#### **FIZIKA, 3 (1971) 163-168**

# **MONTE-CARLO CALCULATION OF IONIZATION COEFFICIENTS IN SEMICONDUCTORS**

#### **Z. POPOVIC**

#### *Institute of Physics, Beograd, and the University of Beograd, Beograd*

#### **Received 3 November 1970**

*Abstract:* **The ionisation coefficients in semiconductors are calculated by using Monte-Carlo method on the basis of the following assumptions:**

**- isotropic effective masses of carriers,**

**- isotropic scattering,**

**- constant mean free path.**

**The results obtained differ slightly from the existing theoretical calculations. The agreement with experimental results is good. The method is also aplicable to the case of anisotropic effective masses.**

## *1. Introduction*

**The interest for the theoretical investigation of ionisation processes in semiconductors is closely related to the operation of semiconductor devices in**  high fields. Measurements made by various authors<sup>1, 2, 3, 4</sup> on the p-n junc**tions at high inverse biases provided the information about the ionisation** coefficients  $\alpha$ , defined as a number of electron-hole pairs created per unit **length by the incident carrier (electron or hole). To explain the experimental** data several theories were proposed. Wolf<sup>5</sup> and Cuenkov<sup>6</sup> suppose that the **distribution function can be well approximated by (the so-called diffusion approximation)** 

$$
f = f_0 + f_1 \cos \theta, \tag{1}
$$

where  $\theta$  is the angle between the carrier velocity and the field, and  $f_0$  and  $f_1$ **depend only on the carrier energy. Their results, however, are in poor agre**ement with experiments<sup>5, 6</sup>). On the other hand Schockley<sup>7</sup> supposes that the **ionisation events are due to those carriers only which, starting from low energies have a chance to avoid collisions until they reach ionisation energy.** 

# 164 **POPOVIC**

**The agreement of his theory with experiment is good in very low fields, but it is unsatisfactory in higher fields. Baraff8> showed that two theories are in fact limiting cases for low and high fields and that, unfortunately, the fields of practical interest are of intermediate intensities. Supposing quasi-free**  carriers, isotropic collisions and a constant mean free path  $\lambda$ , he derived an **integral equation equivalent to the Boltzmann equation, which is suitable for a numerical solution. He gives a family of universal curves**  $\alpha \lambda$  **versus**  $E_{\text{ion}}/e \lambda K$ , with  $E_{\text{op}}/E_{\text{ion}}$  as a parameter, where  $E_{\text{op}}$ ,  $E_{\text{ion}}$ , K are energy of the **optical phonon, ionisation energy, and electric field, respectively. Choosing**  such a mean free path  $\lambda$  to get the best fit, the latter authors<sup>3, 4</sup>) obtain a **fairly good agreement of the experiment with theory.**

**The subject of the present paper is the Monte-Carlo calculation of the ionisation coefficients using high speed digital computers. The accidental events are simulated with the help of random numbers uniformly distributed on the segment (0,1). In the two following chapters the main features of the model supposed, the results of the calculations and the discussion on further possibilities of the Monte-Carlo simulation approach to the problem, are given.**

## *2. Monte-Carlo simulation of the ionisation processes*

**In the simulation of the ionisation processes we use the following asumptions (also introduced by Baraff8>:**

**a) the effective masses of carriers are isotropic,**

**b) cross-sections for scattering depend only on the carrier energy, i. e. the scattering is isotropic,** 

c) the mean free path  $\lambda$  is constant, which is a direct consequence of the **dominant phonon scattering. Then the relaxation time is** 

$$
1/\tau = \nu/\lambda; \tag{2}
$$

**d)** to simplify the calculation, for carrier energy  $E \leq E_{op}$  we take into **account only the acoustic phonon scattering, for**  $E_{\text{ion}} > E > E_{\text{on}}$  **only the optical phonon scattering with the emission of phonon (because at room temperatures optical phonon modes are not practically excited), and for**   $E > E_{\text{ion}}$  only the ionisation, and

**e**) it is assumed that  $E_{\text{ion}} = 1.5 E_{\text{g}}$ , which is the threshold for ionisation in the case of equal effective masses of both carrier types;  $E_g$  is the energy gap.

**It is convenient to introduce the following reduced dimensionless quantities:**

- velocity 
$$
\tilde{v} = v \sqrt{m/2 E_{\text{ion}}}
$$
 (3)

$$
- \text{ time } \widetilde{t} = (t/\lambda) \sqrt{2 E_{\text{ion}}/m}, \tag{4}
$$

$$
= \text{energy } \widetilde{E} = E/E_{\text{ion}} = \widetilde{\nu}^2,\tag{5}
$$

— electric field 
$$
\widetilde{K} = e K \lambda / E_{\text{ion}}
$$
, (6)

**- ionisation** coefficient  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha \lambda$ . **(7)**

**If we choose the z axis in the direction of the electric field, we have** 

$$
\widetilde{\nu}_z = \widetilde{\nu}_{z0} + \widetilde{K} \widetilde{t}/2, \tag{8}
$$

$$
\widetilde{E} = \widetilde{\nu}_{n^2} + \widetilde{\nu}_z^2, \tag{9}
$$

where  $\widetilde{\nu}_{r0}$  and  $\widetilde{\nu}_{\omega}$  are the reduced velocity components at the instant  $\widetilde{t} = 0$ .

Following the procedure of Kurosawa<sup>9</sup>, with modifications necessary to **our problem we arrive at the following simulation scheme:** 

**1. Immediately after a collision the carrier has the velocity components** 1. Immediately after a collision the carrier has the velocity components  $\widetilde{v}_{r0}$  and  $\widetilde{v}_{z0}$ . (At the beginning of the calculation we set  $\widetilde{v}_{r0} = \widetilde{v}_{z0} = 0$ .) Generating random number  $R_t$  we calculate the time between two successive collisions as a solution of the equation<br>  $\tilde{z}$ 

$$
-\ln R_t = \int\limits_0^{\widetilde{t}} \widetilde{\nu} d\widetilde{t} = \int\limits_0^{\widetilde{t}} (\widetilde{\nu_n^2} + \widetilde{\nu_z^2})^{1/2} d\widetilde{t}, \qquad (10)
$$

**which, by solving the integral, can be reduced to the transcendental equation** for velocity component  $\widetilde{v}_z$ . Finding  $\widetilde{v}_z$  we get the energy immediately before **the collision using (9) and we calculate the velocity after a collision as:** 

a) 
$$
\tilde{v} = \tilde{E}^{1/2}
$$
, if  $\tilde{E} < \tilde{E}_{\text{op}}$ ;  
b)  $\tilde{v} = (\tilde{E} - \tilde{E}_{\text{op}})^{1/2}$ , if  $\tilde{E}_{\text{ion}} > \tilde{E} > \tilde{E}_{\text{op}}$ ;

**one optical phonon collision is recorded;**

c) if  $\widetilde{E} > 1$ , ionisation is recorded and we start from the step 1., with  $\widetilde{v}_{r0} = \widetilde{v}_{r0} = 0$ . (The calculation was also performed with the energy after with  $\widetilde{\nu}_n = \widetilde{\nu}_{n0} = 0$ . (The calculation was also performed with the energy after<br>ionising collision  $\widetilde{E}_1 = (1/3) (\widetilde{E} - 2/3)$  and the velocity  $\widetilde{\nu} = \widetilde{E}_1^{1/2}$ , which is the

**consequence of the conservation laws with the assumption of equal effective masses for both carrier types. As should be expected, it turned out that the**  assumption  $\widetilde{v}_n = \widetilde{v}_n = 0$  after ionising collision introduces insignificant er**ror).** 

2. Knowing the moduls of the velocity  $\widetilde{v}$  immediately after a collision, we **obtain the velocity components generating the second random number R..,** 

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\widetilde{\nu}_{z0} &= \widetilde{\nu} \cos \theta, \\
\widetilde{\nu}_{r0} &= \widetilde{\nu} \sin \theta, \\
\cos \theta &= 2R_v - 1,\n\end{aligned}\n\tag{11}
$$

**and proceed further returning to the step 1.**

**After a great number of ionisations, depending on desired accuracy, we**  calculate ionisation coefficient  $\alpha$  as:

$$
\widetilde{\alpha} = \alpha \lambda = \widetilde{K}/(1 + \widetilde{E}_{op} N_{op}/N_{ion}), \qquad (12)
$$

where  $N_{\text{ion}}$  is the number of ionisations, and  $N_{\text{op}}$  the total number of collisions **with optical phonons.**

# *3. Results of calculation and discussion*

**It is well known that the relative error in Monte-Carlo calculations is** proportional to  $N^{1/2}/N$ , where N is the number of trials. This is a direct **consequence of Gaussian distribution of errors for a given number of trials. Using this fact it is easy to calculate the necessary number of trials for a required accuracy. We chose 300 ionisation, as giving satisfactory accuracy** of 10% with the probability greater than 0.9.

**The final calculation was performed on the IBM 360 model 44 computer. The results are represented on Figs. la and lb. We see that the results of Monte-Carlo calculation deviate from that of Baraff (Fig. lb), but that Lhe agreement of the experimental results is equally good in both cases, Monte -Carlo calculation giving slightly lower values for the mean free path**  $\lambda$  **(compare Fig. la with Fig. 1 in<sup>3</sup> > and Fig. 13 in<sup>4</sup> >). The most probable reason for the discrepancy between the Monte-Carlo and Baraff's calculation lies in some mathematical approximations introduced to find analytical expression for the kernel of Baraff's integral equation. Because of the latter approximations the Monte-Carlo calculation is probably more accurate.**



**Fig. 1. Comparison of the Monte-Carlo simulation results with**  $\mathbf{a}$ ) **experimental data** (according to Refs.  $3, 4$ ) **b) with Baraff's theory**

**It is interesting to note that for silicon<sup>4</sup> > the slope of the experimental results differs from that predicted by the theory, and that it cannot be** fitted with the change of the parameter  $E_{op}/E_{ion}$  in addition to  $\lambda$ . This is **probably due to the fact that effective masses are highly anisotropic. Baraff's theory is more economic than the Monte-Carlo simulation from the point of view of the computation time, but according to his own opinion it is not applicable to ellipsoidal equi-energy surfaces.** 

**A Monte-Carlo calculation enables a relatively simple incorporation of the anisotropic masses in the calculation. The preliminary results, although raising some questions about the validity of effective mass concept at high energies, promise to give an excellent fit of the experimental results with the introduction of an additional parameter, the ratio of longitudinal and transverse effective mass.** 

## *Acknowledgement*

**The author is greatly indebted to Prof. D. Tjapkin for stimulating discussions.**

### **Re ferences**

- **1) K. G. McKay and K. B. McAfee, Phys. Rev. 91 (1953) 1079;**
- **2) P.A. Logan and A. G. Chynoweth, J. Appl. Phys. 31 (1960) 1101;**
- **3) R. A. Logan and S. M. Sze, Proc. Intern. Conf. Phys. Semidond., Kyoto 1966, p. 434;**
- **4) C. A. Lee et all., Phys. Rev. 134 (1964) A761;**
- **5) P. A. Wolf, Phys. Rev. 95 (1954) 1415;**
- **6) V. A. Chuenkov, Fizika tverdogo tela, Sbornik statjej II, Akademiya Nauk SSSR 1959, p. 200-214;**
- **7) W. Schockley, Solid-State Electronics 2 (1961) 35;**
- **8) G. A. Baraff, Phys. Rev. 128 (1962) 2507;**
- **9) T. Kurosawa, Procs. Intern. Conf. Phys. Semicond. Kyoto 1966, p. 424.**

# **MONTE-KARLO PRORACUN KOEFICIJENTA JONIZACIJE U POLUPROVODNICIMA**

### **Z. POPOVIC**

#### *lnstitut za fiziku, Beograd, i Univerzitet u Beogradu, Beograd*

## **Sadrza j**

**U radu je dat algoritam proracuna koeficijenta jonizacije u poluprovodnicima Monte-Karlo metodom, koja se zasniva na sledecim glavnim pretpostavkama:** 

- **- izotropne prividne mase nosilaca;**
- **- izotropno rasejanje;**
- **- konstantan srednji slobodni put.**

**Proracun je izvrsen za GaAs i Si i dobijeni rezultati se nesto razlikuju od ranijih teorijskih proracuna. Slaganje sa eksperimentalnim rezultatima je dobro. Istaknuta je mogucnost primene Monte-Karlo metode i na slucaj anizotropnih prividnih masa.**