

A LOWER ENERGY BOUND FOR N-PARTICLES QUANTUM SYSTEMS

S. Kilić, Department of Physics, University of Split
 T. Persi, Depart. of Civil Eng., University of Rijeka
 S. Sunarić, Depart. of Mech. Eng., Univ. of Mostar

Abstract. It has been shown that the ground state energy E_0 of a quantum system of N identical fermi particles satisfies inequality

$$E_0 \geq \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_i^{N-n} \epsilon_i \quad , \quad (1)$$

where $n=1,2,\dots,N-1$ and ϵ_i are the eigenvalues of "n+1 - body Hamiltonian". The summation is performed over the first $N-n$ states.

A generalized lower bound on E_0

The studies of the energy bounds always imply a general importance. Let us suppose that Hamiltonian of the N -particles homogeneous system reads

$$H = \sum_n^N p_i^2/2m + \sum_{i<j}^N V_{ij}(r_{ij}) \quad , \quad (2)$$

V_{ij} is the pair potential (we do not write spin-coordinates explicitly) and $\vec{r}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N)$ is a set of coordinates. In "the centre of mass" of kinetic energy ($\sum_i \vec{p}_i = 0$) Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = \sum_{i<j}^N (\vec{p}_i - \vec{p}_j)^2/2mN + \sum_{i<j}^N V_{ij} \quad . \quad (3)$$

Let us now introduce a new set of $\vec{\rho}(\vec{\rho}_1, \vec{\rho}_2, \dots, \vec{\rho}_N)$ coordinates using the transformation

$$\vec{\rho} = B \vec{r} \quad . \quad (4)$$

The matrix B is real, nonsingular and enables us to separate the coordinate $\vec{\rho}_1$ of the centre of mass. If \tilde{B}^{-1} is the inverse of the transpose of B , then new momenta are

$$\vec{\pi} = \tilde{B}^{-1} \vec{p} \quad , \quad (5)$$

where $\vec{\pi}_i = -i\hbar \nabla_{\vec{r}_i}$.

For any trial, normalized and antisymmetric (in the particle indices 1,2,...,N) function $\chi(\vec{r}_2, \vec{r}_3, \dots, \vec{r}_N)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi | H | \chi \rangle &= \langle \chi | \mathcal{H} | \chi \rangle \\ &= \langle \chi | \nu^{-1} \sum_{j=2}^m \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} H_{ij} | \chi \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ij} &= \frac{1}{4m} (\vec{p}_i^2 + \vec{p}_j^2 - 2\vec{p}_i \vec{p}_j) + \frac{1}{2} N(N-1) V_{ij} \\ \nu &= \frac{m(m-1)}{2}, \quad m=2,3,\dots,N. \end{aligned}$$

Let us suppose that after introducing new coordinates \vec{r} and momenta \vec{r} , Hamiltonian is transformed into

$$\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_{n+1})$$

with $n=1,2,\dots,N-1$, and that we know to solve the eigenvalue problem

$$\mathcal{H}(\vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_{n+1}) \phi_i(\vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_{n+1}) = \epsilon_i \phi_i(\vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_{n+1}) \quad (7)$$

In order to obtain the lower bound on the energy E_0 , let us expand the unknown, ground state function $\psi_0(\vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N)$ in terms of the normalized eigenstates $\phi_i(\vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_{n+1})$

$$\psi_0(\vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_N) = \sum_i c_i \phi_i(\vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_{n+1}) \psi_1(\vec{r}_{n+2}, \dots, \vec{r}_N), \quad (8)$$

where c_i are constant coefficients and ψ_1 antisymmetric functions in the individual particle indices $n+2, n+3, \dots, N$, i.e.

$$A(n+2, n+3, \dots, N) \psi_1(\vec{r}_{n+2}, \dots, \vec{r}_N) = \psi_1(\vec{r}_{n+2}, \dots, \vec{r}_N);$$

the operator A is antisymmetrizer. By supposition ψ_0 is

antisymmetric and normalized

$$A(1,2,\dots,N) \psi_0 = \psi_0$$

$$\langle \psi_0 | \psi_0 \rangle = \sum_i |c_i|^2 = 1. \quad (9)$$

For the energy of the ground state we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \langle \psi_0 | H | \psi_0 \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | \mathcal{H} | \psi_0 \rangle \\ &= \sum_i |c_i|^2 \epsilon_i. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

An inequality for the coefficients C_i can be obtained using Schwartz inequality, hermiticity, projection property and decomposition of A

$$A(n+1, n+2, \dots, N) = \frac{1}{N-n} (1 - P_{n+1, n+2} - \dots - P_{n+1, N}) A(n+2, \dots, N),$$

where P_{ij} is the exchange operator in the individual particle indices i and j . Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} |c_i|^2 &= |\langle \phi_i \psi_i | \psi_0 \rangle|^2 = |\langle \phi_i \psi_i | A(n+1, \dots, N) \psi_0 \rangle|^2 \\ &= |\langle A(n+1, \dots, N) \phi_i \psi_i | \psi_0 \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \langle A(n+1, \dots, N) \phi_i \psi_i | A(n+1, \dots, N) \phi_i \psi_i \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N-n} \langle \phi_i \psi_i | (1 - P_{n+1, n+2} - \dots - P_{n+1, n+2})^* \\ &\quad * \phi_i \psi_i \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N-n} \{ 1 - [N - (n+1)] \delta \}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\delta = \int \phi_1^*(\vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_{n+1}) \psi_1^*(\vec{r}_{n+2}, \dots, \vec{r}_N) P_{n+1, n+2} \phi_1(\vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_{n+1}) \psi_1(\vec{r}_{n+2}, \dots, \vec{r}_N) d\vec{r}_2 d\vec{r}_3 \dots d\vec{r}_N . \quad (12)$$

Let us show that δ is nonnegative for a transformation (4) that satisfies

$$P_{n+1, n+2} \vec{r}_{n+1} = \vec{r}_{n+2} , \quad P_{n+1, n+2} \vec{r}_{n+2} = \vec{r}_{n+1} \quad (13)$$

$$P_{n+1, n+2} \vec{r}_i = \vec{r}_i , \quad (i \neq n+1, n+2) .$$

Now for δ we find

$$\delta = \int \dots \int \left\{ \phi_1^*(\vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_{n+1}) \psi_1(\vec{r}_{n+1}, \vec{r}_{n+3}, \dots, \vec{r}_N) d\vec{r}_{n+1} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \phi_1(\vec{r}_2, \dots, \vec{r}_n, \vec{r}_{n+2}) \psi_1^*(\vec{r}_{n+2}, \vec{r}_{n+3}, \dots, \vec{r}_N) d\vec{r}_{n+2} \right\} d\vec{r}_2 \dots d\vec{r}_n d\vec{r}_{n+3} \dots d\vec{r}_N \geq 0 .$$

Hence, from the relation (12) we derive

$$|c_i|^2 \leq \frac{1}{N-n} . \quad (14)$$

Combining this equation with (9) and (10) we have

$$E_0 \geq \frac{1}{N-n} \sum_{i=1}^{N-n} \mathcal{E}_i , \quad (15)$$

where the summation is taken over the first $N-n$ energies of the $n+1$ - body fictitious Hamiltonian (7).

Let us notice that the relation (15) for $n=1$ /1/ describes 2-body Jastrow correlations, for $n=2$ triplet correlations, while with $n=N-1$ we come back to the original N -body problem as well.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the discussions of Professors C. E. Campbell and K. Ljölje. We also wish to thank Professors L. Fonda and E. Tossati for extending to us the hospitality of the SISSA/International School for Advanced Studies, Trieste.

Reference: /1/ R.L. Hall, Proc. Phys. Soc., 91 (1967) 16.