YU ISSN 0015-3206 FZKAAA 11 (3) 127 (1979)

SHELL STRUCTURE AND HIGH-SPIN STATES IN NUCLEI

N. KOVACEVIĆ and L. ŠIPS

>>Ruder Bošković<< Institute, P. **O.** *B. 1016, 41001 Zagreb*

Received ¹⁹ July 1979

UDC 539.I.4

Original scientific paper

The interference of nuclear shell structure on rotational spectra of light nuclei is considered. $N = Z$ nuclei are chosen because the absence of pairing makes shell **effects transparent even for relatively low-spin states. The rotational spectrum of** 2 0**Ne is taken as an example and numerics evaluated.**

1. lntroduction

The nuclear structure and the dynamics of motion of light nuclei have received much attention. lt is now an accepted fact that many of these nuclei are ellipsoidally deformed in their ground and (or) excited states (e. g. 1 2C, 2 0Ne or the 6.02 MeV excited state of 1 ⁶0). This has led to many investigations from the early Nilsson model¹¹, an SU₃ classification scheme² through deformed Hartree-Fock calcula**tions3> , the application of the generator co-ordinate method**⁴**> , the a-cluster picture 5>, to the full-scale shell-model diagonalizations with realistic Kuo matrix elements6> . The complex shell-model calculations are able to reproduce experimental data, but the very complicated wave function does not allow a simple physical picture of the underlying dynamics. The other above mentioned approaches tacitly assume adiabaticity of the nuclear rotational motion. Because of the low-spin content of shells involved in the description of light nuclei, relatively low-spin states in these nuclei are to be considered as high-spin states. This implies that both the centrifuga! and Coriolis forces affect the shape of the rotating nucleus.**

FIZIKA 11 (1979) 3, 127-133 127

KOVACEVIC-SIPS : SHELL STRUCTURE . . .

Light nuclei may be considered in the framework of the simple model of rotated harmonic oscillator, because spin-orbit effects in these nuclei are negligible. Choosing $N = Z$ nuclei further simplifies the problem, for in these nuclei the pairing is absent. The cranked harmonic oscillator model can be exactly solved⁸), **whereas the solution given in Ref. 7 is only approximate.**

The magnitude of shell effects ⁷ **> depends strongly on the frequencies characterizing the principal axis of an ellipsoid. The largest shell effects appear when the ratios of frequencies are ratios of small integers. Any departure from such ratios diminishes shell corrections.**

2. The model

Assuming an independent particle motion, the total Hamiltonian H_0 can be **written as a sum of single- particle Hamiltonians :**

$$
H_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{l} h(i).
$$
 (1)

For a harmonic oscillator

$$
h = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m (\omega_1^2 x_1^2 + \omega_2^2 x_2^2 + \omega_3^2 x_3^2)
$$
 (2)

where ω_1 , ω_2 and ω_3 define the shape. In the frame rotating with angular velocity **co around the intrinsic axis 1., the Hamiltonian obviously becomes**

$$
H_{\omega} = H_0 - \hbar \omega L_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{A} h_{\omega} (i)
$$
 (3)

where

 h_{ω} is

$$
h_{\omega} = h - \overrightarrow{\omega} (\overrightarrow{r} \times \overrightarrow{p})_1. \tag{4}
$$

Expressed in the occupation-number representation with creation and annihilation operators $c[†]$ and c , satisfying the usual commutation relations

$$
[c_i, c_j^{\dagger}] = \delta_{ij},
$$

$$
h_{\omega} = \hbar \omega_1 \left(c_1^{\dagger} c_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \hbar \omega_2 \left(c_2^{\dagger} c_2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \hbar \omega_3 \left(c_3^{\dagger} c_3 + \frac{1}{2} \right)
$$

- $i \hbar \left[\omega \frac{\omega_2 + \omega_3}{2 (\omega_2 \omega_3)^{1/2}} \right] (c_2^{\dagger} c_3 - c_2 c_3^{\dagger})$
- $i \hbar \left[\omega \frac{\omega_3 - \omega_2}{2 (\omega_2 \omega_3)^{1/2}} \right] (c_2^{\dagger} c_3^{\dagger} - c_2 c_3).$ (5)

128 **FIZIKA 11 (1979) 3, 127-133**

Equation (5) represents a system of coupled harmonic oscillators. The appro**ximati***o***n made in Ref. 7 neglects the last term in ex***p***ressi***o***n (5), arguing its smal**lness due to the $N + 2$ coupling (large energy denominators). Following Ref. 8, **hw can be exactly diag***o***nalized by means** *o***f the can***o***nical transformati***o***n** *9***>**

$$
a_k = \sum_{m=2,3} (U_m^k c_m + V_m^k c_m^{\dagger}) \qquad (k = 2,3),
$$

where the coefficients U and V are determined by

$$
[a_k, h_\omega] = \hbar \, \Omega_k \, a_k,
$$

yielding equati*o***ns** *o***f the RPA ty***p***e.**

The Hamilt*o***nian (5) bec***o***mes**

$$
h_{\omega} = \hbar \omega_1 \left(c_1^{\dagger} c_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \hbar \Omega_2 \left(a_1^{\dagger} a_2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \hbar \Omega_3 \left(a_3^{\dagger} a_3 + \frac{1}{2} \right),
$$

with

$$
\Omega_{2,3}^2 = \frac{\omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2}{2} + \omega^2 \pm \frac{1}{2} \left[(\omega_2^2 - \omega_3^2)^2 + 8\omega^2 (\omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2) \right]^{1/2}.
$$
 (6)

The eigenvalue of H_{ω} is

$$
E_{\omega} = \hbar \left[\sum_1 \omega_1 + \sum_2 \Omega_2 + \sum_3 \Omega_3 \right],
$$

where $\sum_{i} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{A} \left(n_i + \frac{1}{2} \right)_k$ is the number of quanta of the filled oscillator or**bits in the i directi***o***n.**

For $\omega = 0$, $\Omega_{2,3}$ becomes $\omega_{2,3}$, as can be seen from expression (6).

All the required quantities can be immediately found *p***r***o***vided the self-c***o***n sistency c***o***nditi***o***n is fulfilled. The usual B***o***hr-Mottels***o***n** ⁷ **> c***o***nditi***o***n**

$$
\sum_1 \omega_1 = \sum_2 \omega_2 = \sum_3 \omega_3
$$

is equivalent t*o* **the requirement** *o***f is***o***tr***op***ic vel***o***city distributi***o***n, which in the r***o***tated frame yields**

$$
\sum_1 \omega_1 = \sum_2 \Omega_2 = \sum_3 \Omega_3. \tag{7}
$$

The c*o***nservati***o***n** *o***f v***o***lume requires that**

$$
\langle x_1^2 \rangle \langle x_2^2 \rangle \langle x_3^2 \rangle = \frac{\hbar^3}{m^3} \frac{\sum_1}{\omega_1} \frac{\sum_2}{\Omega_2} \frac{\sum_3}{\Omega_3} = \text{const.}
$$

FIZIKA 11 (1979) 3, 127-133

Clearly,

$$
m\omega_t \langle x_i^2 \rangle = \frac{\partial E_{\omega}}{\partial \omega_t} = \left\langle \frac{\partial H_{\omega}}{\partial \omega_t} \right\rangle
$$

and

$$
-\hbar \langle L_1 \rangle = \frac{\partial E_{\omega}}{\partial \omega} = \left\langle \frac{\partial H_{\omega}}{\partial \omega} \right\rangle,
$$

so that the acquired angular momentum is

$$
\langle L_1 \rangle = \omega \left[\left(\frac{\Sigma_2}{\Omega_2} + \frac{\Sigma_3}{\Omega_3} \right) - \frac{2}{\Omega_2 \Omega_3} \left(\frac{\Sigma_2}{\Omega_3} + \frac{\Sigma_3}{\Omega_2} \right) \cdot \omega^2 \right] \tag{8}
$$

and the energy of the system (i. e. the eigenvalue of $H = H_{\omega} + \hbar \omega L_1$) is

$$
E = E_0 + \frac{\hbar}{2} \left(\frac{\sum_2}{\Omega_2} + \frac{\sum_3}{\Omega_3} \right) \omega^2 - \frac{3\hbar}{2} \left(\frac{\sum_2}{\Omega_3} + \frac{\sum_3}{\Omega_2} \right) \frac{\omega^4}{\Omega_2 \Omega_3}.
$$
 (9)

It is important to note that the self-consistency condition implies the constancy of Ω_2 and Ω_3 , allowing the expressions for ω_2 and ω_3 as functions of ω . This means that the initial shape $(\omega = 0)$ will change with acquired angular momentum (due **to the action of the Coriolis force on the nucleonic motion), thus showing the non** -adiabaticity of the problem. The maximum value of the acquired angular mo**mentum for a given set of** Σ_1 **,** Σ_2 **and** Σ_3 **is a consequence of the self-consistency requirement.**

Shell structure represents non-uniformity in the distribution of stationary states. When Fourier-analyzed, the density of states can be represented to the lowest order⁷⁾ as a cosine of energy with a period suitably chosen. Fig. I shows the **degeneracy of the single-particle energies of the harmonic oscillator. lt can be noted 7> that whenever the ratio of frequencies (linked to the shape) is that of small integers, the shell structure becomes prominent. Any departure from the integer** ratio (as illustrated in Fig. 1) diminishes the shell structure.

Therefore, the shell-structure energy correction can be parametrized as

$$
\delta E_{\text{shell}} = -\hbar \omega_{\text{shell}} \left| \cos 2\pi \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} \cos 2\pi \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_3} \cos 2\pi \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_3} \right|, \tag{10}
$$

where (see Ref. 7)

$$
\hbar\omega_{\text{shell}} = \frac{\hbar\omega_0}{(\langle x_1^2 \rangle \langle x_2^2 \rangle \langle x_3^2 \rangle)^{1/6}}
$$

and denotes intershell spacing. Thus the total energy including the shell correction for the model considered is

$$
E = E_0 + \frac{\hbar}{2} \left(\frac{\sum_2}{\Omega_2} + \frac{\sum_3}{\Omega_3} \right) \omega^2 - \frac{3\hbar}{2} \left(\frac{\sum_2}{\Omega_3} + \frac{\sum_3}{\Omega_2} \right) \frac{\omega^4}{\Omega_2 \Omega_3} + \delta E_{\text{shell}}.
$$
 (11)

130 **FIZIKA 11 (1979) 3, 127-133**

KOVACEVIC-SIPS : SHELL STRUCTURE . • •

Fig. 1. The change in shell structure of a harmonic oscillator potential due to the change in frequency Wz,

3. Numerical results and conclusion

The 2 0Ne spectrum has been calculated to illustrate the change in shape (and consequently the difference in shell-structure corrections) as the nucleus is cranked with increasing angular velocity.

2 0Ne is prolate in its ground state, so that the. oscillator orbits (n^x , n^y , nz) to be filled include (O, O, O), (O, l, O), (O, O, I), (1, O, O) and (O, O, 2). It follows that

$$
\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = 14; \ \Sigma_3 = 22.
$$

The mean oscillator frequency is chosen such as to fit the mean square radii of **light nuclei:**

$$
\hbar (\omega_1 \Omega_2 \Omega_3)^{1/3} \equiv \hbar \omega_0 = 35.43 A^{-1/3} \text{ MeV},
$$

so that

$$
\hbar\omega_1 = 15.18 \text{ MeV} = \hbar\Omega_2
$$

$$
\hbar\Omega_3 = 9.66 \text{ MeV}.
$$

The band cut-off occurs at $\langle L_1 \rangle = \sum_3 - \sum_2 = 8$ and the nucleus acquires **an oblate shape, axially symmetric about the rotation axis I.**

Fig. 2 shows the energy of the rotated oscillator including shell corrections (l l.) (full circles) as a function of acquired angular momentum, and the energy of the cranked oscillator model without shell corrections (9) (open circles).

 δE_{shell} (full line in Fig. 2) shows a strong oscillatory behaviour. It is seen that the largest shell-structure effects are present in the state with $\langle L_1 \rangle = 4\hbar$, for which $\omega_1 : \omega_2 \simeq 1 : 1$ and $\omega_1 : \omega_3 \simeq \omega_2 : \omega_3 \simeq 3 : 2$.

Fig. 2. The ground-state rotational spectrum of ²⁰Ne. The experimental spectrum (taken from **Ref. 10) is marked with crosses for L = 2, 4, 6, 8/i. The energy of the rotated oscillator model (see Eq. (9)) {open circles) and the energy including shell corrections (Eq. (11)) (full circles) is** drawn. The full line represents the calculated δE_{shell} .

The experimental spectrum (taken from Ref. IO, marked with crosses in Fig. 2 of this paper), also shows oscillations around the straight $L(L + I)$ line and these closely follow the δE_{shell} pattern.

The discrepancy between the experimental and calculated spectrum is due to the simplicity of the model (e. g. as a consequence of the isotropic distribution **of velocities (7), the moment of inertia is that of the rigid body).**

Moreover, it should be pointed out that given the occupation of oscillator orbits and the adjustment of the mean oscillator frequency to the r. m. s. radius the**re are no parameters in the model. In conclusion, the importance of the shell correction in understanding the rotational motion with high frequencies is clearly demonstrated. ln heavier nuclei, however, such a simple model is not expected to be adequate; namely, the shape of the potential is of the Woods-Saxon type rather than of the harmonic oscillator type and the** *l-s* **coupling plays important role. Even so effects of shell structure should be clearly seen only at very high angular velocities because of the presence of proton and neutron pairing in lower-spin states. This means that when the rotating nucleus becomes normal through the disappearance of superconductivity (the nuclear Meissner effect), it is still at suf**ficiently low temperature for a given angular momentum to exhibit shell-structure **eff ects in the rotational motion.**

References

- **1) E. B. Paul, Phil. Mag. 2, (1957) 311 ;**
- **2) J. P. Elliott, Proc. Roy. Soc. A245, (1958) 1 28 and 562;**
- **3) G. Ripka, Advances in Nuclear Physics, Vol. 1, Plenum Press, 1968;**
- **4) D. M. Brink and A. Weiguny, Nucl. Phys. A120, (1968) 59;**
- **5) D. M. Brink, H. Friedrich, A. Weiguny and C. W. Wong, Phys. Lett. 33B, (1970) 143 ;**
- **6) E. I. Halbert, J. B. Me Grory and B. H. Wildenthal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, (1968) fl12;**
- **7) A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, Nuclear Structure, Vol. 2, W. A. Benjamin ine., Reading, Massachusetts, 1975 ;**
- **8) G. Ripka, J. P. Blaizot and N. Kas�is, Heavy-Ion, High-Spin States and Nuclear Strueture, Vol. l, IAEA Vienna 1975, p. 445 ;**
- **9) C. Bloch, Lectures on the Many-Bo<ly Problem. Tata Institute, Bombay ;**
- **10) F. Ajzenberg-Selove, Nucl. Phys. A190, (1972) 1; I. A. Kuehner, E. Almquist, Can. J. 45, (196i) 1605.**

LJUSKASTA STRUKTURA I STANJA VISOKIH KUTNIH KOLIČINA GIBANJA JEZGRI

K. KOVAČEVIĆ i **L.** ŠIPS

Institut >>Ruder Bošković<<, Zagreb

UDK 539.1_4

Originalni znanstveni rad

Razmatra se utjecaj nuklearne ljuskaste strukture na rotacione spektre lakih jezgara. U jezgrama sa *N* = *Z* **efekti ljusaka su jasno uočljivi i za stanja relativno niskih spinova, zbog odsutnosti sparivanja. Za ilustraciju je izračunat rotacijski spek-** $\tan \space^2$ ⁰Ne.