

Mid-term follow up after percutaneous atrial septal defects closure

 Mira Stipčević^{1,2,3*},

 Marin Bištirlić¹,

 Jogen Patrk^{1,2},

 Zoran Bakotić^{1,3},

 Dražen Zekanović^{1,2}

¹Zadar General Hospital,
Zadar, Croatia

²University of Zadar, Medical
Studies Department, Zadar,
Croatia

³University of Osijek, Faculty
of Dental Medicine and
Health, Osijek, Croatia

KEYWORDS: patent foramen ovale, atrial septal defect, cryptogenic stroke.

CITATION: *Cardiol Croat.* 2025;20(1-2):32. | <https://doi.org/10.15836/ccar2025.32>

***ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE:** Mira Stipčević, Opća bolnica Zadar, Bože Peričića 5, HR-23000 Zadar, Croatia. /
Phone: +385-98-593-416 / E-mail: mira.stipcevic@gmail.com

ORCID: Mira Stipčević, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4351-1102> • Marin Bištirlić, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9213-4174>
Jogen Patrk, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8165-692X> • Zoran Bakotić, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7095-0111>
Dražen Zekanović, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8147-6574>

Introduction: Percutaneous patent foramen ovale (PFO) closure is the gold standard for treating patients with cryptogenic stroke and PFO. Also, if feasible in adult age, percutaneous closure of atrial septal defect (ASD) is preferred modality of treatment to reduce morbidity and mortality.¹⁻³ Our aim was to evaluate short and mid-term risk of recurrent thromboembolic events in patients treated by percutaneous atrial septal defects closure.

Patients and Results: Between 2019 and 2024, a 51 consecutive patients had atrial septal defect closure in Zadar General Hospital, 55% were male and mean age was 46.2 (20-78). Five patients had ASD and 46 had PFO with a high suspicion of paradoxical embolism or migraine refractory to medical treatment (41 vs 4pts), and one patient was professional scuba diver with repetitive decompression illness with evident PFO. All patients were screened for atrial fibrillation (0) and thrombophilia (one patient had thrombophilia requiring long term anticoagulation therapy). Arterial hypertension was diagnosed in 23.5% of the patients. PFO closure was performed with Amplatzer PFO closure device in 36 (82%) and Amplatzer Talisman device in 8 patients (12%). All procedures were uneventful. All patients received dual antiplatelet therapy for three months (clopidogrel and aspirin) and monotherapy with aspirin for one year following procedure. After one year 52% of patients are still on aspirin. During a mean follow-up of 26.9 months (max 64mo), 2 patients (4%) had TIA, both patients were older (56 and 58 years) and both had other risk factors for thromboembolic event, including arterial hypertension and hyperlipidaemia. One patient had transient atrial fibrillation ten days after device implantation. No major bleeding was reported.

Conclusion: Transcatheter atrial septal defects closures are safe procedures with no increased risk of serious adverse events or influence on major bleeding.

RECEIVED:
February 9, 2025

ACCEPTED:
February 14, 2025



LITERATURE

1. Wintzer-Wehekind J, Alperi A, Houde C, Côté JM, Asmarats L, Côté M, et al. Long-Term Follow-Up After Closure of Patent Foramen Ovale in Patients With Cryptogenic Embolism. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019 Jan 29;73(3):278-287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.10.061>
2. Kavinsky CJ, Szerlip M, Goldsweig AM, Amin Z, Boudoulas KD, Carroll JD, et al. SCAI Guidelines for the Management of Patent Foramen Ovale. *J Soc Cardiovasc Angiogr Interv.* 2022 May 19;1(4):100039. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jscai.2022.100039>
3. Teivāne A, Jurjāns K, Rudzītis A, Lazdovska K, Balodis A, Miglāne E. Five Year Follow-Up of Cryptogenic Stroke Patients Following Patent Foramen Ovale Closure. *Medical Sciences Forum.* 2021;6(1):2. <https://doi.org/10.3390/IECMD2021-10313>