Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy – a non-invasive treatment modality for urolithiasis in University Hospital Centre Zagreb
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ABSTRACT:
Urolithiasis is one of the most common pathologies in urology, with high prevalence and recurrence rates. Urinary tract stones differ in their symptomatology, number, size, location, structure, as well as in some other characteristics and thus in the way of their treatment. Computed tomography (CT) is considered the best method of diagnosing stones and choosing the optimal treatment method for patients with urinary tract stones. Extracorporeal shock-wave lithotripsy (ESWL) is an effective treatment modality in patients with stones less than 20 mm in size. Because of the characteristics of stones, in some cases, more than one procedure is needed to achieve complete disintegration. From January 2019 to November 2023, 3,844 EWSL treatments for urinary tract stones were performed at the Clinical Hospital Center Zagreb Urology Clinic. The average stone size was 0.9 cm, and the average age of the patients was 53 years. One ESWL treatment was needed in 22%, two in 21%, and three in 18% of our patients. The procedure could not be performed due to the radiolucency of stones on X-ray images in 10% of patients, while it was interrupted in 3% due to pain, and in 3% of patients due to hypertension.

In patients with unsuccessful extracorporeal treatments or an initially high burden of stones, some of the minimally-invasive, endoscopic methods are advised for further or initial treatment, such as ureteroscopy (URS), flexible ureterorenoscopy (FURS), percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL), and endoscopic combined intrarenal surgery (ECIRS).

ESWL is considered an effective, non-invasive treatment modality in selected patients, with good stone-free rates and low complication rates, which can be performed as an outpatient procedure.
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SAŽETAK:
Ekstrakorporalna litotripsija udarnim valom – neinvazivni način liječenja urolitijaze u KBC-u Zagreb
Urolitijaza je jedna od najčešćih patologija u urologiji, s visokom prevalencijom i stopom recidiva. Kamnici mokraćnog sustava razlikuju se po svojoj simptomatologiji, broju, veličini, smještaju, strukturi, ali i po nekim drugim karakteristikama pa tako i po načinu liječenja. Kompjuterizirana tomografija (CT) smatra se najboljom metodom dijagnostike kamenaca i odabira optimalne metode liječenja bolesnika s kamencima mokraćnog sustava. Ekstrakorporalna litotripsija udarnim valom (ESWL) je efikasan način liječenja bolesnika s kamencima manjim od 20 mm. U nekim je slučajevima, zbog
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svojstava kamenaca potrebno više od jednog postupka, kako bi se postigla potpuna dezintegracija.

Od siječnja 2019. do studenog 2023. u Klinici za urologiju KBC-a Zagreb obavljena su 3844 EWSL tretmana kamenaca mokraćnog sustava. Prosječna veličina kamenca je bila 0,9 cm, a prosječna dob pacijenata 53 godine. Jedan ESWL tretman bio je potreban u 22%, dva u 21%, a tri u 18% naših pacijenata. Zahvat se nije mogao izvesti zbog radiolucencije kamenaca na rendgenskim snimkama u 10% pacijenata, dok je u 3% prekinut zbog boli, a u 3% bolesnika zbog hipertenzije.

U bolesnika s neuspješnim izvantjelesnim tretmanima ili inicijalno velikim kamencima mokraćnog sustava savjetuju se neke od minimalno invazivnih, endoskopskih metoda naknadnog, odnosno inicijalnog liječenja, kao što su urereroskopija (URS), fleksibilna urerorenoskopija (FURS), perikutana nefrolitotomija (PCNL) ili endoskopska kombinirana intrarrenalna kirurgija (ECIRS). ESWL se smatra učinkovitim, neinvazivnim modalitetom liječenja u odabranih pacijenata, s dobrim rezultatima i malom stopom komplikacija koji se može izvesti kao ambulantni postupak.

**Ključne riječi**: vanjeljesno mrvljenje kamenaca; ESWL; urolitijaza

**Introduction**

Urolithiasis is one of the most common pathologies in urology, with high prevalence and recurrence rates. Although it is rarely a life-threatening disease, it is the cause of a large number of visits to the general practitioner, but also to the urologist, and to the emergency urology service in the hospital.

Kidney stones are a complex disease, and they can be divided into several subgroups. For example, depending on the cause, they can be divided into infectious, non-infectious and genetic. The composition of the stone is often mixed, consisting of various substances and mineral components, most often calcium, carbonate, phosphate, ammonia, sodium, and magnesium.

Many patients with urolithiasis can be asymptomatic, but the most common clinical symptom is renal colic (1). It is often associated with nausea and vomiting, dysuria, and the presence of blood in the urine. After medical history and physical examination, ultrasound (US) should be used as the initial imaging modality of choice, primarily to check for hydronephrosis. It has a sensitivity of 45% for renal and ureteral stones, and specificity of 94% for ureteral, and 88% for renal stones (2). The plain X-ray of the kidney, ureter, and bladder has a sensitivity and specificity of 44-77%, but it is helpful in the differentiation of radiolucent stones and during follow-up (3). CT is the “gold standard” for urinary stones diagnosis, and it is mandatory for planning the right approach to urolithiasis treatment. It can determine stone density, shape, location, and size, as well as the surrounding anatomy and skin-to-stone distance (4). Immediate imaging is indicated in patients with fever and/or solitary kidney with hydronephrosis. A low-dose CT can be used to reduce radiation risk. A CT urography should be used if an anomaly or variation of urinary tract anatomy is suspected. The active treatment of kidney stones is indicated in patients with stones larger than 15 mm, or with growing stones on follow-up, and in those with symptomatic stones less than 15 mm in size. Obstruction or infections caused by stones are an indication for a more urgent intervention. Also, the patient’s social situation, profession and preferences need to be considered when choosing between observation and active treatment.

**Materials and methods**

The data from the protocol book of patients treated with extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL) in the Department of Urology was analyzed for a time period from January 2019 until November 2023. The data regarding number of procedures, stone size and position, patient age and relevant clinical information was collected. Data were analyzed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, USA).

**Results**

There were a total of 3,844 procedures, over a period of almost 5 years. Figure 1 shows the distribution of procedures in each year. The average patient age was 52 years, and the average stone size was 0.9 cm. The stone location distribution is shown in figure 2. Figure 3 shows the proportion of repeated procedures, that is, the number of procedures required for stone destruction. One procedure was sufficient in 22% of patients, two in 21%, and three in 18% of patients. Others required more than three procedures. In 16% of patients, the procedure was canceled or interrupted because of radiotransparency on X-ray (10%), hypertension (3%) and pain (3%).
**ESWL – extracorporeal shock-wave lithotripsy**

**Figure 1. Number of ESWL treatments during the years**

**Figure 2. Distribution of stone locations**

**Figure 3. Number of ESWL procedures**
Discussion

Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy is a non-invasive method for the treatment of urolithiasis. The lithotripter device delivers shock waves to the targeted stone in the kidney or ureter. The beginning of ESWL dates to the middle of the last century and is linked to Claude Dornier. Dornier was a German scientist who did research for the aircraft industry and discovered shock waves, which resulted in the first device for extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (5). In the 1970s and early 1980s, clinical research began, that is, the introduction of the ESWL method into clinical practice (6). A few years later, ESWL became widely used as the standard treatment for kidney stones. Today, in addition to Dornier, other large manufacturers of medical equipment such as Siemens and Storz have ESWL devices that have treated millions of patients with urinary tract stones (7).

ESWL is routinely used in University Hospital Center Zagreb since 1987. Since 2013, all procedures are performed on the Siemens Lithostar device. It is organized as an outpatient procedure. Patients first come to our urinary tract stone center, where a medical history is taken, a physical examination is performed, and medical documentation is reviewed. If there is an indication for ESWL, patients are informed about ESWL treatment and they are scheduled for it. Depending on the number of patients and the capacity of the institution, up to 5 procedures per day are carried out. There is a visible increase in a number of procedures each year, with the exception of the 2020, during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The success of ESWL depends on the size and location of the stone, as well as the composition. The last determinant is the properties of the lithotripter device itself. The result also depends on the habitus of the patient and the stone-skin distance. There is no consensus on the maximum energy applied and the number of shock waves (8). Higher energy can be applied to the ureteral stones, while the energy applied to kidney stones is lower. Lowering shock wave frequency to 60-90/min improves stone-free rates (SFR), and also lowers tissue damage (9). Reported complication rates vary between the studies, the most common being steinstrasse (4%-7%), regrowth of residual fragments (21%-59%), and renal colic (2%-4%) (10-12). Asymptomatic hematoma occurs in 4%-19%, while symptomatic occurs in less than 1% of cases (13). In this research, we didn’t analyze exact complication rates, because of a vast number of patients and the fact that many patients came and are returned to the urology departments of other hospitals from Zagreb or from all over Croatia. However, we can state that the procedure is well tolerated by the patients, and the renal hematoma that requires hospitalization is a rare event. In the last year, we only have two cases of hematoma, and neither required intervention. Repeated sessions are feasible and often needed, even within one day for ureteral stones (14).

Although ESWL is a valuable modality for the treatment of urolithiasis, with low complication rates and additional costs, it requires good patient selection. The first criterion is stone visibility on X-ray. In our study, in 10% of cases, the EWSL could not be performed, because of the invisibility of stones on X-rays. This could be because of the radiotransparency of the stones due to their composition, meteorism, or because of spontaneous expulsion. The second criterion is the total stone burden and

Figure 3. X-ray imaging of 16 mm kidney stone before ESWL (on the left) and after ESWL, with visible fragmentation (on the right).
position. Intake of oral anticoagulants is also a contraindication, as well as uncontrolled hypertension. Other contraindications are pregnancy, urinary tract infections, and aneurysms of the aorta, renal or iliac vessels. Patients with ureteral stones larger than 10 mm could also be eligible for ESWL, but faster stone-free status could be achieved with a more invasive approach. Kidney stones larger than 20 mm are usually not good candidates for ESWL. For patients who do not meet the criteria, a more invasive endoscopic approach is required. In UHC Zagreb, we perform all kinds of operative treatment for urolithiasis, ureteroscopy (URS), flexible ureterorenoscopy (FURS), percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL), and endoscopic combined intrarenal surgery (ECIRS) which is a combination of PCNL and FURS.

In isolated cases, we also use laparoscopic pyelolithotomy, while the open approach is rarely used.

Urolithiasis is a high-prevalence and high-recurrence disease. For many patients a non-invasive extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy is the treatment modality of choice, offering good results with low complication rate. For patients with larger stones and higher stone burden, one of the more invasive methods could be a better choice. A good selection is needed in order to successfully treat patients with urolithiasis.
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