# Four-dimensional matrix transformation and the double Gibbs' phenomenon

RICHARD F. PATTERSON<sup>1,\*</sup>AND BILLY E. RHOADES<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of North Florida, Jacksonville, Florida 32 224, U.S.A.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405, U.S.A.

Received January 30, 2008; accepted August 31, 2008

**Abstract.** In 1976 Fridy presented a series of theorems that chacterize when matrices preserve the Gibbs' phenomenon. In this paper we present a multidimensional extension of the results of Fridy. In particular, we prove necessary and sufficient conditions for a positive RH-matrix to preserve the double Gibbs' phenomenon for positive double sequences. Other related results are also established.

AMS subject classifications: Primary 40A99; Secondary 40A05

Key words: double sequence, Pringsheim limit point, P-convergent, RH-regular, P-convergent, Gibbs' phenomenon, double Gibbs' phenomenon

## 1. Introduction

In Section 2 we present a definition of the double Gibbs' phenomenon (Definition 5). In Section 3 we present a number of lemmas and theorems which lead to a characterization of those doubly infinite positive RH-matrices which preserve the Gibbs' phenomenon.

### 2. Definitions, notations, and preliminary results

**Definition 1** (see [6]). A double sequence  $x = [x_{k,l}]$  has a Pringsheim limit L (denoted by P-lim x = L) provided that given an  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $|x_{k,l} - L| < \epsilon$  whenever k, l > N. We shall describe such an x more briefly as "P-convergent".

**Definition 2** (see [6]). A double sequence x is called definite divergent, if for every (arbitrarily large) G > 0 there exist two natural numbers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  such that  $|x_{n,k}| > G$  for  $n \ge n_1, k \ge n_2$ .

The four dimensional matrix A is said to be RH-regular if it maps every bounded P-convergent sequence into a P-convergent sequence with the same P-limit.

http://www.mathos.hr/mc

©2009 Department of Mathematics, University of Osijek

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* rpatters@unf.edu (R.F. Patterson), rhoades@indiana.edu (B.E. Rhoades)

The assumption of boundedness was made because a double sequence which is Pconvergent is not necessarily bounded. Using this definition Robison and Hamilton, independently, both presented the following Silverman-Toeplitz type characterization of RH-regularity.

**Theorem 1.** The four dimensional matrix A is RH-regular if and only if

$$RH_{1}: P - \lim_{m,n} a_{m,n,k,l} = 0 \text{ for each } k \text{ and } l;$$

$$RH_{2}: P - \lim_{m,n} \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n,k,l} = 1;$$

$$RH_{3}: P - \lim_{m,n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{m,n,k,l}| = 0 \text{ for each } l;$$

$$RH_{4}: P - \lim_{m,n} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |a_{m,n,k,l}| = 0 \text{ for each } k;$$

$$RH_{5}: \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} |a_{m,n,k,l}| \text{ is } P\text{-convergent};$$

 $RH_6$ : there exist positive numbers A and B such that  $\sum_{k,l>B} |a_{m,n,k,l}| < A$ .

**Definition 3** (see [5]). The double sequence [y] is a double subsequence of the sequence [x] provided that there exist two increasing double index sequences  $\{n_j\}$  and  $\{k_j\}$  such that if  $z_j = x_{n_j,k_j}$ , then y is formed by

**Definition 4** (see [5]). A number  $\beta$  is called a Pringsheim limit point of the double sequence [x] provided that there exists a subsequence [y] of [x] that has Pringsheim limit  $\beta$ : P-lim[y] =  $\beta$ .

Let  $\{x_{k,l}\}$  be a double sequence of real numbers and, for each n, let  $\alpha_n = \sup_n \{x_{k,l} : k, l \ge n\}$ . The *Pringsheim limit superior* of [x] is defined as follows:

1. if  $\alpha = +\infty$  for each n, then P-lim sup $[x] := +\infty$ ;

2. if  $\alpha < \infty$  for some *n*, then P-lim sup[*x*] := inf<sub>n</sub>{ $\alpha_n$ }.

Similarly, let  $\beta_n = \inf_n \{x_{k,l} : k, l \ge n\}$ . Then the *Pringsheim limit inferior* of [x] is defined as follows:

1. if  $\beta_n = -\infty$  for each n, then P-lim  $\inf[x] := -\infty$ ;

Four-dimensional matrix transformation and the double Gibbs' phenomenon

2. if  $\beta_n > -\infty$  for some *n*, then P-lim inf $[x] := \sup_n \{\beta_n\}$ .

We now present a definition of the double Gibbs phenomenon.

**Definition 5.** Let f be a double real-valued sequence  $\{f_{k,l}\}$  which is P-convergent to a function  $\phi$  at each point of a deleted neighborhood D of the point  $(x_0, y_0) = \alpha$ . If there exist subsequences  $\{f_{k_i,l_j}\}$  of  $\{f_{k,l}\}$  and a double sequence  $\{x_{k,l}\}$  with  $P - \lim_{i,j} x_{i,j} = \alpha$  and either

$$P - \lim_{i,j} f_{k_i,l_j}(x_{i,j}) > P - \limsup_{i,j} \phi(x_{i,j})$$

$$\tag{1}$$

9

or

$$P - \lim_{i,j} f_{k_i,l_j}(x_{i,j}) < P - \liminf_{i,j} \phi(x_{i,j})$$

$$\tag{2}$$

then f is said to possess the double Gibbs phenomenon at  $\alpha$ .

#### 3. Main results

This presentation shall examine real matrix summability methods that map double sequences into double sequences. The transformation A that transforms f into Af(x,y) for each  $(x,y) \in D$  where  $(Af)(x,y) = \{(Af)_{m,n}(x,y)\}_{m,n=0}^{\infty}$  is defined by

$$(Af)_{m,n}(x,y) = \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n,k,l} f_{k,l}(x,y).$$

Observe that if the limit function  $\phi$  has limit  $\alpha$ , then (1) and (2) imply that the Gibbs phenomenon is equivalent to nonuniform P-convergence. This observation yields the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** The double real-valued sequence  $\{f_{k,l}\}$  is uniformly P-convergent on D if and only if f is uniformly P-convergent on every countable subset of D.

Let  $\{f_{k,l}\}$  be double sequence of real-valued functions and x a double sequence in D. We can now consider the following four-dimensional matrix of functions:

$$F_{m,n,k,l} = f_{m,n}(x_{k,l}) - \phi(x_{k,l}).$$

Note that each pairwise column of  $\{F_{m,n}\}$  P-converges to 0 and thus Lemma 3 can be used to describe the connection between uniformly P-convergence and the double Gibbs Phenomenon in the following sense.

**Lemma 2.** The double real-valued sequence  $\{f_{k,l}\}$  is uniformly P-convergent on D if and only if for every double sequence x in D the corresponding four dimension matrix F has the property that its pairwise column double sequences P-converges uniformly to 0.

**Lemma 3.** If f P-converges (point-wise) in a deleted neighborhood D of  $\alpha$ , then the following are equivalent:

- 1. f displays the double Gibbs phenomenon at  $\alpha$ ;
- 2. there is a double number sequence x P-converging to  $\alpha$  for which the corresponding functions matrix F is such that

$$\lim_{n,n,k,l} F_{m,n,k,l} \neq 0.$$

The notation  $\lim_{k,l,i,j} F_{k,l,i,j} = \lambda$  shall mean

$$P - \lim_{m,n} (\sup_{k,l>m,n; i,j>m,n} F_{k,l,i,j} - \lambda) = 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the collection of matrices F which have 0 as a pairwise Pringsheim column limit and which violates (1) or (2).

**Theorem 2.** If A is GP-preserving and  $\{k_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{l_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  is any infinite subset of pairwise column indices, then

$$P - \lim_{m,n} (\sup_{i,j} |a_{m,n,k_i,l_j}|) > 0.$$

**Proof.** Suppose that A has a pairwise column such that

$$P - \lim_{m,n} (\sup_{i,j} |a_{m,n,k_i,l_j}|) = 0$$

and consider the following:

$$F_{k,l,i,j} := \begin{cases} 1, \ (k,l) = (k_i, l_j) \\ 0, \ (k,l) \neq (k_i, l_j). \end{cases}$$

Since  $\{k_i\}$  and  $\{l_i\}$  are divergent single subsequences, F is in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then

$$(AF)_{m,n,i,j} = a_{m,n,k_i,l_j}$$
 for all  $(m,n)$  and  $(i,j)$ ,

and  $\lim_{m,n,i,j} (AF)_{m,n,i,j} = 0$ . (i.e. AF is not in  $\mathcal{G}$ ). Hence A is not GP-preserving.  $\Box$ 

For RH-regular matrices both pairwise columns and rows are P-null double sequences. In this case Theorem 2 can be simplified as follows:

**Theorem 3.** If A is an RH-regular matrix and  $(k_i, l_j)$  a doubly infinite set of pairwise column indices, then

$$P - \lim_{m,n} (\sup_{i,j} |a_{m,n,k_i,l_j}|) > 0$$
(3)

holds if and only if there exists a positive number  $\epsilon$  and infinitely many terms  $a_{m,n,k_i,l_i}$  such that  $|a_{m,n,k_i,l_i}| \geq \epsilon$ .

**Corollary 1.** If A is RH-regular and GP-preserving, then

$$P - \lim_{m,n} (\sup_{(k,l) > (m,n); (i,j) > (m,n)} |a_{k,l,i,j}|) > 0.$$

10

This immediately follows from (3).

This result has an invariant Pringsheim core type flavor, which was suggested by both Fridy ([2, Theorem 3]) and [5, Theorem 3.2], with a proof that is similar to Theorem 2, and is therefore omitted.

**Theorem 4.** If A is GP-preserving and  $(k_i, l_j)$  a doubly infinite set of pairwise column indices, then

$$P - \limsup_{m,n} |\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n,k_i,l_j}| > 0.$$
(4)

Thus far all lemmas and theorems have provided only sufficient conditions to ensure that A is GP-preserving. However, note that

$$A(x)_{m,n} = \frac{x_{2m,2n} + x_{2m+1,2n+1}}{2}$$

is an RH-regular transformation that satisfies the conditions that there exists a positive number  $\epsilon$  and infinitely many terms  $a_{m,n,k_i,l_j}$  such that  $|a_{m,n,k_i,l_j}| \ge \epsilon$  and

$$P - \lim_{m,n} (\sup_{(k,l)>(m,n);(i,j)>(m,n)} |a_{k,l,i,j}|) > 0$$

hold.

Consider the following four dimensional matrix

$$F_{m,n,k,l} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 2i, \quad l = 2j \\ -1, & \text{if } k = 2i, \quad l = 2j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $F \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $(AF)_{m,n,k,l} = 0$ , so that A is not GP-preserving. In addition, let  $\mathcal{G}^+$  denote the collection of nonnegative matrices F which have 0 as a pairwise Pringsheim column limit and fail to satisfy (1) or (2).

To obtain necessary conditions we need to place suitable restriction on A and F. The following is a partial converse of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 5.** If A is an RH-regular nonnegative four dimensional matrix, then A maps  $\mathcal{G}^+$  into  $\mathcal{G}^+$  if and only if there exists a positive number  $\epsilon$  and infinitely many terms  $a_{m,n,k_i,l_j}$  such that  $|a_{m,n,k_i,l_j}| \geq \epsilon$ .

**Proof.** If F is in  $\mathcal{G}^+$ , then there exists a positive number  $\delta$  and two double Pringsheim sequences  $(m_i, n_j)$  and  $(k_i, l_j)$  such that for each pair (i, j),  $F_{m_i, n_j, k_i, l_j} \geq \delta$ . By (3) there are infinitely many Pringsheim order terms  $a_{m,n,k_i,l_j}$  such that  $a_{m,n,k_i,l_j} \geq \epsilon > 0$ . For each  $a_{m,n,k_i,l_j}$  we have

$$(AF)_{m,n,k_i,l_j} \ge a_{m,n,k_i,l_j} F_{m_i,n_j,k_i,l_j} \ge \epsilon \delta.$$

Thus AF satisfies (3), and, since AF is nonnegative, it is clearly in  $\mathcal{G}^+$ . Note that the converse clearly follows from Theorem 2.

## References

- C. R. ADAMS, On Summability of Double Series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 34(1932), 215-230.
- [2] J. A. FRIDY, Matrix summability and a generalized Gibbs phenomenon, Aequations Math. 14(1976), 405-412.
- [3] H. J. HAMILTON, Transformations of Multiple Sequences, Duke Math. Jour. 2(1936), 29-60.
- [4] G. H. HARDY, Divergent Series, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1949.
- [5] R. F. PATTERSON Analogues of some Fundamental Theorems of Summability Theory, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. 23(2000), 1-9.
- [6] A. PRINGSHEIM, Zur Theorie der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen, Mathematische Annalen 53(1900), 289-321
- [7] G. M. ROBISON, Divergent Double Sequences and Series, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. 28(1926), 50-73.