1 httpss://doi.org/10.2478/acph-2024-0024

Original research paper

3

4

5

2

Synthesis and biochemical evaluation of new 3-amido-4-substituted monocyclic ß-lactams as inhibitors of penicillin-binding protein(s)

- 6
- 7 KATARINA GRABRIJAN¹
- 8 NIKA STRAŠEK BENEDIK¹
- 9 ALEN KRAJNC¹
- 10 KRIŠTOF BOZOVIČAR²
- 11 DAMIJAN KNEZ¹
- 12 MATIC PROJ¹
- 13 IRENA ZDOVC³
- 14 IZIDOR SOSIČ¹
- 15 CARLOS CONTRERAS-MARTEL⁴
- 16 ANDRÉA DESSEN⁴
- 17 MARTINA HRAST RAMBAHER¹
- 18 STANISLAV GOBEC^{1,*}
- 19
- ¹ The Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ljubljana, 1000
- 21 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- ² The Department of Pharmaceutical Biology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ljubljana, 1000
- 23 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- ³ Institute of Microbiology and Parasitology, Veterinary Faculty, University of Ljubljana, 1000
- 25 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- ²⁶ ⁴ University Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, CEA, Institut de Biologie Structurale (IBS), Bacterial
- 27 Pathogenesis Group, F-38044 Grenoble, France
- 28
- 29 *Correspondence: stanislav.gobec@ffa.uni-lj.si
- 30
- 31

33

34

35

ABSTRACT

In the final phases of bacterial cell wall synthesis, penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) catalyze the cross-36 linking of peptidoglycan. For many decades, effective and non-toxic β-lactam antibiotics have been 37 successfully used as mimetics of the D-Ala-D-Ala moiety of the natural substrate and employed as 38 irreversible inhibitors of PBPs. In the years following their discovery, the emergence of resistant 39 bacteria led to a decline in their clinical efficacy. Using Staudinger cycloaddition, we synthesized a 40 focused library of novel monocyclic β-lactams in which different substituents were introduced at the 41 C4 position of the β -lactam ring, at the C3 amino position, and at the N1 lactam nitrogen. In biochemical 42 assays, the compounds were evaluated for their inhibitory effect on the model enzyme PBP1b from 43 Streptococcus pneumoniae. Upon investigation of the antibacterial activity of the newly prepared 44 compounds against ESKAPE pathogens, some compounds showed moderate inhibition. We also 45 examined their reactivity and selectivity in a biochemical assay with other enzymes that have a catalytic 46 serine in the active site, such as human cholinesterases, where they also showed no inhibitory activity, 47 highlighting their specificity for bacterial targets. These compounds form the basis for further work on 48 new monocyclic β -lactams with improved antibacterial activity. 49

50

Keywords: antibacterial agents, monocyclic-β-lactams, penicillin-binding proteins, covalent inhibitors,
 transpeptidase

53

54 Accepted June 3, 2024

- 55 Published online June 4, 2024
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59

INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobial resistance is emerging as a major threat to global health (1). The World Health Organization (WHO) has published a list of bacteria for which new antibiotics are urgently needed. Of particular significance are ESKAPE pathogens, which are often multi-drug resistant: *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter* spp., *Enterococcus faecium* and *Staphylococcus aureus* (2). Most of the clinically

used antibiotics target the biosynthesis of peptidoglycan, the main component of the bacterial 65 cell wall, which is present in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (3). The bacterial 66 cell wall gives bacteria their shape and rigidity, maintains proper osmotic pressure, and allows 67 them to survive in a hypotonic environment by protecting them from lysis (4). Bacterial cell 68 wall biosynthesis occurs in three phases, taking place in the cytoplasm, the inner membrane, 69 and finally in the periplasm. Several successive steps in the cytoplasm lead to the formation of 70 the monomeric building block precursor UDP-N-acetylmuramic acid pentapeptide, which, 71 upon association with N-acetylglucosamine, leads to the generation of lipid II, the main 72 peptidoglycan building block. After transport across the membrane, lipid II is incorporated into 73 the peptidoglycan through the action of penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) and SEDS (shape, 74 elongation, division, and sporulation family proteins (5). PBPs catalyze two sequential steps, 75 namely, the polymerization of glycan strands (transglycosylation), and stem peptide cross-76 linking (transpeptidation), forming a three-dimensional network (Fig. 1) (6-10). Inhibition or 77 deregulation of peptidoglycan biosynthesis often lead to impaired cell growth, shape defects, 78 cell lysis and death. 79

Since 1941, when penicillin was first used in humans, β -lactam antibiotics have remained 80 the largest and most important structural class of antibiotics worldwide (11–13). β-lactams (i.e., 81 penicillins, cephalosporins, carbapenems and monobactams), whose core structure mimics the 82 terminal D-Ala-D-Ala unit of the native enzyme substrate, act as irreversible inhibitors of the 83 cross-linking reactions between the nascent peptidoglycan chains during the final stages of 84 peptidoglycan biosynthesis (14) via acylation of catalytic serine residues in the active sites of 85 transpeptidase and carboxypeptidases, including PBPs. The hydroxyl group of a serine residue 86 reacts with the lactam carbonyl resulting in the opening of ring. The resulting acyl-enzyme 87 complex is stable, and its hydrolysis proceeds very slowly (15, 16). Monocyclic β -lactams are 88 four-membered cyclic amides with an oxo group at a second position of the ring and various 89 substitutions at the amide nitrogen (N1), at the C3 carbon adjacent to the carbonyl group, and 90 at the C4 carbon adjacent to the nitrogen (17). Based on the N1 substituents, they are divided 91 into several classes (monobactams, monosulfactams, oxamazines, thiamazines, monocarbams 92 and nocardins), which also determine their chemical reactivity (18). The first monocyclic β -93 lactam, nocardicin A, was discovered in 1976 in the bacterium Nocardia uniformis. 94 Subsequently, sulfazecin and isosulfazecin were isolated from *Pseudomonas* strains (19). The 95 first synthetic monocyclic β-lactam with antibacterial activity in clinical use was aztreonam 96 (Fig. 2) (20). Recently, ancremonam (Fig. 2), which has shown potent activity against 97

Enterobacteriaceae and is also stable against serine β -lactamases, has completed the second 98 phase of clinical trials (21–23). The second promising new monocyclic β -lactam is AIC499 99 developed by AiCuris (Fig. 2). Monocyclic β-lactams mainly target PBP3 and have a limited 100 spectrum of activity against Gram-negative bacteria, including Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and 101 good stability against β-lactamases. Particularly important is their stability against metalo-β-102 lactamases (24–29). Although β -lactams are relatively non-toxic, as already shown by Fleming, 103 there is the possibility of a rare idiosyncratic immune reaction to penicillins; however, there is 104 no cross-reactivity to aztreonam (30). 105

Amongst several bacterial resistance mechanisms, such as, e.g., PBP mutations or the 106 expression or alterations of porins, the production of β -lactam-hydrolyzing enzymes (*i.e.*, β -107 lactamases) remains the clinically most important mode of resistance to this structural class 108 (31–34). Pharmaceutical industry research in this area is inadequate because new antibacterial 109 agents are likely to be classified as "reserve" antibiotics in the WHO's AWaRe classification 110 (35), making them even less economically viable; solutions are being sought in public-private 111 partnerships and government programs such as IMI, CARB-X and the AMR Action Fund -112 (36, 37)113

Monocyclic β -lactams, which can be assembled synthetically, are active against some 114 ESKAPE pathogens, and currently appear to resist metallo-β-lactamase-mediated hydrolysis 115 (34). Herein, we present the synthesis and biological evaluation of a focused library of novel 116 3-amido-4-substituted monocyclic β-lactams. Analogues bearing various aliphatic, aromatic, 117 and heteroaromatic substituents at the C4 position, and amides with various carboxylic acids 118 from known antibiotics at the C3 amino group were prepared. We also incorporated various 119 substitutions at N1 in the lactam ring that affect the reactivity of the ring. The compounds were 120 evaluated for their inhibition of PBP1b from the human pathogen S. pneumoniae (38), and for 121 their antibacterial activity against a panel of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. To 122 further characterize the series, the compounds were evaluated for their aqueous stability, initial 123 chemical reactivity with the cysteine surrogate, and activity against other serine and cysteine 124 hydrolases. 125

- 126
- 127

EXPERIMENTAL

128 General chemistry

129 Chemicals and solvents were from commercial sources (Sigma Aldrich, USA; Acros 130 Organics, Belgium; TCI, Japan; Fluorochem, UK and Apollo Scientific, UK) and were used as 131 supplied. Dry tetrahydrofuran was prepared by distillation from a mixture of sodium and 132 benzophenone.

Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on 0.25-mm silica gel 60F₂₅₄ plates (Merck KGaA, Germany). Flash column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (Merck KGaA, particle size 0.040–0.063 mm) using the indicated solvents in each individual synthetic step. Yields are given for purified products.

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE III 400 (USA) 137 spectrometer at 295 K in commercially available deuterated solvents (as indicated) with TMS 138 as the internal standard. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) downfield 139 from TMS. Coupling constants (J) are given in Hertz (Hz), and splitting patterns are given as 140 follows: s, singlet; br s, broad singlet; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublets; t, triplet; dt, doublet 141 of triplets; m, multiplet. Mass spectra were recorded using an ADVION Expression CMSL 142 mass spectrometer (Advion Inc., USA) with ESI ionization. High-resolution mass spectra were 143 obtained with the ExactiveTM Plus Orbitrap mass spectrometer with ESI ionization (Thermo 144 Fisher Scientific Inc., USA). 145

Full experimental procedures (including analytical data) are available in the Supplementary materials.

148 *Expression and purification of* S. pneumoniae *PBP1b*

A vector expressing PBP1b from S. pneumoniae (pGEX-GST-PBP1b) was used to 149 transform chemically competent Escherichia coli NiCo21(DE3) (New England Biolabs, USA), 150 as previously described (39, 40). Cells were cultured at 37 °C and shaken at 250 rpm in LB 151 broth containing 100 µg mL⁻¹ ampicillin until an $OD_{600} \cong 1$ was achieved. Expression was 152 induced by addition of 1 mmol L⁻¹ IPTG and cultured at 16 °C for additional 20 hours. Cells 153 were harvested by centrifugation (10 min, $3000 \times g$, 4 °C), and cell pellets were stored at -80 °C 154 until purification. Cell pellet was resuspended in buffer A (50 mmol L⁻¹ Tris \times HCl, 200 155 mmol L⁻¹ NaCl, 1 mmol L⁻¹ EDTA, 1 mmol L⁻¹ DTT, pH 8.0) and lysed on ice by sonication. 156 Cell debris was removed by centrifugation for 30 min (16,000×g, 4 °C, repeated twice). The 157 cleared lysate was loaded onto a two interconnected 1-mL GSTrap HP columns (Cytiva, USA), 158 which were pre-equilibrated with buffer A. The column was washed with buffer A and the 159 protein was eluted with buffer B (50 mmol L⁻¹ Tris, 200 mmol L⁻¹ NaCl, 1 mmol L⁻¹ EDTA, 160

pH 8.0, 1 mmol L^{-1} DTT, 10 mmol L^{-1} reduced glutathione). Eluted PBP1b was transferred to buffer C (50 mmol L^{-1} HEPES, 100 mmol L^{-1} NaCl, 1 mmol L^{-1} EDTA, 10 % glycerol, pH 7.0) by buffer exchange. The protein was concentrated with a 50-kDa molecular mass cut-off filter (Amicon[®] Ultra-4 Centrifugal Filter Unit, Merck KGaA), aliquoted, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C. Protein purity was assessed using SDS-PAGE, and the concentration was determined fluorometrically using Invitrogen Qubit (Thermo Fisher).

167 PBP1b inhibition assay using Ellman reagent

Inhibition of S. pneumoniae PBP1b was measured spectrophotometrically by measuring 168 the formation of 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoate anion (TNB²⁻) during the reaction; residual activities 169 were determined based on the ability of a potential inhibitor to prevent hydrolysis of the 170 substrate analogue thioester 2-{[(benzoyl-D-alanyl)-thio]-acetic acid} as described previously 171 (40). PBP1b (0.4 µmol L⁻¹) was incubated with the compound (final concentration 100 µmol 172 L^{-1}) in 10 mmol L^{-1} sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) in the presence of 100 mmol L^{-1} D-173 alanine, 0.01 mg mL⁻¹ BSA, and 0.01 % Triton X-100 for 60 minutes at 25 °C. After 174 preincubation, 5,5'-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB, Ellman reagent) and thioester were 175 added to initiate the reaction and reach a final concentration of 1 mmol L⁻¹ and 5 mmol L⁻¹, 176 resp. The final volume of the reaction mixture was 150 µL. Triton X-100 was added to 177 minimize the detection of false positives (promiscuous inhibitors). The initial rate of thioester 178 hydrolysis was determined by measuring absorbance at 412 nm for 30 min using a 96-well 179 microtiter plate using BioTek Synergy H4 Hybrid microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, 180 USA). The same assay was performed in the absence of the inhibitor (1 %, V/V, DMSO). 181

Aztreonam, which completely inhibits PBP1b [RA (at 500 μ mol L⁻¹) = 1.4 \pm 0.1 %; *IC*₅₀ 182 (60 min pre-incubation) = $1.2 \pm 0.1 \,\mu$ mol L⁻¹], was used as a positive control. All experiments 183 were performed in triplicate. The ratio of the reaction rate with inhibitor to the reaction rate 184without it, expressed as a percentage, gives the residual activity {RA (%) = $[(v_i - b)/(v_o - b)]$ 185 \times 100}, where b is the blank value for the initial rate of spontaneous hydrolysis of the thioester 186 in the presence of the inhibitor and in the absence of PBP1b. IC_{50} values were determined by 187 measuring the reaction rates at seven different inhibitor concentrations using a non-linear 188 regression (four-parameter model) applied in GraphPad Prism 9.0.2 (GraphPad Inc, USA). 189

190 PBP1b inhibition assay with BOCILLIN FL

A complementary assay to measure inhibition of *S. pneumoniae* PBP1b with BOCILLIN
 FL was also used (41). Fluorescence anisotropy was measured using 60 nmol L⁻¹ purified

PBP1b, 30 nmol L⁻¹ BOCILLIN FL in 100 mmol L⁻¹ sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.0 193 containing 0.01 % Triton X-100 to reduce promiscuous inhibitor detection and protein binding 194 to the plate. The assay was performed in triplicate in a volume of 50 μ L in black flat-bottom, 195 384-well microplates at 30 °C. The change in fluorescence anisotropy was measured using a 196 Biotek Synergy H4 Hybrid microplate reader with polarizing filters at excitation $\lambda = 482$ nm 197 and emission $\lambda = 530$ nm and calculated using the following equation: fluorescence anisotropy 198 $(FA) = (F_{para} - F_{perp})/(F_{para} + 2F_{perp})$, where F_{para} is the fluorescence intensity parallel to the 199 excitation plane and F_{perp} is the fluorescence intensity perpendicular to the excitation plane. 200 Residual activities were determined by preincubating the test compound (100 μ mol L⁻¹) and 201 the protein for 1 h at 30 °C before initiating the reaction by adding BOCILLIN FL. To 202 determine the residual activity, the change in FA after 30 minutes was compared to the 203 uninhibited (1 %, V/V, DMSO) control. 204

205 Inhibition of cholinesterases

The inhibitory potency of the compounds toward hBChE and hAChE was determined by 206 the Ellman method according to the procedure described previously (42). Briefly, stock 207 solutions of the compounds in DMSO containing DTNB and ChEs (final concentrations: 370 208 umol L⁻¹ DTNB, 1 nmol L⁻¹ or 50 pmol L⁻¹ recombinant hBChE, or recombinant hAChE, resp.) 209 were incubated in 0.1 mol L⁻¹ sodium phosphate pH 8.0 for 60 min at 20 °C. Reactions were 210 started by adding the substrate (final concentration equal to 500 µmol L⁻¹ butyrylthiocholine 211 iodide or acetylthiocholine iodide for hBChE and hAChE, resp.). The final DMSO 212 concentration was always 1 % (V/V). The increase in absorbance at 412 nm was monitored for 213 2 minutes using a 96-well microplate reader (BioTek Synergy H4, BioTek). The initial 214 velocities in the presence (v_i) and absence (v_o) of the test compounds were calculated. The 215 inhibitory effect was expressed as residual activity, corresponding to RA (%) = $(v_i / v_o) \times 100$. 216

217 Inhibition of 3CL^{pro}

The enzymatic activity of $3CL^{pro}$ was measured by a kinetic assay using the fluorogenic FRET substrate DABCYL-KTSAVLQSGFRKME-EDANS (CPC Scientific, USA). Experiments were performed in assay buffer containing 50 mmol L⁻¹ Tris-HCl pH 7.3, 1 mmol L⁻¹ EDTA, 0.05 % Triton X-114. Briefly, compounds were pre-incubated at a concentration of 100 µmol L⁻¹ with $3CL^{pro}$ for 30 min at 30 °C. The reaction was started by addition of substrate, and the increase in fluorescence intensity was measured using a Synergy H4 microplate reader (BioTek) at $\lambda_{ex} = 360/40$ nm and $\lambda_{em} = 440/40$ nm. The final

concentrations were as follows: compound, 100 µmol L⁻¹; substrate, 20 µmol L⁻¹; 3CL^{pro}, 50 225 nmol L⁻¹; DMSO, 10 % (V/V). For the control experiments, the compound was replaced by 226 DMSO. For the determination of "b" (blank), the enzyme was replaced by Tris-HCl buffer. 227 The initial velocities (v) were calculated from the linear trends obtained, each measurement 228 being performed in duplicate. The inhibitory potency was expressed as the residual activity RA 229 $= (v_i - b)/(v_0 - b)$, where v_i is the velocity in the presence of the test compound, and v_0 is the 230 control velocity in the presence of DMSO. To check for spectral interference, absorbance at 231 the excitation and emission wavelengths and autofluorescence were determined for the active 232 compounds in buffer solution. Boceprevir and carmofur (at 100 µmol L⁻¹ concentration in the 233 assay) were used as positive controls with a residual activity of 4.8 ± 0.5 and 34.8 ± 2.8 %, 234 resp. 235

236 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined by the broth microdilution 237 method in 96-well U plates according to CLSI guidelines and European Committee on 238 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing recommendations (44, 45). Suspensions of specific 239 bacterial strains (S. aureus ATCC 29213, E. coli ATCC 25922, K. pneumoniae RDK 070A 240 (ATCC 51503), P. aeruginosa RDK 184 (ATCC 15442), E. faecalis ATCC 29212, E. coli N43 241 (CGSC no. 5583) and E. coli D22) (CGSC no. 5163) corresponding to the 0.5-McFarland 242 turbidity standard were diluted with cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth with TES to yield 243 an end inoculum of 5×10^5 CFU mL⁻¹ for the assay. The compounds, dissolved in DMSO, and 244 the bacterial inoculum were mixed and incubated at 35 °C for 18 – 24 hours. The MIC values 245 were determined by visual inspection as the lowest dilution of the compounds that did not 246 exhibit turbidity. Tetracycline was used as a positive control on each test plate. All experiments 247 were performed in duplicate. 248

249 Thiol reactivity assay

The thiol reactivity of the compounds with DTNB was determined according to a previously published protocol (46, 47). TNB^{2–} anion was prepared *in situ* from DTNB and tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP). The reaction was performed in a 96-well microplate at 37 °C with a final volume of 300 μ L buffer (20 mmol L⁻¹ sodium phosphate buffer, 150 mmol L⁻¹ NaCl, pH 7.4) containing 100 μ mol L⁻¹ compound, 100 μ mol L⁻¹ TCEP, 25 μ mol L⁻¹ DTNB, and 1 % (*V/V*) DMSO. The plate was incubated at 37 °C in a plate reader (Synergy H4, BioTek), and absorbance was recorded at 412 nm every 5 minutes for 12 hours. Each compound was measured in duplicate, and a parallel experiment was performed without DTNB to determine the background absorbance of the compound, which was then subtracted from each measurement. The second-order rate constant *k* was calculated using the equation: $ln \frac{[A][B_0]}{[B][A_0]} = k([A_0] - [B_0])t$, where $[A_0]$ and $[B_0]$ are the initial concentrations of the compound and TNB^{2–}, resp., and [A] and [B] represent the remaining concentrations of TNB^{2–} and the compound as a function of time. Iodoacetamide was used as a positive control.

263 Buffer stability assay

The stability of the compounds in phosphate buffer was measured at different pH values 264 (at pH 7.0 and pH 8.0) as described previously (56). Stock solutions of the investigated 265 compounds were prepared in DMSO. The final concentration of each studied compound in 10 266 mmol L⁻¹ phosphate buffer was 50 μ mmol L⁻¹, with 5 % (V/V) DMSO. The reaction was carried 267 out in a 96-well microplate. The plate was incubated at 37 °C in a plate reader (Synergy H4, 268 BioTek) for 120–240 min. Absorbance values were measured in sweep mode after 0, 15, 30, 269 60, 120, 180 and 240 min using a discontinuous kinetic procedure in Gen5 software (BioTek). 270 The time required to read the entire 96-well plate was 3 min. To determine the baseline, the 271 compound solution was replaced with pure DMSO and subtracted from each reading. The 272 relative absorbance difference between the first time point and 240 min at the most responsive 273 wavelength was calculated. If the relative absorbance difference for the compound in the buffer 274 was below 0.1, between 0.1–0.2, and above 0.2, the compound was classified as stable, 275 intermediate and unstable, resp. 276

- 277
- 278

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

279 Chemical synthesis

In a previous publication, we described our initial development and optimization efforts 280 to prepare a range of desired 3-amino-4-substituted monocyclic ß-lactam intermediates using 281 Staudinger cycloaddition (48). In our quest to obtain biologically active compounds, further 282 derivatization at the C3 position and the activation of the N1 position were envisaged. 283 Primarily, N-sulfonation (18) was used as the most common activation method to obtain N1 284 activated monocyclic β -lactam derivatives, as summarized in Scheme 1. Briefly, C-3 285 pthalimido N1–SO₃⁻TBA⁺ monocylic β -lactam analogues **1–8** (detailed structures shown in 286 Supplementary Table SI) were prepared from corresponding 2,4-dimethoxybenzyl (DMB) N1 287 protected β-lactams by sulfonation with an excess of SO₃×DMF complex in anhydrous 288

dimethylformamide at room temperature (49). In some cases, the sulfonation reaction took 289 several days, despite a large excess of reagent added. Once the reaction was complete as 290 monitored by TLC analysis, the sulfonated intermediates were isolated in the form of 291 tetrabutylammonium (TBA) salts. Note that in the case of free amino analogue 5, an extra 292 synthetic manipulation was necessary to obtain the compound (catalytic hydrogenation of nitro 293 compound 4; see supplementary information for more details). We then turned our attention to 294 the preparation of novel monocyclic β -lactams with diverse substituents at the C4 position and 295 different aminothiazolemethoxime (ATMO) side-chains. We have used two different 296 approaches, starting from intermediates with either Boc or Fmoc protecting groups (Scheme 297 2). To obtain the intermediates with the desired aromatic 4-substitutions on the monocyclic β -298 lactam core, appropriate imines were first prepared by condensation of dimethoxybenzylamine 299 with aromatic aldehydes in dichloromethane at room temperature, using an excess of anhydrous 300 sodium sulphate as a drying agent (9–12). Subsequent Staudinger cycloaddition of imines with 301 activated N-phthaloylglycine (*i.e.*, in its acyl chloride form) afforded monocylic β -lactams 13– 302 16 which were easily isolated in high purity by precipitation or flash column chromatography. 303 Since deprotection of the phthalimido (Phth) group generally requires relatively harsh 304 conditions, we opted to remove it in the next step and replace it with carbamate protecting 305 groups, which can be more easily removed. To this end, the Phth protecting group was cleaved 306 with methylhydrazine and the resultant C3 free amine directly protected with tert-307 butyloxycarbonyl (Boc, compounds 17–18) or fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc, compounds 308 **19–20**) protecting group. The resultant monocyclic β -lactams were then subjected to the 309 oxidative cleavage of the N1-DMB moiety with cerium ammonium nitrate under mild 310 conditions, to afford the desired N1-H building blocks 21-24. For the Boc-protected 311 intermediates 21 and 22, the Boc protecting group was removed in high yields by trifluoroacetic 312 acid with triethylsilane used as a scavenging agent. With the free C3 aminoazetidin-2-ones in 313 hand, we initially tried to couple the selected ATMO side-chains with the aid of the common 314 amide coupling reagents, such as, e.g., HATU or TBTU. Because these efforts were 315 unproductive we turned our attention to the coupling reactions between N-hydroxysuccinimide 316 (NHS) ester modified ATMO side-chains and amines under basic conditions. 317

Surprisingly, when we subjected crude amines **21** and **22** to conditions featuring an NHSactivated ATMOs in DMF at 70 °C, a diverse set of amide compounds **25–28** was obtained. Next, the treatment with an excess of SO₃-DMF complex furnished the desired *N*-sulfonated β -lactams **29** and **30** as TBA salts. In the case of the Fmoc-protected intermediates **23–24**, we

first formed N1–SO₃⁻TBA⁺ monocyclic β -lactams 31–32, and subsequently removed the Fmoc 322 group under basic conditions. Coupling of crude amines with NHS-activated ATMO side-323 chains vielded analogues 33-37. Additionally, the less hydrophilic 2,6-324 dimethoxyphenylacetamido side-chain of methicillin was also incorporated in analogue 38. For 325 all TBA salts, the last step we envisaged was a cation exchange (to obtain the corresponding 326 sodium salts) using Dowex[®] resin (50WX8, Na⁺ form). Unfortunately, except for the 327 methicillin analogue **38** and compound **39**, the conversions of N1–SO₃⁻TBA⁺ β -lactams to the 328 corresponding Na⁺ form were unsuccessful, as the compounds likely remained bound to the 329 Dowex[®] cation exchange resin, and could not be successfully washed off. Hence, this step must 330 be optimized in the future synthetic efforts. Note that under the conditions used the products 331 of the Staudinger [2+2]-cycloaddition reaction were isolated as cis-isomers, since electron-332 withdrawing groups on the imine facilitate a direct ring closure (in turn, this also leads to better 333 yields); the *cis*-configuration of the newly synthesized monocyclic β -lactams was deduced 334 from the corresponding ¹H NMR coupling constants of the β-lactam ring hydrogens H3 and 335 H4 (see sample spectra in supporting information); for $cis-\beta$ -lactams $J_{3-4} \sim 5-6$ Hz and for 336 *trans*- β -lactams $J_{3-4} \sim 2$ Hz, consistent with the literature data (15). 337

In the case of monocyclic β -lactams with aliphatic substituents at the C4 position (*i.e.*, 338 Scheme 3), the synthesis was more challenging. Although we attempted to prepare several 339 different analogues with aliphatic substituents (e.g., cyclohexane, cyclopropyl, *iso*propyl ...), 340 only the C4 cyclohexane analogue 45 was successfuly synthesised. Whilst the synthetic 341 conditions used were largely similar to the ones described earlier, we were careful to execute: 342 (i) the imine condensation reactions on ice and use them directly without evaporation of the 343 solvent (due to increased reactivity of aliphatic aldehydes), and (ii) the cycloaddition reaction 344 at room temperature to afford a monocyclic β-lactam. Since the deprotection of the N1–DMB 345 protecting group in analogues bearing aliphatic side-chains at C4-position were unproductive, 346 we elected to utilise benzyl (Bn) group for N1 protection instead; the key N1-benzyl 347 intermediate 42 was therefore synthesized in three steps starting from commercially available 348 cyclohexanecarbaldehyde. Ammonia-free Birch reduction of 42 gave the desired intermediate 349 43 in excellent yield and with almost no by-products. Following the Boc cleavage, coupling 350 with NHS-activated ATMO side-chain and subsequent N1-sulfonation of 44, the N-sulfonated 351 monocyclic β -lactam 45 was isolated as a TBA salt. 352

Biological and reactivity evaluation of monocyclic β -lactams

Compounds of interest were first tested on PBP1b in a biochemical assay on microtiter 354 plates using a thioester analogue of the substrate (S2d) and detection with Ellman reagent at 355 $\lambda_{ABS} = 412 \text{ nm}$ (40). The first series of N1-activated monobactams we tested were TBA salts 356 of C3-phthalimido N-sulfonated β -lactams **1–8** (Supplementary material, Table SI). 357 Expectedly, these compounds did not show any inhibition of PBP1b. Then, the second series 358 featuring fully functionalised novel analogues (Table I) were evaluated in the same assay. 359 Compound 38 with a 2,6-dimethoxybenzoic acid (i.e., 'methicillin mimic') substitution at the 360 C3 position was also not active. However, other monocyclic β -lactams (e.g., **29–30**, **33–36** and 361 45) with various ATMOs attached at the C3 position showed modest PBP1b inhibitory activity. 362 Overall, the IC_{50} values measured after one hour of pre-incubation with the enzyme showed 5-363 to 15-fold less potential than positive control (*i.e.*, aztreonam, $IC_{50} = 1.3 \pm 0.4 \mu \text{mol } \text{L}^{-1}$) for 364 compounds **30**, **34** and **45** (IC_{50} s = 6.4 µmol L⁻¹, 14.8 µmol L⁻¹ and 18.7 µmol L⁻¹, resp.), while 365 the IC_{508} of the remaining analogues were about 60-fold higher. The less soluble cyano 366 derivative 33 was also not very active at a concentration of 100 μ mol L⁻¹, exhibiting only ~50 % 367 resuidual activity in both PBP1b inhibition assays. 368

The thioester assay was developed for inhibitors that follow a two-step (reversible 369 bonding - K_I, covalent bond formation - k_{inact}) covalent binding mechanism with turnover 370 corresponding to the mechanism of β -lactam inhibition (3). To confirm the results of the first 371 inhibition assay by measuring hydrolysis of the thioester substrate analogue S2d (which could 372 be problematic for other mechanism models because detection is indirect by measuring TNB²⁻), 373 we decided to additionally perform a fluorescence anisotropy assay (FA) as a secondary 374 biochemical evaluation model (51). Whilst we were only able to determine the residual activity 375 (RA) of our monocyclic β -lactam compounds in the FA assay, the results generally showed the 376 same trend as observed previously in the thioester assay. 377

The selectivity and/or reactivity of the synthesised monocyclic β -lactams was then 378 evaluated on other in-house available enzymes (Supplementary Table SII) which employ 379 catalytic serine, *i.e.*, human butyryl-/acetyl-cholinesterase (hBChE and hAChE, resp.) or 380 cysteine residue, i.e., SARS-CoV-2 main protease, i.e., 3CLpro (42). Suprisingly, ATMO-381 functionalised monocyclic β -lactams did not inhibit human serine enzymes, suggesting they 382 are likely selective for their bacterial targets. Only some compounds (e.g., 2, 3, 4 and 8), all 383 possessing a phthalimido moiety at C3 position, indicated some minimal inhibitory activity in 384 these assays (note that C3 phthalimido intermediates do not inhibit PBP). Next, we wanted to 385 confirm that the detected PBP1b inhibitors were not false positives. Based on our experiences 386

with detection of false positives due to reactivity with the thiol substrate (Ellman reagent), we 387 tested the prepared compounds in the thiol reactivity assay and successfully confirmed that 388 they are not non-specifically thiol reactive (46). Furthermore, we confirmed the stability of 389 final compounds in the phosphate buffer at different pH or assay conditions (52, 53). For this 390 purpose, we determined the stability in buffers at different pH values and found that the C3 391 phthalimido monobactams 1-8 were unstable even at neutral pH, while on the other hand, the 392 fully funtionalised monocyclic β-lactams appeared stable in the phosphate buffer (see 393 supplementary Table SIII). 394

Antibacterial activity 395

We evaluated the antibacterial activity of the monocyclic β-lactams on selected Gram-396 negative Acinetobacter baumannii 8C6 GES-14 (strain obtained from a European reference 397 laboratory, EURL-AMR, DTU, Copenhagen, Denmark) as a reference strain for process 398 control, Klebsiella pneumoniae RDK 070A (ATCC 51503), Pseudomonas aeruginosa RDK 399 184 (DSM 939; ATCC 15442)) and Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922), and Gram-positive 400 Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 29213) and Enterococcus faecalis DRK 057 (ATCC 29212) 401 bacteria. In addition to the potency of the inhibitors against bacterial enzymes, the efficacy in 402 the whole cell assays depends primarily on the success of the uptake of the inhibitors by Gram-403 positive and Gram-negative bacteria. This depends on the physicochemical properties of the 404 compounds and their ability to be substrates for efflux pumps. Therefore, in order to investigate 405 their uptake profiles, we also determined the antibacterial activity of the compounds using two 406 mutant strains of E. coli N43 (CGSC 5583) and E. coli D22 (CGSC no. 5163), the first lacking 407 an AcrAB efflux pump in its outer cell membrane and the second having a mutation in the lpxC408 gene that increases membrane permeability. Reference drug was aztreonam. 409

The C3-phtalimido protected intermediates 1-8 were inactive, as expected. However, 410 while C3-amido monocyclic β -lactams showed no significant antibacterial activity against 411 Gram-positive wild-type bacteria, moderate antibacterial activity was observed against some 412 Gram-negative wild-type bacteria (*i.e.*, *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli*, *MICs* ~ 32–64 µg mL⁻¹, see 413 Table II). Morover, when the same set of compounds was evaluated against the two mutant 414 strains, interesting results were obtained. While C3-phthalimido analogues 1-8 and the 415 methicillin analogue 38 remained inactive, the N1-sulfonate activated analogues (e.g., 29, 30 416 and **33–36**) exhibited moderate antibacterial activity particulary against the *E. coli* N43 mutant 417 strain with a deleted efflux pump, with *MICs* ranging from $1-32 \mu \text{g mL}^{-1}$ (indicating that these 418 compounds could be AcrA membrane efflux pump substrates), and to a lesser extent in the 419

strain with a mutation in the *lpxC* gene of *E. coli* D22 (*MICs* 4–32 µg mL⁻¹), compared to wildtype *E. coli* which was essentially not inhibited. Overall, in the case of the mutant strains, monocyclic β-lactam analogue **36** was particulary active (*MICs* 1–4 µg mL⁻¹), while some other compounds (**29**, **33**, **34**, **45**) also exhibited notable antibacterial activity.

424

425

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we successfully prepared a series of novel C3/C4 substituted N-sulfonated 426 monocyclic β-lactams and evaluated them *in vitro*. Incorporation of C3 aminothiazole side-427 chains improved the activity of this structural class, as expected based on our previous studies. 428 While none of the compounds were active against a representative Gram-positive strain (S. 429 aureus), methoxime and the aminooxy-2-methylpropanoic acid derivatives 30 and 35 showed 430 moderate activity against some Gram-negative bacteria (K. pneumoniae and E. coli strains). 431 The lack of significant *in vitro* activity of newly developed compounds can likely be attributed 432 to sterically bulkier and highly lipophilic substituents at the C4 position, if compared to 433 aztreonam, which bacteria can expell using efflux pump activity (as proven in the case of 434 mutant strains where our novel compounds exibited good MIC values). Overall, the results 435 provide a clear scope for futher medicinal chemistry optimization of the C4-substituted 436 monocyclic β -lactam class towards analogues which will not be hampered by the activity of 437 efflux pumps, will be sufficently lipophilic to cross the bacterial outer membrane and will exert 438 potent bactericidal effect on Gram-negative pathogens. Lastly, since β-lactamase-mediated 439 hydrolysis is an important resistance mechanism for this structural class of antibiotics, we will 440 also aim to incorporate β-lactamase testing into our future research efforts. In the follow-up of 441 this work, we will therefore try not only to further optimize the antibacterial activity and 442 cellular permeability of the best-performing compounds, but will also simultaneously assess 443 their susceptibility towards clinically relevant β -lactamases to enable even more informative 444 drug discovery and optimisation process. 445

446

Abbreviations, acronyms, symbols.- ATMO - aminothiazolemethoxime, CAN - cerium
ammonium nitrate, Bn - benzyl, Boc - *tert*-butyloxycarbonyl, DMB - 2,4-dimethoxybenzyl, Fmoc fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl, HATU - 1-[bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1*H*-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-*b*]pyridinium 3-oxide hexafluorophosphate, hAChE - human acetylcholinesterase, hBChE - human
butyrlycholinesterase, LiHDMS - lithium hexadimethylsilazane, *MIC* - minimum inhibitory
concentration, NHS - *N*-hydroxysuccinimide, PBP - penicillin-binding protein, Ptht - phtalimido, RA -

residual activity, TBA - tetrabutylammonium, TBTU - 2-(1*H*-benzotriazole-1-yl)-1,1,3,3tetramethylaminium tetrafluoroborate

Acknowledgements. - This research was funded by the Slovenian Research and Innovation Agency (ARIS), Research Core Funding P1-0208, Project N1-0169, Project Z1-4405 and a young researcher grant to K. G. We thank David Roper laboratory (University of Warwick, UK) for providing *E. coli* N43 and *E. coli* D22 mutant strains.

459 *Conflict of interest.* - The authors declare no competing financial interest in connection with this
 460 manuscript.

Supplementary data. - In the supporting information, synthetic procedures and spectroscopic data
 of all compounds described in the manuscript are available. Additionally, selected biochemical and
 biophysical data are also available.

Author contributions. - Supervision, SG; conceptualization, KG, NSB and SG; synthesis, KG and NSB; biochemical and biophysical experiments, KG, DK, MHR, MP, KB, IS; CCM and AD provided plasmid and enzyme; data analysis, AK and KG; writing, original draft preparation, KG and AK; writing, review and editing, AK, MHR and SG. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

ORCIDs. - KATARINA GRABRIJAN (0000-0002-6478-7696), NIKA STRAŠEK BENEDIK,
ALEN KRAJNC (ORCID: 0000-0001-7822-1944), KRIŠTOF BOZOVIČAR (0000-0003-0025-1734),
DAMIJAN KNEZ (0000-0001-9917-1384), MATIC PROJ (0000-0003-4043-9686), IRENA ZDOVC
(0000-0002-5419-2779), IZIDOR SOSIČ (0000-0002-3370-4587), CARLOS CONTRERASMARTEL (0000-0003-1151-5766), ANDRÉA DESSEN (0000-0001-6487-4020), MARTINA HRAST
RAMBAHER (0000-0003-0488-2445), STANISLAV GOBEC (0000-0002-9678-3083)

- 475
- 476

REFERENCES

1. C. J. Murray, K. S. Ikuta, F. Sharara, L. Swetschinski, G. Robles Aguilar, A. Gray, C. Han, C.
Bisignano, P. Rao, E. Wool, *et al.*, Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a
systematic analysis, *Lancet* **399**(10325) (2022) 629–655; <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02724-0</u>

- 2. World Health Organization, 2020 Antibacterial Agents in Clinical and Preclinical Development: An
- 482 Overview and Analysis, WHO, Geneva, April 15, 2021; <u>https://www.who.int/publications-detail-</u>
 483 redirect/9789240021303; last access May 25, 2022

- 3. A. Zervosen, E. Sauvage, J. M. Frère, P. Charlier and A. Luxen, Development of new drugs for an
 old target the penicillin binding proteins, *Molecules* 17(11) (2012) 12478–12505;
 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules171112478
- 4. P. J. Matteï, D. Neves and A. Dessen, Bridging cell wall biosynthesis and bacterial morphogenesis,
 Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 20(6) (2010) 749–755; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2010.09.014
- 5. A. J. Meeske, E. P. Riley, W. P. Robins, T. Uehara, J. J. Mekalanos, D. Kahne, S. Walker, A. C.
 Kruse, T. G. Bernhardt and D. Z. Rudner, SEDS proteins are a widespread family of bacterial cell
- 491 wall polymerases, *Nature* **537**(7622) (2016) 634–638; <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19331</u>
- 492 6. J. F. Fisher, S. O. Meroueh and S. Mobashery, Bacterial resistance to β-lactam antibiotics:
 493 compelling opportunism, compelling opportunity, *Chem. Rev.* 105(2) (2005) 395–424;
 494 https://doi.org/10.1021/cr030102i
- 495 7. E. Sauvage and M. Terrak, Glycosyltransferases and transpeptidases/penicillin-binding proteins:
 496 valuable targets for new antibacterials, *Antibiotics* 5(1) (2016) Article ID 12 (27 pages);
 497 <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics5010012</u>
- 8. E. Sauvage, F. Kerff, M. Terrak, J. A. Ayala and P. Charlier, The penicillin-binding proteins: structure
 and role in peptidoglycan biosynthesis, *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 32(2) (2008) 234–258;
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2008.00105.x
- 9. P. Macheboeuf, C. Contreras-Martel, V. Job, O. Dideberg and A. Dessen, Penicillin binding proteins:
 key players in bacterial cell cycle and drug resistance processes, *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 30(5) (2006)
 673–691; <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2006.00024.x</u>
- 504 10. W. Vollmer, D. Blanot and M. A. de Pedro, Peptidoglycan structure and architecture, *FEMS* 505 *Microbiol. Rev.* 32(2) (2008) 149–167; <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00094.x</u>
- 11. A. Fleming, On the antibacterial action of cultures of a penicillium, with special reference to their
 use in the isolation of *B. influenzae*, *Bull. World Health Organ.* **79**(8) (2001) 780–790.
- 508 12. E. P. Abraham, E. Chain, C. M. Fletcher, A. D. Gardner, N. G. Heatley, M. A. Jennings and H. W.
 509 Florey, Further observations on penicillin, *Lancet* 238(6155) (1941) 177–189;
 510 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)72122-2
- 13. World Health Organization, *WHO Report on Surveillance of Antibiotic Consumption*, WHO,
 Geneva, July 21, 2019; <u>https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/who-report-on-</u>
 <u>surveillance-of-antibiotic-consumption</u>; last access June 4, 2022
- 514 14. G. Patrick, An Introduction to Medicinal Chemistry, Oxford University Press, 6th ed., Oxford 2017

- 515 15. L. M. Lima, B. N. M. da Silva, G. Barbosa and E. J. Barreiro, β-lactam antibiotics: an overview
 516 from a medicinal chemistry perspective, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 208 (2020) Article ID 112829 (21
 517 pages); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112829
- 518 16. A. Zapun, C. Contreras-Martel and T. Vernet, Penicillin-binding proteins and β-lactam resistance, 519 *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **32**(2) (2008) 361–385; https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00095.x
- 17. S. Deketelaere, T. Van Nguyen, C. V. Stevens and M. D'hooghe, Synthetic approaches toward
 monocyclic 3-amino-β-lactams, *ChemistryOpen* 6(3) (2017) 301–319;
 https://doi.org/10.1002/open.201700051
- 18. L. Decuyper, M. Jukič, I. Sosič, A. Žula, M. D'hooghe and S. Gobec, Antibacterial and β-lactamase
 inhibitory activity of monocyclic β-lactams, *Med. Res. Rev.*, 38(2) (2018) 426–503;
 https://doi.org/10.1002/med.21443
- 526 19. D. Braga and G. Lackner, One ring to fight them all: the sulfazecin story, *Cell Chem. Biol.* 24(1)
 527 (2017) 1–2; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2017.01.001
- 20. R. B. Sykes and D. P. Bonner, Aztreonam: the first monobactam, *Am. J. Med.* 78(2) (1985) 2–10;
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9343(85)90196-2
- 530 21. F. Reck, A. Bermingham, J. Blais, V. Capka, T. Cariaga, A. Casarez, R. Colvin, C. R. Dean, A.
 531 Fekete, W. Gong, E. Growcott, H. Guo, A. K. Jones, C. Li, F. Li, X. Lin, M. Lindvall, S. Lopez, D.
 532 McKenney, L. Metzger, H. E. Moser, R. Prathapam, D. Rasper, P. Rudewicz, V. Sethuraman, X.
 533 Shen, J. Shaul, R. L. Simmons, K. Tashiro, D. Tang, M. Tjandra, N. Turner, T. Uehara, C. Vitt, S.
- 534 Whitebread, A. Yifru, X. Zang and Q. Zhu, Optimization of novel monobactams with activity against
- carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* identification of LYS228, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 28(4) (2018) 748–755; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.01.006
- 22. Z. Fei, Q. Wu, W. Gong, P. Fu, C. Li, X. Wang, Y. Han, B. Li, L. Li, B. Wu, Y. Zhao, J. Li, W.
 Zhu, W. Qiu, J. Guo, J. Zhou, Y. Li, M. Villa and C. Ming Cheung, Process development for the
 synthesis of a monobactam antibiotic—LYS228, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 24(3) (2020) 363–370;
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.oprd.9b00330
- 23. J. Blais, S. Lopez, C. Li, A. Ruzin, S. Ranjitkar, C. R. Dean, J. A. Leeds, A. Casarez, R. L. Simmons
- and F. Reck, *In vitro* activity of LYS228, a novel monobactam antibiotic, against multidrug-resistant
 Enterobacteriaceae, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 62(10) (2018) e00552-18 (10 pages);
 <u>https://doi.org10.1128/AAC.00552-18</u>
- 545 24. K. Grabrijan, N. Strašek and S. Gobec, Monocyclic beta–lactams for therapeutic uses: a patent
 546 overview (2010–2020), *Expert Opin. Ther. Pat.* **31**(3) (2020) 247–266;
 547 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/13543776.2021.1865919</u>

- 25. L. Decuyper, S. Deketelaere, L. Vanparys, M. Jukič, I. Sosič, E. Sauvage, A. M. Amoroso, O. 548 Verlaine, B. Joris, S. Gobec and M. D'hooghe, In silico design and enantioselective synthesis of 549 functionalized monocyclic 3-amino-1-carboxymethyl-β-lactams as inhibitors of penicillin-binding 550 of resistant bacteria, Chem. Eur. J. 24(57) (2018)15254-15266; proteins 551 https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201801868 552
- 26. M. F. Brown, M. J. Mitton-Fry, J. T. Arcari, R. Barham, J. Casavant, B. S. Gerstenberger, S. Han,
- J. R. Hardink, T. M. Harris, T. Hoang, M. D. Huband, M. S. Lall, M. M. Lemmon, C. Li, J. Lin, S.
- 555 P. McCurdy, E. McElroy, C. McPherson, E. S. Marr, J. P. Mueller, L. Mullins, A. A. Nikitenko, M.
- 556 C. Noe, J. Penzien, M. S. Plummer, B. P. Schuff, V. Shanmugasundaram, J. T. Starr, J. Sun, A.
- 557 Tomaras, J. A. Young, R. P. Zaniewski, Pyridone-conjugated monobactam antibiotics with gram-
- ⁵⁵⁸ negative activity, J. Med. Chem. **56**(13) (2013) 5541–5552; <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jm400560z</u>
- 27. Z. W. Li, X. Lu, Y. X. Wang, X. X. Hu, H. G. Fu, L. M. Gao, X. F. You, S. Tang, D. Q. Song,
 Synthesis and antibacterial evaluation against resistant gram-negative bacteria of monobactams
 bearing various substituents on oxime residue, *Bioorg. Chem.* 94 (2020) Article ID 103487 (12
 pages); https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2019.103487
- 28. L. Tan, Y. Tao, T. Wang, F. Zou, S. Zhang, Q. Kou, A. Niu, Q. Chen, W. Chu, X. Chen, H. Wang
 and Y. Yang, Discovery of novel pyridone-conjugated monosulfactams as potent and broadspectrum antibiotics for multidrug-resistant gram-negative infections, *J. Med. Chem.* 60(7) (2017)
 2669–2684; https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b01261
- 29. Q. Kou, T. Wang, F. Zou, S. Zhang, Q. Chen and Y. Yang, Design, synthesis and biological 567 evaluation of C(4) substituted monobactams as antibacterial agents against multidrug-resistant 568 gram-negative bacteria, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 151 (2018)98-109; 569 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.03.058 570
- 30. G. Patriarca, D. Schiavino, C. Lombardo, G. Altomonte, M. Decinti, A. Buonomo and E. Nucera,
 Tolerability of aztreonam in patients with IgE-mediated hypersensitivity to beta-lactams, *Int. J. Immunopathol. Pharmacol.* 21(2) (2008) 375–379; https://doi.org/10.1177/039463200802100215
- W. C. Reygaert, An overview of the antimicrobial resistance mechanisms of bacteria, *AIMS Microbiol.* 4(3) (2018) 482–501; <u>https://doi.org/10.3934/microbiol.2018.3.482</u>
- 32. B. R. da Cunha, L. P. Fonseca and C. R. C. Calado, Antibiotic discovery: where have we come 576 577 from, where do we go? Antibiotics **8**(2) (2019)Article ID 45 (21)pages); https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics8020045 578
- 33. R. Tommasi, D. G. Brown, G. K. Walkup, J. L. Manchester and A. A. Miller, ESKAPEing the
 labyrinth of antibacterial discovery, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 14 (2015) 529–542;
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd4572

- 34. M. Lakemeyer, W. Zhao, F. A. Mandl, P. Hammann and S. A. Sieber, Thinking outside the box—
 novel antibacterials to tackle the resistance crisis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 57(44) (2018) 14440–
 14475; https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201804971
- 35. World Health Organization, 2021 AWaRe Classification, WHO, Geneva, September 30, 2021;
 <u>https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/2021-aware-classification</u>; last access October 22, 2022
- 36. M. S. Butler, V. Gigante, H. Sati, S. Paulin, L. Al-Sulaiman, J. H. Rex, P. Fernandes, C. A. Arias,
 M. Paul, G. E. Thwaites, L. Czaplewski, R. A. Alm, C. Lienhardt, M. Spigelman, L. L. Silver, N.
 Ohmagari, R. Kozlov, S. Harbarth and P. Beyer, Analysis of the clinical pipeline of treatments for
 drug-resistant bacterial infections: despite progress, more action is needed, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 66(3) (2022) e01991-21 (20 pages); https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.01991-21
- 37. S. M. Bhavnani, K. M. Krause and P. G. Ambrose, A broken antibiotic market: review of strategies
 to incentivize drug development, *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* 7(7) (2020) ofaa083 (6 pages);
 https://doi.org10.1093/ofid/ofaa083
- 38. P. Macheboeuf, A. M. Di Guilmi, V. Job, T. Vernet, O. Dideberg and A. Dessen, Active site
 restructuring regulates ligand recognition in class A penicillin-binding proteins, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102(3) (2005) 577–582; https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0407186102
- 39. A. M. di Guilmi, A. Dessen, O. Dideberg and T. Vernet, Functional characterization of penicillin binding protein 1b from *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *J. Bacteriol.* 185(5) (2003) 1650–1658;
 https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.185.5.1650-1658.2003
- 40. C. Contreras-Martel, A. Amoroso, E. C. Y. Woon, A. Zervosen, S. Inglis, A. Martins, O. Verlaine,
 A. M. Rydzik, V. Job, A. Luxen, B. Joris, C. J. Schofield and A. Dessen, Structure-guided design of
 cell wall biosynthesis inhibitors that overcome β-lactam resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*(MRSA), *ACS Chem. Biol.* 6(9) (2011) 943–951; https://doi.org/10.1021/cb2001846
- 41. H. Newman, A.Krajnc, D. Bellini, C. J. Eyermann, G. A. Boyle, N. G. Paterson, K. E. McAuley, R.
 Lesniak, M. Gangar, F. von Delft, J. Brem, K. Chibale, C. J. Schofield and C. G. Dowson, Highthroughput crystallography reveals boron-containing inhibitors of a penicillin-binding protein with
 di- and tri-covalent binding modes, *J. Med. Chem.* 64(15) (2021) 11379–11394;
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c00717
- 42. A. Meden, D. Knez, N. Malikowska-Racia, X. Brazzolotto, F. Nachon, J. Svete, K. Sałat, U. Grošelj 611 and S. Gobec, Structure-activity relationship study of tryptophan-based butyrylcholinesterase 612 inhibitors, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 208 (2020)Article ID 112766 (21)pages); 613 https://doi.org/0.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112766 614

- 43. M. Proj, M. Hrast, D. Knez, K. Bozovičar, K. Grabrijan, A. Meden, S. Gobec and R. Frlan,
 Fragment-sized thiazoles in fragment-based drug discovery campaigns: friend or foe?, *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* 13(12) (2022) 1905–1910; https://doi.org10.1021/acsmedchemlett.2c00429
- 44. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, M07 Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial
 Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically (11th ed.), CLSI, Wayne (PA) USA, January
 2018; https://clsi.org/media/1928/m07ed11_sample.pdf; last access March 17, 2022
- 45. European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, *EUCAST: Clinical Breakpoints and Dosing of Antibiotics*, EUCAST (v 12.0, January 2022);
 <u>https://www.eucast.org/clinical breakpoints</u>; last access March 17, 2022
- 46. M. Proj, D. Knez, I. Sosič and S. Gobec, Redox active or thiol reactive? Optimization of rapid
 screens to identify less evident nuisance compounds, *Drug Discov. Today* 27(6) (2022) 1733–1742;
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2022.03.008</u>
- 47. E. Resnick, A. Bradley, J. Gan, A. Douangamath, T. Krojer, R. Sethi, P. P. Geurink, A. Aimon, G.
 Amitai, D. Bellini, J. Bennett, M. Fairhead, O. Fedorov, R. Gabizon, J. Gan, J. Guo, A. Plotnikov,
 N. Reznik, G. F. Ruda, L. Díaz-Sáez, V. M. Straub, T. Szommer, S. Velupillai, D. Zaidman, Y.
- ⁶³⁰ Zhang, A. R. Coker, C. G. Dowson, H. M. Barr, C. Wang, K. V. M. Huber, P. E. Brennan, H. Ovaa,
- F. von Delft and N. London, Rapid covalent-probe discovery by electrophile-fragment screening, J.
 Am. Chem. Soc. 141(22) (2019) 8951–8968; https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b02822
- 48. K. Grabrijan, N. Strašek and S. Gobec, Synthesis of 3-amino-4-substituted monocyclic β-lactams Important structural motifs in medicinal chemistry, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23(1) (2022) Article ID 360 (26
 pages); https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23010360
- 49. S. Carosso, R. Liu, P. A. Miller, S. J. Hecker, T. Glinka and M. J. Miller, Methodology for 636 monobactam diversification: Syntheses and studies of 4-thiomethyl substituted β-lactams with 637 activity against Gram-negative bacteria, including carbapenemase producing Acinetobacter 638 baumannii, J. Med. Chem. **60**(21) (2017)8933-8944; 639 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b01164 640
- 50. T. Lupia, C. Pallotto, S. Corcione, L. Boglione and F. G. De Rosa, Ceftobiprole perspective: Current
 and potential future indications, *Antibiotics* 10(2) (2021) Article ID 170 (11 pages);
 https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10020170
- 51. A. B. Shapiro, R. F. Gu, N. Gao, S. Livchak and J. Thresher, Continuous fluorescence anisotropy based assay of BOCILLIN FL penicillin reaction with penicillin binding protein 3, *Anal. Biochem.*
- 646 **439**(1) (2013) 37–43; <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2013.04.009</u>

- 52. J. S. Martin, C. J. MacKenzie, D. Fletcher and I. H. Gilbert, Characterising covalent warhead
 reactivity, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 27(10) (2019) 2066–2074;
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2019.04.002
- 53. A. Urbach, G. Dive, B. Tinant, V. Duval and J. Marchand-Brynaert, Large ring 1,3-bridged 2azetidinones: Experimental and theoretical studies, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 44(5) (2009) 2071–2080;
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2008.10.016
- 54. A. H. Delcour, Outer membrane permeability and antibiotic resistance, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Proteins Proteomics* 1794(5) (2009) 808–816; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2008.11.005
- 55. H. Nikaido, Molecular basis of bacterial outer membrane permeability revisited, *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 67(4) (2003) 593–656; <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.67.4.593-656.2003</u>
- 56. M. Proj, N. Strašek, S. Pajk, D. Knez and I. Sosič, Tunable heteroaromatic nitriles for selective
 bioorthogonal click reaction with cysteine, *Bioconjugate Chem* 34(7) (2023) 1271–1281,
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.3c00163
- 660
- 661
- 662

Compd. No.	Structure	PBP1b RA (%) or <i>IC</i> ₅₀ (μmol L ⁻¹)	PBP1b BOCILLIN FL RA (%)	Compd. No.	Structure	PBP1b RA (%) or <i>IC</i> ₅₀ (μmol L ⁻¹)	PBP1b BOCILLIN FL RA (%)
29	ATMO1 COOMe	$\begin{array}{c} 28.0 \pm 1.7 \\ \mu mol \ L^{\text{-1}} \end{array}$	32 ± 6	35	ATMO2 O'NSO3	$\begin{array}{c} 62.5\pm0.1\\ \mu mol \ L^{-1} \end{array}$	26 ± 4
30		$6.4 \pm 1.9 \ \mu mol \ L^{-1}$	0.5 ± 2	36	ATMO3 NO2 O NO2	$\begin{array}{c} 28.3\pm0.5\\ \mu mol \ L^{-1} \end{array}$	23 ± 4
33	ATMO1 CN	49.5 ± 3.8 %	46 ± 8	45	ATMO1 SO3	$18.7 \pm 0.4 \ \mu mol \ L^{-1}$	0.5 ± 1
34	ATMO1 NO2	$14.8 \pm 0.2 \ \mu mol \ L^{-1}$	15 ± 3		Aztreonam	$1.3 \pm 0.4 \ \mu mol \ L^{-1}$	

Table I. Residual activities of novel cis-C3/C4 functionalised N-sulfonated β -lactams isolated as TBA⁺ salts^a

^aResidual activities were determined at a concentration of 100 µmol L⁻¹ of the tested compounds in the assay after 60 min of pre-incubation with the enzyme.

ATMO₁ - 2-(2-aminothiazol-4-yl)-2-(methoxyimino)acetate, ATMO₂ - 2-(2-aminothiazol-4-yl)-2-(((1-(*ter*t-butoxy)-2-methyl-1-oxopropan-2-yl)oxy)imino)acetate, ATMO₃ - 2-(5-amino-1,2,4-thiadiazol-3-yl)-2-(ethoxyimino)acetate

Table II. Antibacterial activities of novel cis-C3/C4 functionalised N-sulfonated β -lactams isolated as TBA ⁺ salt
an aslasted wild turns and mutant Cham positive and Cham possitive hasterial studies
on selected with type and mutani Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains

Compd. No.	S. aureus	E. faecalis	A. baumannii	K. pneumoniae	P. aeruginosa	E. coli	E. coli N43ª	E. coli D22 ^b	
	Gram	positive	Gram negative						
29	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	8	16	
30	>128	64	>128	64	>128	64	8	16	
33	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	16	32	
34	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	8	32	
35	>128	>128	>128	32	>128	32	32	32	
36	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	1	4	
38	>128	/			/	>128	>128	>128	
45	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	16	32	
Aztreonam	>128	>128	16	<1	2	<1	<1	<1	

^aStrain with an *acrA* knockout (cell membrane efflux pump).

^bStrain with a mutation in the lpxC gene that increases membrane permeability.





- 680
- 681

686 rt

Reagents and conditions: a) 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)acetyl chloride, Et₃N, toluene, 70 °C; b) CH₃NHNH₂, CH₂Cl₂, rt, then fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl chloride, *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine, CH₃CN, 0 °C or Boc₂O, Et₃N, CH₃OH, rt; c) (NH₄)₂[Ce(NO₃)₆, CH₃CN/H₂O, -10 °C; d) SO₃×DMF, DMF, then K₂HPO₄⁻, nBu₄NHSO₄; e) Et₃N, CH₃CN, rt, then NHS-activated carboxylic acid side-chain, DMF, 70 °C; f) Dowex[®] (50WX8, Na⁺ form), THF/H₂O, rt; g) trifluoroacetic acid, triethylsilane, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, then NHS-activated carboxylic acid sidechain, DMF, 70 °C; h) SO₃×DMF, DMF, then K₂HPO₄⁻, nBu₄NHSO₄; i) Dowex[®] (50WX8, Na⁺ form), THF/H₂O,



Reagents and conditions: a) benzylamine, Na₂SO₄, CH₂Cl₂, rt; b) 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)acetyl chloride, Et₃N, toluene, 70 °C; c) CH₃NHNH₂, CH₂Cl₂, rt, then Boc₂O, Et₃N, CH₃OH, rt; d) SD Super FineTM (sodium 25 %, *m/m*, dispersion in mineral oil), 15-crown-5, *iso*propyl alcohol, THF, 0 °C; e) trifluoroacetic acid, triethylsilane, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, then NHS-activated carboxylic acid sidechain, DMF, 70 °C; f) SO₃×DMF, DMF, then K₂HPO₄⁻, *n*Bu₄NHSO₄







Fig. 2. Selected examples of monocyclic β -lactam compounds (β -lactam ring is highlighted in blue).