

## $p$ - ${}^4\text{He}$ Scattering and Non-Local Imaginary Potential

Hiroyuki Kanada and Tsuneo Kaneko

Department of Physics, Niigata University, Niigata, Japan

From the investigation on the reactions of the five- and six- nucleon systems,<sup>1)</sup> we have made clear that the microscopic treatment, considering the full nucleon exchange effect, is very useful for the reaction of a few nucleon system. Especially, it was shown that<sup>2)</sup> the characteristic property of the  $p$ - ${}^4\text{He}$  interaction, that is, this interaction have a strong state and a weak energy dependences, has been quantitatively very good understood by the microscopic study based on the realistic effective potential (REP) obtained from the G-matrix calculation of the nuclear matter.<sup>3)</sup> In this case, the experimental phase shifts of the S- and P-waves in the low energy region ( $E_p^{\text{cm}} \lesssim 30 \text{ MeV}$ ) were excellently reproduced by this treatment.

Here, we will examine the  $p$ - ${}^4\text{He}$  scattering in the higher energy region where the contribution from the inelastic channels must be included, and the latter contribution is taken into account by a phenomenological imaginary potential within a framework of one channel approximation. In this calculation, for the  ${}^4\text{He}$  nucleus both S- and D-states (the mixing ratio being 1:C) are taken into account, since a part of the  $l$ s splitting comes through the S-D coupling by the tensor potential. The width parameters ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ) and the mixing parameter C have been so determined as to fit the mean square radius, the binding energy and the D-state probability of the  ${}^4\text{He}$  nucleus ( $\alpha = 0.14 \text{ fm}^{-2}$ ,  $\beta = 0.295 \text{ fm}^{-2}$  and  $C = -0.35$ ).

As mentioned in the previous papers<sup>1)</sup>, the reaction kernel  $k_{pd}$ , which couples the  $p$ - ${}^4\text{He}$  channel to the other channel (in this case, the  $d$ - ${}^3\text{He}$  channel), can be decomposed two terms, that is, the direct term  $k^{(D)}$  (corresponding to the neutron pick-up process) and the exchange term  $k^{(E)}$  (corresponding to the deuteron pick-up process). In the previous paper<sup>1c)</sup>, the characteristic properties of these reaction kernels and those effects to the elastic and the inelastic scatterings were explained in detail. These some important points were as the followings: (i) Each kernel holds a characteristic relation as follows:  $k_{\ell}^{(D)} \cdot k_{\ell+1}^{(D)} > 0$  and  $k_{\ell}^{(E)} \cdot k_{\ell+1}^{(E)} < 0$ . (ii) the direct kernel  $k^{(D)}$  has stronger steepness than the exchange

$k(E)$ , and then the direct kernel shows a rather strong locality. (iii) The contribution from coupling of the  $d$ - $^3\text{He}$  channel to the  $p$ - $^4\text{He}$  channel shows rather surface type as seen in the calculated scattering amplitudes  $a$  ( See Table I in the paper 1c ).

From such results, here, we introduce an imaginary local potential of the usual derivative Woods-Saxon type ( corresponding to the contribution from the direct process ) and an imaginary non-local one ( corresponding to the one from the exchange process ) as the following type:

$$iW_{\text{NON}}^0 \cdot \text{Exp}( -A \cdot (r^2 + r'^2) - Dr \cdot r' ),$$

where the parameters  $A$  and  $D$  are chosen as  $A = 0.2 \text{ fm}^{-2}$  and  $D = 0.28 \text{ fm}^{-2}$  ( See Fig.1 in the paper 1c ). By this non-local imaginary potential, the imaginary phase shifts also take an even-odd parity dependence,<sup>4)</sup> and then the differential cross section and the polarization are reformed very well. For an example, the calculated polarizations at  $E_p^{\text{cm}} = 36 \text{ MeV}$  are compared with the recent experimental data<sup>5)</sup> in Fig.1.

References:

- 1) H. Kanada, T. Kaneko and M. Nomoto: Prog. Theor. Phys. 54 (1975) 1707 and b) ibid, 55 (1976) 471.
- c) H. Kanada and T. Kaneko: Prog. Theor. Phys. 57 (1977) 1277.
- 2) H. Kanada, T. Kaneko, S. Nagata and M. Nomoto: Proceeding of the International Conference on Nuclear Structure, Tokyo September 5-10, 1977.
- 3) A. Hasegawa and S. Nagata: Prog. Theor. Phys:45 (1971) 1786 and private communication.
- 4) D. R. Thompson et al. Phys. Rev. C5 (1972) 1939.
- 5) K. Nishimura: Private communication.

