	mendation		
Yes	$14.15\pm7.02$	t = 0.183	$18.88\pm7.93$	t = 1.151	$23.10\pm7.65$	t = 0.526
No	$13.94\pm7.21$	p = 0.857	$17.49\pm7.62$	p = 0.251	$22.49\pm7.45$	p = 0.599
Using medications in the las	t year, except	for the physic	ian's recomme	endation		
Yes	$14.68\pm7.22$	t = 1.192	$18.68\pm7.53$	t = 1.454	$34.94\pm 6.36$	t = 2.897
No	$13.61\pm7.04$	p = 0.234	$17.26\pm7.69$	p = 0.147	$21.77\pm7.74$	p = 0.004

# Table 4 (continued)

				CSS				
	Reas	ssurance	Mistrus prof	t of medical Tessional	Scale to	Scale total score		
	$(\bar{X} \pm SD)$	$(\bar{X} \pm SD)$ Test and p-value		Test and p-value	$(\overline{X} \pm SD)$	Test and p-value		
Smoking status								
Yes	$15.18\pm 6.78$	t = 1.729	$9.07\pm3.82$	t = -2.168	$83.79\pm31.70$	t = 1.748		
No	$13.69\pm5.62$	p = 0.085	$10.26\pm3.74$	p = 0.031	$77.03\pm25.04$	p = 0.081		
Status of having COVID-1	19							
Yes	$14.47\pm 6.01$	t = 0.850	$10.49\pm3.83$	t = 1.180	$80.55\pm24.64$	t = 0.855		
No	$13.81\pm5.83$	p = 0.396	$9.90\pm3.76$	p = 0.239	$77.55\pm27.02$	p = 0.393		
Having family members w	ho have had C	OVID-19						
Yes	$13.83\pm 6.24$	t = -0.338	$9.38\pm3.98$	t = -0.848	$77.52\pm28.06$	t = -0.427		
No	$14.00\pm5.59$	p = 0.735	$10.20\pm3.63$	p = 0.397	$78.82 \pm 25.28$	p = 0.670		
Losing a relative due to C	OVID-19							
Yes	$14.46\pm6.55$	t = 0.686	$9.74\pm3.87$	t = -0.650	$81.78\pm31.30$	t = 1.072		
No	$13.86\pm5.72$	p = 0.493	$10.11\pm3.76$	p = 0.516	$77.53 \pm 25.33$	p = 0.285		
Doing research on the inte	ernet about CO	VID-19						
Yes	$14.51\pm5.96$	t = 4.225	$10.26\pm3.66$	t = 2.565	$80.59 \pm 26.64$	t = 4.002		
No	$10.50\pm3.78$	<b>p</b> = 0.000	$8.67 \pm 4.26$	p = 0.011	$63.43 \pm 19.79$	<b>p</b> = 0.000		
Number of visits to any ph	ysician in the l	last year						
None	$12.06\pm5.62$		$8.38 \pm 3.98$		$69.57\pm26.35$			
1-2 times	$13.88\pm5.53$		$10.22\pm3.79$		$78.65\pm25.18$			
3-4 times	$16.01\pm 6.68$	KW = 13.671	$11.03\pm3.42$	KW = 14.839	$85.80 \pm 29.17$	KW = 10.546		
5 times and above	$12.52\pm4.01$	p = 0.003	$9.57\pm3.12$	p = 0.002	$71.86 \pm 19.76$	p = 0.014		
Perception of general heal	th level							
Poor	$19.30\pm5.17$		$11.40\pm3.24$		$101.20\pm23.25$			
Moderate	$14.30\pm 6.42$		$10.07\pm3.48$		$83.19 \pm 29.12$			
Good	$13.54\pm5.33$	KW = 8.808	$9.97\pm3.88$	KW = 1.280	$74.37\pm24.10$	KW = 13.598		
Very good	$13.40\pm 6.02$	p = 0.032	$9.92\pm4.30$	p = 0.734	$74.85\pm24.44$	p = 0.004		
Doing research on the inte	ernet about the	compliant bef	ore consulting	a physician				
Yes	$15.85\pm5.83$		$10.66\pm3.40$		$87.13\pm26.24$			
No	$9.15\pm3.98$	F = 28.748	$7.39\pm 4.28$	F = 11.363	$53.64 \pm 19.91$	F = 34.062		
Sometimes	$12.27\pm5.01$	p = 0.000	$9.83 \pm 3.89$	p = 0.000	$70.95\pm21.00$	p = 0.000		
Doing research on the inte	ernet before sta	rting the treat	ment given by	the physician				
Yes	$16.11\pm5.69$		$9.95\pm3.39$		$86.99 \pm 27.12$			
No	$11.22\pm5.20$	F = 20.018	$9.72\pm4.28$	F = 0.938	$66.58 \pm 22.22$	F = 16.777		
Sometimes	$13.75\pm5.64$	<b>p</b> = 0.000	$10.45\pm3.76$	p = 0.392	$77.92 \pm 25.25$	p = 0.000		
Undergoing an examination	on in the last m	onth						
Yes	$14.47\pm 6.39$	t = 1.096	$10.31\pm3.61$	t = 0.894	$81.06\pm29.02$	t = 1.337		
No	$13.70\pm5.57$	p = 0.274	$9.91 \pm 3.87$	p = 0.372	$76.83 \pm 24.62$	p = 0.182		
Having examinations in th	e last year, exc	ept for the phy	ysician's recon	nmendation				
Yes	$14.35\pm6.07$	t = 0.500	$19.52\pm3.35$	t = 0.949	$81.00\pm26.38$	t = 0.777		
No	$13.89\pm5.84$	p = 0.618	$9.96\pm3.85$	p = 0.344	$77.77\pm26.49$	p = 0.438		
Using medications in the la	ast year, except	t for the physic	cian's recomm	endation				
Yes	$14.89 \pm 5.68$	t = 1.787	$10.76\pm3.60$	t = 2.076	$83.52 \pm 24.71$	t = 2.256		
No	$13.57\pm5.89$	p = 0.075	$9.77\pm3.83$	p = 0.039	$75.97 \pm 26.82$	p = 0.025		

Variable	В	SE	β	t	р	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	AdjR <sup>2</sup>	F
Cyberchondria	49.623	4.234	0.377	11.719	0.000			
Fear of Coronavirus	1.655	0.231	0.377	7.163	0.000	0.142	0.140	51.311*

Table 5. Fear of Coronavirus (COVID-19) as a predictor of Cyberchondria

\*p<0.001

more roles and responsibilities, it is expected that the time they spend on the internet will be more limited. It is thought that the result is higher due to this in single subjects. However, Altındiş et al. (2018), Uzun (2016), and Ozyurt et al. (2020) determined in their studies that the levels of cyberchondria did not differ statistically according to the marital status of the participants (Altındis et al. 2018, Uzun 2016, Deniz 2020, Ozyurt et al. 2020). A significant difference was detected between the CSS sub-scales (except for the Compulsion sub-scale) and the scale's total mean scores of the subjects according to the status of having children. Parents are expected to keep this behavior at a minimum level since spending too much time on the internet will be a negative role model for their children. As a result of this, it is thought that the mean scores of those who do not have children are higher. Güleşen and Beydağı (2021) also found in their study that having children affected the cyberchondria level (Güleşen & Beydağı 2021) . However, Güzel and Ozer (2021) found that having children did not affect the cyberchondria level (Güzel & Ozer 2021).

A significant difference was found between the Excessiveness sub-scale of the CSS and the scale's total mean scores of the subjects according to their educational background. Upon examining the scores, it was revealed that the cyberchondria score of those with higher education level was higher. The higher the level of education is, the higher the tendency toward science and technology is. At the same time, with the increase in the level of education, the level of inquiry of subjects increases and the search for information is observed more. It is thought that the result is due to this. Studies on the subject have revealed that cyberchondria is observed in people who are more educated and wealthier than the general population (Lewis 2006, Interactive 2002). In the studies performed by Uzun (2016), Güzel and Ozer (2021), it was determined that cyberchondria scores differed significantly according to educational background (Uzun 2016, Güzel & Ozer 2021). However, in the study of Altındiş et al. (2018), it was determined that the level of education did not affect the cyberchondria level (Altındiş et al. 2018).

A significant difference was detected between the subscales of the CSS (except for the Compulsion sub-scale) and the total mean scores of the scale, according to employment status. The level of cyberchondria of the unemployed was found to be high. The higher result in unemployed subjects may be due to spending more time on the internet, especially during the pandemic. In the studies conducted by Tarhan et al. (2021) and Güzel and Ozer (2021) on the subject, the level of cyberchondria of employed subjects was found to be higher. (Tarhan et al. 2021, Güzel & Ozer 2021). However, Güleşen and Beydagı (2021) and Ozyurt et al. (2020) found no difference between employment status and cyberchondria (Güleşen & Beydağı 2021, Ozyurt et al. 2020).

As a result of the limited information about the outbreak during the pandemic and the lack of adequate information from official institutions (Bargain & Aminjonov 2020) subjects may have turned to alternative sources (e.g. social media) to obtain information about COVID-19. As a result of this, it is expected that a large amount of information pollution about COVID-19 on various websites (Cuan-Baltazar et al. 2020) or shared on social media will trigger cyberchondria (Laato et al. 2020). Indeed, misinformation about COVID-19 is becoming an increasingly important public health problem (Krause et al. 2020). Based on this information, it is likely that social media is perceived as a reliable source of information regarding COVID-19, searches for more information intensify and the sense of confusion and distress increases, thus contributing to cyberchondria during the pandemic. In this study, the simple linear regression analysis, fear of coronavirus significantly and positively predicted cyberchondria. Fear of coronavirus explains 14% of the total variance in cyberchondria. Accordingly, it was revealed that subjects' cyberchondria levels increased with the fear of COVID-19. In the study carried out by Dykes et al. (2021), it was found that there was a relationship between spending too much time on social media and excessive online searches and COVID-19 anxiety (Dykes et al. 2021). Jungmann and Witthöft detected that the combination of cyberchondria with health anxiety was associated with strong virus anxiety (Jungmann & Witthöft 2020). Garfin et al. also showed in their study that cyberchondria affected the person's perceived seriousness and perceived vulnerability to COVID-19 (Garfin et al. 2020).

# **CONCLUSIONS**

As a result of the study, it was determined that the cyberchondria levels of subjects increased with the fear of COVID-19. According to the data for 2019, the number of health personnel per a certain number of population in Turkey is considerably below the rate determined by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). Considering the increased workload during the pandemic, it is important to raise awareness of society on issues such as fear of COVID-19 and cyberchondria, which may unnecessarily increase the workload of healthcare providers. Subjects should be advised to use only reliable sources of information on health-related issues. **Ethical Considerations:** Does this study include human subjects? NO Before starting the study, the ethics committee approval dated 31/03/2021 and with protocol number 04/10 was obtained from the Ethics Committee Board of Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University. This study was carried out in accordance with the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Conflict of Interests:** All the authors declare that there are no conflict of interests.

Funding sources: No funding.

**Acknowledgements:** I would like to thank person who provided the database of my work.

**Author contributions:** study design, data collection, S.E.S.; data collection, approval of the final version, statistical analysis, Z.D.U.T.; data collection, approval of the final version, G.G.

### References

- 1. Ahorsu DK, Lin CY, Imani V, Saffari M, Griffiths MD, Pakpour AH: The fear of COVID-19 scale: development and initial validation. Int J Ment Health Addict 2020; 1-9.
- 2. Alp S & Unal S: (Novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic: overview and current status. Flora 2020; 111-20.
- Alpyıldız G & Aslan D: Methods of combating false information about the new coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Mersin University Journal of Health Sciences 2020; 13:457-64.
- 4. Altındiş S, İnci MB, Aslan, FG, Altındiş M: Investigation of cyberchondria levels and associated factors in university employees. Sakarya Medical Journal 2018; 8:359-70.
- 5. Bakioğlu F, Korkmaz O, Ercan H: Fear of COVID-19 and positivity: Mediating role of intolerance of uncertainty, depression, anxiety, and stress. International journal of mental health and addiction 2021; 19:2369-82.
- Baltacı Ö, Akbulut Ö, Yılmaz E: A current risk factor in problematic internet use: COVID-19 pandemic. Humanistic Perspective 2021; 3:97-12.
- 7. Bargain O & Aminjonov U: Trust and compliance to public health policies in times of COVID-19. Journal of Public Economics 2020; 192:1-13.
- 8. Barke A, Bleichhardt G, Rief W, Doering BK: The cyberchondria severity scale (CSS): German validation and development of a short form. Int J Behav Med 2016; 23:595-605.
- 9. Baumgartner SE & Hartmann T: The role of health anxiety in online health information search. Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw 2011; 14:613-18.
- 10. Bekaroglu E & Yılmaz T: COVID-19 and its psychological impacts: a review from the perspective of clinical psychology. Nesne Journal of Psychology 2020; 8:573-84.
- Can B: The COVID-19 pandemic and the importance of health literacy. Turkey Health Literacy Journal 2020; 1:31-7.

- 12. Cuan-Baltazar JY, Muñoz-Perez MJ, Robledo-Vega C, PérezZepeda MF, Soto-Vega E: Misinformation of COVID-19 on the internet: infodemiology study. JMIR Public Health Surveillance 2020; doi: 10.2196/18444.
- 13. Deniz S: Investigation of subjects' e-health literacy and cyberchondria levels. Human and Human Journal of Science, Culture, Art and Thought 2020; 7:84-96.
- 14. Desdicioğlu R & Yavuz AF: COVID-19 and Pregnancy 2020; Ankara Med J 2020; 20:482-7.
- Dykes N, Johnson O, Bamford P: Assessing the psychological impact of COVID-19 on intensive care workers: A single-centre cross-sectional UK-based study. Journal of the Intensive Care Society 2021; 0:1-7.
- Ekiz T, Iliman E & Dönmez E: The comparison of subjects' health anxiety levels and perception of COVID-19 outbreak control. USAYSAD 2020;6:139-54.
- 17. Erdogan A & Hocaoglu C: What is cyberchondria? A review. Current Approaches in Psychiatry 2020; 12:435-43.
- Garfin DR, Silver RC, Holman EA: The novel coronavirus (COVID-2019) outbreak: Amplification of public health consequences by media exposure. Health Psychol 2020; 39: 355-7.
- 19. Gökler ME & Turan Ş: Problematic technology use during the covid-19 pandemic. ESTUDAM Public Health Journal 2020; 5:108-14.
- Güleşen A & Beydağ KD: Cryberchondria level in women with heart disease and affecting factors. Archives of Health Science and Research 2020; 7:1-7.
- Güzel S & Ozer Z: Cyebrchondria levels and affecting factors in cardiovascular patients. Turk J Cardiovasc Nurs 2021;12:36-46.
- 22. Jungmann SM & Witthöft M: Health anxiety, cyberchondria, and coping in the current COVID-19 pandemic: Which factors are related to coronavirus anxiety? Journal of Anxiety Disorders 2020; doi: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2020.102239.

Sultan Esra Sayar, Zehra Demet Ust Tasgin & Gülsüm Gundogdu: THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FEAR OF COVID-19 AND LEVELSOF CYBERCHONDRIA AND EVALUATION OF AFFECTING FACTORSPsychiatria Danubina, 2023; Vol. 35, No. 3, pp 418-429

- 23. Jungmann SM, Brand S, Kolb J, Witthöft M: Do Dr. Google and health apps have (Comparable) side effects? An experimental study. Clinical Psychological Science 2020; 8:306-17.
- 24. Kalip K & Çöl M: Recent Behavioral Disorders Due To Technology. ESTUDAM Public Health Journal 2021; 5:318-33.
- Kardeş VÇ: Mental and behavioral evaluation during and after the pandemic. Turkish Journal of Diabetes and Obesity 2020;4:160-9.
- Krause NM, Freiling I, Beets B, Brossard D: Fact-checking as risk communication: The multi-layered risk of misinformation in times of COVID-19. Journal of Risk Research 2020; 23:1052-9.
- 27. Kurt O, Deveci SE, Oguzoncul AF: Levels of anxiety and depression related to COVID-19 among physicians: An online cross-sectional study from Turkey. Annals of Clinical and Analytical Medicine 2020; 11:288-93.
- 28. Laato S, Islam AN, Islam MN, Whelan E: What drives unverified information sharing and cyberchondria during the COVID-19 pandemic? Eur J Inform Syst 2020;29:288-305.
- 29. Lewis T: Seeking health information on the internet: lifestyle choice or bad attack of cyberchondria? Media Culture Society 2006; 28:521-39.
- Lin CY, Broström A, Griffiths MD, Pakpour AH: Investigating mediated effects of fear of COVID-19 and COVID-19 misunderstanding in the association between problematic social media use, psychological distress, and insomnia. Internet Interv 2020; doi:10.1016/j.invent.2020.100345.
- 31. Liu Y, Cao L, Li X, Jia Y, Xia H: Awareness of mental health problems in patients with coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19): A lesson from an adult man attempting suicide. Asian Journal Of Psychiatry 2020; doi:10.1016/j. ajp.2020.102106.
- 32. Maftei A & Holman AC: Cyberchondria during the coronavirus pandemic: the effects of neuroticism and optimism. Frontiers in Psychology 2020; 11:1-7.
- McElroy E & Shevlin M: The development and initial validation of the cyberchondria severity scale (CSS). Journal of Anxiety Disorders 2014; 28:259-65.
- Moghanibashi-Mansourieh A: Assessing the anxiety level of Iranian general population during COVID-19 outbreak. Asian Journal of Psychiatry 2020; doi: 10.1016/j. ajp.2020.102076.
- 35. Ozdin S & Ozdin ŞB: Levels and predictors of anxiety, depression and health anxiety during COVID-19 pandemic in Turkish society: The importance of gender. International Journal of Social Psychiatry 2020; 66:504-11.

- 36. Ozyurt TE, Aydemir Y, Aydın A, Inci MB, Ekerbiçer H, Muratdağı G, et al.: Health information-seeking behavior on the internet and television and associated factors. Sakarya Medical Journal 2020; 10:1-10.
- 37. Qiu J, Shen B, Zhao M, Wang Z, Xie B, Xu Y: A nationwide survey of psychological distress among Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: Implications and policy recommendations. General Psychiatry 2020; doi:10.1136/ gpsych-2020-100213.
- Republic of Turkey Ministry of Health 2022 (COVID 19 information platform) [Internet]: Available on https:// covid19.saglik.gov.tr/TR-66935/genel-koronavirus-tablosu. html [Last accessed: 2022, Octobery 28].
- 39. Šljivo A, Kačamaković M, Quraishi I, Džubur Kulenović A: Fear and depression among residents of Bosnia and Herzegovina during Covid-19 outbreak-internet survey. Psychiatria Danubina 2020; 32:266-72.
- 40. Tarhan N, Tutgun-Unal A, Ekinci Y: New generation disease cyberchondria: relationship between cyberchondria and health. Literacy of Generations in the New Media Age. Journal of OPUS 2021; doi: 10.26466/opus.855959.
- 41. Uzun SU: Cyberchondria levels and contributing factors among Pamukkale University employees. Thesis of specialty in medicine, Pamukkale University, Denizli, Turkey, 2016.
- 42. Varma R, Das S, Singh T: Cyberchondria amidst COVID-19 pandemic: Challenges and management Strategies. Frontiers In Psychiatry 2021; 12:399.
- 43. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho C, et al.: Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 2020; 17:1-25.
- 44. White RW & Horvitz E: Cyberchondria: Studies of the escalation of medical concerns in web search. ACM Transactions on Information Systems 2009; 27:1-37.
- 45. WHO 2021 [Internet]: Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): How is it transmitted? [cited 2022 January 18]. Available on: https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/coronavirusdisease-covid-19-how-is-it-transmitted [Last accessed: 2022, January 18].
- 46. Wu X, Nazari N, Griffiths MD: Fear of COVID-19 and COVID-19 Anxiety Predict Cyberchondria: Intolerance of uncertainty and anxiety sensitivity as potential risk factors. Journal of Medical Internet Research 2021; doi:10.2196/26285.

Correspondence: Zehra Demet Ust Tasgin Dr., Department of Midwifery, Ataturk University, Faculty of Health Sciences, Erzurum, Turkey zehra.ust@hotmail.com, +904422311233