

NON-LEPTONIC DECAYS AND THE CHIRAL BAG MODELS

DUBRAVKO HORVAT

Zavod za fiziku, Elektrotehnički fakultet, University of Zagreb, Croatia,
Yugoslavia

Nonleptonic hyperon decays have been analyzed using a chiral-bag model. The adopted theoretical formalism allows a comparison between the new and the old MIT-bag model approach. Chiral-bag model based theoretical predictions are not significantly different from the MIT-bag model based results.

1. Introduction

This paper is based on a particular scheme [1] which has used the MIT-bag model [2] but here it will be replaced by the chiral-bag quark model [3, 4].

In the particular approach [1] s-wave hyperon decay amplitudes are approximated through a commutator term. The usage of PCAC is an essential ingredient in the calculation of the soft-pion amplitudes [5]

$$A^C = a_B \langle B | H_W^{eff} | B \rangle \quad (1)$$

The effective Hamiltonian H_W^{eff} has been obtained by calculating QCD radiative corrections to the standard electroweak Hamiltonian [6].

In the MIT-bag model [2] the axial-vector current is not conserved on the bag surface and the chiral symmetry is broken.

The chiral symmetry can be restored by introducing an elementary meson field coupled to quarks [3, 4]. As the chiral

symmetry is spontaneously broken the PCAC condition can be incorporated into the MIT-bag model.

The weak currents in the effective weak Hamiltonian now have a quark piece inside the bag and a meson piece outside the bag in accordance with two phase model of hadrons.

The QCD-corrected effective weak Hamiltonian is of the form [6]:

$$H = \sqrt{2} G_F \sin \theta_c \cos \theta_c \sum_{i=1}^6 c_i O_i \quad (2)$$

Here O_i 's are four quark local operators, made out of the left handed fields and c_i are the QCD enhancement coefficients.

Therefore one is dealing with a V-A combination of the vector-current V and the axial-vector current A. In the chiral-bag model both A and V currents contain "mesonic" parts outside the bag boundary. Static approximation for the meson field means that each field has a quark source inside the hadron, for example

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_a^M(\mathbf{r}) = & D_O^M (1/\mu_M \mathbf{r}) \exp(-\mu_M \mathbf{r}) (\tilde{\chi}_m^\dagger \lambda_a \chi_n) \cdot \\ & \cdot (\tilde{d}_m^c b_n^c + \tilde{b}_m^{c\dagger} d_n^c) + D_1^M (1/\mu_M \mathbf{r} + 1/\mu_m^2 \mathbf{r}^2) \cdot \\ & \cdot \exp(-\mu_M \mathbf{r}) (\tilde{\chi}_m^\dagger (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}) \chi_n) (\tilde{b}_m^{c\dagger} b_n^c + \tilde{d}_m^c d_n^{c\dagger}) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here $b^\dagger(b)$ and $d^\dagger(d)$ are creation (annihilation) operators for quarks (antiquarks) inside the hadron.

The calculation of matrix elements (1), i.e.

$$a_{B_f B_i} = \sqrt{2} G_F \sin \theta_c \cos \theta_c |c_1 \langle B_f | O_1^{(1)} | B_i \rangle + \dots| \quad (4)$$

allows only parts transforming as V·V or A·A to contribute. The quark flavours can be sources of the meson fields for instance

$$\begin{aligned} u \bar{u} & \longrightarrow \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} \eta_8 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \eta_1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \pi^0 \\ s \bar{d} & \longrightarrow \bar{K}^0 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

This means that each axial-vector current has an addition of the derivative of the corresponding meson field:

$$\langle B_f | O_1^{(1)} | B_i \rangle_{AA} = \langle B_f | O_1^{(1)} | B_i \rangle_{AAQ} + \langle B_f | O_1^{(1)} | B_i \rangle_M \quad (6)$$

Here, for instance for $(\bar{d} s) (\bar{u} u)$ combination:

$$\langle B_f | O_1^{(1)} | B_i \rangle_{AAQ} = \langle B_f | \int d^3x : (\bar{d}(x) s(x))_A (\bar{u}(x) u(x))_A : | B_i \rangle_{\Theta(R-x)} \quad (7a)$$

and

$$\langle B_f | O_1^{(1)} | B_i \rangle_M \equiv \int (P_1 + P_2 + P_3) \cdot \Theta(x-R) d^3x \quad (7b)$$

where, for instance

$$P_1 = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} \langle B_f | : (f_{\bar{K}^0} \partial_\mu \phi^{\bar{K}^0} f_{\eta_8} \partial^\mu \phi^{\eta_8}) : | B_i \rangle$$

By using a free-particle Klein-Gordon equation for the meson field $\phi(r)$ outside the bag, one finds

$$P_1 = a_i f_\ell f_m \langle B_f | : \int_{r=R} r^2 d\Omega \phi^\ell \partial_r \phi^m : | B_i \rangle + a_i f_\ell f_m \mu_m^2 \langle B_f | : \int r^2 dr d\Omega \phi^\ell \phi^m : | B_i \rangle \quad (8)$$

and the contribution (7a) corresponds formally to the old MIT-bag model based results [1]. However the quark wave functions under integration must be the chiral-bag model solutions.

The overall structure of the soft-pion amplitude is

$$a_{B_i B_f} = \sqrt{2} G_F \sin \theta_c \cos \theta_c \sum c_i [\langle B_f | O_i | B_i \rangle_{V\bar{V}Q} + \langle B_f | O_i | B_i \rangle_{AAQ} + \langle B_f | O_i | B_i \rangle_M] \quad (9)$$

Expressions for various amplitudes $a_{B_i B_f}$ can be given in terms of integrals over quark and meson wave functions. Chiral-bag quark wave functions depend on the actual hadron H which

is built from the quarks in question.

The current-algebra contribution A^C [5] to the s-wave hyperon nonleptonic decay amplitude is the best illustration of the differences between chiral-bag and MIT-bag models.

We have found two possible sets of model parameters to describe the chiral bag (confinement radii, m_s).

In Table 1 we display theoretical and experimental s-wave amplitudes which contain both current-algebra and separable contributions.

The overall picture is quite pleasing. The agreement with experimental values is as good as in the calculation based on the simple MIT-bag model [1]. The relative signs of the hyperon decay amplitudes are always reproduced correctly, if one excludes $A(\Sigma_+^+)$ amplitude. This particular result is in complete agreement with the other chiral-bag model calculation [7]. Apart from $A(\Sigma_+^+)$ even the relative magnitude of the various amplitudes are qualitatively correct.

TABLE 1

Amplitude $\times 10^6$	R		A^C	Separable	Tot.		Exp.
	$R_1 = 4.58$	$R_2 = 5.65$			Our	Ref. [1]	
$A(\Lambda_-^0)$	R_1		0.25	0.03	0.28		
	R_2		0.14	0.03	0.17	0.21	0.32
$A(\Xi_-^-)$	R_1		-0.46	-0.06	-0.52		
	R_2		-0.28	-0.06	-0.34	-0.43	-0.44
$A(\Sigma_0^+)$	R_1		-0.42	-0.05	-0.47		
	R_2		-0.25	-0.05	-0.30	-0.38	-0.32
$A(\Sigma_-^-)$	R_1		0.43	0.02	0.45		
	R_2		0.21	0.02	0.23	0.49	0.42
$A(\Sigma_+^+)$	R_1		$3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0	$3 \cdot 10^{-3}$		
	R_2		$3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0	$3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0	0.01

Hyperon nonleptonic decay amplitudes

Experimental values are from Ref [8]

References

- [1] D.Tadić, J.Trampetić, Phys.Rev. D23 (1981) 144;
- [2] A.Chodos, R.L.Jaffe, K.Johnson and C.B.Thorn, Phys. rev. D9(1974)3471;
T. De Grand, R.L.Jaffe, K.Johnson, J.Kiskis, Phys.Rev. D12(1975)2060;
- [3] M.M.Misakhanov: preprint ITEP-179 (1980); A.W. Thomas, Adv.Nucl.Phys. 13, p.1. J.W.Negele, E.Vogt (eds.) New York: Plenum Press 1984; R.L. Jaffe: Lectures at the 1979 Summer School "Ettore Majorana", Vol.17, ed. A.Zichichi, New York: Plenum Press, 1982; F.Myhrer, G.E.Brown, Z.Xu, Nucl.Phys. A362 (1981) 317; G.E.Brown, M.Rho, Phys.Lett. 82B (1979) 177; G.E.Brown, M.RHO, V.Vento, Phys.Lett. 84B (1979) 383; M.V.Barnhill, W.K.Cheng, A.Halprin, Phys.Rev. D20 (1979)727; M.V. Barnhill, A.Halprin, Phys.Rev. D21 (1980) 1916; V.Vento, M.Rho, E.M. Nyman, J.H.Jun, G.E.Brown, Nucl.Phys. A345 (1980) 314; K.Saito, Progr. Theor.Phys. 71 (1984) 775;
- [4] F.Myhrer, G.E.Brown, Z.Xu, Nucl.Phys. A362 (1981) 317; I.Hulthage, F.Myhrer, Z.Xu, Nucl.Phys. A364 (1981) 322; H.Høgaasen, F.Myhrer, Z. Phys. C21 (1983) 73;
- [5] R.E.Marshak, Riazuddin, C.P.Ryan: Theory of weak interactions in particle physics. New York: Wiley Interscience 1969
- [6] M.K.Gaillard, B.W.Lee, Phys.Rev.Lett. 33 (1974) 108; G.Altarelli, L. Maiani, Phys.Lett. 52B (1974) 351; A.A.Shifman, A.J.Vainshtein, V. Zaharov, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz. 22 (1975)123; Sov.Phys. JETP 22, 55 (1975); ITEP Reports 64 and 65 (unpublished); Nucl.Phys. B120 (1977) 315; R.D.C.Miller, B.H.J.McKellar: Phys.Rep. 106 (1984) 169
- [7] J.A.Oteo, S.Noguera: Orsay preprint IPNO/TH 85-7; P.Gonzales, S.Noguera, J.Bernabeu, V.Vento, Nucl.Phys. A423 (1984) 477;
- [8] Review of Particle Properties: Phys.Lett. 111B (1982)