

## ON 2MP-, MP2-, AND CMP2-INVERSES IN \*-RINGS

JANKO MAROVT AND DIJANA MOSIĆ

University of Maribor, Slovenia and University of Niš, Serbia

ABSTRACT. The notions of a 2MP-inverse, a MP2-inverse, and a C2MP-inverse are extended from the set of all  $m \times n$  complex matrices to the set  $\mathcal{R}^\dagger$  of all Moore-Penrose invertible elements in a unital \*-ring  $\mathcal{R}$ . We study properties of these hybrid generalized inverses and thus generalize some known results. We apply the  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  to determine a special case of a 2MP- or MP2-inverse of  $a$  and then use these inverses to solve certain equations which lead to least-squares solutions and the normal equation.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a \*-ring, i.e., a ring equipped with an involution  $*$ . There are many generalized inverses that may be defined on  $\mathcal{R}$  and two of the best known are the Moore-Penrose inverse and an inner generalized inverse. We call an element  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  *Moore-Penrose invertible* or *\*-regular* with respect to  $*$  if there exists  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  that satisfies the following four equations:

$$(1.1) \quad axa = a, \quad xax = x, \quad (ax)^* = ax, \quad (xa)^* = xa.$$

If such  $x$  exists, we write  $x = a^\dagger$  and call it the *Moore-Penrose inverse* of  $a$ . It is known that  $a^\dagger$  is unique if it exists. The set of all \*-regular elements in  $\mathcal{R}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . We say that  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  is *regular* if there exists  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  that satisfies the first equation in (1.1). Such  $x$ , if it exists, is called an *inner generalized inverse* or *{1}-inverse* of  $a$ , and we write  $x = a^-$ , i.e.,  $aa^-a = a$ . The set of all {1}-inverses of  $a$  is denoted by  $a\{1\}$  and we denote the set of all regular elements in  $\mathcal{R}$  by  $\mathcal{R}^{(1)}$ . If there exists  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  that satisfies the second equation in (1.1), then such  $x$  is called an *outer generalized inverse* or

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A09, 15A10, 17C27.

*Key words and phrases.* Outer generalized inverse, 2MP-inverse, MP2-inverse, C2MP-inverse, \*-ring.

$\{2\}$ -inverse of  $a$ , and we write  $x = a^{2-}$ , i.e.,  $a^{2-}aa^{2-} = a^{2-}$ . The set of all  $\{2\}$ -inverses of  $a$  is denoted by  $a\{2\}$ , and we denote the set of all elements in  $\mathcal{R}$  that have an outer inverse by  $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ .

A ring  $\mathcal{R}$  where every element is  $*$ -regular is called a  $*$ -regular ring. An example of a  $*$ -regular ring is the set  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  of all complex  $n \times n$  matrices where  $A^*$  denotes the conjugate transpose of  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . The above generalized inverses are defined in the same way on the set  $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$  of all  $m \times n$  complex matrices, and it is known that every matrix  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$  has an inner generalized inverse  $A^- \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$ , an outer generalized inverse  $A^{2-} \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$ , and the unique Moore-Penrose inverse  $A^\dagger \in M_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$ . Two new types of hybrid generalized inverses were introduced and studied in [3] on  $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$  (see also [9]). Let  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ . For each outer generalized inverse  $A^{2-}$  of  $A$ , the matrices

$$A^{2MP} = A^{2-}AA^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad A^{MP2} = A^\dagger AA^{2-}$$

are called a *2MP-inverse* and a *MP2-inverse* of  $A$ , respectively. Observe that  $A^{2MP}A = A^{2-}A$  and  $AA^{MP2} = AA^{2-}$  and thus

$$(1.2) \quad AA^{2MP}A = AA^{2-}A = AA^{MP2}A.$$

Since there may be many outer generalized inverses  $A^{2-}$  of  $A$ ,  $A^{2MP}$  and  $A^{MP2}$  are (in general) not unique. In the case when the range and the null space of  $A^{2-}$  are fixed, the 2MP-inverse and the MP2-inverse of  $A$  reduce to the unique OMP inverse and MPO inverse, respectively, proposed in [7, 8] as follows. Let  $A_{T,S}^{2-}$  denote the (unique) outer generalized inverse of  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$  with the range  $T$  and the null-space  $S$ . Then

$$A^{(2),\dagger} = A_{T,S}^{2-}AA^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\dagger,(2)} = A^\dagger AA_{T,S}^{2-}$$

are called *the outer Moore-Penrose* (or OMP) *inverse* and *the Moore-Penrose outer* (or MPO) *inverse* of  $A$ , respectively.

Recall that the *Drazin inverse* of  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is the unique matrix  $X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  that satisfies

$$XAX = X, \quad AX = XA, \quad A^{k+1}X = A^k$$

for some nonnegative integer  $k$ . The Drazin inverse, which exists for every  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , is denoted by  $A^D$ . Note that  $A^D$  is an outer generalized inverse of  $A$ . In [6], a *CMP-inverse* of a matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  was introduced as

$$A^{c\dagger} = A^\dagger A_1 A^\dagger$$

where  $A_1$  is the core part in the core-nilpotent decomposition of  $A$ , i.e.,  $A_1 = AA^D A$ . As a generalization of CMP-inverses from square to rectangular matrices, another generalized inverse was introduced and studied in [3]. For  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$  and for each outer generalized inverse  $A^{2-}$  of  $A$ , we call the matrix

$$C_2^A = AA^{2-}A$$

a *2MP core-part* of  $A$  (see (1.2)). For each outer generalized inverse  $A^{2-}$  of  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ , the matrix

$$A^{C2MP} = A^\dagger C_2^A A^\dagger$$

is called a *C2MP-inverse* of  $A$ . Note that for  $A \in M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $C_2^A$  and  $A^{C2MP}$  are not (in general) unique. Also, for  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , take  $A^{2-} = A^D$ , and observe that then  $A^{C2MP} = A^{\dagger}$ .

The aim of this paper is to extend the concepts of 2MP-, MP2-, and C2MP-inverses to the set  $\mathcal{R}^\dagger$  of all \*-regular elements in a \*-ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , and present some characterizations and properties of these hybrid generalized inverses.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a \*-ring with the (multiplicative) identity 1. If for  $p \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $p^2 = p$ , then  $p$  is said to be an *idempotent*. A *projection*  $p \in \mathcal{R}$  is a self-adjoint idempotent, i.e.,  $p = p^2 = p^*$ . The equality  $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n$ , where  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  are idempotents in  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $e_i e_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ , is called a *decomposition of the identity of  $\mathcal{R}$* . Let  $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n$  and  $1 = f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_n$  be two decompositions of the identity of  $\mathcal{R}$ . We have

$$x = 1 \cdot x \cdot 1 = (e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n)x(f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_n) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_i x f_j.$$

Then any  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  can be uniquely represented in the following matrix form:

$$(2.1) \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \cdots & x_{nn} \end{bmatrix}_{e \times f}$$

where  $x_{ij} = e_i x f_j \in e_i \mathcal{R} f_j$ . With  $e \times f$  we emphasize the use of the decompositions of the identity  $1 = e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_n$  on the left side and  $1 = f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_n$  on the right side of  $x = 1 \cdot x \cdot 1$ . If  $x = (x_{ij})_{e \times f}$  and  $y = (y_{ij})_{e \times f}$ , then  $x + y = (x_{ij} + y_{ij})_{e \times f}$ . Moreover, if  $1 = g_1 + \cdots + g_n$  is another decomposition of the identity of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $z = (z_{ij})_{f \times g}$ , then, by the orthogonality of the idempotents involved,  $xz = (\sum_{k=1}^n x_{ik} z_{kj})_{e \times g}$ . Thus, if we have decompositions of the identity of  $\mathcal{R}$ , then the usual algebraic operations in  $\mathcal{R}$  can be interpreted as simple operations between appropriate  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathcal{R}$ . When  $n = 2$  and  $p, q \in \mathcal{R}$  are idempotents, we may write

$$x = pxq + px(1 - q) + (1 - p)xq + (1 - p)x(1 - q) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix}_{p \times q}.$$

Here  $x_{11} = pxq$ ,  $x_{12} = px(1 - q)$ ,  $x_{21} = (1 - p)xq$ ,  $x_{22} = (1 - p)x(1 - q)$ .

By (2.1) we may write

$$x^* = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11}^* & \cdots & x_{n1}^* \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{1n}^* & \cdots & x_{nn}^* \end{bmatrix}_{f^* \times e^*},$$

where this matrix representation of  $x^*$  is given relative to the decompositions of the identity  $1 = f_1^* + \cdots + f_n^*$  and  $1 = e_1^* + \cdots + e_n^*$ .

Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  and let  $a^\circ$  denote the right annihilator of  $a$ , i.e., the set  $a^\circ = \{x \in \mathcal{R} : ax = 0\}$ . Similarly we denote the left annihilator  ${}^\circ a$  of  $a$ , i.e., the set  ${}^\circ a = \{x \in \mathcal{R} : xa = 0\}$ . Suppose that  $p, q \in \mathcal{R}$  are such idempotents that  ${}^\circ a = {}^\circ p$  and  $a^\circ = q^\circ$ . Observe (or see [1, Lemma 2.2]) that  ${}^\circ p = \mathcal{R}(1-p)$  and  $q^\circ = (1-q)\mathcal{R}$ . It follows that then  $a = paq$ , i.e.,

$$(2.2) \quad a = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{p \times q}.$$

Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ ,  $x \in a\{2\}$ , and let us represent  $x$  with

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} xax &= \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{p \times q} \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x_{11}ax_{11} & x_{11}ax_{12} \\ x_{21}ax_{11} & x_{21}ax_{12} \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $xax = x$ , it follows that  $x_{11} = x_{11}ax_{11}$ ,  $x_{12} = x_{11}ax_{12}$ ,  $x_{21} = x_{21}ax_{11}$ , and  $x_{22} = x_{21}ax_{12}$ . Let  $t = ax_{12}$  and  $u = x_{21}a$ . Then  $x_{22} = (x_{21}a)x_{12} = ux_{12} = ux_{11}(ax_{12}) = ux_{11}t$  and thus

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{11}t \\ ux_{11} & ux_{11}t \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p}.$$

Conversely, let

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{11}t \\ ux_{11} & ux_{11}t \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p}$$

with  $x_{11} = x_{11}ax_{11}$ ,  $t \in p\mathcal{R}(1-p)$ , and  $u \in (1-q)\mathcal{R}q$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} xax &= \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{11}t \\ ux_{11} & ux_{11}t \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{p \times q} \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{11}t \\ ux_{11} & ux_{11}t \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x_{11}ax_{11} & x_{11}ax_{11}t \\ ux_{11}ax_{11} & ux_{11}ax_{11}t \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{11}t \\ ux_{11} & ux_{11}t \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p} = x. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^{(2)}$  and suppose that there exist idempotents  $p, q \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $a$  has the matrix form (2.2). We showed that then  $x \in a\{2\}$  if and only if

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{11}t \\ ux_{11} & ux_{11}t \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p}$$

where  $t \in p\mathcal{R}(1-p)$ , and  $u \in (1-q)\mathcal{R}q$  are arbitrary (but fixed) elements and  $x_{11} = x_{11}ax_{11}$ .

### 3. 2MP-INVERSES IN RINGS

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a ring with identity 1 and let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ . We next define a binary relation  $\sim_l$  on the set  $a\{2\}$  as follows. For  $a^{2-}, a^{2=} \in a\{2\}$  we write

$$a^{2-} \sim_l a^{2=} \quad \text{if} \quad a^{2-}a = a^{2=}a.$$

Clearly,  $\sim_l$  is an equivalence relation and for a given  $a^{2-} \in a\{2\}$  its equivalence class is the set

$$[a^{2-}]_{\sim_l} = \{a^{2=} \in a\{2\} : a^{2=}a = a^{2-}a\}.$$

Suppose there exist idempotents  $p, q \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  ${}^\circ a = {}^\circ p$  and  $a^\circ = q^\circ$ . Let  $a$  have the matrix form (2.2) and let  $a^{2-}, a^{2=} \in a\{2\}$  with

$$a^{2-} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{11}t \\ ux_{11} & ux_{11}t \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p} \quad \text{and} \quad a^{2=} = \begin{bmatrix} x'_{11} & x'_{11}t' \\ u'x'_{11} & u'x'_{11}t' \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p}$$

where  $t, t' \in p\mathcal{R}(1-p)$ ,  $u, u' \in (1-q)\mathcal{R}q$ ,  $x_{11} = x_{11}ax_{11}$ , and  $x'_{11} = x'_{11}ax'_{11}$ . Suppose  $a^{2=} \in [a^{2-}]_{\sim_l}$ . Since then  $a^{2=}a = a^{2-}a$ , we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{11}a & 0 \\ ux_{11}a & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{q \times q} = \begin{bmatrix} x'_{11}a & 0 \\ u'x'_{11}a & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{q \times q}$$

and hence  $x_{11}a = x'_{11}a$  and  $ux_{11}a = u'x'_{11}a$ . It follows that  $x_{11} - x'_{11} \in {}^\circ a$  and  $ux_{11} - u'x'_{11} \in {}^\circ a$ . From  ${}^\circ a = {}^\circ p$  we get  $x_{11}p = x'_{11}p$  and  $ux_{11}p = u'x'_{11}p$ , but since  $x_{11}, x'_{11} \in q\mathcal{R}p$  we obtain that  $x_{11} = x'_{11}$  and  $ux_{11} = u'x'_{11}$ . Conversely, if  $x_{11} = x'_{11}$  and  $ux_{11} = u'x'_{11}$ , then  $x_{11}a = x'_{11}a$  and  $ux_{11}a = u'x'_{11}a$ , and thus  $a^{2=}a = a^{2-}a$ . We proved that  $a^{2=} \in [a^{2-}]_{\sim_l}$  if and only if  $x_{11} = x'_{11}$  and  $ux_{11} = u'x'_{11}$ . So, for  $a^{2-} \in a\{2\}$  with

$$(3.1) \quad a^{2-} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{11}t \\ ux_{11} & ux_{11}t \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p},$$

where  $t \in p\mathcal{R}(1-p)$ ,  $u \in (1-q)\mathcal{R}q$ , and  $x_{11} = x_{11}ax_{11}$ , it follows that

$$[a^{2-}]_{\sim_l} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{11}t' \\ ux_{11} & ux_{11}t' \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p} : t' \in p\mathcal{R}(1-p) \text{ is arbitrary} \right\}.$$

If we pick  $t' = 0$ , we get a representative

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & 0 \\ ux_{11} & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p}$$

of this equivalence class and hence a complete set of representatives of the partition of  $a\{2\}$  induced by  $\sim_l$  is given by

$$\text{Rep}_{\sim_l} = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{cc} x_{11} & 0 \\ ux_{11} & 0 \end{array} \right]_{q \times p} : u \in (1-q)\mathcal{R}q \text{ is arbitrary and } x_{11}ax_{11} = x_{11} \right\}.$$

From now until the end of Section 3, let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a  $*$ -ring with identity 1.

REMARK 3.1. Suppose  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  and let  $p = aa^\dagger$  and  $q = a^\dagger a$ . Then  $p$  and  $q$  are projections. Moreover,  $pa = a$  and  $aq = a$ , and so  ${}^\circ a = {}^\circ p$  and  $a^\circ = q^\circ$ . We may thus write  $a$  in the matrix form (2.2). Let  $a^{2^-} \in a\{2\}$  be represented with the matrix form (3.1). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a^{2^-}aa^\dagger &= a^{2^-}p = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} x_{11} & x_{11}t \\ ux_{11} & ux_{11}t \end{array} \right]_{q \times p} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]_{p \times p} \\ &= \left[ \begin{array}{cc} x_{11}p & 0 \\ ux_{11}p & 0 \end{array} \right]_{q \times p} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} x_{11} & 0 \\ ux_{11} & 0 \end{array} \right]_{q \times p}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $x_{11}ax_{11} = x_{11}$ . Thus, every element of  $\text{Rep}_{\sim_l}$  can be factorized as  $a^{2^-}aa^\dagger$  for  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  and for some  $a^{2^-} \in a\{2\}$ .

We now extend the notion of a 2MP-inverse to the set of all  $*$ -regular elements in a  $*$ -ring.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . For each  $a^{2^-} \in a\{2\}$  we call the element

$$a^{2MP} = a^{2^-}aa^\dagger$$

a 2MP-inverse of  $a$ . We denote

$$a\{2MP\} = \{a^{2^-}aa^\dagger : a^{2^-} \in a\{2\}\}.$$

REMARK 3.3. Observe that  $a^{2MP}$  is the most simple representative of the equivalence class  $[a^{2^-}]_{\sim_l}$ . Since  $a^\dagger \in a\{2MP\}$ , it follows that  $a\{2MP\}$  is nonempty for every  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . Also, clearly,  $0 \in a\{2MP\}$ . Suppose  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  is written as (2.2) where  $p = aa^\dagger$  and  $q = a^\dagger a$ . Then

$$a\{2MP\} = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{cc} x_{11} & 0 \\ ux_{11} & 0 \end{array} \right]_{q \times p} : u \in (1-q)\mathcal{R}p \text{ is arbitrary and } x_{11}ax_{11} = x_{11} \right\}.$$

We prove the following result in the same way as [3, Proposition 2.3].

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . Then there exists a bijective map between the quotient set  $a\{2\}/\sim_l$  of  $a\{2\}$  by  $\sim_l$  and the set  $a\{2MP\}$ .

For  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  and for each  $a^{2^-} \in a\{2\}$  we define

$$(3.2) \quad c_2^a = aa^{2MP}a$$

and call this element the *2MP core-part of a*. Since  $a^{2MP} \in [a^{2-}]_{\sim_l}$ , we have  $a^{2MP}a = a^{2-}a$  and thus

$$(3.3) \quad c_2^a = aa^{2-}a.$$

REMARK 3.5. Note that if  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  and  $a^{2-} \in a\{2\}$  are represented with the matrix forms (2.2) and (3.1), respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned} c_2^a &= \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{p \times q} \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{11}t \\ ux_{11} & ux_{11}t \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{p \times q} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} ax_{11}a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{p \times q} = ax_{11}a. \end{aligned}$$

Many new generalized inverses have been introduced recently as solutions of certain systems of equations (see, e.g., [5, 9]). With the next result we characterize 2MP-inverses in terms of solutions of systems of equations. Observe that

$$c_2^a a^{2MP} = a(a^{2-}aa^{2-})aa^\dagger = aa^{2-}aa^\dagger = c_2^a a^\dagger.$$

Since also  $a^{2MP} \in a\{2\}$  and  $a^{2MP}a = a^{2-}a$ , the element  $a^{2MP}$  is a solution of the following system of equations:  $xax = x$ ,  $xa = a^{2-}a$ ,  $c_2^a x = c_2^a a^\dagger$ . It is easy to prove (or see [3, proof of Theorem 2.5]) that it is the unique solution of the system.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . For each  $a^{2-} \in a\{2\}$ , the element  $a^{2MP}$  is the unique solution to the following system of equations*

$$(3.4) \quad (i) \ xax = x, \quad (ii) \ xa = a^{2-}a, \quad (iii) \ c_2^a x = c_2^a a^\dagger.$$

Let  $\text{Im}A$  and  $\text{Ker}A$  denote the image (i.e., the column space) and the kernel (i.e., the null space) of  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Note that for  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  we have (see [4, proof of Lemma 2.1])

$$\text{Im}A \subseteq \text{Im}B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad {}^\circ B \subseteq {}^\circ A$$

and

$$\text{Ker}A \subseteq \text{Ker}B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad A^\circ \subseteq B^\circ.$$

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and let  $A^{2-}$  be an outer generalized inverse of  $A$ . By [3, Theorem 2.6],  $AA^{2MP}$  is an idempotent matrix with  $\text{Im}(AA^{2MP}) = \text{Im}(AA^{2MP}A)$  and  $\text{Ker}(AA^{2MP}) = \text{Ker}A^{2MP}$ , and  $A^{2MP}A$  is an idempotent matrix such that  $\text{Im}(A^{2MP}A) = \text{Im}A^{2MP}$  and  $\text{Ker}(A^{2MP}A) = \text{Ker}(AA^{2MP}A)$ . We now extend this result to the \*-ring setting.

THEOREM 3.7. *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . For a given  $a^{2-} \in a\{2\}$ , the element  $a^{2MP}$  satisfies the following properties:*

- (a)  ${}^\circ a^{2-} = {}^\circ a^{2MP}$ .
- (b)  $aa^{2MP}$  is the idempotent with  ${}^\circ(aa^{2MP}) = {}^\circ c_2^a$  and  $(aa^{2MP})^\circ = (a^{2MP})^\circ$ .

(c)  $aa^{2MP}a$  is the idempotent with  ${}^\circ(a^{2MP}a) = {}^\circ a^{2MP}$  and  $(a^{2MP}a)^\circ = (c_2^a)^\circ$ .

PROOF. (a) Clearly, by Definition 3.2,  ${}^\circ a^{2-} \subseteq {}^\circ a^{2MP}$ . Let now  $z \in {}^\circ a^{2MP}$  for some  $z \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $0 = za^{2-}aa^\dagger$ . Multiplying this equation from the right sequentially first by  $a$  and then by  $a^{2-}$ , we get  $0 = za^{2-}$ . So,  ${}^\circ a^{2-} = {}^\circ a^{2MP}$ .

(b) Since  $aa^{2MP}a = aa^{2-}a$  and  $a^{2MP} = a^{2-}aa^\dagger$ , we have

$$(aa^{2MP})^2 = (aa^{2MP}a)a^{2MP} = a(a^{2-}aa^{2-})aa^\dagger = aa^{2-}aa^\dagger = aa^{2MP}$$

and so  $aa^{2MP}$  is an idempotent. Let us now prove that  ${}^\circ(aa^{2MP}) = {}^\circ c_2^a$ . Let  $z \in {}^\circ(aa^{2MP})$  for some  $z \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $0 = zaa^{2MP} = zaa^{2-}aa^\dagger = zc_2^a a^\dagger$  and thus  $0 = zc_2^a a^\dagger a$ . But since  $c_2^a = aa^{2MP}a$ , it follows that  $zc_2^a a^\dagger a = c_2^a$ , and therefore,  $z \in {}^\circ c_2^a$ . Let now  $z \in {}^\circ c_2^a$  for some  $z \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $0 = zaa^{2-}a$  and thus  $0 = zaa^{2-}aa^\dagger = zaa^{2MP}$ . So,  ${}^\circ(aa^{2MP}) = {}^\circ c_2^a$ .

Let us now show that  $(aa^{2MP})^\circ = (a^{2MP})^\circ$ . Clearly,  $(a^{2MP})^\circ \subseteq (aa^{2MP})^\circ$ . If  $aa^{2MP}z = 0$  for some  $z \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $0 = aa^{2-}aa^\dagger z$  and hence  $0 = a^{2-}aa^{2-}aa^\dagger z = a^{2-}aa^\dagger z = a^{2MP}z$ . So,  $(aa^{2MP})^\circ = (a^{2MP})^\circ$ .

We similarly prove (c).  $\square$

As a corollary to Theorem 3.7, we give another characterization of a 2MP-inverse. First, let us prove an auxiliary result.

LEMMA 3.8. *Let  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{R}$  be two idempotent elements. If  ${}^\circ p_1 = {}^\circ p_2$  and  $p_1^\circ = p_2^\circ$ , then  $p_1 = p_2$ .*

PROOF. From  ${}^\circ p_1 = {}^\circ p_2$  we have  $(1 - p_1)p_2 = 0$  and so  $p_2 = p_1p_2$ . By  $p_1^\circ = p_2^\circ$  we obtain  $p_1(1 - p_2) = 0$ , i.e.,  $p_1 = p_1p_2$ . So,  $p_1 = p_1p_2 = p_2$ .  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.9. *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . For each  $a^{2-} \in a\{2\}$ , the 2MP-inverse  $a^{2MP}$  of  $a$  is the unique element  $x$  that satisfies the following conditions:*

(i)  $ax$  is an idempotent with

$${}^\circ(ax) = {}^\circ c_2^a \quad \text{and} \quad (ax)^\circ = (c_2^a a^\dagger)^\circ,$$

(ii)  ${}^\circ a^{2-} \subseteq {}^\circ x$ .

PROOF. Condition (ii) is satisfied by Theorem 3.7. Also,  $aa^{2MP}$  is an idempotent with  ${}^\circ(aa^{2MP}) = {}^\circ c_2^a$ , and since  $c_2^a a^\dagger = aa^{2-}aa^\dagger = aa^{2MP}$ , the element  $x = a^{2MP}$  satisfies also conditions in (i).

Let us prove the uniqueness. Suppose that  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{R}$  satisfy both (i) and (ii). Then  $ax_1$  and  $ax_2$  are idempotents with  ${}^\circ(ax_1) = {}^\circ c_2^a = {}^\circ(ax_2)$  and  $(ax_1)^\circ = (c_2^a a^\dagger)^\circ = (ax_2)^\circ$ , and therefore by Lemma 3.8,  $ax_1 = ax_2$ . From (ii),  ${}^\circ a^{2-} \subseteq {}^\circ x_1 \cap {}^\circ x_2$ . So,

$$(1 - a^{2-}a)x_1 = 0 = (1 - a^{2-}a)x_2$$

and thus  $x_1 = a^{2-}ax_1 = a^{2-}ax_2 = x_2$ .  $\square$

The following characterizations of a 2MP-inverse can also be verified.

**THEOREM 3.10.** *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  and  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ . For a given  $a^{2^-} \in a\{2\}$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $x = a^{2MP}$ .
- (ii)  $x\mathcal{R} = a^{2^-}\mathcal{R}$  and  $ax = aa^{2^-}aa^\dagger$ .
- (iii)  $x\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^{2^-}\mathcal{R}$  and  $ax = aa^{2^-}aa^\dagger$ .
- (iv)  $x^*\mathcal{R} = aa^\dagger(a^{2^-})^*\mathcal{R}$  and  $xa = a^{2^-}a$ .
- (v)  $x^*\mathcal{R} \subseteq a\mathcal{R}$  and  $xa = a^{2^-}a$ .

**PROOF.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Since  $x = a^{2MP} = a^{2^-}aa^\dagger$ , it follows that  $ax = aa^{2^-}aa^\dagger$  and

$$x\mathcal{R} = a^{2^-}aa^\dagger\mathcal{R} = a^{2^-}a\mathcal{R} = a^{2^-}\mathcal{R}.$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): This implication is clear.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): The hypothesis  $x\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^{2^-}\mathcal{R}$  implies  $x = a^{2^-}u$ , for some  $u \in \mathcal{R}$ . Hence, by  $ax = aa^{2^-}aa^\dagger$ ,

$$x = a^{2^-}a(a^{2^-}u) = a^{2^-}(ax) = (a^{2^-}aa^{2^-})aa^\dagger = a^{2MP}.$$

In a similar manner, we check the rest.  $\square$

Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{R}$ . If  $a\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$ , then  $a = bu$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{R}$ , and thus  ${}^\circ b \subseteq {}^\circ a$ . Suppose now there exists  $v \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $bvb = b$ , i.e.,  $b \in v\{2\}$ . If  ${}^\circ b \subseteq {}^\circ a$ , then  $(1 - bv)a = 0$  which implies  $a\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$ . Consequently, we obtain by Theorem 3.10 more characterizations of a 2MP-inverse.

**COROLLARY 3.11.** *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  and  $x \in v\{2\}$  for some  $v \in \mathcal{R}$ . For a given  $a^{2^-} \in a\{2\}$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $x = a^{2MP}$ .
- (ii)  ${}^\circ x = {}^\circ(a^{2^-})$  and  $ax = aa^{2^-}aa^\dagger$ .
- (iii)  ${}^\circ x \supseteq {}^\circ(a^{2^-})$  and  $ax = aa^{2^-}aa^\dagger$ .
- (iv)  ${}^\circ(x^*) = {}^\circ[aa^\dagger(a^{2^-})^*]$  and  $xa = a^{2^-}a$ .
- (v)  ${}^\circ(x^*) \supseteq {}^\circ a$  and  $xa = a^{2^-}a$ .

Let us recall the definition of the  $(b, c)$ -inverse which is a special kind of the outer generalized inverse. For  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{R}$ , an element  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  is a  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$  if  $xax = x$ ,  $x\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}x = \mathcal{R}c$ . The  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$  is unique, if it exists, and denoted by  $a^{\parallel(b,c)}$  [2]. Applying a 2MP-inverse determined by the  $(b, c)$ -inverse  $a^{\parallel(b,c)}$  in place of an outer generalized inverse  $a^{2^-}$ , we prove solvability of the next equation.

**THEOREM 3.12.** *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  and  $b, c, d \in \mathcal{R}$ . If  $a^{\parallel(b,c)}$  exists, the general solution to the equation*

$$(3.5) \quad cax = caa^\dagger d$$

is expressed as

$$(3.6) \quad x = a^{\parallel(b,c)}aa^\dagger d + (1 - a^{\parallel(b,c)}a)z,$$

for an arbitrary  $z \in \mathcal{R}$ .

PROOF. By  $\mathcal{R}a^{\parallel(b,c)} = \mathcal{R}c$ , notice that  $c = ua^{\parallel(b,c)}$  and  $a^{\parallel(b,c)} = vc$ , for some  $u, v \in \mathcal{R}$ . Thus,

$$caa^{\parallel(b,c)} = ua^{\parallel(b,c)}aa^{\parallel(b,c)} = ua^{\parallel(b,c)} = c.$$

For  $x$  expressed by (3.6), we therefore get

$$cax = caa^{\parallel(b,c)}aa^\dagger d + ca(1 - a^{\parallel(b,c)}a)z = caa^\dagger d,$$

i.e.,  $x$  is a solution to (3.5).

If equation (3.5) has a solution  $x$ , then, by  $a^{\parallel(b,c)} = vc$ ,

$$a^{\parallel(b,c)}ax = v(cax) = (vc)aa^\dagger d = a^{\parallel(b,c)}aa^\dagger d.$$

Thus,  $x$  has the form (3.6):

$$x = a^{\parallel(b,c)}aa^\dagger d + x - a^{\parallel(b,c)}ax = a^{\parallel(b,c)}aa^\dagger d + (1 - a^{\parallel(b,c)}a)x.$$

□

Since  $c = ua^{\parallel(b,c)}$  and  $a^{\parallel(b,c)} = vc$ , for some  $u, v \in \mathcal{R}$ , note that equation (3.5) is satisfied if and only if

$$a^{\parallel(b,c)}ax = a^{\parallel(b,c)}aa^\dagger d.$$

Hence, any solution to (3.5) is a solution to  $a^{\parallel(b,c)}ax = a^{\parallel(b,c)}aa^\dagger d$  and vice versa.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.12, we obtain the solvability of equation (3.6) with the constrain  $d \in a\mathcal{R}$ .

COROLLARY 3.13. *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  and  $b, c, d \in \mathcal{R}$ . If  $a^{\parallel(b,c)}$  exists, the general solution to the equation*

$$cax = cd, \quad d \in a\mathcal{R}$$

is expressed as

$$x = a^{\parallel(b,c)}d + (1 - a^{\parallel(b,c)}a)z,$$

for an arbitrary  $z \in \mathcal{R}$ .

PROOF. The assumption  $d \in a\mathcal{R}$  gives  $d = aa^\dagger d$ . The rest is clear by Theorem 3.12. □

We now study when equation (3.5) has the unique solution.

THEOREM 3.14. *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  and  $b, c, d \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $a^{\parallel(b,c)}$  exists. Then  $a^{\parallel(b,c)}aa^\dagger d$  is the unique solution in  $b\mathcal{R}$  to (3.5).*

PROOF. We firstly observe that  $a^{\parallel(b,c)}aa^\dagger d \in a^{\parallel(b,c)}\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$ . Theorem 3.12 implies that  $a^{\parallel(b,c)}aa^\dagger d$  is a solution to (3.5).

For two solutions  $y \in b\mathcal{R}$  and  $x = a^{\parallel(b,c)}aa^\dagger d$  to equation (3.5), we get

$$cax = caa^\dagger d = cay$$

and thus

$$y - x \in (ca)^\circ \cap b\mathcal{R}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{R}a^{\parallel(b,c)} = \mathcal{R}c$  implies  $(ca)^\circ = (a^{\parallel(b,c)}a)^\circ$ , and that  $a^{\parallel(b,c)}aa^{\parallel(b,c)} = a^{\parallel(b,c)}$  yields  $b\mathcal{R} = a^{\parallel(b,c)}\mathcal{R} = a^{\parallel(b,c)}a\mathcal{R}$ . Thus,

$$y - x \in (a^{\parallel(b,c)}a)^\circ \cap a^{\parallel(b,c)}a\mathcal{R} = \{0\}.$$

Thus,  $y = x = a^{\parallel(b,c)}aa^\dagger d$  represents the unique solution in  $b\mathcal{R}$  to (3.5).  $\square$

#### 4. MP2-INVERSES IN RINGS

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a ring and  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ . Similarly to Section 3, we define an equivalence relation  $\sim_r$  on the set  $a\{2\}$  as follows. For  $a^{2-}, a^{2=} \in a\{2\}$ , we write

$$a^{2-} \sim_r a^{2=} \quad \text{if} \quad aa^{2-} = aa^{2=}.$$

Consider now a new ring  $\mathcal{Q} = (\mathcal{R}, \circ)$  where

$$(4.1) \quad a \circ b := ba$$

for  $a, b \in \mathcal{R}$ . It is then easy to see that  $b \in a\{2\}$  in the ring  $\mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $b \in a\{2\}$  in the ring  $\mathcal{Q}$ , and that  $b \sim_r c$  in the ring  $\mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $b \sim_l c$  in the ring  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Also, if  $\mathcal{R}$  is a \*-ring, then  $*$  is also an involution in  $\mathcal{Q}$  which yields that  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  if and only if  $a \in \mathcal{Q}^\dagger$ .

From now on, let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a \*-ring with identity.

DEFINITION 4.1. *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . For each  $a^{2-} \in a\{2\}$  we call the element*

$$a^{MP2} = a^\dagger aa^{2-}$$

*the MP2-inverse of  $a$ . We denote*

$$a\{MP2\} = \{a^\dagger aa^{2-} : a^{2-} \in a\{2\}\}.$$

Note that  $b \in a\{MP2\}$  in the ring  $\mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $b \in a\{2MP\}$  in the ring  $\mathcal{Q}$ . For  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  observe that  $z \in {}^\circ a$  in the ring  $\mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $z \in a^\circ$  in the ring  $\mathcal{Q}$ , and  $z \in {}^\circ a$  in the ring  $\mathcal{Q}$  if and only if  $z \in a^\circ$  in the ring  $\mathcal{R}$ .

The next two results thus follow immediately if we apply (4.1) to Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 3.7, respectively.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . For each  $a^{2-} \in a\{2\}$ , the element  $a^{MP2}$  is the unique solution to the following system of equations:*

$$(i) \quad xax = x, \quad (ii) \quad xa = aa^{2-}, \quad (iii) \quad xc_2^a = a^\dagger c_2^a.$$

THEOREM 4.3. *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . For a given  $a^{2-} \in a\{2\}$ , the element  $a^{MP2}$  satisfies the following properties:*

- (a)  $(a^{2-})^\circ = (a^{MP2})^\circ$ .
- (b)  $a^{MP2}a$  is the idempotent with  ${}^\circ(a^{MP2}a) = {}^\circ a^{MP2}$  and  $(a^{MP2}a)^\circ = (c_2^a)^\circ$ .

- (c)  $aa^{MP2}$  is the idempotent with  ${}^\circ(aa^{MP2}) = {}^\circ c_2^a$  and  $(aa^{MP2})^\circ = (a^{MP2})^\circ$ .

We may similarly obtain other results and observations, analogous to the ones from Section 3.

## 5. C2MP-INVERSES IN RINGS

In this section, we extend the concept of C2MP-inverses to the set of all  $*$ -regular elements in a  $*$ -ring. Recall that for  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  and for each  $a^{2-} \in a\{2\}$ ,  $c_2^a$  is defined with (3.2) (see also (3.3)).

DEFINITION 5.1. *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . For each outer generalized inverse  $a^{2-}$  of  $a$ , the element*

$$a^{C2MP} = a^\dagger c_2^a a^\dagger$$

*is called a C2MP-inverse of  $a$ . We denote*

$$a\{C2MP\} = \{a^\dagger (aa^{2MP}a) a^\dagger : a^{2MP} \in a\{2MP\}\}.$$

Since  $a\{2MP\}$  is nonempty, it follows that  $a\{C2MP\}$  is also nonempty for every  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . Also, since 2MP-inverses are not unique, the same holds also for C2MP-inverses.

REMARK 5.2. Suppose that  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  and let  $p = aa^\dagger$  and  $q = a^\dagger a$ . Let  $a^{2-} \in a\{2\}$  be represented with the matrix form (3.1) with respect to projections  $p$  and  $q$ . By Remark 3.5 we have

$$a^{C2MP} = a^\dagger a x_{11} a a^\dagger = q x_{11} p$$

and since  $x_{11} \in q\mathcal{R}p$ , we may conclude that

$$a^{C2MP} = x_{11}.$$

Recall here that  $x_{11} a x_{11} = x_{11}$ .

We now list four propositions that may be proved in a similar way as corresponding results for matrices in  $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ . The proof of the first proposition is the same as the proof of [3, Proposition 4.3].

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . For a given  $a^{2MP} \in a\{2MP\}$ , the element  $a^{C2MP}$  satisfies the following properties:*

- (a)  $a^{C2MP} = a^{MP2} a a^{2MP}$ .
- (b)  $a^{C2MP} = a^\dagger a a^{2MP} a a^\dagger$ .
- (c)  $a^{C2MP} \in a\{2\}$ .
- (d)  $aa^{C2MP}a = c_2^a$ .
- (e)  $aa^{C2MP} = c_2^a a^\dagger = aa^{2MP}$ .
- (f)  $a^{C2MP}a = a^\dagger c_2^a = a^{MP2}a$ .

By properties (c), (e), and (f) of Proposition 5.3,  $a^{C2MP}$  is a solution of the following system of equations:  $xax = x$ ,  $ax = c_2^a a^\dagger$ ,  $xa = a^\dagger c_2^a$ . It is easy to check (see [3, proof of Theorem 4.4]) that  $a^{C2MP}$  is the unique solution of this system.

PROPOSITION 5.4. *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . For each  $a^{2MP} \in a\{2MP\}$ , the element  $a^{C2MP}$  is the unique solution to the following system of equations:*

$$(i) \ xax = x, \quad (ii) \ ax = c_2^a a^\dagger, \quad (iii) \ xa = a^\dagger c_2^a.$$

By using Proposition 5.3, we may prove the next proposition in the same way as [3, Proposition 4.6].

PROPOSITION 5.5. *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  and let  $p = aa^\dagger$  and  $q = a^\dagger a$ . For each  $a^{2-} \in a\{2\}$ , the element  $a^{C2MP}$  satisfies the following properties:*

- (a)  $a^{C2MP} \in a\{1\}$  if and only if  $a^{2-} \in a\{1\}$ .
- (b)  $a^{C2MP} = qa^{2-}p = qa^{2MP}p$ .
- (c)  $a^{C2MP} \in c_2^a\{1\} \cap c_2^a\{2\}$ .
- (d)  $c_2^a a^{C2MP} = aa^{C2MP}$ .
- (e)  $a^{C2MP} c_2^a = a^{C2MP} a$ .
- (f)  $pc_2^a q = c_2^a$ .

PROPOSITION 5.6. *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$ . For each  $a^{2-} \in a\{2\}$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $a^{C2MP} = a^\dagger$ .
- (ii)  $c_2^a = a$ .
- (iii)  $a^{2-} \in a\{1\}$ .
- (iv)  $a^{2-} \in a\{1\} \cap a\{2\}$ .
- (v)  $a^\dagger = x_{11}$  where  $a$  and  $a^{2-}$  are represented with (2.2) and (3.1), respectively.
- (vi)  $a^{C2MP} \in a\{1\}$ .

PROOF. We may prove the equivalence of statements (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), and (vi) by Proposition 5.5 and the arguments from the proof of [3, Theorem 4.8]. Equivalence of statements (v) and (i) is a direct corollary of Remark 5.2.  $\square$

We end the paper with a result that extends [3, Theorem 4.10].

THEOREM 5.7. *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  and let  $p = aa^\dagger$  and  $q = a^\dagger a$ . For each  $a^{2-} \in a\{2\}$  written as in (3.1), the following statements are satisfied:*

- (a)  $(a^{C2MP})^\dagger = x_{11}^\dagger$ .
- (b)  $(a^\dagger)^{C2MP} = z$  where  $z \in p\mathcal{R}q$  with  $za^\dagger z = z$ .
- (c)  $(a^{C2MP})^\dagger = (a^\dagger)^{C2MP}$  if and only if  $x_{11}^\dagger = z$  where  $z \in p\mathcal{R}q$  with  $za^\dagger z = z$ .
- (d)  $a^{C2MP} = a^*$  if and only if  $a = x_{11}^*$ .

(e)  $a^{C2MP} = 0$  if and only if  $a^{2^-} = 0$  if and only if  $c_2^a = 0$ .

PROOF. Statement (a) follows directly by Remark 5.2.

(b) Note that

$$a^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} a^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{q \times p}.$$

Also,  $(a^\dagger)^\dagger = a$  and so there exists  $(a^\dagger)^{2^-} \in (a^\dagger)\{2\}$ . In accordance with (3.1), we write

$$(a^\dagger)^{2^-} = \begin{bmatrix} z & zn \\ mz & mzn \end{bmatrix}_{p \times q},$$

where  $m \in (1-p)\mathcal{R}p$ ,  $n \in q\mathcal{R}(1-q)$ , and  $z = za^\dagger z$ . Then

$$(a^\dagger)^{C2MP} = (a^\dagger)^\dagger c_2^{a^\dagger} (a^\dagger)^\dagger = aa^\dagger (a^\dagger)^{2^-} a^\dagger a = p (a^\dagger)^{2^-} q = z.$$

Statement (c) follows directly by statements (a) and (b).

(d) By Remark 5.2,  $a^{C2MP} = x_{11}$ , and thus  $a^{C2MP} = a^*$  if and only if  $a^* = x_{11}$  which is equivalent to  $a = x_{11}^*$ .

(e) Since  $a^{C2MP} = x_{11}$ , we have that  $a^{C2MP} = 0$  if and only if  $a^{2^-} = 0$ . Since  $c_2^a = aa^{2^-}a$ ,  $a^{2^-} = 0$  implies  $c_2^a = 0$ . If  $c_2^a = 0$ , then  $aa^{2^-}a = 0$  and thus

$$x_{11} = qa^{2^-}p = a^\dagger (aa^{2^-}a) a^\dagger = 0.$$

So,  $a^{2^-} = 0$ . □

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for helpful and constructive comments that improved the presentation of this paper.

This first author acknowledges the financial support from the Slovenian Research and Innovation Agency, ARIS (research program P1-0288). The second author is supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia (grant no. 451-03-65/2024-03/200124).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. S. Djordjević, D. S. Rakić and J. Marovt, *Minus partial order in Rickart rings*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **87** (2015), 291–305.
- [2] M. P. Drazin, *A class of outer generalized inverses*, Linear Algebra Appl. **436** (2012), 1909–1923.
- [3] M. V. Hernández, M. B. Lattanzi and N. Thome, *On 2MP-, MP2- and C2MP-inverses for rectangular matrices*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM **116** (2022), article 156.
- [4] J. Marovt, D. S. Rakić and D. S. Djordjević, *Star, left-star, and right-star partial orders in Rickart \*-rings*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **63** (2015), 343–365.
- [5] J. Marovt and D. Mosić, *On G-S and C-S inverses in \*-rings*, Comm. Algebra **52** (2024), No. 12, 5320–5338.
- [6] M. Mehdipour and A. Salemi, *On a new generalized inverse of matrices*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **66** (2018), 1046–1053.

- [7] D. Mosić and P. S. Stanimirović, *Composite outer inverses for rectangular matrices*, Quaest. Math. **44** (2021), 45–72.
- [8] P. S. Stanimirović, D. Mosić and Y. Wei, *Least squares properties of generalized inverses*, Commun. Math. Res. **37** (2021), 421–447.
- [9] D. Stojanović and D. Mosić, *Inner-gMP and gMP-inner inverses*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **53** (2024), 1312–1325.

J. Marovt  
University of Maribor  
Faculty of Economics and Business  
Razlagova 14, SI-2000 Maribor  
Slovenia,  
and  
IMFM  
Jadranska ulica 19, SI-1000 Ljubljana  
Slovenia  
*E-mail*: janko.marovt@um.si

D. Mosić  
Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics  
University of Niš  
P.O. Box 224, 18000 Niš  
Serbia  
*E-mail*: dijana@pmf.ni.ac.rs

*Received*: 8.7.2023.

*Revised*: 2.12.2024.

## O 2MP-, MP2- I CMP2-INVERZIMA U \*-PRSTENIMA

J. MAROVT I D. MOSIĆ

**SAŽETAK.** Pojmovi 2MP-inverza, MP2-inverza i C2MP-inverza proširuju se sa skupa svih kompleksnih  $m \times n$  matrica na skup  $\mathcal{R}^\dagger$  svih Moore–Penrose invertibilnih elemenata u unitalnom \*-prstenu  $\mathcal{R}$ . Proučavamo svojstva tih hibridnih generaliziranih inverza te time generaliziramo neke poznate rezultate. Primjenjujemo  $(b, c)$ -inverz elementa  $a \in \mathcal{R}^\dagger$  kako bismo odredili poseban slučaj 2MP- ili MP2-inverza elementa  $a$ , a zatim koristimo te inverze za rješavanje određenih jednačbi koje vode prema rješenjima u smislu metode najmanjih kvadrata i normalnoj jednačbi.