FIZIKA, 3 (1971) 1-10

ON THE CLOCK PROBLEM IN THE SPECIAL THEORY OF RELATIVITY

J. STRNAD

University of Ljubljana and Institute »Jozef Stefan«, Ljubljana

Received 15 August 1970; revised manuscript received 10 October 1970

Abstract: **In connection with the clock problem in special relativity a new thought experiment is discussed leading to the traditional solution. The accelerated frame is replaced by a family of inertial ones. In this case the round trip times can be calculated from the viewpoint of any of the inertial observers within the special theory of relativity. No additional fundamental assumptions are introduced besides the supposition that ideal clocks exist. The solution of the problem in this case reflects the structure of four-dimensional space-time.**

1. Introduction

The clock (or twin) problem is a familiar topic in special relativity. Many **relativists agree that no paradox arises in solving this problem and accept** the solution found at the advent of special relativity^{1,2}). A variety of deriva**tions of this solution has been put forward3- ¹²l. However , some relativists defend the contrary view that a real paradox exists and even dispute the** traditional solution¹³⁻¹⁷. Their arguments differ appreciably and the proposed **solutions range from denying any difference of the round trip times to accepting the traditional result as one of two possibilities.**

Derivations of the traditional solution and doubts expressed were exten**sively reviewed by numerous authors⁶ > and the problem has been considered thoroughly from the observational point of view⁵ >. In this contribution introducing a new thought experiment further arguments are exposed in favour of the traditional solution.**

STRNAD

2. The usual thought experiment

In this experiment two reference frames *S_c* and *S_a'* participate with the **origins Do and 0./ and mutually parallel coordinate axes. The first frame is inertial throughout the experiment, whereas the second frame is not. Identical clocks are situated at the origins together with observers called S and S.', respectively. The clock in S/ should be ideal, i.e. it should not change its internal state on account of the acceleration.**

Let *v* be the velocity of O_4 in the *x*-direction at the event (x_0, t_0) as obser**ved in So. Initially, at the event 0, both observers coincide and the velocity** *v* is zero. At this event they synchronize their clocks: $t_{\infty} = t_{\infty} = 0$. (The first **index refers to the frame, the second to the event). Then the observer S/ is accelerated in the x-direction. Later on he is accelerated in the opposite direction and finally, he is accelerated once more. At the event** *N* **he coincides with Oo again having zero velocity v.**

Each observer describes the trip from his viewpoint. From the viewpoint of the observer S, the round trip time is *T* **measured by his own clock and** *T'* **measured by the clock in S.'. From the viewpoint of the observer S/ the round trip time is T.' measured by his own clock and T. measured by the clock in S,.**

At the events O and N both observers coincide and are at relative rest. Since the frame S_o is inertial throughout, the frame S_o' is inertial at the **beginning and at the end of the round trip. (It is even not necessary to demand that at the events O and N the observers are in relative rest). On the basis of the time homogeneity in inertial frames it can be claimed that**

$$
T = T_{\epsilon}, \quad T' = T_{\epsilon'}, \tag{1}
$$

i.e. that the round trip times measured by the clock in S, have to be equal for both observers and that the same is true for the round trip times measured by the clock in S.'. Any other result would contradict the fundamental assumptions of special relativity or would reveal a serious internal inconsistency of the theory.

A further step¹³: $T = T'$, however, would imply that the observer S.' was **inertial throughout between the events O and N and would prohibit the round trip.**

To complete the solution of the problem a relation between *1'* **and** *T'* **has to be given.**

Equations (1) cannot be proven directly from the viewpoint of the uninertial observer S.' within the special theory of relativity. But with the use of the equations of general relativity or at least the equivalence principle the validity of (1) can be ascertained¹⁸⁻²¹.

3. The new thought experiment

A new thought experiment corresponding to the usual one can be introduced for which Eqs. (1) can be deduced within the special theory of relativity.* Select a sequence of events 1, $2, \ldots, n, \ldots, N-1$ on the world line of the **origin O. and replace the accelerated frame Sa' with a family of inertial** frames S_n ($1 \leq n \leq N$) with origins O_n . Their coordinate axes are mutually **parallel to the coordinate axes of So. At the origins identical clocks are situa**ted together with observers. The event *n* corresponds to the encounter of O_n . and O_{n+1} ; the event N coresponds to the encounter of O_n and O_0 . The events $n-1$ and *n* line on the world line of O_n , (Fig. 1). The observer S_n synchronizes his clock at the event $n-1$ with the clock of the observer S_{n-1} .

In the frame S₀ the event *n* is defined with 0_n (x_{0n} , t_m). The velocity of O_n in S_0 is $v(n, 0) = (x_{0n} - x_{0n-1})/(t_{0n} - t_{0n-1})$ in the x_0 direction. Further, we have $x_{\infty} = 0$, $t_{\infty} = 0$ and

$$
x_{\mathbf{u}N} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_{\mathbf{u}n} - x_{\mathbf{u}n-1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} v(n,0) (t_{\mathbf{v}n} - t_{\mathbf{u}n-1}) = 0.
$$
 (2)

The round trip time for the observer S_o according to his own clock is

$$
T = t_{\text{ev}} - t_{\text{vol}} = t_{\text{ov}} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_{0n} - t_{0n-1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_{\text{tr}} - x_{\text{ev}} - 1)/v(n, 0) . \qquad (3)
$$

To obtain the round trip time *T'* **the Lorentz transformation, connecting** the frames S_0 and S_n , has to be exploited. The observer S_n has not synchro**nized his clock directly with the clock of observer S. Nevertheless, owing to the homogeneity of space and time the Lorentz transformation applies to** space and time intervals $(c$ is put equal to 1):

$$
\Delta x_n = (1 - v^2(n, 0))^{-1/2} (\Delta x_0 - v(n, 0) \Delta t_0)
$$

\n
$$
\Delta t_n = (1 - v^2(n, 0))^{-1/2} (\Delta t_0 - v(n, 0) \Delta x_0).
$$
 (4)

^{*} In the usual thought experiment often an approximation is considered. The time intervals of acceleration of S_{^{*i*}} measured in S₀ are taken to be negligible as compared with T and the velocity is taken $v = \text{const.}$ during the first half and $v = -\text{const.}$ during the second half of the trip. This approximation is **useful but if the experiment is described from the standpoint of the observer S.' it must be taken into account that the acceleration becomes infinite. From the viewpoint of the observer So in this approximation the accelerated obser-ver can be replaced by two inertial ones. This was first noted by Halsbury¹³>** and exploited by others^{3, 4, 7}. It enables us to get Eqs. (1) for this case within **special relativity12,. A generalization of this procedure leads to the new thought experiment.**

Fig. 1. World lines of the origins O_0 *and* O_1 *to* O_2 *in the inertial frames* S_0 *and* S_1 to S₉ for an example of the alternative thought experiment: the accelerated frame *was replaced by a family of nine inertial ones S, to \$***9***. So is the frame of the »proper«* inertial observer and S_1 to S_2 are the frames of *»tangential«* inertial observers. The equation of the world line of O_n in S_0 is $x_0 = v(n, 0)$ ($t_0 - t_{0n-1}$) + x_{0n-1} . *a At an event the first number specifies the frame and the second the event.* **—** The events n_n and $(n-1)$ _n happen at the origin O_0 for the observer S_n simulta*neously with the events <i>n* and $n-1$, respectively.

The time interval between events *n* and $n-1$ according to the clock in the *frame Sn from the viewpoint of the observer Sc is*

$$
t_{nn} - t_{nn-1} = (1 - v^2(n,0))^{-1/2} (t_{0n} - t_{0n-1} - v(n,0) (x_{0n} - x_{0n-1}))
$$

= $(1 - v^2(n,0))^{1/2} (t_{0n} - t_{0n-1}).$

Here we have used the relation $x_{0n} - x_{0n-1} = v(n, 0)$ $(t_{0n} - t_{0n-1})$. This result can *be interpreted in the traditional way: the proper time interval* $t_{na} - t_{nn-1}$ *in the frame S_n* is calculated by multiplying with $(1 - v^2(n, 0))^{\alpha}$ the corresponding unproper time interval in the frame S_o in which the origin O_n is moving with the velocity $v(n, 0)$. The round trip time T' in our thought experiment according to the clocks in the frames S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N from the viewpoint of the *observer So is equal to the sum*

$$
T' = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_{nn} - t_{nn-1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (1 - v^{2} (n, 0))^{1/2} (t_{0n} - t_{2n-1}) =
$$

=
$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} (1 - v^{2} (n, 0))^{1/2} (x_{0n} - x_{0n-1})/v (n, 0).
$$
 (5)

Now we turn to the description from the viewpoint of one of the observers S_n , $1 \leq n \leq N$. For this observer the time interval between events 0 and N *according to the clock in So is*

$$
T_n = (1 - v^2(n, 0))^{1/2} (t_{nN} - t_{n0}).
$$

The first factor is due to the fact the events O and N happen at the same space point in the frame So. The proper time is calculated from the viewpoint of the observer in S_n for whom the origin O_0 is moving with the velocity $v(0, n) = -v(n, 0)$. The corresponding unproper time interval in the frame S_n is $t_{nn} - t_{n0}$. Using the Lorentz transformation (4) and taking into account that $x_{0x} - x_{\infty}$ equals zero we obtain*

$$
T_n = t_{\text{ow}} - t_{\infty} = T. \tag{6}
$$

This result is independent of the particular value of *n*.

It remains to calculate the round trip time T_n' from the viewpoint of the observer S_n according to the clocks in the frames S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n *. The time inter*val between the events $m-1$ and m according to the clock in S_m from the viewpoint of the observer S_n is

$$
(1-v^2(m,n))^{1/2}(t_{nm}-t_{nm-1}).
$$

N ***** Also, $\sum_{m=1}^{N} (t_{mn} - t_{mn-1}) = T$ with the means of Eqs. (7) taking into account $n=1$.v that $\sum v(m, 0)$ $(t_{0m} - t_{0m-1}) = 0$. $n=1$

6 *STRNAD*

The events $m-1$ and m happen at the same space point in the frame S_m . The *proper time is calculated from the viewpoint of the observer in S_n for whom* the origin O_m is moving with the velocity v (m, n) . Here $t_{nm} - t_{nm-1}$ is the corresponding unproper time interval in the frame S_n ($n \neq m$). Of course, $v(m, m) =$ $= 0$, and $t_{\text{max}} - t_{\text{min}-1}$ is a proper time.

The velocity of the origin O_m in the frame S_n is

$$
v(m,n) = -v(n,m) = (v(m,0) - v(n,0))/(1 - v(m,0) v(n,0)).
$$

The frames S_m , and S_n are connected through the Lorentz transformation

$$
\Delta x_{n} = (1 - v^{2} (m, n))^{-1/2} (\Delta x_{m} - v (m, n) \Delta t_{m})
$$

\n
$$
\Delta t_{n} = (1 - v^{2} (m, n))^{-1/2} (\Delta t_{m} - v (m, n) \Delta x_{m}).
$$
\n(7)

Here $(1-v^2(m,n))^{-1/2} = (1-v^2(n,0))^{-1/2} (1-v^2(m,0))^{-1/2} (1-v(m,0)v(n,0)).$

Utilizing Eqs. **(7)** *we get after a short calculation the round trip time* from the viewpoint of the observer S_n according to the clocks in S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N *(Fig.* 2)

$$
T'_{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (1 - v^{2} (m, n))^{1/2} (t_{nm} - t_{nm-1}) =
$$

=
$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} (1 - v^{2} (m, 0))^{1/2} (t_{0m} - t_{0m-1}) = T'.
$$
 (8)

In the above calculation we have made use of the relation $x_{0m} - x_{0m-1} =$ $v = v$ (*m*, 0) ($t_{0m} - t_{0m-1}$). Again, this result does not depend upon the particular *value of n.*

It is worthwhile to introduce two additional sequences of events. An event of the first sequence (m) happens at the origin O_0 for the observer S_0 simul*taneously with the event m. In the frame So these events are defined through* $O(m)$ ($x_{o(m)} = 0$, $t_{o(m)} = t_{om}$). Using the Lorentz transformation (4) we get in the frame S_n

$$
x_{n(m)} = x_{n(m-1)} = -v(n,0) (1-v^2(n,0))^{-1/2} (t_{0m} - t_{0m-1})
$$

$$
t_{n(m)} - t_{n(m-1)} = (1-v^2(n,0))^{-1/2} (t_{0m} - t_{0m-1}).
$$

For $m = n$ we have

Fig. 2. Parts of the diagrams of the world lines in S_1 to S_9 from Fig. 1, put together
to show on the ordinate axis the sum of time intervals $\sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_{nn} - t_{nn-1})$. The shifts
of events because of the transitions **»rotation« of the lines of simultaneity and of the lines of equal distance.**

This can be interpreted as the Lorentz contraction of the corresponding proper space intervals. For the observer S_n the events m and (m) are not simulta**neous.** But if the succesive events *m*, and so (m) , happen for the observer S_0 **at equal time intervals so do the events** *(m)* **for the observer** *S ...* **This does not** hold for the events m from the viewpoint of the observer S_n .

An event of the second sequence m_n happens at the origin $O₁$ simultaneously for the observer S_n with the event m. In the frame S_0 an event of this sequence is defined through $0m_n(x_{m_n} = 0, t_{m_n})$ and in the frame S_n through nm_n (x_{mm_n} , t_{mm_n} = t_{nm}). After applying the Lorentz transformation (4) we get **finally**

$$
t_{6m_n} - t_{6(m-1)n} = t_{6m} - t_{6m-1} = v(n,0) (x_{0m} - x_{0m-1})
$$

$$
x_{nm_n} - x_{n(m-1)n} = -v(n,0) (1 - v^2(n,0)) (t_{0m} - t_{0m-1} - v(n,0) (x_{0m} - x_{0m-1})).
$$

For $m = n$ we get

$$
t_{0n_n} = t_{0(n-1)n} = (1 - v^2(n, 0)) (t_{0n} - t_{0n-1}),
$$

$$
x_{nn_n} - x_{n(n-1)n} = -(1 - v^2(n, 0)) (x_{0n} - x_{0n-1}).
$$

and

The events
$$
m
$$
 and m_n are not simultaneous for the observer S_n . The successive events m_n do not happen for the observer S_0 at equal time intervals in the case the events m for this observer do.

4. Discussion

In Chapter 3 it was shown that the time interval between events O and N measured by the clock in *S,* **i.e. along the world line of Oo, from the viewpoint of any of the inertial observers** S_k **,** $0 \leq k \leq N$ **, is equal to** *T***. The time interval between the same events measured by the clock in** S_n **,** $1 \leq n \leq N$ **, as a sum of time intervals between events** *n-1* **and** *n* **on the world lines of** *O_n* **from the viewpoint of any of the inertial observers** S_k **equals** $T' < T$ **. Hereby only equations of the special theory of relativity have been used and the results are within this theory.**

Now let us compare the alternative thought experiment with the corresponding usual thought experiment. In the second one the two clocks that coincide at the event O coincide also at the event *N* **whereas in the first experiment this is not the case. If in the first case the same pair of clocks** should meet at the event N the clock from S_1 at the event 1 should be transferred to S_2 and from this at the event 2 to S_3 etc. This procedure shows that the transfer of a clock from an inertial frame to another inertial frame $$ **this cannot be accomplished without acceleration - corresponds to a rota-** *tion of the hyperplane of simultaneity. In the alternative thought experiment only the information is transferred from clock to clock at a given space-time point. Thus the supposition of the synchronizations of clocks in the alternative experiment amounts to the supposition of the existence of ideal clocks in the usual thought experiment. The existence of ideal clocks can be based on experimental data,* with the condition that the acceleration does not exceed an upper limit***3, 5** *>. Atoms and subatomic particles can be considered as ideal clocks up to high accelerations.*

It can be conjectured that Eq. (5) leading to $T > T'$ for the new thought *experiment is a consequence of the structure of four-dimensional space-time. The same equation for the usual thought experiment cannot be a consequence of dynamical effects on the accelerated clock. The acceleration cannot be held directly responsible for the difference of T and T'. Neither there is any reason* to base this result on the interactions of the clock with the environment¹⁶.

It should be remarked that the result (5) is not reversible in the sense that *T* could be replaced by *T'* and *v* by $-v$ and vice versa. However, the *Eqs. (5), (6) and (8) are derived on an equal footing. One has only to bear in mind that both events O and N lie on the world line of the observer So,* whereas these events do not lie on the world line of a single inertial observer S_n , $1 \leq n \leq N$. This causes the asymmetry of the final results. In the inter*pretation of the alternative thought experiment no ambiguity arises. So no* ambiguity can arise in the interpretation of the usual thought experiment¹⁶. In the limit $N \to \infty$ and t_0 , \cdots $t_{0n-1} \to 0$ from Eq. (5) directly follows the *equation*

$$
T' = \int_{0}^{T} (1 - v^{2}(t_{0}))^{1/2} dt_{0} = \int_{0}^{T} (1 - v^{2}(x_{0}))^{1/2} dx_{0}/v(x_{0}).
$$

This equation can be derived with the assumptions of special relativity and the suppositions that ideal clocks exist and that the accelerated clock is ideal. There is no need to introduce additional fundamental assumptions, as is done in Refs.22. **² 3)**

5. *Conclusion*

The analysis of an alternative thought experiment affirms the traditional solution of the clock problem. The time between the second and the first encounter measured by the accelerated clock is less than the corresponding time measured by the clock at rest in the inertial frame. An essential supposition is that the accelerated clock is ideal, i.e. that its internal state is unaffected by the acceleration.

^{} Some details are given in Ref.* **74***>.*

Ref erences

- **1) A. Einstein, Ann. Phys. 17 (1905) 891;**
- **2) P. Langevin, Scientia 10 (1911) 31, 231;**
- **3) H. Bondi, Discovery 18 (1957) 505;**
- **4) D. Scott. Am. J. Phys. 27 (1959) 580;**
- **5) J, Terrelil, Nuovo Cim. 16 (1960) 457:**
- **6) G. Holton, Am. J. Phys. 30 (1962) 472;**
- **7) E. S. Lowry, Am. J. Phys. 31 (1963) 59;**
- **8) H. Lass, Am. J. Phys. 31 (1963) 274;**
- **9) L. Levi, Am. J. Phys. 35 (1967) 968;**
- **10) W. H. McCrea, Nature 216 (1967) 122;**
- **11) J. Lang, A. Ruh, Phys. Lett. 29A (1969) 324;**
- **12) J. Strnad, Phys. Lett. 29A (1969) 325;**
- **13) H. Dingle, Nature 216 (1967) 119, and 217 (1968) 19;**
- **14) L. Essen, Nature 180 (1957) 1061;**
- 15) L. Essen, Nature 202 (1964) 787, 217 (1968) 19 and Proc. Phys. Soc. 86 (1965) 671;
- **16) R. Schlegel, Time and the Physical World, Dover, New York, 1968 and priv. comm.**
- **17) M. Pardy, Phys. Lett. 28A (1969) 766;**
- **18) A. Einstein, Naturwiss. 6 (1918) 697;**
- **19) R. G. Tolman, Relativity, Thermodynamics and Cosmology, Clarendon Press, Cam· bridge, 1934;**
- **20) C. M�ller, The Theory of Relativity, Oxford University Press, London, 1952;**
- **21) H. L. Helfer, Am. J. Phys. 38 (1970) 916;**
- **22) H. M. Schwartz, Introduction to Special Relativity, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968;**
- **23) S. J. Prokhovnik, The Logic of Special Relativity, University Press, Cambridge, 1967;**
- **24) J. Strnad, Institute »J. Stefan« Report R-583, 1970 (unpubl.).**

K PROBLEMU UR V SPECIALNI TEORIJI RELATIVNOSTI

J. STRNAD

Univerza v Ljubljani in lnstitut »J. Stefan«, Ljubljana

Vsebin a

Sestavek uvaja nov miselni poskus v zvezi s problemom ur v specialni teoriji relativnosti. Ta poskus privede do ustaljene resitve problema. Pri poskusu nadomestimo pospeseni opazovalni sistem z nizom inercialnih. Case za krozno pot lahko V tern primeru izracunamo za katerega koli inercialnega opazovalca z enacbami specialne teorije relativnosti. Pri tern ni treba sprejeti nobene dodatne temeljne predpostavke, ce le privzamemo, da obstajajo idealne ure. 0 obstoju idealnih ur pa pricajo poskusi. Po tern lahko sklenerno, da je v nasem primeru resitev problema posledica zgradbe stiridimenzionalnega prostora - casa.