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#### Abstract

In this paper, we determine the composition series of the induced representation $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$ where $a, b, c \in$ $\mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}$ such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq a<b<c, \rho$ is an irreducible cuspidal unitary representation of a general linear group and $\sigma$ is an irreducible cuspidal representation of a classical group such that $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \rtimes \sigma$ reduces.


## 1. Introduction

The problem of determining the composition series of induced representations is important for the representation theory. Namely, classes of representations of certain interest, like irreducible, unitary, tempered representations or discrete series, are often placed inside parabolically induced representations, raising a question of their position. Despite the interest, complete description of the composition factors of induced representations is known only in some special cases, such as $[2,7,9,14,22]$, and for some low-rank groups.

This paper is a continuation of the effort from $[3,4]$ to study classes of parabolically induced representations similar to ones appearing in the MœglinTadić classification of discrete series ([11, 13]). To explain this we introduce some notation. Fix a local non-archimedean field $F$ of characteristic different than two. Let $\rho$ be an irreducible cuspidal unitary representation of some $G L(m, F)$, and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $y-x+1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. By Zelevinsky classification, the set $\Delta=\left[\nu^{x} \rho, \nu^{y} \rho\right]=\left\{\nu^{x} \rho, \ldots, \nu^{y} \rho\right\}$ is called a segment. We have a

[^0]unique irreducible subrepresentation
$$
\delta(\Delta)=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{x} \rho, \nu^{y} \rho\right]\right) \hookrightarrow \nu^{y} \rho \times \cdots \times \nu^{x} \rho,
$$
of the parabolically induced representation. If $\Delta \subseteq \Delta^{\prime}$, then $\delta(\Delta) \times \delta\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right) \cong$ $\delta\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right) \times \delta(\Delta)$ is irreducible. Set $e(\Delta)=(x+y) / 2$. Given a sequence of segments $\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{k}$, such that $e\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \geq \cdots \geq e\left(\Delta_{k}\right)>0$ and an irreducible tempered representation $\tau$, of a symplectic or (full) orthogonal group, we have a unique irreducible quotient, called the Langlands quotient
$$
\delta\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \delta\left(\Delta_{k}\right) \rtimes \tau \rightarrow L\left(\delta\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \delta\left(\Delta_{k}\right) \rtimes \tau\right)
$$
of the parabolically induced representation. Let $\rho$ be as above, and $\sigma$ an irreducible cuspidal representation of a symplectic or (full) orthogonal group such that $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \rtimes \sigma$ reduces. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}$ such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq a<b<c$. In [3], we determined composition series of induced representation
$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma .
$$

The search for composition factors relied on decomposing kernels of intertwining operators using results of [14]. This approach held in [4], where we considered an arbitrary number of segments $\Delta_{i}$. There, one of conditions was on segments involved: induced representations $\delta\left(\Delta_{i}\right) \times \delta\left(\Delta_{j}\right)$ and $\delta\left(\Delta_{i}\right) \times \widetilde{\delta\left(\Delta_{j}\right)}, i \neq j$, are to be irreducible, where ${ }^{\sim}$ stands for the contragredient. As a result, all discrete series there appeared as subrepresentations. Neither this property nor the condition holds in the present paper, where we consider composition series of induced representation

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma,
$$

even though all irreducible subquotients, including discrete series, are preserved. Moreover, decomposing kernels of intertwining operators requires more basic tools developed in [14], complicating our search.

To describe our results, we introduce some discrete series, appearing as only irreducible subrepresentations in the following induced representations (for more details see Section 3):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{a} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma, \text { and similarly for } \sigma_{b} \text { and } \sigma_{c} \text {. Further } \\
& \sigma_{b, c}^{+} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}, \quad \sigma_{b, c}^{+}+\sigma_{b, c}^{-} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma,
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly for $\sigma_{a, c}^{ \pm}$. Finally $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{ \pm} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{ \pm}$. Now we have

Theorem 1.1. Let $\psi=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$ and define representations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{1}= & \sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right), \\
W_{2}= & L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)+ \\
& L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right), \\
W_{3}= & L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right)+ \\
& \sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right), \\
W_{4}= & L(\psi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exists a sequence $\{0\}=V_{0} \subseteq V_{1} \subseteq V_{2} \subseteq V_{3} \subseteq V_{4}=\psi$, such that

$$
V_{i} / V_{i-1} \cong W_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 4
$$

Further, $W_{1}$ is chosen to be the largest possible, then $W_{2}$, and so on.
Now we describe the content of the paper.
After Preliminaries, we fix the notation in Section 3 and collect some reducibility results. Intertwining operators and an approach to decompose the induced representation are considered in Section 4. In Section 5, we determine the occuring discrete series. The remaining non-tempered candidates, not provided in Section 4, are listed in Section 6. Their occurrence is confirmed in Sections 7-9. Composition factors are described in Section 10. To determine composition series, we decompose kernels of intertwining operators in Sections 11-13, and provide the main result in Section 14.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $F$ be a local non-archimedean field of characteristic different than two. As in [13], fix a tower of symplectic or orthogonal non-degenerate $F$ vector spaces $V_{n}, n \geq 0$ where $n$ is the Witt index. We denote by $G_{n}$ the group of isometries of $V_{n}$. It has split rank $n$. Also, we fix the set of standard parabolic subgroups in the usual way. Standard parabolic proper subgroups of $G_{n}$ are in bijection with the set of ordered partitions of positive integers $m \leq n$ :
$\left\{s=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) \mid n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}=m, k>0\right\} \longleftrightarrow P_{s}$,
$P_{s}=M_{s} N_{s}, \quad$ Levi factorization with $M_{s}$ Levi factor,

$$
M_{s} \cong G L\left(n_{1}, F\right) \times \cdots \times G L\left(n_{k}, F\right) \times G_{n-m}
$$

By $\operatorname{Alg} G_{n}$ we denote smooth representations of $G_{n}$, $\operatorname{Irr} G_{n}$ irreducible representations, and subscript f.l. means finite length, $u$ unitary, and cusp cuspidal. Also denote $\operatorname{Alg} G=\cup_{n \geq 0} \operatorname{Alg} G_{n}$, and so on. We use a similar notation
for $G L(n, F)$. For $\delta_{i} \in \operatorname{Alg} G L\left(n_{i}, F\right), i=1, \ldots, k$ and $\tau \in \operatorname{Alg} G_{n-m}$, let $\pi=\delta_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta_{k} \otimes \tau \in \mathrm{Alg} M_{s}$ and

$$
\delta_{1} \times \cdots \times \delta_{k} \rtimes \tau=\operatorname{Ind}_{M_{s}}^{G_{n}}(\pi)
$$

be the representation induced from $\pi$ using normalized parabolic induction. If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Alg} G_{n}$ we denote by $\mathrm{r}_{s}(\sigma)=\mathrm{r}_{M_{s}}(\sigma)=\operatorname{Jacq}_{M_{s}}^{G_{n}}(\sigma)$ the normalized Jacquet module of $\sigma$. We have the Frobenius reciprocity

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{G_{n}}\left(\sigma, \operatorname{Ind}_{M_{s}}^{G_{n}}(\pi)\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{M_{s}}\left(\operatorname{Jacq}_{M_{s}}^{G_{n}}(\sigma), \pi\right)
$$

Let $\rho \in \operatorname{Irr}_{u, c u s p} G L$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $y-x+1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The set

$$
\Delta=\left[\nu^{x} \rho, \nu^{y} \rho\right]=\left\{\nu^{x} \rho, \ldots, \nu^{y} \rho\right\}
$$

is called a segment. We have a unique irreducible subrepresentation

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{x} \rho, \nu^{y} \rho\right]\right) \hookrightarrow \nu^{y} \rho \times \cdots \times \nu^{x} \rho,
$$

of the induced representation, and it is essentially square integrable. We also denote $e\left(\left[\nu^{x} \rho, \nu^{y} \rho\right]\right)=e\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{x} \rho, \nu^{y} \rho\right]\right)=\frac{x+y}{2}\right.$. For $y-x+1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ define [ $\left.\nu^{x} \rho, \nu^{y} \rho\right]=\emptyset$ and $\delta(\emptyset)$ is the irreducible representation of the trivial group. Let $\widetilde{\Delta}=\left[\nu^{-y} \widetilde{\rho}, \nu^{-x} \widetilde{\rho}\right]$ where $\widetilde{\rho}$ denotes the contragredient of $\rho$. We have $\delta(\Delta)^{\sim}=\delta(\widetilde{\Delta})$. By [22] if $\delta \in \operatorname{Irr} G L$ is essentially square integrable, there exists a segment $\Delta$ such that $\delta=\delta(\Delta)$. Let $\delta_{i}=\delta\left(\Delta_{i}\right), e_{i}=e\left(\delta_{i}\right), i=1,2$. We have

$$
\delta_{1} \times \delta_{2} \text { reduces } \Leftrightarrow \Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2} \text { is a segment and } \Delta_{1} \nsubseteq \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{2} \nsubseteq \Delta_{1}
$$

In that case, if $e_{1} \geq e_{2}$, the induced representation has a unique irreducible quotient, called Langlands quotient, and a unique irreducible subrepresentation. They swap positions in $\delta_{2} \times \delta_{1}$ and make composition factors. We have an exact sequence

$$
\delta\left(\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}\right) \times \delta\left(\Delta_{1} \cap \Delta_{2}\right) \hookrightarrow \delta_{1} \times \delta_{2} \rightarrow L\left(\delta_{1} \times \delta_{2}\right)=L\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)
$$

Given a sequence $\delta_{i}=\delta\left(\Delta_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, k$ such that $e\left(\Delta_{1}\right) \geq \cdots \geq e\left(\Delta_{k}\right)>0$ and $\tau \in \operatorname{Irr} G$, tempered, the Langlands quotient is a unique irreducible quotient:

$$
\delta_{1} \times \cdots \times \delta_{k} \rtimes \tau \rightarrow L\left(\delta_{1} \times \cdots \times \delta_{k} \rtimes \tau\right),
$$

and it appears with multiplicity one in the induced representation. It is also a unique irreducible subrepresentation of

$$
\widetilde{\delta_{1}} \times \cdots \times \widetilde{\delta_{k}} \rtimes \tau \cong\left(\delta_{1} \times \cdots \times \delta_{k} \rtimes \tau\right)
$$

Permuting $\delta_{i}$-s and possibly taking contragredients does not change composition factors. Every irreducible representation of $G_{n}$ can be written as a Langlands quotient.

If $\sigma$ is a discrete series representation of $G_{n}$ then by the Mœglin-Tadić, now unconditional, classification $([11,13])$, it is described by an admissible triple

$$
\left(\mathrm{Jord}, \sigma_{\text {cusp }}, \epsilon\right)
$$

Here Jord is a set of pairs $(a, \rho)$ where $\widetilde{\rho} \cong \rho \in \operatorname{Irr}_{u, \text { cusp }} G L$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, of parity depending on $\rho$, such that $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-(a-1) / 2} \rho, \nu^{(a-1) / 2} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$ is irreducible, but there exists an integer $a^{\prime}>a$, of the parity same as $a$ such that the induced representation reduces when we replace $a$ with $a^{\prime}$. We write

$$
\operatorname{Jord}_{\rho}=\{a:(a, \rho) \in \operatorname{Jord}\}
$$

and for $a \in \operatorname{Jord}_{\rho}$ let $a_{-}$be the largest element of $\operatorname{Jord}_{\rho}$ strictly less than $a$, if such exists. Next, there exists a unique, up to an isomorphism, $\sigma_{\text {cusp }} \in$ $\operatorname{Irr}_{\text {cusp }} G$, such that there exists $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr} G L$ and $\sigma \hookrightarrow \pi \rtimes \sigma_{\text {cusp }}$. It is called the partial cuspidal support of $\sigma$. Finally, $\epsilon$ is a function from a subset of Jord $\cup($ Jord $\times$ Jord $)$ into $\{ \pm 1\}$. Assume $(a, \rho) \in$ Jord and $a$ is even. Then $\epsilon(a, \rho)$ is defined, and if $a=\min \left(\operatorname{Jord}_{\rho}\right)$

$$
\epsilon(a, \rho)=1 \Leftrightarrow \exists \pi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Irr} G, \quad \sigma \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{1 / 2} \rho, \nu^{(a-1) / 2} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \pi^{\prime}
$$

while if $a_{-}$exists

$$
\epsilon(a, \rho) \epsilon\left(a_{-}, \rho\right)^{-1}=1 \Leftrightarrow \exists \pi^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Irr} G, \quad \sigma \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\left(a_{-}+1\right) / 2} \rho, \nu^{(a-1) / 2} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \pi^{\prime \prime}
$$

Now we recall the Tadić formula for computing Jacquet modules of induced representations. Let $R\left(G_{n}\right)$ be the Grothendieck group of the category of smooth representations of $G_{n}$ of finite length. It is the free Abelian group generated by classes of irreducible representations of $G_{n}$. If $\sigma$ is a smooth finite length representation of $G_{n}$ denote by s.s. $(\sigma)$ the semisimplification of $\sigma$, that is the sum of classes of composition series of $\sigma$. Put $R(G)=\oplus_{n \geq 0} R\left(G_{n}\right)$. Let $R_{0}^{+}(G)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ subspan of classes of irreducible representations. For $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2} \in R(G)$ we define $\pi_{1} \leq \pi_{2}$ if $\pi_{2}-\pi_{1} \in R_{0}^{+}(G)$. Similarly define $R(G L)=\oplus_{n \geq 0} R(G L(n, F))$. We have the map $\mu^{*}: R(G) \rightarrow R(G L) \otimes R(G)$ defined by

$$
\mu^{*}(\sigma)=1 \otimes \sigma+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \text { s.s. }\left(r_{(k)}(\sigma)\right), \sigma \in R\left(G_{n}\right)
$$

The following result derives from Theorems 5.4 and 6.5 of [18], see also Section 1. in [13]. They are based on Geometrical Lemma (2.11 of [1]).

Theorem 2.1. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Alg} g_{f . l} G$, and $\left[\nu^{x} \rho, \nu^{y} \rho\right] \neq \emptyset$ a segment. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{x} \rho, \nu^{y} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)=\sum_{\delta^{\prime} \otimes \sigma^{\prime} \leq \mu^{*}(\sigma)} \sum_{i=0}^{y-x+1} \sum_{j=0}^{i}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \delta\left(\left[\nu^{i-y} \widetilde{\rho}, \nu^{-x} \widetilde{\rho}\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{y+1-j} \rho, \nu^{y} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta^{\prime} \otimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{y+1-i} \rho, \nu^{y-j} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta^{\prime} \otimes \sigma^{\prime}$ denotes an irreducible subquotient in the appropriate Jacquet module.

Now we provide results of [10], about Jacquet modules of some irreducible representations. Consider induced representation

$$
\pi=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma
$$

where $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}_{\text {cusp }} G, \widetilde{\rho} \cong \rho \in \operatorname{Irr}_{u, \text { cusp }} G L$, such that $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \rtimes \sigma$ reduces, and $a, c \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}$, such that $c-a \geq 0$. We define terms $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)$, $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{-} ; \sigma\right)$ and $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) ; \sigma\right)$. Each of them is either an irreducible representation or zero.

If $\frac{1}{2}<-a$, then $\pi$ is irreducible and $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) ; \sigma\right)=\pi$, while other terms are zero.

If $-a \leq \frac{1}{2}$ then $\pi$ reduces. We denote by $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)$ a unique irreducible subquotient that has in its minimal standard Jacquet module at least one irreducible subquotient whose all exponents are non-negative.

If $-a=\frac{1}{2}$, then $\pi$ is of length two, $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)$ is a discrete series subrepresentation and $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) ; \sigma\right)$ is the Langlands quotient of $\pi$. The remaining term is zero.

If $-a \leq-\frac{1}{2}$, and $a=c$, then $\pi$ is a direct sum of two tempered representations, $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)$ and $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{-} ; \sigma\right)$. The remaining term is zero.

If $-a \leq-\frac{1}{2}$, and $a \neq c$, then $\pi$ is of length three. It has two discrete series representations, $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)$ and $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{-} ; \sigma\right)$, and $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) ; \sigma\right)$ is the Langlands quotient.

We have in $R(G)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma= & \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)+\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{-} ; \sigma\right)+ \\
& L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) ; \sigma\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the right hand side equals to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2}<-a: \quad L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) ; \sigma\right), \\
\frac{1}{2}=-a: \quad \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) ; \sigma\right), \\
c=a,-a \leq-\frac{1}{2}: \quad \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)+\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{-} ; \sigma\right), \\
c \neq a,-a \leq-\frac{1}{2}: \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)+\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{-} ; \sigma\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) ; \sigma\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Now we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{ \pm} ; \sigma\right)\right)=\sum_{i=-a-1}^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}-1} \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-i} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{i+1} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma  \tag{2.4}\\
& \quad+\sum_{i=-a-1}^{a} \sum_{j=i+1}^{c} \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-i} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{j+1} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{i+1} \rho, \nu^{j} \rho\right]_{ \pm} ; \sigma\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{-a-1 \leq i \leq a} \sum_{\substack{i+1 \leq j \leq a \\
i+j<-1}} \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-i} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{j+1} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \otimes L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{i+1} \rho, \nu^{j} \rho\right]\right) ; \sigma\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

If we write $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)$ for $\sigma$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)\right)=\sum_{j=-\frac{1}{2}}^{c} \delta\left(\left[\nu^{j+1} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{j} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

And for $a<\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2} \leq a<c$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu^{*}\left(L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) ; \sigma\right)\right)=\sum_{i=\frac{1}{2}}^{c} L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-i} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right), \delta\left(\left[\nu^{i+1} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right)\right) \otimes \sigma  \tag{2.6}\\
& \quad+\sum_{-a-1 \leq i \leq c} \sum_{\substack{i+1 \leq j \leq c \\
0 \leq i+j}} L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-i} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right), \delta\left(\left[\nu^{j+1} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right)\right) \otimes L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{i+1} \rho, \nu^{j} \rho\right]\right) ; \sigma\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Notation and basic Reducibilities

In this section, we fix the notation and prepare some reducibility results. Let $\rho$ be an irreducible unitary cuspidal representation of $G L\left(m_{\rho}, F\right)$ and $\sigma$ an irreducible cuspidal representation of $G_{n}$ such that $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \rtimes \sigma$ reduces. By Proposition 2.4 of [16] $\rho$ is self-dual. We consider

$$
\frac{1}{2} \leq a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}
$$

that need not be fixed, but when appearing together in a formula, we have, depending on which appears, $a<b<c$. We denote the representation we want to decompose

$$
\psi=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma .
$$

Further, we shorten some notations from (2.2):
$\sigma_{a}=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right), \quad \sigma_{b, c}^{-}=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{-} ; \sigma\right), \quad \sigma_{b, c}^{+}=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)$.
The following result is Theorem 2.3 from [14].

Theorem 3.1. With discrete series being subrepresentations, we have in $R(G)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma & =\sigma_{a}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right) \\
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma & =\sigma_{b, c}^{+}+\sigma_{b, c}^{-}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Jord}\left(\sigma_{a}\right) & =\{(2 a+1, \rho)\} \cup \operatorname{Jord}(\sigma), \\
\operatorname{Jord}\left(\sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)=\operatorname{Jord}\left(\sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right) & =\{(2 b+1, \rho),(2 c+1, \rho)\} \cup \operatorname{Jord}(\sigma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, $\epsilon_{\sigma_{a}}, \epsilon_{\sigma_{b, c}^{+}}$, and $\epsilon_{\sigma_{b, c}^{-}}$extend $\epsilon_{\sigma}$, such that $\epsilon_{\sigma_{a}}(2 a+1, \rho)=1$, and $\epsilon_{\sigma_{b, c}^{+}}(2 b+1, \rho) \stackrel{\sigma_{\sigma_{b, c}^{+}}}{ }(2 c+1, \rho)=1, \epsilon_{\sigma_{b, c}^{-}}(2 b+1, \rho)=\epsilon_{\sigma_{b, c}^{-}}(2 c+1, \rho)=-1$.

The next proposition follows from Theorem 2.1 of [14].
Proposition 3.2. We use $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}=\sigma_{a, b, c}^{+}, \sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}$and $\sigma_{a, b, c}^{-}$to denote nonisomorphic discrete series, such that in $R(G)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}=\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}+\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
& \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}=\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}+\sigma_{a, b, c}^{-}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These discrete series appear as subrepresentations in induced representations. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Jord}\left(\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}\right) & =\operatorname{Jord}\left(\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}\right)=\operatorname{Jord}\left(\sigma_{a, b, c}^{-}\right) \\
& =\{(2 a+1, \rho),(2 b+1, \rho),(2 c+1, \rho)\} \cup \operatorname{Jord}(\sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\epsilon_{\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}}, \epsilon_{\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}}$and $\epsilon_{\sigma_{a, b, c}^{-}}$extend $\epsilon_{\sigma}$ such that
$\epsilon_{\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}}(2 a+1, \rho)=1, \quad \epsilon_{\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}}(2 b+1, \rho)=1, \quad \epsilon_{\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}}(2 c+1, \rho)=1$,
$\epsilon_{\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}}(2 a+1, \rho)=1, \quad \epsilon_{\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}}(2 b+1, \rho)=-1, \quad \epsilon_{\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}}(2 c+1, \rho)=-1$, $\epsilon_{\sigma_{a, b, c}^{-}}(2 a+1, \rho)=-1, \quad \epsilon_{\sigma_{a, b, c}^{-}}(2 b+1, \rho)=-1, \quad \epsilon_{\sigma_{a, b, c}^{-}}(2 c+1, \rho)=1$.

Observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu^{*}\left(\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}\right) \geq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{c}+\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{a}, \\
& \mu^{*}\left(\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}\right) \geq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{a},  \tag{3.1}\\
& \mu^{*}\left(\sigma_{a, b, c}^{-}\right) \geq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{c} .
\end{align*}
$$

We finished introducing notation and state some more reducibility results.
Here is a consequence of Theorem 6.3 of [17], see also section 3 there.
Proposition 3.3. We have in $R(G)$, with multiplicity one:

$$
\nu^{a} \rho \times \cdots \times \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rtimes \sigma \geq \sigma_{a}
$$

The next lemma follows from Theorem 5.1 (ii) of [14].

Lemma 3.4. We have in $R(G)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}= & \sigma_{a, b}^{+}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right), \text { and } \\
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}= & \sigma_{a, b}^{+}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)+ \\
& L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 2.4 of [3] we have
Lemma 3.5. We have in $R(G)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{ \pm}=\sigma_{b, c, a}^{ \pm}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{ \pm}\right), \text {so }  \tag{3.2}\\
\mu^{*}\left(\sigma_{b, c, a}^{ \pm}\right) \geq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{b, c}^{ \pm} . \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The next is Proposition 3.2 of [8].
Theorem 3.6. With discrete series being a subrepresentation, we have in $R(G)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}= & \sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right) \\
& +L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we have the main result of [3].
Theorem 3.7. With discrete series being a subrepresentation, we have in $R(G)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma \\
& \quad= L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right)+\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}+\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-} \\
& \quad+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Decomposing mixed case

As we are interested in the composition series of induced representations, we shall need a result that follows from proofs of Theorems 2-1 and 2-6 from [5].

Theorem 4.1. There exists a contravariant exact functor:

$$
\operatorname{Alg} G_{n} \xrightarrow{\wedge} \operatorname{Alg} G_{n}
$$

such that

$$
\hat{\pi} \cong \pi, \quad \pi \in \operatorname{Irr} G_{n}
$$

and if $\delta_{i} \in \operatorname{Irr} G L\left(n_{i}, F\right), i=1, \ldots, k, m=n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}$ and $\tau \in \operatorname{Irr} G_{n-m}$ we have

$$
\left(\delta_{1} \times \cdots \times \delta_{k} \rtimes \tau\right)^{\wedge} \cong \widetilde{\delta_{1}} \times \cdots \times \widetilde{\delta_{k}} \rtimes \tau
$$

Proof. We follow the same lines as in proofs of Theorems 2-1 and 26 from [5]. If $G_{n}$ is orthogonal, then $\wedge$ is just contragredient. If $G_{n}$ is symplectic, by a result of Waldspurger (Chapter 4, II. 1 of [12]), for any element $\eta \in \operatorname{GSp}(2 n)$ of similitude -1 , and $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr} G_{n}$ we have $\widetilde{\pi} \cong \pi^{\eta}$, where $\pi^{\eta}(g)=\pi\left(\eta g \eta^{-1}\right)$. Now choose an element of the form $\eta=\left(i d, \eta^{\prime}\right) \in$ $G L(n, F) \times G S p(0, F)=G L(n, F) \times F^{\times}$, identified with the Levi subgroup of the appropriate maximal parabolic subgroup of $G S p(2 n, F)$, where $\eta^{\prime}$ is an element with similitude equal to -1 , as $\eta$ is. For $\wedge=\eta \circ \sim$, we have

$$
\left(\delta_{1} \times \cdots \times \delta_{k} \rtimes \tau\right)^{\sim \eta} \cong\left(\widetilde{\delta_{1}} \times \cdots \times \widetilde{\delta_{k}} \rtimes \widetilde{\tau}\right)^{\eta} \cong \widetilde{\delta_{1}} \times \cdots \times \widetilde{\delta_{k}} \rtimes \tau
$$

Consider some standard intertwining operators


We denoted $\psi=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$. Also for all $i \geq 1$ denote $K_{i}=\operatorname{Ker} f_{i}$, and $H_{i}=\operatorname{Ker} g_{i}$. By Theorems 3.1 and 4.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1} \cong H_{3}^{\wedge} \cong \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}+\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-} \\
& K_{2} \cong H_{2}^{\wedge} \cong \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-c} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma, \\
& K_{3} \cong H_{1}^{\wedge} \cong \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-c} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

and no kernel contains $L(\psi)$. Thus we have:

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{3} \circ \cdots \circ f_{0}\right) \cong L(\psi) \cong \operatorname{Im}\left(g_{3} \circ \cdots \circ g_{0}\right)
$$

and the diagram is commutative up to a constant. We have in $R(G)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall i \quad K_{i} \leq \psi \leq K_{1}+K_{2}+K_{3}+L(\psi) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The composition factors of $K_{2}$ and $K_{3}$ are determined by Theorems 3.6 and 3.7. So in search of remaining subquotients, we need to decompose $K_{1}$. After
that, we determine the multiplicities of all subquotients of $\psi$. Note that by Lemma 8.1 of [13], all tempered subquotients of $\psi$ are discrete series.

## 5. Discrete series subquotients

Here we determine discrete series subquotients in three induced representations

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) & \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma \\
& \geq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}+\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}, \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where the inequality follows from Theorem 3.1. We start with candidates.
Lemma 5.1. Only possible discrete series subquotients appearing in

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma
$$

are $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}, \sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}$and $\sigma_{a, b, c}^{-}$.
Proof. Consider cuspidal support of the induced representation and Mœglin Tadić classification of discrete series. Since $\nu^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}} \rho$ appears 3 times in the cuspidal support, possible discrete series are subrepresentations of a representation of the form

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-y} \rho, \nu^{z} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{x},
$$

for some $\frac{1}{2} \leq x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}$, where either $0<x<y<z$ or $0<y<z<x$, and $\sigma_{x}$ is a unique irreducible subrepresentation of $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{x} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$. We look at the first case. Here $z$ is the largest such that $\nu^{ \pm z} \rho$ appears once in the cuspidal support, so we must have $z=c$. Further, $y$ is the largest such that $\nu^{ \pm y} \rho$ appears two times in the cuspidal support, so it must be $b$. Finally, $x$ is the largest such that $\nu^{ \pm x} \rho$ appears three times in the cuspidal support, so it must be $a$. Same reasoning goes for the second case, where we have $x=c$, $z=b$, and $y=a$. By Theorem 2.1 of [14], we look for subrepresentations
$\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+} \oplus \sigma_{a, b, c}^{-} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c} \quad$ and $\quad \sigma_{b, c, a}^{+} \oplus \sigma_{b, c, a}^{-} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}$,

To determine which of these discrete series do appear in (5.1), and what are their multiplicities, we need a couple of lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. We have in $R(G)$, with maximum multiplicity

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) & \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma  \tag{5.2}\\
& \geq 1 \cdot \sigma_{b, c}^{+}+1 \cdot \sigma_{b, c}^{-}+1 \cdot L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Check multiplicity two of $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma$ and one of $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-c} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma$ in $\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)$ and use Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 5.3. We have in $R(G)$, with maximum multiplicities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \times\right. & \left.\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right) \\
& \geq 1 \cdot \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}+1 \cdot \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The claim can be deduced from (2.1) and Lemma 5.2.
Lemma 5.4. We have in $R(G)$, with maximum multiplicities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right) \geq & 1 \cdot \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{c}+1 \cdot \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+} \\
& +0 \cdot \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By (2.1) consider $0 \leq s \leq r \leq b+\frac{1}{2}, \delta^{\prime} \otimes \sigma^{\prime} \leq \mu^{*}\left(\sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{r-b} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{b-s+1} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta^{\prime} \otimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{b+1-r} \rho, \nu^{b-s} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma^{\prime} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we look for $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{c}$. Observe that $\nu^{c} \rho$ is not in a cuspidal support of $\delta^{\prime}$, so in (2.4) we have $j=c$ and

$$
\delta^{\prime} \otimes \sigma^{\prime} \leq \sum_{i=-a-1}^{a} \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-i} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{i+1} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)
$$

Searching for $\nu^{-a} \rho$ in cuspidal support in (5.3), left of $\otimes$, we have options

- $r-b=-a$, so $b+1-s>\frac{1}{2}$, and we have $i=-\frac{1}{2}, s=b-a$ and $\sigma^{\prime}=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)=\sigma_{c}$.
- $b+1-s=-a$, so $s>b+\frac{1}{2}$ and this is not possible.
- $-i=-a$, this is not possible since $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{a+1} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)$ is zero.

Looking for $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}$, we have $r=b+\frac{1}{2}$ and $s=0$. Thus we search in

$$
\delta^{\prime} \otimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma^{\prime}
$$

Now in (2.4) we have $j=c$ and $i=-\frac{1}{2}$, so $\sigma^{\prime}=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)=\sigma_{c}$. But, $\sigma_{b, c}^{-} \not \leq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}$, and $\sigma_{b, c}^{+}$appears there once, by Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 5.5. We have in $R(G)$, with maximum multiplicities:

$$
\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}\right) \geq 0 \cdot \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{c}+0 \cdot \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}
$$

Proof. The proof goes as in Lemma 5.4, with the difference that one now obtains $\sigma^{\prime}=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}}, \rho, \nu^{c}\right]_{-} ; \sigma\right)$, but the term on the right-hand side is zero, by (2.2).

Now we determine all discrete series that appear on the left-hand side of (5.1).

Proposition 5.6. Writting all discrete series, with multiplicities, we have in $R(G)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) & \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma \geq 1 \cdot \sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}+1 \cdot \sigma_{b, c, a}^{-} \\
& \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+} \geq 1 \cdot \sigma_{b, c, a}^{+} \\
& \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By (5.1) and Lemma 5.1, only possible discrete series subquotients in all of these representations are $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}, \sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}$and $\sigma_{a, b, c}^{-}$. By Theorem 3.7 and (4.1), $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}$and $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}$appear in $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$. Lemmas 3.5 and 5.3 show that they appear with multiplicity one. Now (3.1) and Lemma 5.4 show that we have only one discrete series in $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}$, which is $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}$, and none in the $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}$, by Lemma 5.5. Since we have no $\sigma_{a, b, c}^{-}$in neither $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}$nor $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}$, Theorems 3.6 and 3.7, and (4.1), show that we have no $\sigma_{a, b, c}^{-}$in $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$.

## 6. NON-TEMPERED CANDIDATES

As noted in Section 4, we search for possible remaining non-tempered subquotients in

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}+\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-} .
$$

We have
Proposition 6.1. If $\pi$ is a non-tempered subquotient of $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right)$ $\rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}$, different from its Langlands quotient, then $\pi$ is either $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes\right.$ $\left.\sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)$or $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)$.

Proof. We use Lemma 2.2 of [14] (in terms of that lemma $\pi \leq$ $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-l_{1}} \rho, \nu^{l_{2}} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma,-l_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, l_{2}=b$ and $\left.\sigma=\sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right)$. So we look for possible embeddings

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-\alpha_{1}} \rho, \nu^{\beta_{1}} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \pi^{\prime} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}<0$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ is irreducible. By the lemma, there exists an irreducible representation $\sigma_{1}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu^{*}\left(\sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right) \geq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{\beta_{1}} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{1}  \tag{6.2}\\
\pi^{\prime} \leq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\alpha_{1}+1} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and we must have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{1}{2} \leq \beta_{1}  \tag{6.3}\\
b \geq \alpha_{1}>\beta_{1},-\frac{1}{2} \\
\alpha_{1} \geq \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have two possible cases:
a) $\beta_{1}=-\frac{1}{2}$. Now $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{a, c}^{+}$.

- Assume that $\pi^{\prime}$ is tempered. We may take $2 \alpha_{1}+1 \in \operatorname{Jord}_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right)$. Now $\alpha_{1}=c$ is not possible, since that would imply $b \geq c$. Thus $\alpha_{1}=a$, and

$$
\pi^{\prime} \leq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{a+1} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}
$$

Looking at the cuspidal support on the right hand side, Lemma 8.1 of [13] implies that $\pi^{\prime}$ is a discrete series. Thus $\pi^{\prime}=\sigma_{b, c}^{+}$or $\pi^{\prime}=\sigma_{b, c}^{-}$. By Section 8. of [20], we have $\pi^{\prime}=\sigma_{b, c}^{+}$. So (6.1) is written as

$$
\pi \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+} .
$$

Thus, we have $\pi \cong L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)$.

- If $\pi^{\prime}$ is not tempered, by Lemma 2.2 of [14], there exist $\beta_{2}+1 \in$ $\operatorname{Jord}_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right)$and $\left(\beta_{2}+1\right)_{-}=\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{-}+1 \in \operatorname{Jord}_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right)=\{2 a+1,2 c+1\}$, such that (in terms of the lemma $\alpha_{1} \leq\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{-}<\beta_{2}<\alpha_{2} \leq l_{2}$ ) we have

$$
\alpha_{1} \leq a<c<\alpha_{2} \leq b
$$

So $c<b$, but this is a contradiction.
b) $\beta_{1}>\frac{1}{2}$. Then, by the lemma, $2 \beta_{1}+1 \in \operatorname{Jord}_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right)=\{2 a+1,2 c+1\}$.

Since

$$
c>b \geq \alpha_{1}>\beta_{1},-\frac{1}{2}
$$

we have $\beta_{1}=a$ and $\alpha_{1}>a$. Now (6.2) gives

$$
\mu^{*}\left(\sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right) \geq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{1}
$$

To determine $\sigma_{1}$, we look at $\mu^{*}\left(\sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right)$. In (2.4) it is necessary to pick $j=c$ and $i=-\frac{1}{2}$, and we have

$$
\sigma_{1}=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)=\sigma_{c}
$$

So far, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\pi \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-\alpha_{1}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \pi^{\prime}  \tag{6.4}\\
\pi^{\prime} \leq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\alpha_{1}+1} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c} \\
a<\alpha_{1} \leq b
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $\alpha_{1}=b$ then $\pi^{\prime} \cong \sigma_{c}$ and $\pi \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}$, so

$$
\pi \cong L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)
$$

as expected. Thus, we assume

$$
a<\alpha_{1}<b
$$

Since $\operatorname{Jord}_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{c}\right) \cap\left[2 \alpha_{1}+1,2 b+1\right]=\{2 c+1\} \cap\left[2 \alpha_{1}+1,2 b+1\right]=\emptyset$, Proposition 3.1 ii) of [14] implies that $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\alpha_{1}+1} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}$ is irreducible. So

$$
\pi^{\prime} \cong \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\alpha_{1}+1} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c} \cong \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{-\alpha_{1}-1} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}
$$

and finally

$$
\pi \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-\alpha_{1}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{-\alpha_{1}-1} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c} .
$$

Now, by Lemma 5.5 of [6], either $\pi \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}$ or there exists an irreducible representation $\pi^{\prime \prime} \leq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-\alpha_{1}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}$ such that

$$
\pi \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{-\alpha_{1}-1} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \pi^{\prime \prime}
$$

The second case is not possible since, similar to (6.3), we would obtain $-\frac{1}{2} \leq$ $-\alpha_{1}-1\left(\leq-\frac{1}{2}-1\right)$, a contradiction.
Using the same methods as above, we obtain
Proposition 6.2. If $\pi$ is a non-tempered subquotient of $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right)$ $\rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}$, different from its Langlands quotient, then $\pi$ is $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right)$.

$$
\text { 7. Multiplicity of } L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{ \pm}\right)
$$

Here we write explicitly $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{ \pm}\right)$as a non-tempered subquotient of $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{ \pm}$, different from its unique Langlands quotient, as claimed by Lemma 6.2 of [15]. We start with a couple of lemmas.

Lemma 7.1. Discrete series $\sigma_{b, c}^{+}$and $\sigma_{b, c}^{-}$appear with multiplicity one in equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \cdot \sigma_{b, c}^{+} & \leq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{a+1} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}, \\
1 \cdot \sigma_{b, c}^{-} & \leq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{a+1} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}, \\
1 \cdot \sigma_{b, c}^{+}+1 \cdot \sigma_{b, c}^{-} & \leq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{a+1} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. This follows from Section 8. of [20] and (2.1).
The next two lemmas follow.
Lemma 7.2. Both $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}$and $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}$appear with multiplicity one in $\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)$.

Lemma 7.3. For $\epsilon= \pm$ irreducible representation $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{b, c}^{\epsilon}$ appears with multiplicity one in $\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{\epsilon}\right)$.

Using Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3 we have the following result.
Proposition 7.4. With all multiplicities being one, we have in $R(G)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+} & \geq 1 \cdot L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right) \\
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-} \geq & 1 \cdot L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right) \\
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma \geq & 1 \cdot L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right) \\
& +1 \cdot L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 8. Multiplicity of $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)$

By Theorem 3.6 and (4.1), this subquotient does appear in $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$, but also as a candidate in $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}$, by Proposition 6.1, so we need to check its multiplicity. We want to obtain a subquotient in some of its Jacquet module, used to identify it.

First we state a result of Proposition 3.9 of [21].
Lemma 8.1. In appropriate Grothendieck group we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \times \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \rtimes \sigma= & \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]_{+} ; \sigma\right)+\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]_{-} ; \sigma\right) \\
& +L\left(\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rtimes \sigma_{\frac{1}{2}}\right)+L\left(\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \times \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \rtimes \sigma\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 8.2. We have in $R(G)$, with multiplicity one

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma \geq 1 \cdot L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right)
$$

Proof. By Lemma 8.1 we may assume $b \geq \frac{3}{2}$. Obviously, $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right)\right.$ $\rtimes \sigma_{b}$ ) does appear as a subquotient, so we need to prove multiplicity one. Let us denote

$$
\pi=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{3}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{3}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \otimes L\left(\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \rtimes \sigma_{\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

It is enough to see that $\pi$ appears in both
i) $\mu^{*}\left(L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right)\right) \quad$ and $\quad$ ii) $\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)$, and that its multiplicity is one in ii).
We start with i). By Theorem 5.1 of [14] ii), and Lemma 5.4 there, we have in $R(G)$

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}=\sigma_{\text {tem } p}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right),
$$

where $\sigma_{\text {temp }}$ is an irreducible tempered subquotient of $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$. By $(2.4), \pi \not \leq \mu^{*}\left(\sigma_{\text {temp }}\right)$. On the other hand, by $(2.5) \mu^{*}\left(\sigma_{b}\right) \geq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{3}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{\frac{1}{2}}$, so from (2.1) we have

$$
\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right) \geq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{3}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{3}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \rtimes \sigma_{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \pi
$$

To we prove ii), look for $0 \leq i \leq j \leq b+\frac{1}{2}$ and $0 \leq s \leq r \leq b+\frac{1}{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{3}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{3}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \leq & \delta\left(\left[\nu^{i-b} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{b+1-j} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \\
& \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{r-b} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{b+1-s} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
L\left(\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \rtimes \sigma_{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{b+1-i} \rho, \nu^{b-j} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{b+1-r} \rho, \nu^{b-s} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma
$$

The first equation implies $i=r=b+\frac{1}{2}$ and $j=s=b-\frac{1}{2}$. The second is

$$
L\left(\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \rtimes \sigma_{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \times \nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \rtimes \sigma
$$

Multiplicity one in the second follows from Lemma 8.1.

Lemma 8.3. We have in $R(G)$, with multiplicity one

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma \geq 1 \cdot L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)
$$

Proof. Use Lemma 3.4, (2.1) and (2.4) to show that $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{b+1} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \otimes$ $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right)$ appears in $\mu^{*}\left(L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)\right)$, and Lemma 8.2 that it appears once in $\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)$.

Now we provide a subquotient that can be used to identify $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right)\right.$ $\rtimes \sigma_{c}$ ).

Lemma 8.4. We have in $R(G)$

$$
\mu^{*}\left(L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)\right) \geq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)
$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.2, we have

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}=\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}+\sigma_{a, b, c}^{-}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)
$$

To prove the claim, one can use (2.1) and a square integrability criterium, see the end of Section 2 of [19].

Lemma 8.5. We have in $R(G)$, with maximum multiplicity
$\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right) \geq 1 \cdot \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)$.
Proof. Use (2.1) and Lemma 8.3.
Lemma 8.6. We have in $R(G)$, with maximum multiplicity

$$
\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right) \geq 1 \cdot \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)
$$

Proof. By $(3.1) \mu^{*}\left(\sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right) \geq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{c}$. The inequality follows by (2.1) and multiplicity one by (5.1) and Lemma 8.5.

Finally we have
Proposition 8.7. Both induced representations

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma \text { and } \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}
$$

contain $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)$ as a subquotient, with multiplicity one.
Proof. Theorem 3.6 and (4.1) give existence in the first representation, and Lemmas 8.4 and 8.5 multiplicity one. For the second, use (5.1), and Lemma 8.6.

## 9. Multiplicity of $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right)$

We observe that by Theorems 3.6 and $3.7, L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right)$ appears two times in (4.1). So we determine its multiplicity. First we state a result that we use later.

Lemma 9.1. We have in $R(G)$, with multiplicity one
$\mu^{*}\left(L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right)\right) \geq 1 \cdot \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)$.
Proof. Denote the subquotient by $\pi$. By (2.5)

$$
\mu^{*}\left(\sigma_{a}\right) \geq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma
$$

so

$$
\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right) \geq \pi .
$$

Comparing Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.5, it is enough to show

$$
\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{ \pm}\right) \nsupseteq \pi .
$$

So by (2.1) consider $0 \leq j \leq i \leq a+\frac{1}{2}, \delta^{\prime} \otimes \sigma^{\prime} \leq \mu^{*}\left(\sigma_{b, c}^{ \pm}\right)$and

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{i-a} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{a-j+1} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta^{\prime} \otimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{a+1-i} \rho, \nu^{a-j} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma^{\prime}
$$

As $\delta^{\prime}$ should not contain neither $\nu^{b} \rho$ nor $\nu^{c} \rho$ in its cuspidal support, by (2.4), we have $\delta^{\prime} \otimes \sigma^{\prime}=1 \otimes \sigma_{b, c}^{ \pm}$, and $i=j=a+\frac{1}{2}$, giving $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{b, c}^{ \pm} \nsupseteq \pi$.

Proposition 9.2. We have in $R(G)$, with multiplicity one

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma \geq 1 \cdot L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right)
$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-c} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{a}$ appears with multiplicity one in $\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)$.

## 10. Composition factors

Here we determine composition factors of the kernel $K_{1}$ from Section 4.
Proposition 10.1. We have in $R(G)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}= & L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right)+\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+} \\
& +L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Discrete series subquotients are determined in Proposition 5.6. Remaining irreducible subquotients are described by Propositions 6.1, 7.4 and 8.7.

Proposition 10.2. We have in $R(G)$

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}=L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right) .
$$

Proof. Possible discrete series subquotients are discussed in Proposition 5.6. Remaining irreducible subquotients are described by Propositions 6.2 and 7.4.

Theorem 10.3. We have in $R(G)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times & \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma=L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right) \\
& +L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right) \\
& +\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right) \\
& +L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right) \\
& +L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right) \\
& +\sigma_{a, b, c}^{+}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By (4.1), Theorems 3.6 and 3.7, and Propositions 10.1 and 10.2, we listed all irreducible subquotients, up to multiplicities. Proposition 5.6 shows multiplicity one for discrete series. Propositions 7.4, 8.7 and 9.2 , show multiplicity one for $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right), L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)$, $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right)$, and $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right)$. Remaining irreducible subquotients appear with a multiplicity one in $K_{1}+K_{2}+K_{3}+L(\psi)$, on the right hand side of (4.1), by Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 , and Propositions 10.1 and 10.2 .
11. Composition series of $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}$AND $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}$.

Here we determine the composition series of the kernel $K_{1}$, from Section 4. For the first representation, we show that a discrete series is a subrepesentation, and use intertwining operators to position other subquotients.

The first lemma follows directly from (2.4) and (2.5).
Lemma 11.1. We have in $R(G)$, with maximum multiplicities:

$$
\mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right) \geq 2 \cdot \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{c}
$$

The next proposition gives positions of both $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}$and $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right)\right.$ $\rtimes \sigma_{c}$ ).

Proposition 11.2. We have embeddings

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+} & \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c} / \sigma_{a, b, c}^{-} \\
& \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 2-6. of [5] and Lemma 3.4 we have an epimorphism

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c} \rightarrow \sigma_{a, c}^{+} .
$$

Now we have a composition of an embedding and an epimorphism

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c} & \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}  \tag{11.1}\\
& \rightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+} .
\end{align*}
$$

By Propositions 3.2, and 5.6 and Lemma 11.1, all representations in (11.1), have $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}$as a subquotient, with multiplicity one, and the last doesn't contain $\sigma_{a, b, c}^{-}$.

Now we want to see a position of $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)$. First we state two lemmas that can be proved using (2.1), (2.4), Proposition 3.2, and Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 11.3. We have in $R(G)$, with multiplicity one:
$(11.2) \mu^{*}\left(L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)\right) \geq 1 \cdot \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \otimes L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)$.
Lemma 11.4. We have in $R(G)$, with maximum multiplicities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu^{*}\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right) \\
& \quad \geq 1 \cdot \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}+1 \cdot \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \otimes L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The next proposition gives position of $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)$
Proposition 11.5. There exists an embedding

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+} .
$$

Proof. Denote $\pi=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}$. By Lemma 3.4, we have

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c} \cong \pi
$$

and by (3.2)

$$
\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right) \stackrel{R(G)}{=} \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+} \hookrightarrow \pi .
$$

It is enough to show that both $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}$and $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)$appear in $\pi$ once. This follows by Lemmas 3.5, 11.3 and 11.4.

Finally we have the following result.
Proposition 11.6. Induced representation $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}$has a unique irreducible subrepresentation and unique quotient. We have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right) & \hookrightarrow \\
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+} / \sigma_{a, b, c}^{+} & \rightarrow L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Composition factors are determined by Proposition 10.1. Position of irreducible subquotients are determined by Propositions 3.2, 11.2, 3.5 and 11.5.

By Theorem 4.1, we have
Corollary 11.7. Induced representation $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}$has a unique irreducible subrepresentation and a unique irreducible quotient. We have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right) \hookrightarrow \\
& \quad \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+} / L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \sigma_{a, b, c}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we state the composition series of $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}$as a direct consequence of Proposition 10.2.

Proposition 11.8. We have a non split exact sequence

$$
L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right) \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-} \rightarrow L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}\right)
$$

By Theorem 4.1, we have
Corollary 11.9. We have a non split exact sequence
$L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}\right) \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-} \rightarrow L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right)$.
12. Composition series of $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-c} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$

Here we determine the composition series of the kernel $K_{2}$ from Section 4.
Proposition 12.1. Induced representation
$\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-c} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$ has exactly one irreducible subrepresentation, and two irreducible quotients. We have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+} & +L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)+\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-} \\
& \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-c} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma / L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right) \\
& \rightarrow L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Denote the induced representation by $\pi$. By Theorem 3.7, $\pi$ is a multiplicity one representation with irreducible subquotients listed in the claim. Consider embeddings

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-c} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a} \hookrightarrow \pi, \\
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \times L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right) \hookrightarrow \pi, \\
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right) \hookrightarrow \hat{\pi}, \\
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{ \pm} \hookrightarrow \hat{\pi} .
\end{array}
$$

We shall describe representations on the left and the claim will follow.

- By Proposition 3.2, $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-c} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}$ has a unique irreducible subrepresentation

$$
L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right), \text { and two irreducible quotients } \sigma_{b, c, a}^{ \pm}
$$

- By Corrolary 4.1 of $[3], \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \times L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)$ is a quotient of $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$ containing a unique irreducible subrepresentation $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right)$ and a quotient

$$
L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)
$$

- By Corrolary 4.1 of $[3], \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)$ is a quotient of $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$, containing a unique irreducible quotient

$$
L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)
$$

and two irreducible subrepresentations: $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{ \pm}\right)$. Thus $\pi$ has a quotient, containing two irreducible quotients $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{ \pm}\right)$and a unique irreducible subrepresentation $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)$.

- Similarly, by Lemma 3.5, for every $\epsilon \in\{+,-\} \pi$ has a quotient, containing a unique irreducible subrepresentation $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{\epsilon}$ and a unique irreducible quotient

$$
L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{\epsilon}\right)
$$

By Theorem 4.1, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 12.2. Induced representation $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right)$ $\rtimes \sigma$ has exactly two irreducible subrepresentations and one irreducible quotient. We have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+} & +L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \times \sigma\right)+\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-} \\
& \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma \\
& \quad /\left(L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right)\right) \\
& \rightarrow L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

13. Composition series of $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}$

Here we determine composition series of the kernel $K_{3} \cong \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-c} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right)$ $\rtimes \sigma_{b}$ from Section 4. First we determine subrepresentations.

Proposition 13.1. We have a unique subrepresentation

$$
\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b} .
$$

Proof. Compare Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 11.2 with

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma .
$$

Now we determine position of $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right)$.
Lemma 13.2. We have in $R(G)$, with maximum multiplicities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a} \\
& \quad \geq 1 \cdot \sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}+1 \cdot \sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}+1 \cdot L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right), \\
& \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, b}^{+} \\
& \quad \geq 1 \cdot \sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}+0 \cdot \sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}+1 \cdot L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.2, $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{ \pm}$appears in the first formula. For the multiplicity one use (2.1), (3.3) and (5.2) to search for $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \otimes \sigma_{b, c}^{ \pm}$. For the third summand use Lemmas 3.4 and 9.1. Similarly show the second formula.

Lemma 13.3. We have an embedding

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, b}^{+}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 we have composition of an embedding and an epimorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b} & \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b} \\
& \rightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, b}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, Theorem 3.6, and Lemma 13.2, imply that all representations here have $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}$as a subquotient, with multiplicity one. By Proposition 13.1, $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}$is a unique irreducible subrepresentation of $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}$. The claim follows.

Lemma 13.4. We have an embedding

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a} / \sigma_{b, c, a}^{-} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, b}^{+} .
$$

Proof. Consider a composition of an embedding and an epimorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a} & \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a} \\
& \rightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, b}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition $3.2 \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}=\sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}+\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right)$, with discrete series being subrepresentations. Apply Lemma 13.2.

The next proposition gives a position of $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right)$.

Proposition 13.5. We have an embedding

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a} / \sigma_{b, c, a}^{-} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b} .
$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.6 we have in $R(G)$

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a} / \sigma_{b, c, a}^{-} \leq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b} .
$$

By Lemmas 13.3 and 13.4, these representations embed into
$\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, b}^{+}$, which has irreducible subquotiens of the first representations with multiplicity one, by Lemma 13.2.

Finally we determine position of $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)$.
Proposition 13.6. We have an embedding

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c} / \sigma_{a, b, c}^{-} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b} .
$$

Proof. By Proposition 11.2 we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c} / \sigma_{a, b, c}^{-} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+} \text {, so } \\
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c} / \sigma_{a, b, c}^{-} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma, \text { and } \\
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b} \hookrightarrow \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma .
\end{gathered}
$$

The claim follows, since by Theorem 10.3 the representation on the right is multiplicity one, and by Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.6 we have in $R(G)$

$$
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c} / \sigma_{b, a, c}^{-} \leq \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b} .
$$

Now we write composition series for $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}$.
Proposition 13.7. Induced representation $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}$ has a unique irreducible subrepresentation. We have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right) & \hookrightarrow \\
\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b} / \sigma_{a, b, c}^{+} & \longrightarrow L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Composition factors are determined by Theorem 3.6. Positions of irreducible subquotients are determined by Propositions 3.2, 13.1, 13.5 and 13.6.

By Theorem 4.1, we have
Corollary 13.8. Induced representation $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-c} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}$ has a unique irreducible quotient. We have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right) & \hookrightarrow \\
\quad \delta\left(\left[\nu^{-c} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b} / L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right) & \rightarrow \sigma_{a, b, c}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 14. The main Result

Here we give the main result, a composition series of the representation $\psi$.
Theorem 14.1. Let $\psi=\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$ and define representations

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{1}= & \sigma_{b, c, a}^{+}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right), \\
W_{2}= & L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)+ \\
& L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right), \\
W_{3}= & L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right)+ \\
& \sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right), \\
W_{4}= & L(\psi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exists a sequence $\{0\}=V_{0} \subseteq V_{1} \subseteq V_{2} \subseteq V_{3} \subseteq V_{4}=\psi$, such that

$$
V_{i} / V_{i-1} \cong W_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 4
$$

Further, $W_{1}$ is chosen to be the largest possible, then $W_{2}$, and so on.
Proof. We use the notation $K_{i}, H_{i}$, and $f_{i}$ from Section 4. Composition series of $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $K_{3}$ are determined by Propositions 11.6, 11.8, 12.1, and Corollary 13.8. Composition series of $H_{1}, H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ are determined by Proposition 13.7 and Corollaries 12.2, 11.9 and 11.7. For all $i \geq 1$ denote

$$
k_{i}=K_{i} \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(f_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{0}\right), \quad h_{i}=H_{i} \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(g_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ g_{0}\right) .
$$

Set $K_{0}=k_{0}=\{0\}$. Let $\pi$ be an irreducible subquotient of $\psi$, and $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Obviously

$$
\pi \leq \operatorname{Im}\left(f_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{0}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \forall j<i \quad \pi \not \leq K_{j} .
$$

This implies that if $\pi \leq K_{j}$, for some $(0<) j<i$, taking $j$ minimal, we have $\pi \in \operatorname{Im}\left(f_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{0}\right)$. Thus $\pi \leq k_{j}$ for some $j<i$. Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exists j<i \quad \pi \leq K_{j} & \Longleftrightarrow \exists j<i \quad \pi \leq k_{j} \text { and so } \\
\pi \leq \operatorname{Im}\left(f_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{0}\right) & \Longleftrightarrow \forall j<i \quad \pi \not \leq k_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude that $k_{i}$ consists of irreducible subquotients of $K_{i}$ that do not appear in any of $k_{i-1}, \ldots, k_{0}$. It is clear that we can write this in $R(G)$ as

$$
k_{i}=\left\lfloor K_{i}-k_{i-1}-\cdots-k_{0}\right\rfloor_{R_{0}^{+}(G)},
$$

where for $\pi \in R(G), \pi=\sum_{i} m_{i} \pi_{i}, m_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \pi_{i} \nexists \pi_{j} \in \operatorname{Irr} G$ for $i \neq j$, we define

$$
\lfloor\pi\rfloor_{R_{0}^{+}(G)}=\sum_{\left\{i \mid m_{i} \geq 0\right\}} m_{i} \pi_{i} .
$$

Now we have in $R(G)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k_{1}=K_{1}, & k_{2}=\left\lfloor K_{2}-k_{1}\right\rfloor_{R_{0}^{+}(G)}, \\
h_{1}=\left\lfloor K_{3}-k_{2}-k_{1}\right\rfloor_{R_{0}^{+}(G)} \\
h_{1}, & h_{2}=\left\lfloor H_{2}-h_{1}\right\rfloor_{R_{0}^{+}(G)},
\end{array} h_{3}=\left\lfloor H_{3}-h_{2}-h_{1}\right\rfloor_{R_{0}^{+}(G)} .
$$

We have $k_{1} \cong K_{1}$ and $h_{1} \cong H_{1}$. Further, calculating composition factors of $k_{2}$ and $h_{2}$ and comparing with composition series of $K_{2}$ and $H_{2}$, we see that $k_{2}$ and $h_{2}$ have exactly two irreducible quotients. Similarly, we determine $k_{3}$ and $h_{3}$. So we have exact sequences, and no irreducible subquotient can go on lower position:
$L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}\right) \hookrightarrow$ $k_{1} /\left(\sigma_{a, b, c}^{+}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right)\right) \rightarrow L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right)$,
$k_{2} / L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right) \cong \sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right)$,
$k_{3} \cong L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{c}\right) \hookrightarrow  \tag{14.4}\\
& h_{1} / \sigma_{a, b, c}^{+} \rightarrow L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{2} /\left(L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b, c}^{-}\right)\right) \cong  \tag{14.5}\\
\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{3} \cong L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right)+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{-}\right) \tag{14.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define representations $V_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$ as follows. By (14.1) $W_{1} \hookrightarrow \psi$. Let $V_{1}$ be its image. Further, (14.1) and (14.4) show $W_{2} \hookrightarrow \psi / V_{1}$. Let $V_{2}$ be the preimage of $W_{2}$ in $\psi$. Denote representations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta & =L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right) \\
\nu & =L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right) \\
M & =\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}+L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-b} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{a} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma\right), \\
\tau & =\psi / V_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (14.1) and (14.4) we have embeddings

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta \hookrightarrow \tau \hookleftarrow \nu \tag{14.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (14.1) and (14.2) we have an embedding and an epimorphism

$$
M \hookrightarrow \tau / \zeta \stackrel{P_{\zeta}}{\Vdash} \tau, \quad \text { thus } \quad P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) / \zeta \cong M
$$

By (14.4) and (14.5) we have an embedding and an epimorphism

$$
M \hookrightarrow \tau / \nu \stackrel{P_{\nu}}{\longleftrightarrow} \tau, \quad \text { thus } \quad P_{\nu}^{-1}(M) / \nu \cong M
$$

We have in $R(G)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M)=M+\zeta \text { and } P_{\nu}^{-1}(M)=M+\nu \tag{14.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that in $R(G)$

$$
P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) \cap P_{\nu}^{-1}(M)=M
$$

Inclusions $P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) \hookrightarrow \tau$ and $P_{\nu}^{-1}(M) \hookrightarrow \tau$ induce an embedding

$$
P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) /\left(P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) \cap P_{\nu}^{-1}(M)\right) \hookrightarrow \tau / P_{\nu}^{-1}(M) .
$$

This shows that in $R(G)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M)-P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) \cap P_{\nu}^{-1}(M) \leq \tau-P_{\nu}^{-1}(M), \text { and by }(14.8) \\
& M+\zeta-P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) \cap P_{\nu}^{-1}(M) \leq \tau-M-\nu
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we consider in $R(G)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M+\zeta+\nu \leq P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) \cap P_{\nu}^{-1}(M)+(\tau-M) \tag{14.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 10.2, $\psi$ is a multiplicity one, and so is $\tau$. Also, in $R(G)$

$$
\tau=\psi-V_{2}=\psi-W_{1}-W_{2} \geq M
$$

Now, any irreducible subquotient of $M$ does not appear in $\tau-M$, so by (14.9), it must appear in $P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) \cap P_{\nu}^{-1}(M)$. We conclude that in $R(G)$

$$
P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) \cap P_{\nu}^{-1}(M) \geq M
$$

Thus (14.8) shows that in $R(G)$

$$
P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) \cap P_{\nu}^{-1}(M)=M
$$

Now, since $\tau / \zeta$ is a multiplicity one, and $P_{\zeta}$ is injective on $P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) \cap P_{\nu}^{-1}(M)$, both compositions

$$
\begin{aligned}
M \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} \tau / \zeta & \rightarrow(\tau / \zeta) / P_{\zeta}\left(P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) \cap P_{\nu}^{-1}(M)\right) \text { and } \\
P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) \cap P_{\nu}^{-1}(M) \stackrel{P_{\zeta}}{\hookrightarrow} \tau / \zeta & \rightarrow(\tau / \zeta) / i(M)
\end{aligned}
$$

are zero. Thus $i(M)=P_{\zeta}\left(P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) \cap P_{\nu}^{-1}(M)\right)$.
We conclude $M \cong P_{\zeta}^{-1}(M) \cap P_{\nu}^{-1}(M)$, and have an embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \hookrightarrow \tau \tag{14.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (14.7) and (14.10) we have an embedding

$$
W_{3} \hookrightarrow \psi / V_{2} .
$$

Let $V_{3}$ be the preimage of $W_{3}$ in $\psi$. We see that in $R(G)$ :

$$
\psi \stackrel{R(G)}{=} W_{1}+W_{2}+W_{3}+L(\psi)
$$

We proved the filtration formula. Now we show the last claim, about maximality. Decompositions of $k_{1}$ and $h_{1},(14.1)$ and (14.4), show that no irreducible subquotient of $W_{2}$, can be a subrepresentation of $\psi$. They also show that $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{a, c}^{+}\right)$and $L\left(\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma_{b}\right)$ can not be embedded into $\psi / V_{1}$. To see the same for factors of $M$, first assume that $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-} \hookrightarrow \psi / V_{1}$. Since $k_{1} / V_{1} \hookrightarrow \psi / V_{1}$, and $k_{1}$ doesn't contain $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}$, we obtain $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-} \hookrightarrow \psi / k_{1}$. On the other hand $k_{2} \hookrightarrow \psi / k_{1}$, and $k_{2}$ contains $\sigma_{b, c, a}^{-}$, but not as a subrepresentation. Since $\psi$ is a multiplicity one, we got a contradiction. Similarly for the other factor of $M$.
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## PARABOLIČKA INDUKCIJA IZ DVA SEGMENTA, POVEZANIH OBZIROM NA KONTRAGREDIJENT, SA KUSPIDALNOM REDUCIBILNOSTI JEDNE POLOVINE, POSEBAN SLUČAJ

Igor Ciganović

SAŽETAK. U ovom radu smo odredili kompozicione nizove inducirane reprezentacije $\delta\left(\left[\nu^{-a} \rho, \nu^{c} \rho\right]\right) \times \delta\left(\left[\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho, \nu^{b} \rho\right]\right) \rtimes \sigma$, gdje su $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}$ takvi da je $\frac{1}{2} \leq a<b<c$, $\rho$ je ireducibilna kuspidalna unitarna reprezentacija opće linearne grupe i $\sigma$ je ireducibilna kuspidalna reprezentacija klasične grupe, takva da se $\nu^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho \rtimes \sigma$ reducira.
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