

JACQUET TENSORS

DUBRAVKA BAN

Dedicated to Prof. Marko Tadić on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

ABSTRACT. Let G be a split reductive p -adic group. The category of admissible p -adic Banach space representations of G is equivalent to the corresponding category of finitely generated Iwasawa modules, via the duality map $V \mapsto V'$. In this paper, we define certain tensors on Iwasawa modules, which are intended to play the role of Jacquet modules. We describe some properties of Jacquet tensors and show how they can be applied to the study of principal series representations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let L be a p -adic field and let G be the L -points of a split reductive group. Parabolic induction is one of the basic methods for constructing representations. Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G , with Levi decomposition $P = MU$. Denote by $\text{Rep}^{\text{sm}}(G)$ and $\text{Rep}^{\text{sm}}(M)$ the categories of smooth representations of G and M , respectively. The normalized parabolic induction

$$i_{G,M} : \text{Rep}^{\text{sm}}(M) \rightarrow \text{Rep}^{\text{sm}}(G)$$

and the normalized Jacquet functor

$$r_{M,G} : \text{Rep}^{\text{sm}}(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}^{\text{sm}}(M)$$

are a pair of adjoint exact functors [8, 10]. Moreover, they give rise to some nice algebraic structures on the Grothendieck group of $\text{Rep}^{\text{sm}}(G)$: a graded Hopf algebra for general linear groups, defined by Zelevinsky in [21], and a Ψ -Hopf module for classical groups, defined by Tadić in [20]. The module and comodule structures are related by a combinatorial formula, which can be used to obtain Jacquet modules of parabolically induced representations in a simple way. This method has been essential for proving numerous results,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E50, 11F70.

Key words and phrases. p -adic Banach space representations, p -adic groups, Iwasawa modules, Jacquet modules.

among them the classification of discrete series representations of classical p -adic groups [16], supports of induced representations [14], and the structure and reducibility of degenerate principal series [6].

Parabolic induction can also be defined for locally analytic and Banach space representations over p -adic fields. The Jacquet functor for locally analytic representations defined by Emerton in [12] and the ordinary part functor defined in [13] have some of the properties of the classical Jacquet functor, but there is no simple and operative theory similar to the one in classical case.

Let K be a finite extension of L . The Iwasawa algebra $K[[G]]$ is defined in Section 2.2. If V is a K -Banach space representation of G , then its dual V' is a $K[[G]]$ -module. We say that V is admissible if V' is finitely generated as a $K[[H]]$ -module for some compact open subgroup H of G . The duality map $V \mapsto V'$ is an anti-equivalence between the corresponding categories [19], and we can study admissible K -Banach space representations of G by considering the corresponding $K[[G]]$ -modules.

The parabolic induction has a nice description on the dual side. In particular, if P is a Borel subgroup of G and $\chi : P \rightarrow K^\times$ is a continuous character, then the dual of the continuous principal series induced by χ^{-1} is isomorphic to $M^{(\chi)} = K[[G]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} K^{(\chi)}$, where $K^{(\chi)}$ is the field K equipped with the $K[[P]]$ -module structure given by χ [4, Theorem 7.12].

In this paper, we study

$$K[[T]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} M^{(\chi)}.$$

We call such tensor products *Jacquet tensors*. We prove the following properties:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $\chi : P \rightarrow K^\times$ be a continuous character and $M^{(\chi)} = K[[G]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} K^{(\chi)}$.*

- (i) *The elements $1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1$, where $w \in W$, are linearly independent in $K[[T]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} M^{(\chi)}$.*
- (ii) *As a $K[[T]]$ -module, $K(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1) \cong K^{(w\chi)}$.*
- (iii) *The image of $K[G] \otimes_{K[[P]]} K^{(\chi)}$ in $K[[T]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} M^{(\chi)}$ can be identified with $K[T] \otimes_{K[[P]]} K[G] \otimes_{K[[P]]} K^{(\chi)}$, and*

$$K[T] \otimes_{K[[P]]} K[G] \otimes_{K[[P]]} K^{(\chi)} \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W} K^{(w\chi)}.$$

- (iv) *Let S be a nonzero $K[[G]]$ -submodule of $M^{(\chi)}$. Then $K[[T]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} S$ is non-zero, and the image of S in $K[[T]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} M^{(\chi)}$ is also nonzero.*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows from Propositions 3.9, 4.2, and 4.4. It fundamentally relies on the decomposition $M^{(\chi)} = \bigoplus_{w \in W} M_w^{(\chi)}$ described in Section 2.4. The components $M_w^{(\chi)}$ are not invariant under T -action, which is an obstacle, but also our basic tool. Namely, we use the T -action to push the

elements of $M^{(x)}$ into specific $M_w^{(x)}$ components. Notice that the components $M_w^{(x)}$ come from the Iwasawa decomposition, while the Jacquet tensors are closely related to the Bruhat decomposition. The main technical difficulty in this paper is relating these two decompositions.

In Section 5, we apply Jacquet tensors to the problem of the reducibility of principal series representations. Significant progress on the problem has been made recently by Abe and Herzig in [1], using smooth and locally analytic representations. However, we are interested in working with Iwasawa modules, and we investigate how the reducibility can be detected on the dual side.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathbb{Q}_p \subseteq L \subseteq K$ be a sequence of finite extensions. We denote by o_L the ring of integers of L and by \mathfrak{p}_L its unique maximal ideal. Similarly, we have o_K and \mathfrak{p}_K , and we select a uniformizer $\varpi_K \in \mathfrak{p}_K$.

If \mathbf{H} is an algebraic \mathbb{Z} -group, we set $H = \mathbf{H}(L)$ and $H_0 = \mathbf{H}(o_L)$. The kernel of the canonical projection $H_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(o_L/\mathfrak{p}_L^n)$ is denoted by H_n . Finally, we set $\bar{H} = H(o_L/\mathfrak{p}_L)$.

In this paper, \mathbf{G} is a split connected reductive \mathbb{Z} -group, $G = \mathbf{G}(L)$, and $G_0 = \mathbf{G}(o_L)$.

2.1. *Parabolic subgroups.* We equip \mathbf{G} with a choice of Borel \mathbf{P} , having unipotent radical \mathbf{U} and split maximal torus $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{P}$. Let Φ be the set of roots of \mathbf{G} relative to \mathbf{T} . Our choice of the Borel subgroup \mathbf{P} determines the positive roots Φ^+ , negative roots Φ^- , and the set of simple roots Δ . Then $\mathbf{U} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mathbf{U}_\alpha$. The opposite Borel subgroup \mathbf{P}^- has the unipotent radical $\mathbf{U}^- = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} \mathbf{U}_\alpha$.

We denote by $W = W(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T})$ the Weyl group of \mathbf{G} relative to \mathbf{T} . For $\alpha \in \Delta$, we denote by s_α the simple reflection corresponding to α . For each $w \in W$ we select a representative $\dot{w} \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})$.

For $\Theta \subseteq \Delta$, let \mathbf{A}_Θ be the connected component of identity in $\cap_{\alpha \in \Theta} \ker \alpha$ and $\mathbf{M}_\Theta = Z_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{A}_\Theta)$. Then $\mathbf{P}_\Theta = \mathbf{M}_\Theta \mathbf{U}$ is the standard parabolic subgroup corresponding to Θ . It has Levi decomposition $\mathbf{P}_\Theta = \mathbf{M}_\Theta \mathbf{U}_\Theta$, where \mathbf{U}_Θ is the unipotent radical of \mathbf{P}_Θ . Notice that $\mathbf{P}_\emptyset = \mathbf{P}$. Let W_Θ be the subgroup of W generated by $s_\alpha, \alpha \in \Theta$. Then

$$[W_\Theta \setminus W] = \{w \in W \mid w^{-1}\Theta > 0\}$$

is a set of coset representatives of $W_\Theta \setminus W$ [10], and

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{G} = \coprod_{w \in [W_\Theta \setminus W]} \mathbf{P}_\Theta w \mathbf{P}.$$

Since \mathbf{G} is \mathbb{Z} -split, $G = \mathbf{G}(L)$ decomposes in the same way as the disjoint union $G = \coprod_{w \in [W_\Theta \setminus W]} P_\Theta w P$.

Let B be the standard Iwahori subgroup of $G_0 = \mathbf{G}(o_L)$. It is defined as the preimage of $\bar{P} = P(o_L/\mathfrak{p}_L)$ under the projection $G_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(o_L/\mathfrak{p}_L)$. Then

$$(2.2) \quad G_0 = \coprod_{w \in W} B\dot{w}P_0 \quad \text{and} \quad G = \coprod_{w \in W} B\dot{w}P.$$

Define $V_w^\pm = wU^-w^{-1}$ and

$$V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm = B \cap \dot{w}U_0^- \dot{w}^{-1} = (U_0 \cap \dot{w}U^- \dot{w}^{-1})(U_1^- \cap \dot{w}U^- \dot{w}^{-1}).$$

From [5] or [4, Proposition 4.45], we know that $\coprod_{w \in W} V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm \dot{w}$ is a set of coset representatives of G_0/P_0 . In particular, $B\dot{w}B = V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm \dot{w}P_0$ and we have the disjoint union decompositions

$$(2.3) \quad G_0 = \coprod_{w \in W} V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm \dot{w}P_0 \quad \text{and} \quad G = \coprod_{w \in W} V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm \dot{w}P.$$

2.2. Iwasawa algebras. If H is a profinite group, then the Iwasawa algebra of H over o_K is the projective limit

$$o_K[[H]] = \varprojlim_{N \in \mathcal{N}(H)} o_K[H/N],$$

where $\mathcal{N}(H)$ is the set of open normal subgroups of H . It carries the projective limit topology. In addition, we define $K[[H]] = K \otimes_{o_K} o_K[[H]]$ equipped with the finest locally convex topology such that the inclusion $o_K[[H]] \hookrightarrow K[[H]]$ is continuous.

The set $\mathcal{N}(H)$ in the definition of $o_K[[H]]$ can be replaced by any of its subsets forming a neighbourhood basis of the identity. In particular, if \mathbf{H} is an algebraic \mathbb{Z} -group, $H_0 = \mathbf{H}(o_L)$, and $H_n = \ker(H_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(o_L/\mathfrak{p}_L^n))$, then

$$o_K[[H_0]] = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} o_K[H_0/H_n].$$

The Iwasawa algebra $K[[G]]$ is defined as the locally convex direct sum

$$K[[G]] = \bigoplus_{g \in G/H} gK[[H]]$$

where H is any compact open subgroup of G . It is isomorphic to the convolution algebra of compactly supported continuous distributions on G (see [7, Proposition 4.7]).

2.3. Linear maps on Iwasawa algebras. If M is a linear-topological o_K -module, we denote by $C(H, M)$ the space of continuous maps $f : H \rightarrow M$ and by $\text{Hom}_{o_K}^c(o_K[[H]], M)$ the set of continuous o_K -linear maps $f : o_K[[H]] \rightarrow M$. We will need the following result (Lemma 2.1 from [19]).

LEMMA 2.1. *Let M be a complete Hausdorff linear-topological o_K -module. Then the restriction map $f \mapsto f|_H$ defines a bijection*

$$\text{Hom}_{o_K}^c(o_K[[H]], M) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(H, M).$$

If V is a locally convex K -vector space, we denote by $\mathcal{L}(K[[G]], V)$ the space of continuous K -linear maps $f : K[[G]] \rightarrow V$. A locally convex vector space V is called quasi-complete if every bounded closed subset of V is complete (see [17, §7]). The following is Lemma 4.10 from [7].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let V be a quasi-complete Hausdorff locally convex K -vector space. Then the restriction map $f \mapsto f|_G$ defines a K -linear isomorphism $\mathcal{L}(K[[G]], V) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(G, V)$.*

2.4. *Continuous principal series.* Let $\chi : T \rightarrow K^\times$ be a continuous character. We define

$$\text{Ind}_P^G(\chi^{-1}) = \{f : G \rightarrow K \text{ continuous} \mid f(gp) = \chi(p)f(g) \ \forall p \in P, g \in G\}$$

with the action of G by left translations. This is an admissible Banach space representation [4, Proposition 7.5, Corollary 7.13]. The dual of $\text{Ind}_P^G(\chi^{-1})$ is isomorphic to

$$M^{(\chi)} = K[[G]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} K^{(\chi)}.$$

We know that $M^{(\chi)} \cong K[[G_0]] \otimes_{K[[P_0]]} K^{(\chi)}$ as $K[[G_0]]$ -modules [7, Theorem 5.4]. Let $M_w^{(\chi)}$ be the set of all elements of $M^{(\chi)}$ supported on BwP . Then $M^{(\chi)}$ decomposes as the direct sum of $K[[B]]$ -modules, $M^{(\chi)} = \bigoplus_{w \in W} M_w^{(\chi)}$. The map $\varphi_w : K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]] \rightarrow M_w^{(\chi)}$ given by $\mu \mapsto \mu \dot{w} \otimes 1$ is an isomorphism of $K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$ -modules [4, Proposition 7.14]. Then

$$(2.4) \quad M^{(\chi)} = \bigoplus_{w \in W} M_w^{(\chi)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{w \in W} K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]].$$

For $w \in W$, we denote by $w\chi$ the character of T defined by $w\chi(t) = \chi(\dot{w}^{-1}t\dot{w})$. This definition does not depend on the choice of a representative of w . Notice that

$$t\dot{w} \otimes 1 = w\chi(t)\dot{w} \otimes 1,$$

so $K(\dot{w} \otimes 1) \cong K^{(w\chi)}$ as $K[[T]]$ -modules.

3. TENSORS PLAYING THE ROLE OF JACQUET MODULES

The augmentation map $\text{aug} : o_K[[G_0]] \rightarrow o_K$ is a continuous ring homomorphism [4, Section 1.3.3]. It extends to a continuous ring homomorphism

$$\text{aug} : K[[G]] \rightarrow K.$$

Let $\Theta \subset \Delta$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(U_\Theta)$ the kernel of $\text{aug} : K[[U_\Theta]] \rightarrow K$. Similarly, we write $\mathcal{A}(U_0)$ for the kernel of $\text{aug} : K[[U_0]] \rightarrow K$ and $\mathcal{A}(o_K[[U_0]])$ for the kernel of $\text{aug} : o_K[[U_0]] \rightarrow o_K$. Using the augmentation map, we equip K with a $K[[U_\Theta]]$ -module structure.

LEMMA 3.1. Consider $K[[M_\Theta]]$ as a right $K[[P_\Theta]]$ -module, with the natural $K[[M_\Theta]]$ -action and the trivial U_Θ -action. Then for any $K[[P_\Theta]]$ -module M , we have the following isomorphisms of K -spaces

$$K[[M_\Theta]] \otimes_{K[[P_\Theta]]} M \cong K \otimes_{K[[U_\Theta]]} M \cong M/\mathcal{A}(U_\Theta)M.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{A}(U_\Theta)M$ is $K[[M_\Theta]]$ -invariant. The spaces $K[[M_\Theta]] \otimes_{K[[P_\Theta]]} M$ and $M/\mathcal{A}(U_\Theta)M$ are isomorphic as $K[[M_\Theta]]$ -modules.

PROOF. The first isomorphism in the displayed equation is given by $\eta \otimes m \mapsto 1 \otimes \eta m$, for $\eta \in K[[M_\Theta]]$ and $m \in M$. The second isomorphism follows from $K[[U_\Theta]]/\mathcal{A}(U_\Theta) \cong K$ (see Example (8), p. 370 of [11]).

Finally, the isomorphism $K[[M_\Theta]] \otimes_{K[[P_\Theta]]} M \cong M/\mathcal{A}(U_\Theta)M$ is given by $\eta \otimes m \mapsto \eta m + \mathcal{A}(U_\Theta)M$ and is clearly $K[[M_\Theta]]$ -equivariant. \square

We are interested mainly in $K[[T]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} M^{(\chi)}$ and the image in it of submodules of $M^{(\chi)}$. We start by exploring $K[[T]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} M^{(\chi)}$.

3.1. *The action of T^+ .* Following the notation introduced in Section 2, we have $U_0 = \mathbf{U}(o_L)$ and $U_n = \ker(U_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(o_L/\mathfrak{p}_L^n))$.

LEMMA 3.2. Let $T^+ = \{t \in T \mid |\alpha(t)|_L < 1 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi^+\}$. Then for any $s \in T^+$ we have

- (i) $sU_n s^{-1} \subset U_{n+1}$, for all $n \geq 0$,
- (ii) $s^{-1}U_n^- s \subset U_{n+1}^-$, for all $n \geq 0$,
- (iii) $U_0 \subset s^{-1}U_0 s \subset \dots \subset s^{-n}U_0 s^n \subset s^{-n-1}U_0 s^{n+1} \subset \dots$ is an increasing sequence of compact subgroups of U and

$$U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s^{-n}U_0 s^n.$$

PROOF. Let \mathbf{U}_α be the root subgroup associated to $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $U_\alpha = \mathbf{U}_\alpha(L)$. There is an isomorphism $x_\alpha : L \rightarrow U_\alpha$ such that

$$tx_\alpha t^{-1} = x_\alpha(\alpha(t)a)$$

for all $t \in T$, $a \in L$. Then $U_{\alpha,n}$, $n \geq 0$, is the image of \mathfrak{p}_L^n . By §14.4 of [9], multiplying root subgroups gives an isomorphism of varieties $\prod_\alpha \mathbf{U}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$, for any ordering of the positive roots. It follows

$$U_n = \prod_{\alpha > 0} U_{\alpha,n}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Notice that for $s \in T^+$ and $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ we have $\alpha(s) \in \mathfrak{p}_L$. Take $u \in U_{\alpha,n}$. Then $u = x_\alpha(a)$, for some $a \in \mathfrak{p}_L^n$, and $sx_\alpha(a)s^{-1} = x_\alpha(\alpha(s)a) \in U_{\alpha,n+1}$. This implies (i). Assertion (ii) can be proved similarly.

For (iii), fix an ordering of the positive roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ and take $u \in U$. Then u can be written in a unique way as

$$u = x_{\alpha_1}(a_1) \cdots x_{\alpha_r}(a_r), \quad a_i \in L.$$

Since $\alpha(s) \in \mathfrak{p}_L$ for all positive roots α , there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $\alpha_i(s)^n a_i \in o_L$, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then $s^n u s^{-n} = x_{\alpha_1}(\alpha_1(s)^n a_1) \cdots x_{\alpha_r}(\alpha_r(s)^n a_r) \in U_0$ and $u \in s^{-n} U_0 s^n$. \square

We will use the following lemma repeatedly.

LEMMA 3.3.

- (i) For any compact subset $X \subset U$ there exists $t \in T$ such that $tXt^{-1} \subseteq U_0$.
- (ii) For any $\eta \in K[[U]]$ there exists $t \in T$ such that $t\eta t^{-1} \in K[[U_0]]$.

PROOF. (i) Since X is compact and U_0 is open in U , X can be covered with a finite number of cosets $u_1 U_0, \dots, u_k U_0$. Take $s \in T^+$. By Lemma 3.2 (iii), for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, there exists n_i such that $s^{n_i} u_i s^{-n_i} \in U_0$, and by Lemma 3.2 (i) we have $s^m u_i U_0 s^{-m} \subset U_0$ for any $m \geq n_i$. Let $m = \max\{n_i \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ and $t = s^m$. Then $tXt^{-1} \subseteq U_0$.

(ii) If $\eta \in K[[U]]$, then η has compact support and the assertion follows from (i). \square

3.2. *Exactness.* Notice that $K[[M_\Theta]] \otimes_{K[[P_\Theta]]} _$ is a right exact functor on the category of left $K[[P_\Theta]]$ -modules. Lemma 3.5 below tells us that $K[[M_\Theta]]$ is not flat as a $K[[P_\Theta]]$ -module, so the functor $K[[M_\Theta]] \otimes_{K[[P_\Theta]]} _$ is not exact. Similarly, K is not flat as a $K[[U_\Theta]]$ -module and the functor $K \otimes_{K[[U_\Theta]]} _$ is right-exact, but not exact.

LEMMA 3.4. *The ring $K[[U_\Theta]]$ has no zero divisors.*

PROOF. Take $\mu, \eta \in K[[U_\Theta]]$ such that $\mu\eta = 0$. Using Lemma 3.3(ii), we can find $t \in T$ such that $t\eta t^{-1}, t\mu t^{-1} \in K[[U_0]]$. Since $K[[U_0]]$ has no zero divisors [3, Theorem 4.3], it follows $\mu = 0$ or $\eta = 0$. \square

LEMMA 3.5.

- (i) K is not flat as a $K[[U_\Theta]]$ -module.
- (ii) $K[[M_\Theta]]$ is not flat as a $K[[P_\Theta]]$ -module.

PROOF. Consider the exact sequence of $K[[U_\Theta]]$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(U_\Theta) \longrightarrow K[[U_\Theta]] \xrightarrow{\text{aug}} K \longrightarrow 0.$$

By [15, Theorem 4.23], K is flat if and only if for any $\mu \in \mathcal{A}(U_\Theta)$ there exists $f \in \text{Hom}_{K[[U_\Theta]]}(K[[U_\Theta]], \mathcal{A}(U_\Theta))$ with $f(\mu) = \mu$.

Take $\mu \neq 0$ and assume there exists an f as above. Let $\eta = f(1)$. We have $f(\mu) = \mu$ and $f(\mu) = f(\mu \cdot 1) = \mu\eta$. Then $\mu = \mu\eta$ and thus $\mu(\eta - 1) = 0$. Notice that $\eta \neq 1$ because $\eta \in \mathcal{A}(U_\Theta)$. It follows that μ is a zero divisor, contradicting Lemma 3.4.

This proves (i). Assertion (ii) can be proved similarly. \square

3.3. *Linear independence.*

LEMMA 3.6. *Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and let n be a natural number such that $\chi(T_n) \subset 1 + \mathfrak{p}_K^s$. Fix $w \in W$. Let $\eta \in o_K[[U_n \cap \dot{w}U\dot{w}^{-1}]]$ and $\nu \in o_K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$. Write $(\eta\nu)\dot{w} \otimes 1 = \mu\dot{w} \otimes 1$, where $\mu \in o_K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$. If $\text{aug } \eta = 0$, then $\text{aug } \mu \in \mathfrak{p}_K^s$.*

PROOF. From [4, Lemma 7.23], we know that multiplication induces a homeomorphism $V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm \times P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{w, \pm} \rightarrow B$, where

$$P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{w, \pm} = B \cap \dot{w}P_0\dot{w}^{-1} = T_0(U_0 \cap \dot{w}U_0\dot{w}^{-1})(U_1^- \cap \dot{w}U_0\dot{w}^{-1}).$$

Take $\eta = u \in U_n \cap \dot{w}U\dot{w}^{-1}$ and $\nu = v \in V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm$. Write $(uv)\dot{w} \otimes 1 = \mu\dot{w} \otimes 1$, where $\mu \in o_K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$. We prove that $\text{aug } \mu - \text{aug } \eta \in \mathfrak{p}_K^s$. Since G_n is normal in G_0 , $v^{-1}uv \in G_n$, and we can write it as $v^{-1}uv = v_1p$, where $v_1 \in V_{w, n}^\pm$ and $p \in P_n^{w, \pm}$. Then

$$v^{-1}uv\dot{w} \otimes 1 = \chi(\dot{w}^{-1}p\dot{w})v_1\dot{w} \otimes 1.$$

It follows $\mu = \chi(\dot{w}^{-1}p\dot{w})vv_1$ and $\text{aug } \mu = \chi(\dot{w}^{-1}p\dot{w})$, which lies in $1 + \mathfrak{p}_K^s$ because $\dot{w}^{-1}p\dot{w} \in P_n$. Then $\text{aug } \mu - \text{aug } \eta = \text{aug } \mu - 1 \in \mathfrak{p}_K^s$.

For $\eta \in o_K[[U_n \cap \dot{w}U\dot{w}^{-1}]]$ and $\nu \in o_K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$, let us denote by $\mu(\eta, \nu)$ the unique element of $o_K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$ such that

$$(\eta\nu)\dot{w} \otimes 1 = \mu(\eta, \nu)\dot{w} \otimes 1.$$

Notice that the map $(\eta, \nu) \mapsto \text{aug } \mu(\eta, \nu)$ is continuous. Then $(\eta, \nu) \mapsto \text{aug } \mu(\eta, \nu) + \text{aug } \eta$ is continuous and o_K -linear in the first variable. If we fix $v \in V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm$, then we have a continuous map $U_n \cap \dot{w}U\dot{w}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_K^s$ given by $u \mapsto \text{aug } \mu(u, v) - 1$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a unique o_K -linear map $f : o_K[[U_n \cap \dot{w}U\dot{w}^{-1}]] \rightarrow o_K$ which restricts to $U_n \cap \dot{w}U\dot{w}^{-1}$ as $u \mapsto \text{aug } \mu(u, v) - 1$. By uniqueness, $f(\eta) = \text{aug } \mu(\eta, v) - \text{aug } (\eta)$ and $f(\eta) \in \mathfrak{p}_K^s$. Next, fix $\eta \in o_K[[U_n \cap \dot{w}U\dot{w}^{-1}]]$ such that $\text{aug } \eta = 0$. We consider the map $V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_K^s$ given by $v \mapsto \text{aug } \mu(\eta, \nu)$. We can apply Lemma 2.1 again to show that $\text{aug } \mu(\eta, \nu) \in \mathfrak{p}_K^s$. \square

LEMMA 3.7. *Let $v \in V_{w_0, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm$ and $t \in T$. If $tv\dot{w}_0 \in Bw_0P$, then $tvt^{-1} \in V_{w_0, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm$.*

PROOF. Assume $tv\dot{w}_0 \in Bw_0P$. Then we can write $tv\dot{w}_0 = v_1\dot{w}_0p$, where $v_1 \in V_{w_0, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm$ and $p \in P$. Then $v_1^{-1}tv = t(t^{-1}v_1^{-1}t)v \in TV_{w_0}^\pm$ and

$$v_1^{-1}tv = \dot{w}_0p\dot{w}_0^{-1} \in TV_{w_0}^\pm \cap \dot{w}_0P\dot{w}_0^{-1} = T.$$

It follows $tv = v_1t_1$ for some $t_1 \in T$, and hence $tvt^{-1} = v_1t_1t^{-1}$. Since tvt^{-1} and v_1 are both unipotent, we must have $t = t_1$. Then $tvt^{-1} = v_1 \in V_{w_0, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm$, proving the claim. \square

LEMMA 3.8. *Let $\nu \in o_K[[V_{w_0, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$ and $t \in T$. Write*

$$t\nu\dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 = \sum_{w \in W} \mu_w \dot{w} \otimes 1, \quad \mu_w \in K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]].$$

Then $(w_0\chi)(t^{-1})\mu_{w_0} \in o_K[[V_{w_0, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$.

PROOF. Follows from Lemma 3.7, using Lemma 2.1. □

PROPOSITION 3.9. *The elements $1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1$, where $w \in W$, are linearly independent in $K[[T]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} M^{(\chi)}$.*

PROOF. Fix $w_0 \in W$. First, we will prove that $1 \otimes \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 \neq 0$. Assume on the contrary that

$$(3.1) \quad \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 = \eta_1 m_1 + \cdots + \eta_k m_k,$$

for some $\eta_i \in \mathcal{A}(U)$, $m_i \in M^{(\chi)}$. Since η_1, \dots, η_k are compactly supported, there exists $t \in T$ such that $t\eta_i t^{-1} \in K[[U_0]]$ for all i . Acting on (3.1) by $(w_0\chi)(t^{-1})t$, we get

$$\dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 = \eta'_1 m'_1 + \cdots + \eta'_k m'_k,$$

where $\eta'_i = t\eta_i t^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}(U_0)$ and $m'_i = (w_0\chi)(t^{-1})tm_i$. Using the decomposition (2.4) we can write each m'_i as

$$m'_i = \sum_{w \in W} \mu_{i,w} \dot{w} \otimes 1,$$

where $\mu_{i,w} \in K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$. Set $\mu_i = \mu_{i,w_0}$. Since each $M_w^{(\chi)}$ is $K[[U_0]]$ -invariant, it follows

$$\dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 = (\eta'_1 \mu_1 + \cdots + \eta'_k \mu_k) \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1.$$

We can multiply the equation by an appropriate power of ϖ_K so that

$$(3.2) \quad \varpi_K^s \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 = (\eta''_1 \mu'_1 + \cdots + \eta''_k \mu'_k) \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1,$$

where $\eta''_i \in \mathcal{A}(o_K[[U_0]])$ and $\mu'_i \in o_K[[V_{w_0, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$.

The group U_0 is topologically finitely generated. Suppose u_1, \dots, u_ℓ are generators. Then $u_1 - 1, \dots, u_\ell - 1$ generate $\mathcal{A}(o_K[[U_0]])$ as a left or right ideal [18, Proposition 19.5]. Hence, we can write each η''_i as $\eta''_i = (u_1 - 1)\eta_{i,1} + \cdots + (u_\ell - 1)\eta_{i,\ell}$, for some $\eta_{i,j} \in o_K[[U_0]]$. Then

$$\varpi_K^s \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (u_j - 1) \eta_{i,j} \mu'_i \right) \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1.$$

For each j , let ν_j be the element of $o_K[[V_{w_0, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$ such that $(\sum_i \eta_{i,j} \mu'_i) \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 = \nu_j \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1$. Then

$$(3.3) \quad \varpi_K^s \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 = ((u_1 - 1)\nu_1 + \cdots + (u_\ell - 1)\nu_\ell) \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1.$$

The character χ is continuous, so there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\chi(T_n) \subset 1 + \mathfrak{p}_K^{s+1}$. Take $t \in T$ such that $tU_0t^{-1} \subset U_n$. Acting on (3.3) by $(w_0\chi)(t^{-1})t$, we get

$$\varpi_K^s \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 = ((u'_1 - 1)\nu'_1 + \cdots + (u'_\ell - 1)\nu'_\ell) \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1,$$

where $u'_i = tu_it^{-1} \in U_n$ and $\nu'_i = t\nu_it^{-1}$. Using decomposition (2.4), we can write each $\nu'_i \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1$ as

$$\nu'_i \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 = \sum_{w \in W} \nu'_{i,w} \dot{w} \otimes 1,$$

where $\nu'_{i,w} \in K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$. Set $\lambda_i = \nu'_{i, w_0}$. Then

$$(3.4) \quad \varpi_K^s \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 = ((u'_1 - 1)\lambda_1 + \cdots + (u'_\ell - 1)\lambda_\ell) \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1$$

and $\lambda_i \in o_K[[V_{w_0, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$ by Lemma 3.8. Using the decomposition

$$U_n = (U_n \cap \dot{w}_0 U \dot{w}_0^{-1})(U_n \cap \dot{w}_0 U^- \dot{w}_0^{-1})$$

we can write $u'_i = v_i v'_i$, where $v_i \in U_n \cap \dot{w}_0 U \dot{w}_0^{-1}$ and $v'_i \in U_n \cap \dot{w}_0 U^- \dot{w}_0^{-1} \subset V_{w_0, n}^\pm$. Then

$$u'_i - 1 = (v_i - 1)v'_i + (v'_i - 1).$$

Equation (3.4) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \varpi_K^s \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 = & ((v_1 - 1)v'_1 \lambda_1 + \cdots + (v_\ell - 1)v'_\ell \lambda_\ell) \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 \\ & + ((v'_1 - 1)\lambda_1 + \cdots + (v'_\ell - 1)\lambda_\ell) \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a unique $\mu \in o_K[[V_{w_0, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$ such that

$$((v_1 - 1)v'_1 \lambda_1 + \cdots + (v_\ell - 1)v'_\ell \lambda_\ell) \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1 = \mu \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1.$$

Lemma 3.6 tells us that $\text{aug}(\mu) \in \mathfrak{p}_K^{s+1}$. The second term in the right hand side of (3.5) is $\mu' \dot{w}_0 \otimes 1$, where

$$\mu' = (v'_1 - 1)\lambda_1 + \cdots + (v'_\ell - 1)\lambda_\ell \in o_K[[V_{w_0, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]].$$

Notice that $\text{aug}(\mu') = 0$. Now, equation (3.5) implies $\varpi_K^s \cdot 1 = \mu + \mu'$. This is impossible, because $\text{aug}(\varpi_K^s \cdot 1) = \varpi_K^s$, while

$$\text{aug}(\mu + \mu') = \text{aug}(\mu) + \text{aug}(\mu') = \text{aug}(\mu) \in \mathfrak{p}_K^{s+1}.$$

This proves $1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1 \neq 0$, for all $w \in W$.

For linear independence, assume $\sum_{w \in W} c_w (1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1) = 0$, for some coefficients $c_w \in K$. Equivalently,

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{w \in W} c_w \dot{w} \otimes 1 = \eta_1 m_1 + \cdots + \eta_k m_k,$$

for some $\eta_i \in \mathcal{A}(U)$, $m_i \in M^{(x)}$. As before, we can find $t \in T$ such that $t\eta_i t^{-1} \in K[[U_0]]$, for all i . Acting on (3.6) by t , we get

$$\sum_{w \in W} c'_w \dot{w} \otimes 1 = \eta'_1 m'_1 + \cdots + \eta'_k m'_k,$$

where $c'_w = c_w(w\chi)(t)$, $\eta'_i = t\eta_i t^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}(U_0)$, and $m'_i = tm_i$. Write $m'_i = \sum_{w \in W} \mu_{i,w} \dot{w} \otimes 1$, with $\mu_{i,w} \in K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$. Then

$$\sum_{w \in W} c'_w \dot{w} \otimes 1 = \sum_{w \in W} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \eta'_i \mu_{i,w} \right) \dot{w} \otimes 1.$$

From the direct sum decomposition (2.4), it follows

$$c'_w \dot{w} \otimes 1 = \sum_{i=1}^k \eta'_i \mu_{i,w} \dot{w} \otimes 1,$$

for all $w \in W$. The right hand side belongs to $\mathcal{A}(U_0)M^{(x)}$ and the first part of the proof implies $c'_w = 0$, for all $w \in W$. Hence, $c_w = 0$ for all $w \in W$. \square

3.4. Topology. The locally convex topology on $M^{(x)}$ can be understood easily using the decomposition $M^{(x)} \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W} K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$. If X is a locally convex space and $Y \subseteq X$ a subspace, then X/Y carries the locally convex quotient topology as in [17, §5.B], and this does not require Y being closed in X . Thus, we can equip $K[[T]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} M^{(x)}$ with the locally convex quotient topology using $K[[T]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} M^{(x)} \cong M^{(x)}/\mathcal{A}(U)M^{(x)}$. We give an example below with $M^{(x)}/\mathcal{A}(U)M^{(x)}$ not Hausdorff.

Example. Consider the topology as above for $G = GL_2(L)$ and $\chi = 1$, the trivial character. We have $W = \{1, w\}$, and we take $\dot{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$g_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \varpi_L^n & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varpi_L^{-n} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varpi_L^n & 1 \\ 0 & -\varpi_L^{-n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $1 \otimes g_n \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1$, and any neighborhood of $1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ contains $1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1$.

4. SUBMODULES

As mentioned before, the functors $K[[M_\Theta]] \otimes_{K[[P_\Theta]]} _$ and $K \otimes_{K[[U_\Theta]]} _$ are not exact. To get an operative tool for studying submodules, we proceed as follows. Let M be a $K[[P_\Theta]]$ -module. Given a subset S of M , we denote by $\mathcal{J}_\Theta(S, M)$ the image of S in $K[[M_\Theta]] \otimes_{K[[P_\Theta]]} M$. We write simply $\mathcal{J}(S, M)$ for $\mathcal{J}_\emptyset(S, M)$.

If S is a $K[[P_\Theta]]$ -module, then $\mathcal{J}_\Theta(S, M)$ is a $K[[M_\Theta]]$ -module. Lemma 3.1 implies

$$\mathcal{J}_\Theta(S, M) = (S + \mathcal{A}(U_\Theta)M) / \mathcal{A}(U_\Theta)M.$$

4.1. $K[[G]]$ -submodules of $M^{(\chi)}$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let S be a nonzero $K[[G]]$ -submodule of $M^{(\chi)}$. Then S contains an element of the form $1 \otimes 1 + \mu \otimes 1$, where*

$$\mu \in \bigoplus_{1 \neq w \in W} K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]\dot{w}.$$

PROOF. From the proof of Theorem 7.5 in [5], we know that S contains an element of the form

$$1 \otimes 1 + \nu \otimes 1,$$

with $\text{supp } \nu$ disjoint from $G_n P$, for some $n \geq 1$. Now, take

$$v \in \prod_{w \in W} V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm \dot{w} \setminus G_n P.$$

The Bruhat decomposition implies that there exists a unique $w_v \in W$, $w_v \neq 1$, such that $v \in U w_v P$. To apply Lemma 3.2, we fix $s \in T^+$. Then there exists $n_v \geq 0$ such that $s^{n_v} v s^{-n_v} \in U_0 w_v P \subseteq B w_v P$. Since $B w_v P$ is open in G , and conjugation by s is continuous, there exists an open neighborhood \mathcal{U}_v of v in $\prod_{w \in W} V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm \dot{w}$ such that

$$\mathcal{U}_v \subseteq \prod_{w \in W} V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm \dot{w} \setminus G_n P \quad \text{and} \quad s^{n_v} \mathcal{U}_v s^{-n_v} \subseteq B w_v P.$$

The set $\prod_{w \in W} V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm \dot{w} \setminus G_n P$ is compact, with the open cover $\{\mathcal{U}_v\}$. It hence has a finite subcover $\{\mathcal{U}_{v_1}, \dots, \mathcal{U}_{v_k}\}$. Set $\mathcal{U}_i = \mathcal{U}_{v_i}$, $n_i = n_{v_i}$, and $m = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$. Take any $v \in \prod_{w \in W} V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm \dot{w} \setminus G_n P$. Then $v \in \mathcal{U}_i$, for some i , so $s^{n_i} v s^{-n_i} \notin BP$. We claim that $s^m v s^{-m} \notin BP$. Otherwise, if $s^m v s^{-m} = x \in BP = U_1^- P$, Lemma 3.2(ii) would imply

$$s^{n_i} v s^{-n_i} = s^{-m+n_i} x s^{m-n_i} \in U_1^- P = BP,$$

a contradiction.

Acting on $1 \otimes 1 + \nu \otimes 1$ by $\chi(s^{-m})s^m$, we obtain an element $1 \otimes 1 + \mu \otimes 1$ with $\mu \in \bigoplus_{w \in W} K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]\dot{w}$ and $\text{supp}(\mu)$ disjoint from BP . That is, $\mu \in \bigoplus_{1 \neq w \in W} K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]\dot{w}$. □

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let S be a nonzero $K[[G]]$ -submodule of $M^{(\chi)}$. Then $\mathcal{J}(S, M^{(\chi)})$ is non-zero. In particular, $K[[T]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} S$ is non-zero.*

PROOF. The second statement follows from the first, using the natural surjection

$$K[[T]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} S \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}(S, M^{(\chi)}).$$

For the first statement, we have to prove that S is not contained in $\mathcal{A}(U)M^{(\chi)}$. Assume on the contrary that $S \subseteq \mathcal{A}(U)M^{(\chi)}$. Let $1 \otimes 1 + \mu \otimes 1$ be an element of S as in Proposition 4.1. We proceed similarly as before. By the assumption,

$$(4.1) \quad 1 \otimes 1 + \mu \otimes 1 = \eta_1 m_1 + \cdots + \eta_k m_k,$$

for some $\eta_i \in \mathcal{A}(U)$, $m_i \in M^{(\chi)}$. Fix $s \in T^+$. According to Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t = s^n$ satisfies $t\eta_i t^{-1} \in K[[U_0]]$, for all i . Acting on (4.1) by $\chi(t^{-1})t$, we get

$$1 \otimes 1 + \mu' \otimes 1 = \eta'_1 m'_1 + \cdots + \eta'_k m'_k,$$

where $\eta'_i = t\eta_i t^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}(U_0)$, and $m'_i = \chi(t^{-1})tm_i$. We claim that the support of μ' is disjoint from BP . Namely, if there exists $y \in \text{supp}(\mu')$ such that $y \in BP$, then $y = s^n x s^{-n}$ for some $x \notin BP$, and Lemma 3.2(ii) would imply $x = s^{-n} y s^n \in U^- P = BP$, a contradiction. Hence,

$$\mu' \in \bigoplus_{1 \neq w \in W} K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]] \dot{w}.$$

Write $m'_i = \sum_{w \in W} \mu_{i,w} \dot{w} \otimes 1$, with $\mu_{i,w} \in K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$. Then

$$1 \otimes 1 + \mu' \otimes 1 = \sum_{w \in W} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \eta'_i \mu_{i,w} \right) \dot{w} \otimes 1.$$

From the direct sum decomposition (2.4), it follows $1 \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{A}(U)M^{(\chi)}$, contradicting the fact that $1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \neq 0$. □

4.2. *Unitary characters.* A continuous character with values in o_K^\times is called *unitary*.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Suppose $\chi : T \rightarrow o_K^\times$ is a unitary character. Let S be a $K[[G]]$ -submodule of $M^{(\chi)}$. If S is a proper submodule, then $\mathcal{J}(S, M^{(\chi)})$ does not contain $1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1$ for any $w \in W$.*

PROOF. Suppose on the contrary that S contains an element of the form

$$(4.2) \quad \dot{w} \otimes 1 + \eta_1 m_1 + \cdots + \eta_k m_k,$$

where $\eta_i \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ and $m_i \in M^{(\chi)}$. We proceed similarly as before. First, we find $t \in T$ such that $t\eta_i t^{-1} \in K[[U_0]]$, for all i . Acting on (4.2) by $w\chi(t^{-1})t$, we get an element of S of the form

$$x = \dot{w} \otimes 1 + \eta'_1 m'_1 + \cdots + \eta'_k m'_k,$$

with $\eta'_i \in \mathcal{A}(U_0)$ and $m'_i \in M^{(\chi)}$. We can multiply it by an appropriate power of ϖ_K so that the resulting element $z \in S$ can be written as

$$z = \varpi_K^s(\dot{w} \otimes 1) + m,$$

where $m \in \mathcal{A}(o_K[[U_0]]) \left(o_K[[G_0]] \otimes_{o_K[[P_0]]} o_K^{(\chi)} \right)$. Now, we use again the property that U_0 is topologically finitely generated. If u_1, \dots, u_ℓ are generators, then $u_1 - 1, \dots, u_\ell - 1$ generate $\mathcal{A}(o_K[[U_0]])$ as a left or right ideal [18, Proposition 19.5]. Then we can write z as

$$z = \varpi_K^s(\dot{w} \otimes 1) + (u_1 - 1)m''_1 + \dots + (u_\ell - 1)m''_\ell$$

where $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m''_i \in o_K[[G_0]] \otimes_{o_K[[P_0]]} o_K^{(\chi)}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $t_n \in T$ such that $t_n u_k t_n^{-1} \in U_n$ for all $k = 1, \dots, \ell$. Define $z_n = \chi(t_n^{-1})t_n z$. We will prove that the sequence $\{z_n\}$ is convergent in $M^{(\chi)}$, with limit $\varpi_K^s(\dot{w} \otimes 1)$.

Let $M_0^{(\chi)} = o_K[[G_0]] \otimes_{o_K[[P_0]]} o_K^{(\chi)}$. This is an $o_K[[G_0]]$ -module. The condition that χ is unitary implies that $M_0^{(\chi)}$ is invariant under T -action. By [4, Proposition 7.20],

$$M_0^{(\chi)} \cong \varprojlim_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left(o_K[G_0/G_j] \otimes_{o_K[P_0]} o_K^{(\chi)} \right).$$

For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by φ_j the projection $M_0^{(\chi)} \rightarrow o_K[G_0/G_j] \otimes_{o_K[P_0]} o_K^{(\chi)}$. Define

$$J_{i,j} = \ker(\text{pr}_i \circ \varphi_j),$$

where pr_i is the projection $\text{pr}_i : o_K[G_0/G_j] \rightarrow o_K/\mathfrak{p}_K^i[o_K[G_0/G_j]]$. Then $J_{i,j}$, for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, form a neighborhood basis of zero in $M_0^{(\chi)}$.

Fix $k \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. We claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\chi(t_n^{-1})t_n(u_k - 1)m''_k) = 0.$$

To prove the claim, take an open neighborhood of zero in $M_0^{(\chi)}$. It contains $J_{i,j}$, for some $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $n > j$,

$$\varphi_j(\chi(t_n^{-1})t_n(u_k - 1)m''_k) = \varphi_j((t_n u_k t_n^{-1} - 1)\chi(t_n^{-1})t_n m''_k)$$

and this is zero because $t_n u_k t_n^{-1} - 1$ has image zero in $o_K[G_0/G_j]$. It follows that the element $\chi(t_n^{-1})t_n(u_k - 1)m''_k$ belongs to $J_{q,j}$ for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, so in particular it belongs to $J_{i,j}$. This proves the claim. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\varpi_K^s(\dot{w} \otimes 1) + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} (\chi(t_n^{-1})t_n(u_k - 1)m''_k) \right) = \varpi_K^s(\dot{w} \otimes 1).$$

Set $S_0 = S \cap M_0^{(\chi)}$. Then S_0 is an $o_K[[G_0]]$ -submodule of $M_0^{(\chi)}$. It is closed in $M_0^{(\chi)}$ because $M_0^{(\chi)}$ is a finitely generated module over the noetherian ring $o_K[[G_0]]$ (see [4, Proposition 4.38]). Notice that $z_n \in S_0$, for all n . It follows

that $\varpi_K^s(\dot{w} \otimes 1) \in S_0$, and consequently $\dot{w} \otimes 1 \in S$. This implies $S = M^{(\chi)}$, contradicting the assumption that S is a proper submodule. \square

4.3. *The image of $K[G] \otimes_{K[P]} K^{(\chi)}$.* It follows easily from (2.3) that

$$K[G] \otimes_{K[P]} K^{(\chi)} = \bigoplus_{w \in W} K[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm] \dot{w} \otimes 1.$$

The canonical embeddings $K[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm] \hookrightarrow K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$ described in [4, Lemma 2.44] and the decomposition (2.4) then give us

$$\begin{aligned} K[G] \otimes_{K[P]} K^{(\chi)} &= \bigoplus_{w \in W} K[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm] \dot{w} \otimes 1 \\ &\hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in W} K[[V_{w, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]] \dot{w} \otimes 1 = M^{(\chi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the $K[G]$ -module $K[G] \otimes_{K[P]} K^{(\chi)}$ embeds canonically in $M^{(\chi)}$.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let $\chi : P \rightarrow K^\times$ be a continuous character.*

(i) *As $K[T]$ -modules,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(K[G] \otimes_{K[P]} K^{(\chi)}, M^{(\chi)}) &= K[T] \otimes_{K[P]} K[G] \otimes_{K[P]} K^{(\chi)} \\ &= \bigoplus_{w \in W} K(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{w \in W} K^{(w\chi)}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *Let $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $\Theta = \{\alpha\}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\Theta(K[G] \otimes_{K[P]} K^{(\chi)}, M^{(\chi)}) &= K[M_\Theta] \otimes_{K[P_\Theta]} K[G] \otimes_{K[P]} K^{(\chi)} \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{w \in [W_\Theta \setminus W]} K[M_\Theta] \otimes_{K[P \cap M_\Theta]} K^{(w\chi)}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. (i) The Bruhat decomposition $G = \coprod_{w \in W} PwP$ implies

$$K[T] \otimes_{K[P]} K[G] \otimes_{K[P]} K^{(\chi)} = \bigoplus_{w \in W} K(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1).$$

The assertion then follows from the property that $1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1$, for $w \in W$, are linearly independent in $K[[T]] \otimes_{K[[P]]} M^{(\chi)}$ (Proposition 3.9).

(ii) The Bruhat decomposition $G = \coprod_{w \in [W_\Theta \setminus W]} P_\Theta w P$ implies

$$K[M_\Theta] \otimes_{K[P_\Theta]} K[G] \otimes_{K[P]} K^{(\chi)} = \bigoplus_{w \in [W_\Theta \setminus W]} K[M_\Theta](1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1).$$

Since $w^{-1}\alpha > 0$, we have $wPw^{-1} \cap M_\Theta = TU_\alpha = P \cap M_\Theta$, so

$$K[M_\Theta](1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1) \cong K[M_\Theta] \otimes_{K[P \cap M_\Theta]} K^{(w\chi)}.$$

We have to show that for a nonzero $\mu_0(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1) \in K[M_\Theta](1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1)$, its image in $\mathcal{J}_\Theta(K[G] \otimes_{K[P]} K^{(\chi)}, M^{(\chi)})$ is nonzero. Let $s = s_\alpha$. Since $M_\Theta = P \coprod PsP$, we can write $\mu_0 = \mu' + \mu''$, with $\text{supp } \mu' \subseteq P$ and $\text{supp } \mu'' \subseteq PsP$, and consider each term separately.

The first term can be handled easily, because $\mu'(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1) = c(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1)$, for some $c \in K$. If $c(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1)$ is nonzero in $K[M_\Theta] \otimes_{K[PsP]} K[G] \otimes_{K[P]} K^{(\chi)}$, then $c \neq 0$, which implies that the image of $c(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1)$ in $\mathcal{J}_\Theta(K[G] \otimes_{K[P]} K^{(\chi)}, M^{(\chi)})$ is nonzero as well.

It remains to consider μ'' . By fixing a representative \dot{s} of $s = s_\alpha \in W$, we can write μ'' uniquely as $\mu'' = a_1 p_1 \dot{s} u_1 + \dots + a_k p_k \dot{s} u_k$, with $a_i \in K$, $p_i \in P$, and $u_i \in U_\alpha$. Then

$$\mu''(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes (b_1 \dot{s} u_1 + \dots + b_k \dot{s} u_k) \dot{w} \otimes 1.$$

Acting by an appropriate element of T , we obtain

$$\mu'''(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes (c_1 \dot{s} u'_1 + \dots + c_k \dot{s} u'_k) \dot{w} \otimes 1,$$

where $u'_i \in U_{\alpha,1}$. This element can be written as

$$\mu'''(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1) = \eta(1 \otimes \dot{s} \dot{w} \otimes 1),$$

where $\eta = (c_1 \dot{s} u'_1 + \dots + c_k \dot{s} u'_k) \dot{s}^{-1} \in K[[U_{-\alpha,1}]]$. Since $w^{-1}\alpha > 0$, we have $U_{-\alpha,1} \subseteq V_{sw, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm$, and η belongs to $K[[V_{sw, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$. Notice that in $K[M_\Theta](1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1)$ we have

$$\mu''(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1) \neq 0 \iff \mu'''(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1) \neq 0 \iff \eta \neq 0.$$

By way of contradiction let us assume $\mu''(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1)$ is nonzero in $K[M_\Theta](1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1)$, but zero in $\mathcal{J}_\Theta(K[G] \otimes_{K[P]} K^{(\chi)}, M^{(\chi)})$. Then $\eta \neq 0$. We may select $t \in T_\alpha$ such that $|\beta(t)|_L < 1$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^+$, $\beta \neq \alpha$. Then

$$tU_{\Theta,n}t^{-1} \subseteq U_{\Theta,n+1}$$

and $t\eta t^{-1} = \eta$. Repeating a similar process as in the proof of Proposition 3.9, we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \eta \dot{s} \dot{w} \otimes 1 = ((u_1 - 1)\nu_1 + \dots + (u_r - 1)\nu_r) \dot{s} \dot{w} \otimes 1,$$

where $u_i \in U_{\Theta,0}$, and $\nu_i \in K[[V_{sw, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$.

Write $\eta = c_1 \nu_1 + \dots + c_k \nu_k$, where the coefficients $c_i \in K$ are nonzero and the elements $\nu_i \in U_{-\alpha,1} \subseteq V_{sw, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm$ are distinct. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\nu_i G_n$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ are pairwise disjoint. Acting on (4.3) by $(w\chi)(t^n)^{-1}t^n$, we get

$$(4.4) \quad \eta \dot{s} \dot{w} \otimes 1 = ((u'_1 - 1)\nu'_1 + \dots + (u'_r - 1)\nu'_r) \dot{s} \dot{w} \otimes 1,$$

where $u'_i \in U_{\Theta,n}$ and $\nu'_i \in K[[V_{sw, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]]$. We remark that $t^n \nu_i \dot{s} \dot{w} \otimes 1$ may not belong to $K[[V_{sw, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]] \dot{s} \dot{w} \otimes 1$, but the terms for different ν'_i 's which fall out of the $\dot{s} \dot{w}$ -component will cancel, leading to an expression as above. We

extend $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ so that $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ is a set of coset representatives of $V_{sw, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm / V_{sw, n}^\pm$. Then

$$K[[V_{sw, \frac{1}{2}}^\pm]] = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r K[[V_{sw, n}^\pm]]v_i,$$

and equation (4.4) implies

$$(4.5) \quad c_i v_i \dot{s} w \otimes 1 = ((u'_1 - 1)\nu_{i,1} + \dots + (u'_r - 1)\nu_{i,r})v_i \dot{s} w \otimes 1,$$

where $\nu_{i,j} \in K[[V_{sw, n}^\pm]]$. Then, we apply the same reasoning as in the proof of Propositions 3.9 to show that (4.5) is impossible. \square

5. REDUCIBILITY OF PRINCIPAL SERIES

A character χ of T is called *regular* if $w\chi \neq \chi$ for any nontrivial $w \in W$.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let $\chi : T \rightarrow o_K^\times$ be a regular continuous character. Suppose that any nonzero $K[[G]]$ -submodule N of $M^{(\chi)}$ satisfies $\mathcal{J}(N, M^{(\chi)}) \cap \bigoplus_{w \in W} K(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1) \neq 0$. Then*

- (i) $M^{(\chi)}$ is a simple $K[[G]]$ -module.
- (ii) $\text{Ind}_P^G(\chi^{-1})$ is irreducible.

PROOF. Let N be a nonzero $K[[G]]$ -submodule of $M^{(\chi)}$. Define

$$S = \{n \in N \mid \mathcal{J}(n, M^{(\chi)}) \in \bigoplus_{w \in W} K(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1)\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{J}(S, M^{(\chi)}) \neq 0$. Take a nonzero $\sigma \in \mathcal{J}(S, M^{(\chi)})$ and write it as

$$\sigma = \sum_{w \in W} \sigma_w, \quad \sigma_w = c_w(1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1).$$

Fix $w \in W$ such that $c_w \neq 0$. We claim that $1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{J}(S, M^{(\chi)})$. If $c_x = 0$ for all $x \neq w$, we are done. Otherwise, there exists $y \neq w$ such that $\sigma_y \neq 0$. By regularity, there exists $t \in T$ such that $w\chi(t) \neq y\chi(t)$. Set $\sigma' = (y\chi(t))^{-1}t\sigma - \sigma$. Then $\sigma' \in \mathcal{J}(S, M^{(\chi)})$ and $\sigma'_y = 0$ but $\sigma'_w \neq 0$. By repeating this process finitely many times we show that $1 \otimes \dot{w} \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{J}(S, M^{(\chi)}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(N, M^{(\chi)})$. Proposition 4.3 then implies $N = M^{(\chi)}$, proving (i). Assertion (ii) then follows by the Schneider-Teitelbaum duality. \square

The reducibility of unitary principal series has been resolved by Abe and Herzig (see Theorem 1.1 in [1]). Thus, Proposition 5.1 does not prove new results. Instead, its purpose is to show an application of Jacquet tensors, which we hope can be extended to more general cases. We are interested in the reducibility of $\text{Ind}_P^G(\chi^{-1})$ for an arbitrary continuous character χ . By the duality, $\text{Ind}_P^G(\chi^{-1})$ is reducible if and only if $M^{(\chi)}$ contains a proper nonzero $K[[G]]$ -submodule S . We would like to reduce the question of reducibility of principal series to the rank one case, following the approach similar to the

classical case described in [2], but working on the dual side, with $\mathcal{J}_\Theta(_, M^{(\chi)})$ playing the role of Jacquet modules. For such an approach, we need a better understanding of $\mathcal{J}_\Theta(S, M^{(\chi)})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Abe and F. Herzig, *On the irreducibility of p -adic Banach principal series of p -adic reductive groups*, preprint.
- [2] W. Alsibiani and D. Ban, *Filtrations of smooth principal series and Iwasawa modules*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **43** (2017), 3–16.
- [3] K. Ardakov and K. A. Brown, *Ring-theoretic properties of Iwasawa algebras: a survey*, Doc. Math., Extra Vol. (2006), 7–33.
- [4] D. Ban, *p -adic Banach Space Representations: With Applications to Principal Series*, Lecture Notes in Math. **2325**, Springer, Cham, 2023.
- [5] D. Ban and J. Hundley, *On reducibility of p -adic principal series representations of p -adic groups*, Represent. Theory **20** (2016), 249–262.
- [6] D. Ban and C. Jantzen, *Degenerate principal series for even-orthogonal groups*, Represent. Theory **7** (2003), 440–480.
- [7] D. Ban and J. Roberts, *Compactly supported distributions on p -adic Lie groups*, preprint.
- [8] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p -adic groups. I*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **10** (1977), 441–472.
- [9] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Grad. Texts in Math. **126**, second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [10] W. Casselman, *Introduction to the theory of admissible representations of p -adic reductive groups*, preprint.
- [11] D. S. Dummit and R. M. Foote, *Abstract algebra*, third edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2004.
- [12] M. Emerton, *Jacquet modules of locally analytic representations of p -adic reductive groups. I. Construction and first properties*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.(4) **39** (2006), 775–839.
- [13] M. Emerton, *Ordinary parts of admissible representations of p -adic reductive groups I. Definition and first properties*, Astérisque (2010), no. 331, 355–402.
- [14] C. Jantzen, *On supports of induced representations for symplectic and odd-orthogonal groups*, Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997), 1213–1262.
- [15] T. Y. Lam, *Lectures on modules and rings*, Grad. Texts in Math. **189**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [16] C. Mœglin and M. Tadić, *Construction of discrete series for classical p -adic groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 715–786.
- [17] P. Schneider, *Nonarchimedean functional analysis*, Springer Monogr. Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [18] P. Schneider, *p -adic Lie groups*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [19] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum, *Banach space representations and Iwasawa theory*, Israel J. Math. **127** (2002), 359–380.
- [20] M. Tadić, *Structure arising from induction and Jacquet modules of representations of classical p -adic groups*, J. Algebra **177** (1995), 1–33.
- [21] A. V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p -adic groups. II. On irreducible representations of $GL(n)$* , Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **13** (1980), 165–210.

Jacquetovi tenzori*Dubravka Ban*

SAŽETAK. Neka je G rascjepiva reduktivna p -adska grupa. Kategorija dopustivih p -adskih Banachovih reprezentacija od G je ekvivalentna odgovarajućoj kategoriji konačno generiranih Iwasawinih modula, pomoću dualnog preslikavanja $V \mapsto V'$. U ovom radu definiramo tenzorske produkte na Iwasawinim modulima, koji su namijenjeni služiti kao dualna varijanta Jacquetovih modula. Opisana su neka svojstva Jacquetovih tenzora i njihova primjena na proučavanje parabolički induciranih reprezentacija.

School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences
Southern Illinois University
1245 Lincoln Drive, Carbondale, Illinois 62901, U.S.A.
E-mail: dban@siu.edu

Received: 11.6.2023.

Revised: 24.7.2023.

Accepted: 19.9.2023.