J **FORBIDNESS OF COMPOUND NUCLEUS MECHANISM IN THE CASE OF ⁹Be** + **³He REACTIONS AT LO\Xl ENERGY**

K. NI. SUBOTlC, D. M. STANOJEVIC, M. R. ALEKSIC ana B. Z STEPANCIC

Institute of nuclear sciences »Boris Kidrič«, Beograd

and

R. V. POPIC

Faculty of Natural Sciences, Beograd and I,mitute of nuclear sciences

» Boris Kidrič«, Beograd

Received 1 March 1973

Abstract: ${}^{9}Be({}^{3}He, n){}^{11}C, {}^{9}Be({}^{3}He, n\gamma){}^{11}C, {}^{9}Be({}^{3}He, p\gamma){}^{11}B$ and ${}^{9}He({}^{3}Be, p){}^{11}B$ reactions are studied, at 500 keV $\lt E_{3H0}$ $\lt 1100$ keV, in an attempt to **obtain the additional data on 26. 9 MeV level of 1 2C. The absence of any resonant behaviour at excitations corresponding to 26. 9 MeV in ¹ ²C system is interpreted as a case of** J **forbidness of compound nucleus mecha**nism, J^{π} being limited to 0^{-} , 3^{-} and 4^{-} for this state. This forbidness **inaugurates the direct mechanisms to be dominant even at low energy, as seen from the fits of experimental data.**

I. Introduction

The studies^{1, 2, 3)} of ¹¹B(p, γ)¹²C and ¹¹B(p, α)⁸Be reactions have revealed, **amongst other data, the existence of a resonance corresponding to a level of 1 2C at the excitation of 26.9 MeV. However, the spin and parity of this state was derived** in very broad experimental limits, i. e. $0^- \le J^1 \le 4^-$ or $0^+ \le J^{\pi} \le 3^+$, incorpo-

rating therefore $J^{\pi} = 3^{-}$, which was predicted theoretically⁴⁾. We report here the **study of ⁹Be** + **³He reactions, which was undertaken with the aim to give additional** data on 26.9 MeV level in ¹²C and to indicate the reaction mechanism at low energy.

Three experiments are reported here. First one, leading to the determination of excitation function of 9 Be(3 He, p, γ)¹¹B and 9 Be(3 He, n γ)¹¹C reactions, by **measurement of gamma spectra. Second, leading to the determination of excitation** function od 9 Be(3 He, p)¹¹B reaction by measurement of ten proton groups yields; **the determination of angular distributions was done also. Third, leading to the determination of excitation function of ⁹Be(³He, n)1 1C reaction by measureinent** of β ⁺ activity of the final nucleus ¹¹C.

Fig. I. Ganuna ray spectrum from 9Be + 3He reactions. Numbers above the peaks arc gamma ray energies in McV.

2. Experimental methods and results

The ³He-beam of the 1.5 MeV Cockcroft-Walton accelerator of the »Boris **Kidric<• Institute was analysed by an electrostatic analyser, defining the beam energy** $\text{to} \pm 3 \text{ keV}$. The ³He current at the target, which was placed in all runs at the centre of the scattering chamber, was typically about $0.1 \mu A$. Intensity of the beam was always controlled by a current integrator. The targets were $30 \pm 10 \mu$ g/cm² thick **Be evaporated on the nickel backing. The thickness of the target was determined** using (p, γ) reaction on ⁹Be around known resonance at $E_p = 1080$ keV by measuring **its experimental width. In all runs the pulses from the counter were fed, after amplification, to a 256-channel pulse-height analyser.**

Fig. 2. Excitation functions of gamma rays from ${}^{9}Be + {}^{3}He$ reactions.

 $9Be(^3He, py)^{11}B$ and $9Be(^3He, n y^{11}C$ experiment. Gamma rays from $9Be + 3He$ reactions were detected by a $5'' \times 6''$ NaI(TI) scintillation counter. This counter **was calibrated using a set of IAEA gamma sources for low energy, and ²⁴Na decay** and ¹⁹**F**(p, ay ¹⁶O reaction for higher energy part of the spectrum. Between the target and the face of NaI(Tl) crystal there was a solid angle of $\pi/6$ sr.

The gamma spectra were taken, for E_{311*} ranging from 0.750 to 1.100 MeV, in steps of 50 keV. A typical spectrum (for $E_{\text{3He}} = 1.100 \text{ MeV}$) is given in Fig. 1. **The compositional analysis of each spectrum was performed using a standard procedure based on fitting the shape of gamma lines (obtained on basis of calibration)** and their stails[®] to the experimental gamma lines, starting this step-by-step procedure **at the highest energy peak and subtracting one-by-one partial gamma line form.** This was done for $4.3 < E_\gamma < 9.0$ MeV since the yield above 9.0 MeV was negligible **and at energies lower then 4.3 MeV a strong neutron background made the analysis practically meaningless. The error in the determination was 17% for the strongest ones and going up to 30% for the weakest determined intensities. The yields of these gamma rays, intensities of which were determined in such way, are given, in function of energy, in Fig. 2.**

⁹Be(3He, p)' 'B experiment. **The experiment was performed in a scattering chamber, in which a 2 mm thick silicon counter was used to detect protons up to** $E_p = 12$ MeV. The elastically scattered ³He ions were stopped by Al foil placed in the front of detector. A typical proton spectrum, taken at $R_{311} = 0.820$ MeV

Fig. 3. Spectrum of the protons from the 9Be(³He, p) 1 1B reaction. Numbers above the peaks arc the excitation energies of the final nucleus.

and $\Theta_p = 110^\circ$, is given in Fig. 3. All proton groups are easily resolved except p_4 **and p***6* **corresponding to the states of ¹ ¹B at 6.743 MeV and 6.793 MeV. As seen from Fig. 3. other reaction did not interfere in the studied part of the spectrum.**

In the ³He energy range from 0.500 to 1.100 MeV, the yields of ten proton groups were determined at $\Theta_p = 110^\circ$. These results are given in Fig. 4 and it is **to be noted that the normalization was done to the cross-section measured at** 1.000 MeV by W. R. Cokker et al.⁵¹.

The angular distributions were measured at 0.820 MeV and l.100 MeV in steps of 10[°], from 0[°] to 150[°]. In Fig. 5 and 6. these results are given for $p_0 - p_9$ **groups** (except unresolved p_4 and p_5) at 0.820 MeV and in Fig. 7. for $p_0 - p_3$ groups at 1.100 MeV.

 ${}^{9}Be({}^{3}He, n){}^{11}C$ experiment. The total cross section of ${}^{9}Be({}^{3}He, n){}^{11}C$ reaction was determined by measuring activity of ¹¹C after each ³He irradiation. Since the half-life of $\frac{11}{1}$ C is 20.39 min, each irradiation was 15 min long and the annihilation

Fig. 4. Excitation functions of the protons, at $\Theta = 110^{\circ}$, from the ⁹Be(³He, p)¹¹B reaction

gamma rays, discriminated by a window at 511 keV, were measured by scintillation counter intervals of 10 min for 100 min totally. The cross-section, in the energy range from 0.600 to 1.150 MeV, is presented in Fig. 8.

3. Discussion

It is seen from Fig. 2, 4 and 8 that the excitation functions of all nine exit channels measured in first experiment, all ten exit channels measured in the second experiment and those involved in the third experiment, did not show any sign of resonance behaviour in the measured energy region. Therefore, we state that 26.9 MeV level of ¹²C is not formed via $9Be + 3He$ channel. In order to re-check this statement we tried also to divide one-by-one of our curves by energy very dependent product of Coulomb penetrabilities of input and exit channels, looking namely for a quantity

Fig. 5. Angular distributions of the p_0 , p_1 , p_2 and p_3 groups at 820 keV ³He energy. Solid line is the theoretical curve for two-particle stripping.

Fig. 6. Angular distributions of the p_6 , p_7 , p_8 and p_9 groups at 820 keV ³He energy. Solid line is the theoretical curve for two-particle stripping.

Fig. 7. Angular distributions of the p_0 , p_1 , p_2 and p_3 groups at 1100 keV ³He energy. Solid line is the theoretical curve for two-particle stripping.

$$
A = \frac{N_{\text{exp}}}{4 \frac{k_{\text{out}}}{k_{\text{in}}} P_{l_{\text{in}}} P_{l_{\text{out}}}}
$$

which showed to be useful in· our earlier work⁶> . This, however, did not change our basic statement in this case .

Fig. 8. Energy dependence of total cross-section for 9Be(3He, n)1 1C reaction.

We are, thus, faced with the facts that $11B + p$ channel forms 26.9 MeV level **and** 9**Be** + 3**He channel does not. The explanation of these facts goes as follows:**

 $-$ **¹¹Be** + *p* channel at energy corresponding to 26.9 MeV of ¹²C (laboratory proton energy 11.37 MeV) is open to all values of spin and parity in limits $0^- \le$ $\leq J^{\pi} \leq 4^{-}$ and $0^{+} \leq J^{\pi} \leq 3^{+}$;

- ⁹Be + **³He channel at energy corresponding to 26.9 MeV of 1 2C (laboratory** ³He energy 0.82 MeV) is open only to spin and parity values $1 - \leq J^{\pi} \leq 2^{-}$ and $0^+ \leq J^{\pi} \leq 3^+$ **;**

 \mathbf{t} therefore, the level of ¹²C at 26.9 MeV can be only $\mathbf{I}^{\pi} = 0^{-}$, 3⁻, 4⁻ since these values are »opened^{α} in ¹¹B + *p* channel and »closed^{α} in ⁹Be + ³He channel. **This reduction of experimentally possible choices did not exclude the mentioned** theoretical prediction⁴, stating $J^{\pi} = 3^-$.

It is a useful experience to see that in cases when the compound nucleus state parameters cannot be reached by input channel of a reaction, the process is forced to undergo by direct mechanisms (understanding that the assumption of having continuous high density of all compound levels is, in fact, another description of having direct mechanisms). This is the case even at low energy, where the purity of direct mechanism may be governed by J **forbidness of compound state formation.**

Fig. 9. Angular distribution of Po group at 820 keV ³**He energy. Solid line is the theoretical curve for uncoherent mixture of two-particle stripping and heavy-particle stripping.**

Once we are sure to be dealing with the direct mechanisms, the additional information might be contained in the angular distributions given in Figs, *5,* **6 and 7.** Before our work, the angular distributions of proton groups from 9 Be(3 He, p)¹¹B reaction were determined and analysed by other authors⁵^{, 7}^{, 8}^{, 9}, ¹⁰, ¹¹) for ³He **energy ranging from l MeV to I0.2 MeV. These analyses indicated the important role of two-particle stripping mechanism and we tried to proceed with the compar-**

ison of this mechanism with our data at lower energy. The full curves in Figs. *5,* **6 and 7 represent the calculation of two-particle stripping in the formulation of Newns***1 21* **, where :**

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}\,\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\,\Omega}=\sum_{l}A_{l}\,|j_{l}\,(Kr)\,|^{2}
$$

with $K = K_{3H_e} - (M_i/M_f) K_p$. The constants A_i were found by fitting the theoretical **with experimental curves. Differently from other authors, we did not take the freedom of changing the stripping radius** *1·* **for each proton group separately, but** we kept a single value $r = 6.5$ fm, which seems to us to be a more stringent and **a more appropriate assumption. As seen from Figs.** *5,* **6 and 7, the fit varies from being excelent in some cases to rather poor in the others, but, in average, it gives an idea that two-particle stripping is the predominant mechanism in this reaction. Moreover, it seems that even better fits are obtainable if other direct mechanisms, together with two-particle stripping, are introduced. So, for a typically unsatisfactory** fit by two-particle stripping at 820 keV $(p_0 \text{ group})$, we tried to add, uncoherently **also a contribution of heavy-particle stripping mechanism. As it is seen from Fig. 9, this gave an almost perfect fit. Therefore, it seems to be obvious that our data may be explained by direct mechanisms.**

Ref e r ence s

- **1) J. A. Becker, J. D. Fox, Nucl. Ph}'5. 42 (1963) 669;**
- **2) R. G. Alias, S. S. Hanna, L. Mayer-Schutzmeister and R. E. Segel, Nucl. Phys. 58 (1964) 122;**
- **3) L. Feldman, B. B. Baliga and M. Nessin, Phys. Rev. 157 (1967) 921 ;**
- **4) N. Vinh-Mau and G. Brown, Nucl. Phys. 29 (1962) 89;**
- **5) W. R. Coker, M. M. Duncan, J. L. Duggan and P. D. Miller, Nucl. Phys. A 91 (1967) 97;**
- **6) M. R. Aleksic, R. V. Popic, D. M. Stanojevic and B. Z. Stepantic, Fizika, 2 (1960) 1 1 3;**
- **7) H. D. Holmgren, M. L. Bullock and W. E. Kunz, Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 1446;**
- **8) E. Almqvist et al., Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. l (1956) 1 95;**
- **9) E. A. Wolicki, H. D. Holmgren, R. L. Johnston and E. G. Illsley, Phys. Rev. 116 (1959) 1585;**
- **10) S. Hinds and R. Middleton, Proc. Phys. Soc.** A74 **(1959) 196;**
- **1 1) S. Hinds and R. Middleton, Proc. Phys. Soc.** A76 **(1960) 754;**
- **12) H. C. Ncwns, Proc. Phys. Soc.** A76 **(1960) 489.**

112 **SUBOTIC et al.**

J-ZABRANJENOST MEHANIZMA SL02ENOG JEZGRA U SLUCAJU ⁹*Be* + 3*He REAKCIJA NA NISKIM ENERGIJAMA*

K. M. SUBOTIC, D. M. STANOJEVIC, M. R. ALEKSIC i B. Z. STEPANCIC

Institut za nuklearne nauke »Boris Kidrič«, Beograd

j.

R. V. POPIC

Prirodno-matematilki fakultet Beograd Institut za nuklearne nauke 1>Boris Kidric Beograd

SADR2AJ

U intervalu energija 3*He od 500 keV do 1 100 keV istrazivane su reakcije* ⁹Be (³He, n)¹¹ C, ⁹Be (³He, ny)¹¹C, ⁹Be (³He, p y)¹¹B i ⁹Be (³He, p)¹¹B u cilju *dobijanja vise informacija o nivou 26,9 MeV u slozenom jezgru* **¹ ²***G kao i radi proucavanja mehanizma ovih reakcija. Ekscitacione funkcije gama zraka i protonskih grupa nisu pokazale rczonantnu strukturu u ovoj energetskoj oblasti. Odsustvo rezonance, koja bi odgovarala ekscitaciji nivoa od 26,9 MeV u ¹²<i>C*, *moze se objasniti time da, zbog odredenc J vrednosti ovog nivoa i nepostojanja ove J vrednosti u ulaznom kanalu, ne dolazi do formiranja slozenog jezgra u* reakciji. Izbor vrednosti karakteristika ovog stanja ograničena je zato na $\tilde{\tau} = 0^-$, *3 -, 4 -. Nadeno je da je zato direktni mehanizam, dvostruki striping i tesko -ccsticni striping, dominantan i na ovako niskim energijama.*