## **CdTe HOLE LIFETIME FROM THE GAMMA-RAY AND PHOTO-INDUCED EFFECTS**

### **U. V. DESNICA and N. B. URLI**

### *Institute ,,Ruder Boskovic«, Zagreb*

#### **Received 19 March 1971; revised manuscript received 3 July 1971**

*Abstract:* **Two independent methods, the gamma-ray induced photovoltaic effect and the monochromatic light induced photoeffect, were used for determination of the minority carrier lifetime in indium doped n-type CdTe. The existing expressions for collection efficiency of electron-hole pairs generated by visible light near the p-n junction were extended to include a contribution of the depletion layer. P-n junctions formed by electroless plating of gold had a relatively high capacitance associated with a very narrow depletion region. The short-circuit current of the diodes was measured during irradiation in the Co60 gamma source. The values of lifetime of holes in CdTe (of the order of 5 -10-10 s), obtained by the two methods, were in agreement within the limits of experimental errors.** 

## *1. Introduction*

**It is well known that at the present level of semiconductor technology lhe growth of high quality single crystals, except in the case of Si and Ge, is far from being satisfactory. Beside influencing some other physical properties, the presence of a large number of various kinds of defects in the crystalline lattice has an important consequence, a large reduction in the lifetime of minority charge carriers. If the magnitude of this quantity is less than about 1 µs then, the standard methods for lifetime determination, based on carrier injection or decay, are inapplicable. Such a situation exists in the case of CdTe, a semiconducting compound interesting from the standpoint of device application. To be able to determine the value of minority carrier lifetime with sufficient accuracy and trying to increase it is the main task before many useful devices made from the semiconducting compounds can be produced.** 

**The aim of this paper is to present the results of determination of the minority carrier lifetime in n-type CdTe by the two independent methods** 

**based on the existence of a p-n junction. To our knowledge, the first of them connected with gamma-ray induced photocurrent has not been applied to CdTe up to now, and for the second, based on the photovoltaic effect, more general expressions have been derived than those used in a similar work<sup>1</sup> l. Several other methods such as pulse height response to**  $\alpha$  **particles<sup>2, 3</sup>, or analysis of a reverse dark current of the junction<sup>4</sup> > have been also employed**  previously. The highest values for  $\tau$  in CdTe so far reported for relatively **pure high resistivity materials were of the order of 10-s s.** 

### *2. Gamma-ra}' induced photovoltaic effect*

**If the p-n junction is situated close to the one electrode, and the other electrode is more than a couple of diffusion lengths away, the short-circuit**  current per unit area  $i_{sc}$  is equal to

$$
i_{sc} = e g L_p, \qquad (1)
$$

where  $e$  is electronic charge,  $g$  generation rate and  $L<sub>p</sub>$  diffusion length of **minority carriers.** 

**Here we have supposed that the thickness of a p-region is much smaller**  than the diffusion length  $L<sub>n</sub>$  of the electrons so that the short-circuit current **through the junction is supported essentially only by the carriers generated within the hole diffusion length in the n-region.** 

If the generation rate  $g$  is constant within the volume of the diode, as it is true for the weakly absorbed gamma irradiation, by measuring  $i_{\rm sc}$  generated by the exposure of the p-n junction to the Co<sup>60</sup>-gamma source it is **possible to determine the minority carrier diffusion length Lp, and with equation (2)** 

$$
L_p = \sqrt{D_p \tau_p} \tag{2}
$$

the minority carrier lifetime  $\tau_p$ . Here, diffusion coefficient  $D_p$  should be de**termined by an independent method, usually by use of Einstein's relation** 

$$
D_p = \mu_p \frac{kT}{e}, \qquad (3)
$$

where  $\mu_p$  is the hole mobility, k Boltzmann's constant, and T the absolute **temperature.** 

**The generation rate** *g* **is given by** 

$$
g = N_{\gamma} \times \frac{E_c}{\epsilon}, \qquad (4)
$$

where  $N_{\gamma}$  is the gamma flux per unit area, x the total absorption coefficient of  $\gamma$ -rays,  $E_c$  is the average energy of a Compton electron, and  $\epsilon$  the average energy required to produce one electron-hole pair.



Fig. 1 – Model of a p-n junction.

By using Equ. (4) it is important to realize experimental conditions so that the Compton electrons, which are a dominant result of the gamma-ray interaction with matter in the 1 MeV energy region, loose completely their energy within the diffusion length from the junction. In spite of the fact that their range is of the order of 1 mm, i. e. usually much greater than  $L_p$ , the above condition can be still fulfilled by surrounding the p-n junction by an absorbing material, so that the same number of electrons enters the active region as leaves it.

## *3. Collection efficiency of electron-hole pairs generated by visible light*

Collection efficiency *K* of electron-hole pairs created in the vicinty of a p-n junction in a photovoltaic regime is defined as

$$
K = \frac{i_e}{I_0},\tag{5}
$$

where  $i_e$  is the short-circuit current density obtained experimentally and  $I_0$ the maximal theoretical value under assumption that every photon absorbed creates an electron-hole pair which contributes to the short- circuit current.

By solving equation of continuity under the appropriate boundary conditions for a p-n junction with homogeneously distributed dopants (Fig. 1), if the light fa1ls perpendicularly on the -p-side of the junction of the thickness *a,* the depletion region of the thickness *d,* and n-type region of the thick-

ness c, the collection efficiencies for those regions are given by<sup>5</sup>  
\n
$$
K_{p} = \frac{(\alpha L_{n})^{2}}{(\alpha L_{n})^{2} - 1} \left[ \frac{1 + \frac{P_{n}}{\alpha L_{n}}}{\frac{1}{L_{n}} + P_{n} \sin \frac{a}{L_{n}}} - \frac{1}{(\alpha L_{n})^{2} - 1} \left( \frac{1 + \frac{P_{n}}{L_{n}} \cosh \frac{a}{L_{n}} + P_{n} \sin \frac{a}{L_{n}}}{\frac{1}{L_{n}} + P_{n} \sin \frac{a}{L_{n}}} \right) e^{-\alpha a} \right].
$$
\n(6)

$$
K_d = 2 \sin \frac{\alpha d}{2} e^{-\alpha \left(\frac{d}{2} + a\right)},\tag{7}
$$

$$
K_N = \frac{(\alpha L_p)^2}{(\alpha L_p)^2 - 1} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha L_p} \frac{P_p \operatorname{ch} \frac{c}{L_p} + \operatorname{sh} \frac{c}{L_p}}{\operatorname{ch} \frac{c}{L_p} + P_p \operatorname{sh} \frac{c}{L_p}} \right) e^{-\alpha(a+d)} - \frac{1 - \frac{P_p}{\alpha L_p}}{\operatorname{ch} \frac{c}{L_p} + P_p \operatorname{sh} \frac{c}{L_p}} e^{-\alpha(c+d+a)} \right],
$$
\n(8)

where  $P_p = s_p L_p / D_p$  and  $P_n = s_n L_n / D_n$ .

 $L's$  and  $D's$  are connected by equation (2),  $s_n$ ,  $s_p$  are the electron and hole surface recombination velocities, respectevely, and  $\alpha$  absorption coefficient.

**For a very small a, i. e. for the surface p-type layer of a thickness much** smaller than the diffusion length  $L_n$  except in the case of extremely high **surface recombination velocities, it is justified to take as an approximation**

$$
K_P \approx 0. \tag{9}
$$

Furthemore, as  $e^{-a\alpha} \rightarrow 1$  equation (7) reduces to

$$
K_d = 1 - e^{\alpha d} \tag{10}
$$

We see that for d not too large (say of the order of 0.2  $\mu$ ),  $K_d$  is small, and **the major contribution to the experimentally measured collection efficiency K comes from the collection of charge carriers generated in the n-region.** In the case of CdTe absorption coefficient  $\alpha$  in the region of interest  $(0.5-0.8 \mu)$  is a strong function of the wavelength  $\lambda$  of the incident light<sup>6</sup>. If we choose such a  $\lambda$  that  $\alpha$  ( $d + c$ )  $\gg$  1, the second term on the right side of equation (8) vanishes, and as usually  $c \gg L_p$  and therefore

ch 
$$
\frac{c}{L_p} \approx \frac{c}{L_p}
$$
, equation (8) takes a simplified form

$$
K_N = \frac{\alpha L_p}{\alpha L_p + 1} e^{\alpha d}.
$$
 (11)

**As in our case**

$$
K = K_N + K_d = \frac{\alpha L_p + 1 - e^{-\alpha d}}{\alpha L_p + 1},
$$
\n(12)

and the minority carrier diffusion length is given by

$$
L_p = \frac{K - (1 - e^{-d/\delta})}{1 - K} \delta,\tag{13}
$$

where the absorption depth  $\delta = 1/\alpha$ .

Equ. (13) by neglecting the collection in the space charge region of the thickness  $d$  reduces to the one used in the previous work<sup>1)</sup>.

### *4. Experimental*

As a starting material we used p-type single crystal CdTe with resistivity about 1000  $\Omega$  cm obtained by the zone-levelling method. In order to produce an n-type material, indium was evaporated on one side of the wafer thus insuring conditions for diffusion from an infinite source. Diffusion of In in CdTe was carried out in a closed evacuated quartz tube placed in a 3-zone furnace. Actually, two zones were used, one with the wafers at  $850 \degree C$ , and the necessary pressure in the system was maintained by the mixture of elemental In and Cd in the atomic ratio  $1:2$ , placed in the second zone at 800 °C.

Diffusion coefficient of In in CdTe was found to be**<sup>7</sup>** >

$$
D = 4.1 \cdot 10^{-2} \exp \left(-\frac{1.6 \text{ eV}}{k \text{ T}}\right). \tag{14}
$$

which gives  $D = 2.8 \cdot 10^{-9}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at  $T = 1123$  °K (850 °C).

In the case of diffusion from the infinite source, the profile of the dopant concentration is given by

$$
N(x,t) = \frac{N_0}{2} \left( 1 - \text{erf} \frac{x}{2\sqrt{Dt}} \right),\tag{15}
$$

where  $N_0$  is the dopant surface concentration, and t is the diffusion time.

For  $t = 1$  hr and  $N(x)/N_0 = 0.1$  we found from equation (15) the diffusion depth of In  $x = 0.058$  mm.

As the thickness of the wafers was of the order of 1 mm, an n-p junction was formed by the diffusion. This fact enabled us to determine the dopant concentration profile by lapping and 4-point sheet resistivity measurements. Initially, the indium evaporated side of the wafer had  $p' = 0.07 \Omega$  cm, and the opposite side  $p'' = 0.6 \Omega$  cm. By removing the layer 220  $\mu$  thick, a thermoelectric p-n probe showed p-type conductivity. Then, the complete p-type region was removed by lapping until the second, backside n-type region was reached, unhomogeneously doped with In (about 200  $\mu$  thick).

**Efficient photodiodes were formed by electrolcss plating of gold on the lower doped side of the slice by AuC13 solution. It seams that according to Cusano's data**<sup>1</sup> **> a p-type layer and heterojunction was formed. As an ohmic back contact a piece of indium was alloyed. The diode was imbedded in an** 



**Fig. 2 - 1-V characteristics of the diode INZ-1 at room temperature.** 

**insulating plastic material of high resistivity (10<sup>14</sup>**  $\Omega$  **cm), and mounted in a coaxial housing. The front contact was finally made by a gold evaporation.** 

**In order to get some basic data on the properties of the p-n junction, the I-V and capacitance measurements were performed. The later were done** using the Marconi 0.1 % Universal bridge with an external low amplitude **signal and the General Radio tuned amplifier and null detector.**

**Spectral response of the junction to the monochromatic light was measured by a glass-prism monochromator, and the light flux falling on the diode was determined by a calibrated E. G. and G. silicon photodiode.** 

**The thickness of the front gold electrode was obtained by resistivity measurements using a Thomson bridge.** 

One of the diodes was irradiated in the Co<sup>60</sup> gamma-ray source in a flux of  $1.7 \cdot 10^{11}$  photons cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> up to the total time-integrated fluence of  $1.2 \cdot 10^{16}$ **photons cm-<sup>2</sup> • An aluminium spacer 0.5 mm thick was placed close to the p-n junction in order to satisfy conditions imposed by equation (4). The short -circuit current of the diode was also measured during irradiation.** 

## 5. *Results and discussion*

**The I-V characteristics at room temperature of one of the diodes is given in Fig. 2. It has a pronounced »knee« and an internal resistance of several hundreds of kohms for low bias. As the capacitance data revealed, a relatively low breakdown voltage is associated with the existence of a very narrow depletion region, and therefore, with the high electric field (greater than 7 · 10**4 **V/cm) in the junction region. Namely, the diode of the surface area of only 3 mm2 had the measured capacitance of the order of 1500 pF. For the purpose of an estimate, if we use the expression for the capacitance of the plate condenser what is surely an oversimplification of the real situation and taking the appropriate value for the dielectric constant for CdTe**   $(\epsilon_0 = 10.6)$ , the thickness of the depletion region should be about 0.2  $\mu$ .

**Fig. 3 shows the reciprocal square value of the short-circuit current as a function of the gamma-ray fluence of a diode of the area of 1 mm<sup>2</sup> • The theory predicts a linear or a sublinear dependence. At the beginning of irradiation there is even an increase in the short-circuit current associated with surface effects. With the increase of the gamma fluence the lifetime of mino·**  rity carriers which is proportional to  $i_{\rm sc}^2$  decreases. It is a very sensitive para**meter which reflects even small changes in the concentration of recombination centres due to the introduction of radiation defects.** 



Fig. 3 - The reciprocal square value of the short-circuit current as a function **of the gamma-ray fluence of the diode INZ-2.** 

**For determination of lifetime of holes in n-type CdTe, it is sufficient to use the initial value of the gamma-ray induced short-circuit current of still**  undamaged material which was equal to  $8.8 \cdot 10^{-11}$  A.

By putting numerical values,  $N_{\gamma} = 1.7 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $K = 0.15 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ <sup>3</sup>),  $E_c = 0.59$  MeV, and  $\epsilon = 4.9$  eV <sup>3</sup> in Equs. (4) and (1), the diffusion length  $L_p = 0.18 \mu$  was obtained.

**As mobility of holes** (µ<sup>p</sup> ) **in the p-type CdTe was found to be about 80** cm<sup>2</sup> V<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at room temperature<sup>9</sup>, Equs. (3) and (2) gave for the lifetime of minority carriers  $\tau_p = 1.6 \cdot 10^{-10}$  s. This value should be considered as a lower **limit for the hole lifetine in our n-typc CdTe material, since the conditions of 100° /0 efficiency of the Compton electrons, as discussed in the second paragraph, were only partially fulfilled in our experiment.** 

**The spectral dependence of the photovoltaic effect, shown in Fig. 4, was**  used in the second method for the lifetime determination. For the calcula**tions, a value of the short-circuit current density**  $i<sub>c</sub>$  **at 0.7**  $\mu$  **was taken. This wavelength was chosen in order to satisfy conditions under which Equ. (13) had been derived, and to insure that the photocarriers were created predominantly in the n-type region.**

**The flux density of the monochromatic light was measured to be equal to**   $1.74 \cdot 10^{14}$  photons cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. In order to obtain  $I_0$  in Equ. (5), this value was



**Fig. 4 - The spectral dependence of the short-circuit current generated by light.**

**corrected taking into account only transmitted flux through the surface gold layer. The thickness of the layer was determined to be about** 900 A **from electrical measurements, taking the appropriate value of resistivity of gold films**10**>.** 

**By knowing the film thickness, transmission coefficient of gold thin films was found to be 0.26%<sup>11</sup> > for the wavelength of interes. Therefore, collection efficiency turned out to be**  $K = 22.6$  **[nA/cm<sup>2</sup>]/60 [nA/cm<sup>2</sup>] = 38%.** 

Substituting appropriate values  $(a = 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-1}, d = 0.2 \mu)$  in Equ. (10), the **contribution of the depletion layer to the collection efficiency comes out**   $K_d = 0.18$ .

As  $\delta = 1$   $\mu$ , equation (13) gives for the hole diffusion length  $L_p = 0.32$   $\mu$ , **a value somewhat greater than that found by the first method.** 

**Using Equs. (2) and (3) and taking the same numerical values as before,**  we get  $\tau_n = 5 \cdot 10^{-10}$  s. Taking into account some possible sources of errors, **such as uncertainity in gold thickness determination, in absorption coefficient at the given wavelength and in hole mobility, we estimate that this value be correct within a factor of 2. Its magnitude is comparable to the values usually found in lower resistivity n-type CdTe single crystals**<sup>1</sup> **>, but suggests also the presence of a higher number of lattice defects in such a material.** 

**Further studies on some photoelectronic properties of our p-n junctions in CdTe with a special impurity distribution were also undertaken, and results will be published in a separate publication.** 

### **Re ferenc e s**

- **1) D. A. Cusano and M. R. Lorenz, Solid State Communications 2, (1964) 125;**
- **2) J. W. Mayer, J. Appl. Phys. 38, (1967) 296;**
- **3) K. R. Zanio, W. M. Akutagawa and R. Kikuchi, J. Appl. Phys. 39, (1968) 2818;**
- **4) R. Lancon and Y. Marfaing, J. Phys. 30, (1969) 97;**
- **5) F. Desvignes, Acta EJectronica 5, (1961) 275;**
- **6) G. B. Dubrovskij, Fiz. tverd. tela 3, (1961) 1305;**
- **7) H. Kato, S. Takayanagi, Japan J. Appl. Phys. 2, (1963) 250;**
- **8) E. N. Arkadyeva, 0. A. Matvejev, J. V. Rud and S. M. Ryvkin, Zhurn. teclm. fiz. 36, (1966) 1146;**
- **9) N. Urli, Thesis, University of Zagreb, 1966;**
- **10) J. E. Davey and T. Pankey, J. Appl. Phys. 36, (1965) 2571;**
- **11) International Critical Tables of Numerical Data, Vol. V., Mc Graw-Hill Book Co., N. York, 1929, p. 256.**

# **ODREDIVANJE VREMENA ZIVOTA SUPUINA U CdTe POMOCU EFEKATA INDUCIRANIH GAMA ZRAKA I FOTONIMA SVJETLOSTI**

### **U. V. DESNICA i N. B. URLI**

#### *Institut »Ruder Boskovic«, Zagreb*

### **Sadrza j**

**Za odredivanje vremena zivota manjinskih nosilaca naboja u n-tipu CdTe dopiranom indijem koristene su dvije neovisne metode. Jedna se zasniva na mjerenju struje kratkog spoja izazvane djelovanjem gama zracenja u kobaltnom izvoru, a druga na fotovoltaicnom efektu uslijed fluksa monokro-**

**matskog svjetlosnog zracenja. Obje metode pretpostavljaju postojanje p-n prijelaza, koji je ostvaren nanosenjem zlatnog filma iz otopine na uzorke n-tip kadmijevog telurida.**

**Postojeci teoretski izrazi za efikasnost sakupljanja fotogeneriranih nosi**laca naboja prošireni su uzimajući u obzir i doprinos iz područja iscrpljenja. **Ustanovljen je relativno velik kapacitet dioda, koji je pripisan vrlo uskom podrucju iscrpljenja. Za vrijeme ozracavanja u kobaltnom izvoru mjerena je struja kratkog spoja u ovisnosti o primljenoj dozi. Vrijednosti za vrijeme zivota supljina u CdTe (oko 5 · 10-**10 **s) dobivene dvjema metodama slazu se unutar eksperimentalnih pogresaka.**