# **OPTICAL ABSORPTION AND INTRABAND TRANSITIONS IN THIN ANTIMONY FILMS**

**A. H. ABOU EL ELA**

*Physics Department, /slamic Girls College, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt*  **Received 23 October 1978** 

**UDC** 539.23

**Original scientific paper** 

**The optical properties of thin antimony films subject to quantum size conditions**  have been studied. It is possible to study the discrete energy levels by means of **optical absorption in the infrared range. The resonance energy was calculated and it was found that strong absorption lines may appear when the absorptance is close to unity.**

## *1. lntroduction*

**It is known that thin films of semimetals and semiconductors, of thickness comparable with the de Broglie wavelength of the charge carriers, may exibit quantum size effects due to the quantization of the transverse motion of the charge carriers 1 • 2>. Quantum size effect (QSE) leads to the appearance of an oscillatory dependence of the thermodynamic properties and of the kinetic coefficients on the thickness of the film which is connected with the formation in the energy spectrum of the charge carrier subbands, whose nurnber varies with varying film thickness.**

QSE has been observed in thin semimetallic films of bismuth<sup>3,4</sup>) and antimony<sup>3.6</sup>, where measurements of the electrical resistance display quantum oscillations with thickness in the range  $30-40$ nm, the oscillations period being  $\sim$  2.5nm.

**FIZIKA 11 (1979) 2, 57-64** 57

Measurements of the optical absorption in thin semiconductor films<sup>7-9</sup> **show nonmonotonic dependence and a variation of the energy gap with film thickness due to the formation of subbands in the size quantized films.** 

**The aim of the present work is to investigate the optical absorption spectrum of the size quantized antimony films.**

We consider a case of a single crystal film of thickness *a* and the *z* axis directed normal to the surface where  $0 \leq z \leq a$ . The electron mean free path is assumed **to be larger than** *a* **and the surface scattering is at least partly specular. Moreover,** 



**Fig. 1 a) The free electron model wavefunctions.** 

**b) Energy** subbands in a semimetal in the  $k_x k_y k_z$  space.

**we assume that in the crystal film electrons and holes move as independent particles. Production of such films has been reported 4- 6 >. In this case quantization of the z motion of the particles has observable consequences. We use the free electron**  $\rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$ model with the free particle wavefunctions exp (i k r). If the film has a reflection plane parallel to the surfaces,  $|k_z|$  is quantized according to (Fig. 1):

$$
|k_z| = n\pi/a. \tag{1}
$$

**The single particle wavefunction appropriate for this model is given by a complete set of stationary waves normalized per unit area of the film in the form:**

$$
\Psi(k_x, k_y, n) = \left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^{1/2} \sin\left(\frac{n \pi z}{a}\right) \exp\left[i(k_x x + k_y y)\right].
$$
 (2)

**They are the eigensolutions of the Schrodinger equation for a free particle confined** to a rectangular potential well with infinite walls and finite width a. The feasibility of this assumption has been recently supported by Kenkre<sup>10</sup>.

**Band theory effects are introduced into the single particle energy spectrum via the nearly free electron model and an effective mass** *m\*,* **which is assumed to be the same as in the bulk system. Therefore, for electrons**

$$
\xi_e(k_x, k_y, n) = (\hbar^2/2 m_e^*) (k_x^2 + k_y^2) + n^2 \varepsilon_e
$$
 (3)

**58 FIZIKA 11 (1979) 2, 57-64** 

where  $\varepsilon_e = (\hbar^2 \pi^2/2 m_e^* a^2)$  and the hole energy spectrum is

$$
\xi_p(k_x, k_y, n) = \Delta - (\hbar^2/2 m_p^*) (k_x^2 + k_y^2) + n^2 \varepsilon_p
$$
 (4)

where  $\varepsilon_p = (h^2 \pi^2 / 2 m_p^* a^2)$ , and  $\Delta$  is the band overlap parameter of the bulk **material. The energy spectrum is consisting of subbands, each subband being determined by a fixed value of n. There may be quite a conspicuous overlap between**  different subbands when the energy interval  $\xi(k_x, k_y, n) - \xi(k_x, k_y, n-1)$  is **small with respect to the width of the subband itself.** 

**Experimental measurements could not resolve QSE hole oscillations in Sb**  or Bi, because  $\pi/k_{F_z}$  is considerably smaller for holes than for electrons, therefore, **we consider only the quantization of electron motion and ignore the holes.**

## *2. Band structure oj antimony*

**The relevant band structure of antimony is shown in Fig. 2. The surfaces of constant energy of electrons are approximately ellipsoidal <sup>11</sup> - 1 3>, with the principal** axes in the trigonal  $(z)$ , binary  $(x)$  and bisectrix  $(y)$  directions. The three electron **pockets are located at the** *L* **points, while the holes are located near the T point of the Brillouin zone, with the hole sheets having their long axes canted 53° from the trigonal** axis 1 4**>. The dispersion relation is nonparabolic, but for a small range of energy around the Fermi energy** *EF,* **the parabolic dispersion relation**

$$
\xi = (\hbar^2/2 m_o)\vec{k} \cdot \alpha \cdot \vec{k} \tag{5}
$$

can be used, where  $a = m_o/m^*$  is the inverse effective mass tensor which depends on the Fermi energy. The components of  $\alpha$  at the Fermi energy have been given **by Datars and Vanderkooy <sup>1</sup>***<sup>5</sup>***>,**

$$
a_{xx} \approx 10, \qquad a_{yy} \approx 1
$$
  

$$
a_{zz} \approx 11, \qquad a_{xy} = a_{xz} = 0.
$$

 $a_{yz}$  is negligible for the present purpose, therefore, each ellipsoid has a reflection **plane parallel to the surfaces.** 



**b) Energy band structure of antimony.**

**FIZIKA 11** (1979) 2, 57—64 59

*The characteristic thickness connectcd with the size quantization of electrons in an antimony film with the trigonal axis normal to the surface is*  $\pi/k_{Fz} \approx 2.5 + 1$  $+$  0.5 nm = 47 + 9 a.u.<sup>3.4)</sup>.

The QSE is pronounced for small quantum numbers  $n_F = a k_{Fz}/\pi \approx 1$ . If *p*-polarized electromagnetic radiation  $E_z$ ,  $E_z \neq 0$  is shone on the film it will induce optical transitions between occupied and unoccupied electron states.  $k_x$  and  $k_y$ *are conserved in the dipole approximation when the photon momentum is neglected,* however  $k_z = (n\pi/a)$  can change. Therefore, the transitions are  $\vert k_x, k_y, n_1 \rangle \rightarrow$  $\rightarrow$   $\vert k_x, k_y, n_z \rangle$  from occupied state to unoccupied final state. The resonance *energy of the transition spectral line is given by*

$$
\xi_{n_1n_2} = \xi (k_x, k_y, n_1 \pi/a) - \xi (k_x, k_y, n_2 \pi/a) = (\hbar^2/2 m_0 a_{zz} (\pi/a)^2 (n_2^2 - n_1^2). \quad (6)
$$

*For the lowest transition*  $n_1 = 1$  and  $n_2 = 2$  with  $a = 25$  nm, we obtain  $\xi_{12} =$  $=$  19.8 meV. For antimony the electron plasma frequency  $\omega_p$  is about  $\hbar \omega_p \approx$  $\approx$  85 meV (with the electron concentration <sup>15</sup> 5.54 · 10<sup>19</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> and the lattice dielectric constant<sup>16</sup>  $\varepsilon$ <sup>1</sup> = 85), therefore, strong resonances may be expected in *this range of energies.* 

## *3. The eff ect of electrons scattering at the surf ace*

*Owing to the scattering of the electrons, the quasidiscrete spectrum is partially smeared out. In order to mantain the quasidiscrete character of the spectrum, it is necessary that the smearing*  $h/\tau$  *(where*  $\tau$  *is the relaxation time) be smaller than the distance between neighbouring subbands.* 

For an exact determination of  $\tau$  it would be necessary to calculate damping *of the quasiparticles in the film for different possible scattering mechanisms. Since it is impossible to obtain a sufficiently accurate theoretical estimate, we assume that the electron can cross the film twice on average before being randomized,*   $\tau \sim 2a/v_z$ , where  $v_z = a_{zz} \hbar k_z/m_o$ , and  $k_z$  is the average value of the initial and *final wave vectors,*  $k_z = (k_{z1} + k_{z1})/2 = (\pi/a + 2\pi/a)/2 = 3\pi/2a$ , for  $n_1 = 1$  and  $n_2 = 2$ . Thus

$$
\tau = 4 m_{\nu} a^2/3 a_{zz} \hbar \pi. \tag{7}
$$

*From Eqs. (6) and (7) we obtain* 

$$
\xi_{12} \tau \sim h. \tag{8}
$$

*Therefore, surface scattering does not obscure the QSE. Long relaxation times*  have been confirmed<sup>2-6</sup>, and QSE oscillations have been observed.

## *4. Optical properties of the non-resonant background*

*We calculate now an approximate value of the classical optical absorption rate of an antimony film with*  $a \sim 25$  *nm for optical frequencies near to QSE frequency*  $\omega_{12}$ . Such calculations will allow us to establish some fundamental optical properties *of the thin films concerned.*

**To observe** *t***he quan***t***um size effec***t***s** *t***he electron mean free pa***t***h should be**  larger than the film thickness. If the film is sufficiently thin, the attenuation of the incident electromagnetic radiation will be small, and the change of phase of the electromagnetic waves is negligible. Therefore, the electric field is nearly constant along the film. Assuming that the relaxation time  $\tau$  is isotropic, the electrical con**duc***t***ivi***t***y can be approxima***t***ely represen***t***ed by** *t***he scalar**

$$
\sigma_e = N e^2 \tau \left[ a_{zz} + (a_{xx} + a_{yy})/2 \right] / m_o \left( 1 - i \omega \tau \right) \tag{9}
$$

where  $N$  is the concentration of the electrons.



**Fig. 3 p-polarized radiation incident on the film.**

For thin antimony films in the infrared frequency range, the fraction of energy reflected is small and the fraction of incident energy absorbed  $A$  can be calculated **from:** Absorptance  $\approx 1$  - Transmittance. Following Abeles<sup>17</sup>, the classical **absorp***t***ance of a** *t***hin films for p-polarized radia***t***ion is given by** 

$$
A_c = \varepsilon_2 \, \omega \, a/c \cos \Theta \tag{10}
$$

where c is the velocity of light in vacuum,  $\Theta$  is the angle of incidence and  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$  $+ i \varepsilon_2$  is the dielectric function. For an isotropic medium and

$$
\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1 \left\{ 1 - (\omega_p/\omega)^2 \right\} \text{ and } \varepsilon_2 = (\omega_p/\omega)^2/\omega \tau \tag{11}
$$

where  $\varepsilon_l$  is the lattice dielectric constant  $(\varepsilon_l = 85)^{16}$  and  $\omega_p$  is the plasma fre**quency.** From Eqs. (10) and (11) the value of absorptance  $A_c$  for  $a = 25$  nm is much smaller than unity. Therefore, this approximate calculation shows that antimony films of thickness subject to the QSE can be nearly transparent outside the **in***t***erval of** *t***he QSE resonance frequencies.**

**FIZIKA 11** (1979) 2, 57–64 61

### **ABOU EL ELA: OPTICAL ABSORPTION ..**

## 5. Resonant absorption under quantum size effect conditions

**Consider a plane electromagnetic wave of a weak p-polarized radiation**  $\vec{E}_0$  exp (- **i**  $\omega$  *t*) with zero scalar potential and a vector potential  $\vec{A}_0 = -i$  (c/ $\omega$ )  $\vec{E}_0$ , with  $\vec{E}_z \neq 0$ , the Hamiltonian is  $H(\vec{p} - e \vec{A_0/c})$ . Since  $A_0$  is small, then:

$$
H(\vec{p} - e\vec{A}_0/c) = H(\vec{p}) - (e\vec{A}_0/c)\frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = H(\vec{p}) - (e\vec{A}_0/c)\frac{\vec{v}}{\hbar}
$$

where  $\vec{v}$  is the component of velocity along the direction of the field, Therefore, **the perturbation in the Hamiltonian is** 

$$
H_1 = -\left(\mathrm{i} \ e \ \vec{E}_0/\omega\right) \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = -\left(\mathrm{i} \ e/\hbar \ \omega\right) \vec{E}_0 \ \vec{v}.\tag{12}
$$

We consider only transitions in the *z* direction, therefore, using Eq. (5), the velo**city operator is** 

$$
\hat{v}_z = \left(\frac{1}{\hbar}\right) \frac{\partial H}{\partial \hbar} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = \frac{\hbar}{2 m_0} \alpha_{zz} (2 \hat{k}). \tag{13}
$$

To obtain the number of transitions  $W(\omega)$  per unit time per unit area of the film and for unit area of the incident beam (i.e. for area 1/cos  $\Theta$  of the film), induced **induced by radiation of frequency** *w,* **we make use of the Golden rule 18• 19>. The required matrix element for the transition is** 

$$
M_{n_1n_2}=-\frac{\mathrm{i} \,e\, E\,h}{\omega\,m_0}\cdot a_{zz}\sin\Theta\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}dz\,\Psi^*\left(k_x,k_y,n_1\right)\hat{k}\cdot\Psi\left(k_x,k_y,n_2\right).
$$

**The selection rules for the transitions between such states are** 

 $\Delta n =$  odd (intraband transitions)  $\Delta n$  = **even** (interband transitions)

$$
M_{n_1 n_2} = \left(\frac{e E_0 \hbar}{\omega m_0} \alpha_{zz} \sin \Theta\right) \frac{4}{a} \left(\frac{n_1 n_2}{(n_2^2 - n_1^2)}\right).
$$
 (14)

**Therefore, the transition probability per unit time ·is** 

$$
P_{n_1 n_2} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |M_{n_1 n_2}|^2 \left[ \frac{1/\pi \tau}{\hbar^2 (\omega_{n_1 n_2} - \omega)^2 + (\hbar/\tau)^2} \right].
$$
 (15)

62 **FIZIKA 11 (1979) 2, 57-64** 

The factor in the square bracket on the right hand side of Eq. (15) is the Lorentz line shape normalized so that it tends to  $\delta$  [ $\hbar$  ( $\omega_{n_1 n_2} - \omega$ )] as  $\tau$  tends to infinity. **Performing tbe summation over** *k,* **tbe spin variahle, and over tbe tbree ellipsoids,** the number of transitions  $W(\omega)$  will be

$$
W(\omega) = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left( \frac{a_{zz} \hbar e A_0}{m_0 c} \right)^2 \sin^2 \Theta \iint \left( \frac{3 \cdot 2 \, dk_x \, dk_y}{(2\pi)^2 \cos \Theta} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{4}{a} \right)^2 \left( \frac{n_1^2 n_2^2}{n_2^2 - n_1^2} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{\hbar^2 \left( \omega_{n_1 n_2} - \omega \right)^2 + (\hbar/\tau)^2} \right]. \tag{16}
$$

**Tbe absorptance of tbe film** is **equal to tbe absorption cross section (for one pboton** flux absorption by one electron) per unit area, therefore

$$
A_{n_1n_2}(\omega) = \frac{\hbar \omega W(\omega)}{u(c/\eta)}\tag{17}
$$

where  $u$  is the average energy density of the radiation field,  $\eta$  is the refractive **index. From Eqs.** ( 16) **and** (17)

$$
A_{n_1n_2}(\omega) = \left(\frac{4\pi^2 e^2}{\eta c m_0} a_{zz}^2 h^2\right) \left(\frac{\sin^2 \Theta}{\cos \Theta}\right) \frac{6}{(2\pi)^2} \left(\frac{4}{a}\right)^2.
$$

$$
\int \int \left(\frac{n_1^2 n_2^2}{n_2^2 - n_1^2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\pi^2 (\omega_{n_1n_2} - \omega)^2 + (h/\tau)^2}\right) dk_x dk_y.
$$
(18)

For the transition  $n_1 = 1$  and  $n_2 = 2$  with  $\omega \tau \sim 2\pi$  and  $\omega \sim \omega_{12}$ , the absorptance **reduces to**

$$
A_{12}(\omega) \sim (\sin^2 \Theta/\cos \Theta)/[1 + (\omega_{12} - \omega)^2 \tau^2].
$$
 (19)

The absorptance will reach its maximum near *QSE* resonant frequency  $\omega_{12}$ , ( $\omega_{12}$  =  $= 3 \cdot 10^{13}$  for  $a = 25$  nm), and away from  $\omega_{12}$  the absorptance decreases and is given by expression (10), while the reflectance becomes appreciable. Higher tran**sitions will occur at bigher frequencies and lower wavelengths, and tbinner films bave bigber transmission.** 

**Tbe above analysis reveals that tbe detection of QSE in tbin antimony films and similar materials by infrared absorption spectroscopy measurements is possible. Experimental measurements bave confirmed tbe feasibility of infrared spectroscopy** in the case of In Sb<sup>7,8</sup> and Pb Te<sup>9</sup> films.

#### **References**

- **1) V. B. Sandomirskii, Soviet Phys. JETP, 25 (1967) 101;**
- **2) B. A. Tavger and V. Ya. Demikhovskii, Soviet Phys. USPEKHI, 11 (1969) 644;**
- **3) Yu. F. Komnik and E. I. Bukhshtab, Soviet Phys. JETP, 27 (1968) 34;**

**FIZIKA 11 (1979) 2, 57-64 63**

*ABOU EL ELA: OPTICAL ABSORPTION . ..* 

- **4) H. A. Combet and J. Y. Le Traon, Solid State Comm. 6 (1968) 88 ;**
- **5) Yu. F. Komnik, E. I. Bukhshtab and Yu. V. Nikitin, Sov. Phys. Solid State 12 l1970) 614;**
- **6) A. H. Abou El Ela and S. Mahmoud, Z. Naturforschung 29a (1974) 912;**
- **7) O. N. Filatov and I. A. Karpovich, Sov. Phys. Solid State, 11 (1 969) 1 328 ;**
- **8) G. Burrafato, G. Gioquinta, N. A. Mancini, A. Pennisi and S. O. Troiu, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 37 (1976) 519;**
- **9) A. H. Abou El Ela, Revue de Physique Appliquee, 10 (1975) 105;**
- **10) V. M. Kenkre, Physics Letters A 37 (1971) 371 ;**
- **11) M. S. Dresselhaus, >>The Physics of Semimetals and Narrow Gap Semiconductors«, Ed. D. L. Cartcr & R. T. Bate, Pergamon Press, 1971, p. 3;**
- **12) L. R. Windmiller, Phys. Rev. 149 (1966) A 472;**
- **<sup>1</sup> 3) R. N. Brown,** J. **G. Mavroides and B. Lax, Phys. Rev. 129 (1963) 2055 ;**
- **14) J. E. Schirber and W. J. O'Sullivan, »The Physics of Semimetals and Narrow Gap Semiconductors«, Ed. D. L. Carter & R. T. Bate, Pergamon Press, 1971, p. 57;**
- 15) W. R. Datars and J. Vanderkooy, I B M J. Research and Development 8 (1964) 247;
- 16) C. Nanney, Phys. Rev. **129** (1963) 109;
- **17) F. Abeles, Revue d'Optique, 32 (1953) 257;**
- **18) L. I. Schiff, i>Quantum Mechanics« McGraw Hill, 1968;**
- **19) F. Bassani and G. Pastori Paravicini, i>Electronic States and Optical Transitions in Solids«, Pergamon Press, 1975.**

## **OPTIČKA APSORPCIJA I ELEKTRONSKI PRELAZI UNUTAR VRPCI TANKIH ANTIMONSKIH FILMOVA**

### **A. H. ABOU EL ELA**

### *Physics Department, Islamic Girls College, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt*

### **UDK 539.23**

### **Originalni znanstveni rad**

**Proučavana su optička svojstva tankih antimonskih filmova u uvjetima pri kojim dimenzije sistema mogu utjecati na njihova kvantna svojstva. Uspostavlja se da je moguće proučavati diskretna energetska stanja sistema pomoću optičke apsorpcije u infracrvenom području. Izračunata je rezonantna energija i predviđena je jaka apsorpciona linija kada koeficijent apsorpcije teži jedinici.**