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#### Abstract

A real affine plane $A_{2}$ is called an isotropic plane $I_{2}$, if in $A_{2}$ a metric is induced by an absolute $\{f, F\}$, consisting of the line at infinity $f$ of $A_{2}$ and a point $F \in f$.

Better butterfly theorem is one of the generalisations of the wellknown butterfly theorem ([1],[4]). In this paper the better butterfly theorem has been adapted for the isotropic plane and its validity in $I_{2}$ has been proved.
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## 1. Isotropic plane

Let $P_{2}(\mathbf{R})$ be a real projective plane, $f$ a real line in $P_{2}$, and $A_{2}=P_{2} \backslash f$ the associated affine plane. The isotropic plane $I_{2}(\mathbf{R})$ is a real affine plane $A_{2}$ where the metric is introduced with a real line $f \subset P_{2}$ and a real point $F$ incidental with it. The ordered pair $\{f, F\}, F \in f$ is called the absolute figure of the isotropic plane $I_{2}(\mathbf{R})([2],[3])$. In the affine model, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x_{1} / x_{0}, \quad y=x_{2} / x_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the absolute figure is determined by the absolute line $f \equiv x_{0}=0$, and the absolute point $F$ (0:0:1).

We will first define some terms and point out some properties of triangles and circles in $I_{2}$ that are going to be used further on. The geometry of $I_{2}$ could be seen for example in Sachs [2], or Strubecker [3].

All straight lines through the point $F$ are called isotropic straight lines. A triangle in $I_{2}$ is called allowable if none of its sides is isotropic.

An isotropic circle (parabolic circle or simply circle) is a regular $2^{\text {nd }}$ order curve in $P_{2}(\mathbf{R})$ which touches the absolute line $f$ in the absolute point $F$. In $I_{2}$ there exists a three parametric family of circles, given by $y=R x^{2}+\alpha x+\beta, R \neq 0$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$. Each circle can be reduced to the normal form $y=R x^{2}$. Two circles $k_{i} \equiv y=R_{i} x^{2}+\alpha_{i} x+\beta_{i},(i=1,2)$ are called congruent if $R_{1}=R_{2}$; they are called concentric if $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}$.
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## 2. Better butterfly theorem

## Euclidean version

Let there be 2 concentric circles with the common centre $O$. A line crosses the two circles at points $P, Q$ and $P^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}, M$ being the common midpoint of $P Q$ and $P^{\prime} Q^{\prime}$. Through $M$, draw two lines $A A^{\prime} B^{\prime} B$ and $C C^{\prime} D^{\prime} D$ and connect $A D^{\prime}, A^{\prime} D, B C^{\prime}$, $B^{\prime} C$. Let $X, Y, Z, W$ be the points of intersection of $P P^{\prime} Q^{\prime} Q$ with $A D^{\prime}, B^{\prime} C, A^{\prime} D$, and $B C^{\prime}$, respectively. Then

$$
\frac{1}{M X}+\frac{1}{M Z}=\frac{1}{M Y}+\frac{1}{M W}
$$

The proof is to be found in [4].


Figure 1. Better butterfly theorem

## Isotropic version

This statement remains valid in the isotropic plane provided concentric circles are replaced by congruent and concentric circles and the corresponding equation for the signed lengths reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{d(M, X)}+\frac{1}{d(M, Z)}=-\frac{1}{d(M, Y)}-\frac{1}{d(M, W)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Better butterfly theorem in $I_{2}$

The proof depends on the following lemma:
Lemma 1. In the allowable triangle $\triangle R S T$ let $R U$ be a non-isotropic straight line connecting the vertex $R$ with some point $U$ on the opposite side $S T$ of $R$. Let's introduce angles $\alpha=\angle(U R, R S)$, and $\beta=\angle(T R, R U)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha+\beta}{d(U, R)}=\frac{\alpha}{d(T, R)}-\frac{\beta}{d(R, S)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Without loos of generality, we can assume that the vertex coordinates are as follows: $S(0,0), T\left(t_{1}, 0\right), R\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$, and $U\left(u_{1}, 0\right)$, with $t_{1} \neq r_{1} \neq u_{1}$ (see Figure 3).


Figure 3.
For angles $\alpha, \beta$ and $\alpha+\beta$ we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha=\angle(U R, R S)=u(R S)-u(U R)=\frac{s_{2}-r_{2}}{s_{1}-r_{1}}-\frac{r_{2}-u_{2}}{r_{1}-u_{1}}  \tag{4}\\
& \beta=\angle(T R, R U)=u(R U)-u(T R)=\frac{u_{2}-r_{2}}{u_{1}-r_{1}}-\frac{r_{2}-t_{2}}{r_{1}-t_{1}} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha+\beta=\angle(T R, R S)=u(R S)-u(T R)=\frac{s_{2}-r_{2}}{s_{1}-r_{1}}-\frac{r_{2}-t_{2}}{r_{1}-t_{1}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (4), (5), and (6) in (3), together with $d(U, R)=r_{1}-u_{1}, d(T, R)=r_{1}-t_{1}$, $d(R, S)=-r_{1}$ an equality is obtained.
Proof of the theorem. Let $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ be two congruent and concentric circles in $I_{2}, k \equiv y=R x^{2}, k^{\prime} \equiv y=R x^{2}+s, s \neq 0$ and let $M$ be the midpoint of the chord $\overrightarrow{P Q}$ of $k$. Let us choose the coordinate system as shown (in the affine model) in Figure 2, i.e. the tangent on the circle $k$ parallel to the chord $\overrightarrow{P Q}$ as the $x$-axis, and the isotropic straight line through $M$ as the $y$-axis.

Choosing $M(0, m)$, for the chord $\overrightarrow{P Q}$ we have $\overrightarrow{P Q} \equiv y=m$, and $P\left(p_{1}, m\right)$, $Q\left(q_{1}, m\right), P^{\prime}\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, m\right), Q^{\prime}\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, m\right)$. Note that $p_{1}^{2}=q_{1}^{2}=\frac{m}{R}$, and ${p_{1}^{\prime 2}}^{2}={q_{1}^{\prime 2}}^{2}=\frac{m-s}{R}$.

Let $A\left(a_{1}, R a_{1}^{2}\right), B\left(b_{1}, R b_{1}^{2}\right)$, with $a_{1} \neq b_{1}$, and $C\left(c_{1}, R c_{1}^{2}\right), D\left(d_{1}, R d_{1}^{2}\right)$, with $c_{1} \neq d_{1}$, be the four points on the circle $k$, and $A^{\prime}\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, R a_{1}^{\prime 2}+s\right), B^{\prime}\left(b_{1}^{\prime}, R b_{1}^{\prime 2}+s\right)$, with $a_{1}^{\prime} \neq b_{1}^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\left(c_{1}^{\prime}, R c_{1}^{\prime 2}+s\right), D^{\prime}\left(d_{1}^{\prime}, R d_{1}^{\prime 2}+s\right)$, with $c_{1}^{\prime} \neq d_{1}^{\prime}$, the four points on the circle $k^{\prime}$. Let us introduce angles $\alpha=\angle(P M, M A)=\angle(Q M, M B)$ and $\beta=\angle(D M, M P)=\angle(C M, M Q)$. Applying Lemma 2 to allowable triangles $\triangle A D^{\prime} M, \triangle A^{\prime} D M, \triangle B^{\prime} C M$, and $\triangle B C^{\prime} M$ successively one gets

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\alpha+\beta}{d(X, M)}=\frac{\alpha}{d\left(D^{\prime}, M\right)}-\frac{\beta}{d(M, A)} \quad(7)_{1}, \quad \frac{\alpha+\beta}{d(Z, M)}=\frac{\alpha}{d(D, M)}-\frac{\beta}{d\left(M, A^{\prime}\right)}  \tag{7}\\
\frac{\alpha+\beta}{d(Y, M)}=\frac{\alpha}{d(C, M)}-\frac{\beta}{d\left(M, B^{\prime}\right)} \quad(7)_{3}, \quad \frac{\alpha+\beta}{d(W, M)}=\frac{\alpha}{d\left(C^{\prime}, M\right)}-\frac{\beta}{d(M, B)}
\end{array}
$$

From $(7)_{1}$ and $(7)_{2}$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
(\alpha+\beta)\left(\frac{1}{d(X, M)}+\frac{1}{d(Z, M)}\right)= & \alpha\left(\frac{1}{d\left(D^{\prime}, M\right)}+\frac{1}{d(D, M)}\right) \\
& -\beta\left(\frac{1}{d(M, A)}+\frac{1}{d\left(M, A^{\prime}\right)}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously, $(7)_{3}$ and $(7)_{4}$ yield that

$$
\begin{align*}
(\alpha+\beta)\left(\frac{1}{d(Y, M)}+\frac{1}{d(W, M)}\right)= & \alpha\left(\frac{1}{d\left(C^{\prime}, M\right)}+\frac{1}{d(C, M)}\right) \\
& -\beta\left(\frac{1}{d(M, B)}+\frac{1}{d\left(M, B^{\prime}\right)}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Using $d(Y, M)=-d(M, Y)$ and $d(W, M)=-d(M, W)$, the latter becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
(\alpha+\beta)\left(\frac{1}{d(M, Y)}+\frac{1}{d(M, W)}\right)= & -\alpha\left(\frac{1}{d\left(C^{\prime}, M\right)}+\frac{1}{d(C, M)}\right) \\
& +\beta\left(\frac{1}{d(M, B)}+\frac{1}{d\left(M, B^{\prime}\right)}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Showing that the right-hand sides in (8) and (10) are equal, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha\left(\frac{1}{d\left(D^{\prime}, M\right)}+\frac{1}{d(D, M)}\right)-\beta\left(\frac{1}{d(M, A)}+\frac{1}{d\left(M, A^{\prime}\right)}\right) \\
= & -\alpha\left(\frac{1}{d\left(C^{\prime}, M\right)}+\frac{1}{d(C, M)}\right)+\beta\left(\frac{1}{d(M, B)}+\frac{1}{d\left(M, B^{\prime}\right)}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

the theorem will be proved.
Using the point coordinates we can rewrite the identity given in (11) to the following form

$$
\beta\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}}+\frac{1}{a_{1}^{\prime}}\right)+\beta\left(\frac{1}{b_{1}}+\frac{1}{b_{1}^{\prime}}\right)=-\alpha\left(\frac{1}{c_{1}}+\frac{1}{c_{1}^{\prime}}\right)-\alpha\left(\frac{1}{d_{1}}+\frac{1}{d_{1}^{\prime}}\right),
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left(\frac{a_{1}+b_{1}}{a_{1} b_{1}}\right)+\beta\left(\frac{a_{1}^{\prime}+b_{1}^{\prime}}{a_{1}^{\prime} b_{1}^{\prime}}\right)=-\alpha\left(\frac{c_{1}+d_{1}}{c_{1} d_{1}}\right)-\alpha\left(\frac{c_{1}^{\prime}+d_{1}^{\prime}}{c_{1}^{\prime} d_{1}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, knowing that $\overrightarrow{A B}$ is a chord through $M$, the following relations are obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
M, A, B \text { collinear points } & \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & m & 1 \\
a_{1} & R a_{1}^{2} & 1 \\
b_{1} & R b_{1}^{2} & 1
\end{array}\right)=0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow-m\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)-R a_{1} b_{1}\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)=0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow a_{1} b_{1}=-\frac{m}{R} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously, for $\overrightarrow{C D}$ being a chord through $M$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} d_{1}=-\frac{m}{R} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations given in (13) and (14) can be reached using the following lemma:
Lemma 2. Let $k$ be a circle in $I_{2}$, a point $P \in I_{2}, P \notin k$, and $S_{1}, S_{2}$ two points of intersection of a non-isotropic straight line $g$ through $P$ with $k$. The product $f(P):=d\left(P, S_{1}\right) \cdot d\left(P, S_{2}\right)$ does not depend on the line $g$, but only on $k$ and $P$. The proof is given in [2, p. 32].

So,

$$
a_{1} b_{1}=d(M, A) \cdot d(M, B)=d(M, P) \cdot d(M, Q)=p_{1} q_{1}=p_{1}\left(-p_{1}\right)=-p_{1}^{2}=-\frac{m}{R}
$$

and

$$
c_{1} d_{1}=d(M, C) \cdot d(M, D)=d(M, P) \cdot d(M, Q)=p_{1} q_{1}=p_{1}\left(-p_{1}\right)=-p_{1}^{2}=-\frac{m}{R}
$$

Analogously,

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{1}^{\prime} b_{1}^{\prime} & =d\left(M, A^{\prime}\right) \cdot d\left(M, B^{\prime}\right)=d\left(M, P^{\prime}\right) \cdot d\left(M, Q^{\prime}\right) \\
& =p_{1}^{\prime} q_{1}^{\prime}=p_{1}^{\prime}\left(-p_{1}^{\prime}\right)=-p_{1}^{\prime 2}=-\frac{m-s}{R}  \tag{15}\\
c_{1}^{\prime} d_{1}^{\prime} & =d\left(M, C^{\prime}\right) \cdot d\left(M, D^{\prime}\right)=d\left(M, P^{\prime}\right) \cdot d\left(M, Q^{\prime}\right) \\
& =p_{1}^{\prime} q_{1}^{\prime}=p_{1}^{\prime}\left(-p_{1}^{\prime}\right)=-p_{1}^{\prime 2}=-\frac{m-s}{R} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $A, A^{\prime}$, and $M$ as well as $A, M$, and $B^{\prime}$ are collinear points the relations $-m\left(a_{1}-a_{1}^{\prime}\right)-a_{1} a_{1}^{\prime} R\left(a_{1}-a_{1}^{\prime}\right)+a_{1} s=0,-m\left(a_{1}-b_{1}^{\prime}\right)-a_{1} b_{1}^{\prime} R\left(a_{1}-b_{1}^{\prime}\right)+a_{1} s=0$ respectively, are valid. Subtracting these relations we get

$$
m\left(a_{1}^{\prime}-b_{1}^{\prime}\right)+a_{1} R\left(a_{1}^{\prime 2}-b_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)-a_{1}^{2} R\left(a_{1}^{\prime}-b_{1}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

The chord $\overrightarrow{A^{\prime} B^{\prime}}$ being a non-isotropic line allows us to rewrite the latter equation as $m+a_{1} R\left(a_{1}^{\prime}+b_{1}^{\prime}\right)-a_{1}^{2} R=0$, wherefrom, using (13), we finally obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}+b_{1}=a_{1}^{\prime}+b_{1}^{\prime} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the similar procedure, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}+d_{1}=c_{1}^{\prime}+d_{1}^{\prime} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds as well. For the oriented angles $\alpha, \beta$, introduced at the beginning, we have as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha=\angle(P M, M A)=u(M A)-u(P M)=\frac{a_{2}-m_{2}}{a_{1}-m_{1}}-\frac{m_{2}-p_{2}}{m_{1}-p_{1}}=\frac{R a_{1}^{2}-m}{a_{1}}  \tag{19}\\
& \beta=\angle(C M, M Q)=u(M Q)-u(C M)=\frac{q_{2}-m_{2}}{q_{1}-m_{1}}-\frac{m_{2}-c_{2}}{m_{1}-c_{1}}=\frac{m-R c_{1}^{2}}{c_{1}} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, using the relations given in (13), (14),..., and (20) in (12) one gets that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(12) & \Leftrightarrow \beta\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right)=-\alpha\left(c_{1}+d_{1}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(\frac{m-R c_{1}^{2}}{c_{1}}\right)\left(a_{1}-\frac{m}{R a_{1}}\right)=\left(\frac{R a_{1}^{2}-m}{a_{1}}\right)\left(c_{1}-\frac{m}{R c_{1}}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \frac{\left(m-R c_{1}^{2}\right)\left(R a_{1}^{2}-m\right)}{R a_{1} c_{1}}=\frac{\left(m-R c_{1}^{2}\right)\left(R a_{1}^{2}-m\right)}{R a_{1} c_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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