# On the convergence (upper boundness) of trigonometric series

Xhevat Z. Krasniqi<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, University of Prishtina, Avenue "Mother Theresa" 5, Prishtinë-10000, Republic of Kosovo

Received March 23, 2009; accepted August 27, 2009

Abstract. In this paper we prove that the condition

$$\sum_{k=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}^{2n} \frac{k^r \lambda_k}{|n-k|+1} = o(1) \ (= O(1)) \,,$$

for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., is necessary for the convergence of the r - th derivative of the Fourier series in the  $L^1$ -metric. This condition is sufficient under some additional assumptions for Fourier coefficients. In fact, in this paper we generalize some results of A. S. Belov [1]. **AMS subject classifications**: 42A16, 42A20.

Key words: Fourier coefficients, convergence of Fourier series.

## 1. Introduction

Let

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{inx} \left( \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx \right)$$
(1)

be a trigonometric series in the complex or real form, respectively, and let us write

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= c_n + c_{-n}, \\ b_n &= (c_n - c_{-n})i, \\ \lambda_n &= \sqrt{|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2} = \sqrt{2(|c_n|^2 + |c_{-n}|^2)}, \\ A_n(x) &= c_n e^{inx} + c_{-n} e^{-inx} = a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx, \\ S_n(x) &= c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n A_k(x) \\ \sigma_n(x) &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n S_k(x), \\ \widetilde{S}_n(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \sin kx - b_k \cos kx) = -i \sum_{k=0}^n \left( c_k e^{ikx} - c_{-k} e^{-ikx} \right), \ n \ge 0, \end{aligned}$$

\*Corresponding author. Email address: xheki00@hotmail.com (Xh.Z.Krasniqi)

http://www.mathos.hr/mc

©2009 Department of Mathematics, University of Osijek

for all  $n \ge 0$ .

It is a well-known fact that for  $f \in L_{2\pi}$  the  $L^1$ -metric is defined by the equality

$$||f||_{L^1} = ||f|| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)| dx.$$

With regard to the series (1) the following theorem is proved [1]:

**Theorem 1.** If  $n \ge 2$  is an integer, then

$$\sum_{k=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}^{2n} \frac{\lambda_k}{|n-k|+1|} \le 100 \max_{m=[n/2]-1,\dots,2n} \|\sigma_m - S_m\|.$$

In particular:

1. If

$$\|\sigma_m - S_m\| = o(1) \ (= O(1)) \ , \tag{2}$$

then

$$\sum_{k=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}^{2n} \frac{\lambda_k}{|n-k|+1} = o(1) \ (= O(1), \ respectively) \,. \tag{3}$$

2. Assume that series (1) converges (possesses bounded partial sums) in the  $L^{1}$ -metric, then condition (3) holds.

In the same paper the cosine and sine series are considered

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx,\tag{4}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin nx,\tag{5}$$

where for series (4) or (5) the coefficients  $a_n$  are the same as in the trigonometric series (1) except for coefficients of series (5) which are denoted by  $a_n$  instead of  $b_n$ , and the following corollary is proved.

### Corollary 1. It holds:

1. Assume that series (4) or (5) satisfies condition (2), then

$$\sum_{k=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}^{2n} \frac{|a_k|}{|n-k|+1} = o(1) \ (O(1), \ respectively).$$
(6)

2. Assume that series (4) or (5) converges (possesses bounded partial sums) in the  $L^1$ -metric, then condition (6) holds.

The aim of this paper is to generalize the above results under more general assumptions and to obtain some corollaries.

## 2. Helpful lemmas

To prove the main results first we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Given an arbitrary trigonometric series (1) and arbitrary natural numbers n and N such that  $N \leq 2n + 1$ , the following estimates hold:

$$\max_{k=n,\dots,N} \|\widetilde{S}_{k}^{(r)} - \widetilde{S}_{n-1}^{(r)}\| \le 2 \max_{k=n,\dots,N} \|S_{k}^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|$$
(7)

$$\max_{m=n,\dots,N} \left\| \left( \sum_{j=n}^{m} c_j e^{ijx} \right)^{(r)} \right\| \le \frac{3}{2} \max_{m=n,\dots,N} \|S_m^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|; \tag{8}$$

$$\max_{m=n,\dots,N} \left\| \left( \sum_{j=n}^{m} c_{-j} e^{-ijx} \right)^{(r)} \right\| \le \frac{3}{2} \max_{m=n,\dots,N} \|S_m^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|;$$
(9)

$$\max_{k=n,\dots,N} \|S_k^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\| \le 4 \max_{k=n-1,\dots,N} \|S_k^{(r)} - \sigma_k^{(r)}\|;$$
(10)

$$\sum_{k=n}^{N} \frac{k^r \lambda_k}{k+1-n} \le 15 \max_{k=n,\dots,N} \|S_k^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|; \tag{11}$$

$$\sum_{k=n}^{N} \frac{k^r \lambda_k}{N+1-k} \le 10 \|S_N^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|, \quad (r = 0, 1, \dots).$$
(12)

**Proof.** (7): Let m, n be two natural numbers such that  $m \ge n$ . The r-th derivative of the equality

$$\widetilde{S}_{n-1}(x) - \widetilde{S}_m(x) = \frac{1}{m} \left( S'_m(x) - S'_{n-1}(x) \right) + \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \left( S'_k(x) - S'_{n-1}(x) \right)$$

is

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{S}_{n-1}^{(r)}(x) &- \widetilde{S}_m^{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{m} \left( S_m^{(r+1)}(x) - S_{n-1}^{(r+1)}(x) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \left( S_k^{(r+1)}(x) - S_{n-1}^{(r+1)}(x) \right). \end{split}$$

Using the well-known Bernstein's inequality (see [3, Chapter 10, Theorems 3.13 and 3.16]) we have

$$\|S_k^{(r+1)} - S_{n-1}^{(r+1)}\| \le k \|S_k^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|,$$

and

$$\begin{split} \|\widetilde{S}_{n-1}^{(r)} - \widetilde{S}_m^{(r)}\| &\leq \|S_m^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\| + \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \|S_k^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\| \\ &\leq \left(1 + \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k+1}\right) \max_{k=n,\dots,m} \|S_k^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|. \end{split}$$

XH. Z. KRASNIQI

Therefore since for  $n \leq N \leq 2n+1$ 

$$1 + \sum_{k=n}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \le 1 + \frac{1}{n+1}(N-n) \le 2,$$

then we obtain

$$\max_{k=n,\dots,N} \|\widetilde{S}_{n-1}^{(r)} - \widetilde{S}_m^{(r)}\| \le 2 \max_{k=n,\dots,N} \|S_k^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|.$$

(8): From the equality

$$2\sum_{j=n}^{m} c_j e^{ijx} = (S_m(x) - S_{n-1}(x)) - i\left(\widetilde{S}_m(x) - \widetilde{S}_{n-1}(x)\right)$$

we find

$$2\left(\sum_{j=n}^{m} c_j e^{ijx}\right)^{(r)} = \left(S_m^{(r)}(x) - S_{n-1}^{(r)}(x)\right) - i\left(\widetilde{S}_m^{(r)}(x) - \widetilde{S}_{n-1}^{(r)}(x)\right),$$

therefore using estimate (7) we get

$$2 \max_{m=n,\dots,N} \left\| \left( \sum_{j=n}^{m} c_j e^{ijx} \right)^{(r)} \right\| \le \max_{m=n,\dots,N} \|S_m^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\| + \max_{m=n,\dots,N} \|\widetilde{S}_m^{(r)} - \widetilde{S}_{n-1}^{(r)}\| \le 3 \max_{m=n,\dots,N} \|S_m^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|,$$

which is the required estimate.

Estimate (9) can be proved in the same line as estimate (8). In fact, it is sufficient to use the r-th derivative of the equality

$$2\sum_{j=n}^{m} c_{-j}e^{-ijx} = (S_m(x) - S_{n-1}(x)) + i\left(\widetilde{S}_m(x) - \widetilde{S}_{n-1}(x)\right),$$

therefore by reason of its simplicity we omit it. (10): Since the r-th derivative of the equality

$$S_m(x) - S_{n-1}(x) = \frac{m+1}{m} \left( S_m(x) - \sigma_m(x) \right) + \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k} \left( S_k(x) - \sigma_k(x) \right) - \left( S_{n-1}(x) - \sigma_{n-1}(x) \right)$$

is

$$S_m^{(r)}(x) - S_{n-1}^{(r)}(x) = \frac{m+1}{m} \left( S_m^{(r)}(x) - \sigma_m^{(r)}(x) \right) + \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k} \left( S_k^{(r)}(x) - \sigma_k^{(r)}(x) \right) - \left( S_{n-1}^{(r)}(x) - \sigma_{n-1}^{(r)}(x) \right),$$

then

$$\begin{split} \|S_m^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\| &\leq \frac{m+1}{m} \|S_m^{(r)} - \sigma_m^{(r)}\| + \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k} \|S_k^{(r)} - \sigma_k^{(r)}\| + \|S_{n-1}^{(r)} - \sigma_{n-1}^{(r)}\| \\ &= \|S_m^{(r)} - \sigma_m^{(r)}\| + \sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k} \|S_k^{(r)} - \sigma_k^{(r)}\| + \|S_{n-1}^{(r)} - \sigma_{n-1}^{(r)}\| \\ &\leq \left(2 + \sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k}\right) \max_{k=n-1,\dots,m} \|S_k^{(r)} - \sigma_k^{(r)}\| \\ &< 4 \max_{k=n-1,\dots,N} \|S_k^{(r)} - \sigma_k^{(r)}\|, \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1. \end{split}$$

Let us consider now the case when  $n \ge 2$ . Indeed, since for  $n \le N \le 2n + 1$ , we have

$$2 + \sum_{k=n}^{m} \frac{1}{k} \le 2 + \frac{N-n+1}{n} \le 3 + \frac{2}{n} \le 4,$$

then estimate (10) holds for all  $n \ge 1$ .

(11): By estimate (8) we have

$$H := \pi \left\| \left( \sum_{j=n}^{N} c_j e^{ijx} \right)^{(r)} \right\| \le \frac{3\pi}{2} \max_{k=n,\dots,N} \|S_k^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|.$$
(13)

But, by the Hardy's inequality (see [2, Chapter 7, Theorem 8.7]) we have

$$H := \pi \left\| \sum_{j=n}^{N} (ij)^r c_j e^{ijx} \right\| \ge \sum_{k=n}^{N} \frac{k^r |c_k|}{k+1-n}.$$
 (14)

From (13) and (14) we obtain

$$\sum_{k=n}^{N} \frac{k^{r} |c_{k}|}{k+1-n} \le \frac{3\pi}{2} \max_{k=n,\dots,N} \|S_{k}^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|.$$
(15)

In a very similiar way we can find the following estimate

$$\sum_{k=n}^{N} \frac{k^r |c_{-k}|}{k+1-n} \le \frac{3\pi}{2} \max_{k=n,\dots,N} \|S_k^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|.$$
(16)

Since

$$\lambda_{k} = \sqrt{2\left(|c_{k}|^{2} + |c_{-k}|^{2}\right)} \le \sqrt{2}\left(|c_{k}| + |c_{-k}|\right),$$

then by (15) and (16) we have

$$\sum_{k=n}^{N} \frac{k^r \lambda_k}{k+1-n} \le \sqrt{2} \sum_{k=n}^{N} \frac{k^r \left(|c_k| + |c_{-k}|\right)}{k+1-n} \le 15 \max_{k=n,\dots,N} \|S_k^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|,$$

which proves estimate (11).

(12): The r-th derivative of the equality

$$S_N(x) - S_{n-1}(x) = \sum_{j=n}^N c_j e^{ijx} + \sum_{j=n}^N c_{-j} e^{-ijx}$$

is

$$S_N^{(r)}(x) - S_{n-1}^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{j=n}^N (ij)^{(r)} c_j e^{ijx} + \sum_{j=n}^N (-ij)^{(r)} c_{-j} e^{-ijx},$$

therefore using the Hardy's inequality we get

$$\sum_{k=n}^{N} \frac{k^r |c_k|}{N+1-k} \le \pi \|S_N^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|,$$

and similarly

$$\sum_{k=n}^{N} \frac{k^{r} |c_{-k}|}{N+1-k} \le \pi \|S_{N}^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|.$$

Using the last two estimates we obtain

$$\sum_{k=n}^{N} \frac{k^r \lambda_k}{N+1-k} \leq \sum_{k=n}^{N} \frac{k^r \sqrt{2\left(|c_k|+|c_{-k}|\right)^2}}{N+1-k}$$
$$\leq \sqrt{2} \sum_{k=n}^{N} \frac{k^r \left(|c_k|+|c_{-k}|\right)}{N+1-k}$$
$$\leq 2\pi \sqrt{2} \|S_N^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|$$
$$\leq 10 \|S_N^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\|.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

We shall prove now another lemma which is not needed in this paper. Its only importance is that it generalizes Lemma 2 in [1].

**Lemma 2.** For any trigonometric series (1) and an arbitrary natural number n, the following estimate holds (r = 0, 1, ...):

$$\|\sigma_n^{(r)} - S_n^{(r)}\| \le \frac{(n-1)^r}{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \|S_j - S_{[j/2]}\| + 2n^r \max_{k=[n/2],\dots,n} \|S_k - S_{[n/2]}\|.$$
(17)

If

$$n^{r} \max_{k=[n/2],\dots,n} \|S_{k} - S_{[n/2]}\| = o(1) \ (= O(1)) \ , \tag{18}$$

then condition (21) (see section 3 below in this paper) is satisfied.

**Proof.** Applying the Bernstein's inequality to the r-th derivative of the equality

$$(n+1) \left(S_n(x) - \sigma_n(x)\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(S_j(x) - S_{[j/2]}(x)\right) + n \left(S_n(x) - S_{[n/2]}(x)\right)$$
$$-2 \sum_{j=[n/2]+1}^{n-1} \left(S_j(x) - S_{[n/2]}(x)\right),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1) \|S_n^{(r)} - \sigma_n^{(r)}\| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \|S_j^{(r)} - S_{[j/2]}^{(r)}\| + n\|S_n^{(r)} - S_{[n/2]}^{(r)}\| \\ &+ 2\sum_{j=[n/2]+1}^{n-1} \|S_j^{(r)} - S_{[n/2]}^{(r)}\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \|S_j^{(r)} - S_{[j/2]}^{(r)}\| + (2n-1)\max_{k=[n/2],\dots,n} \|S_k^{(r)} - S_{[n/2]}^{(r)}\| \\ &\leq (n-1)^r \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \|S_j - S_{[j/2]}\| \\ &+ 2(n+1)n^r \max_{k=[n/2],\dots,n} \|S_k - S_{[n/2]}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Supposing that (18) holds, then obviously from (17) the estimate (21) holds.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.** Given an arbitrary trigonometric series (1) and arbitrary natural numbers n and N such that  $N \leq 2n + 1$ , the following estimates hold:

$$\begin{split} \max_{k=n,\dots,N} \|\widetilde{S}_{k}^{(r)} - \widetilde{S}_{n-1}^{(r)}\| &\leq 2N^{r} \max_{k=n,\dots,N} \|S_{k} - S_{n-1}\|;\\ \max_{m=n,\dots,N} \left\| \left( \sum_{j=n}^{m} c_{j} e^{ijx} \right)^{(r)} \right\| &\leq \frac{3}{2}N^{r} \max_{m=n,\dots,N} \|S_{m} - S_{n-1}\|;\\ \max_{m=n,\dots,N} \left\| \left( \sum_{j=n}^{m} c_{-j} e^{-ijx} \right)^{(r)} \right\| &\leq \frac{3}{2}N^{r} \max_{m=n,\dots,N} \|S_{m} - S_{n-1}\|;\\ \max_{k=n,\dots,N} \|S_{k}^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)}\| &\leq 4N^{r} \max_{k=n-1,\dots,N} \|S_{k} - \sigma_{k}\|;\\ \sum_{k=n}^{N} \frac{k^{r}\lambda_{k}}{k+1-n} &\leq 15N^{r} \max_{k=n,\dots,N} \|S_{k} - S_{n-1}\|;\\ \sum_{k=n}^{N} \frac{k^{r}\lambda_{k}}{N+1-k} &\leq 10N^{r}\|S_{N} - S_{n-1}\|, \quad (r=0,1,\dots). \end{split}$$

XH. Z. KRASNIQI

**Proof**. This lemma can be proved in a very same manner as Lemma 1. In this case it is sufficient to use the well-known Bernstain's inequality, therefore we shall omit it.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 1.** Putting r = 0 to Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we obtain Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, respectively ,proved in [1]. Lemma 1 in [1] is a consequence of Lemma 3 as well.

## 3. Main results

Let

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (in)^r c_n e^{inx} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^r \left[ a_n \cos\left(nx + \frac{r\pi}{2}\right) + b_n \sin\left(nx + \frac{r\pi}{2}\right) \right] \right)$$
(19)

be the r-th derivative of a trigonometric series (1) in the complex or real form, respectively.

In this section we shall prove the following theorems which generalize Theorem 1 and Corollary 1.

**Theorem 2.** If  $n \ge 2$  is an integer and  $r = 0, 1, \ldots$ , then

$$\sum_{k=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}^{2n} \frac{k^r \lambda_k}{|n-k|+1|} \le 100 \max_{m=\left[n/2\right]-1,...,2n} \|\sigma_m^{(r)} - S_m^{(r)}\|.$$
(20)

In particular:

~

$$\|\sigma_m^{(r)} - S_m^{(r)}\| = o(1) \ (= O(1)), \tag{21}$$

then

$$\sum_{k=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}^{2n} \frac{k^r \lambda_k}{|n-k|+1} = o(1) \ (= O(1), \ respectively) \,. \tag{22}$$

2. Assume that series (19) converges (possesses bounded partial sums) in the  $L^1$ -metric; then condition (22) holds.

**Proof.** From Lemma 1, according to estimates (11) and (10)

$$\sum_{k=n}^{2n} \frac{k^r \lambda_k}{k+1-n} \le 15 \max_{k=n,\dots,2n} \left\| S_k^{(r)} - S_{n-1}^{(r)} \right\| \le 60 \max_{k=n,\dots,2n} \left\| S_k^{(r)} - \sigma_k^{(r)} \right\|.$$
(23)

On the other hand, according to estimates (12) and (10), for  $2[n/2] + 1 \ge n$  we have

$$\sum_{k=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}^{n} \frac{k^{r} \lambda_{k}}{n+1-k} \leq 10 \left\| S_{n}^{(r)} - S_{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]-1}^{(r)} \right\| \leq 40 \max_{k=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]-1,\dots,n} \left\| S_{k}^{(r)} - \sigma_{k}^{(r)} \right\|.$$
(24)

Adding (23) and (24) we obtain (20). In addition, (21) and (20) imply (22).

Let series (19) converge (possess bounded partial sums) in the  $L^1$ -metric, then

$$\left\|\sigma_m^{(r)} - S_m^{(r)}\right\| \le \left\|f^{(r)} - S_m^{(r)}\right\| + \left\|\sigma_m^{(r)} - f^{(r)}\right\| = o(1) \ (= O(1))$$

Therefore (21) implies (22). This completes the proof of the theorem.

The following corollaries are direct consequeces of Theorem 2.

### Corollary 2. It holds:

1. Assume that series (4) or (5) satisfies condition (2), then

$$\sum_{k=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}^{2n} \frac{k^r |a_k|}{|n-k|+1} = o(1) \ (O(1), \ respectively).$$

2. Assume that series (4) or (5) converges (possesses bounded partial sums) in the  $L^1$ -metric, then condition (6) holds.

**Remark 2.** If we put r = 0 to Theorem 2, we obtain the Theorem 1. In other words, Theorem 2 is a generalization of Theorem 1. Likewise Corollary 1 is a direct consequence of Corollary 2 (the case r = 0).

Finally, let us formulate a statement that generalizes only part (1) of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 3.** If  $n \ge 2$  is an integer and  $r = 0, 1, \ldots$ , then

$$\sum_{k=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}^{2n} \frac{k^r \lambda_k}{|n-k|+1|} \le 100 \max_{m=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]-1,\dots,2n} \left\{ m^r \|\sigma_m - S_m\| \right\}.$$

Tſ	
IT	
÷./	

$$m^r \|\sigma_m - S_m\| = o(1) \ (= O(1))$$

then

$$\sum_{k=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}^{2n} \frac{k^r \lambda_k}{|n-k|+1} = o(1) \ (= O(1), \ respectively) \,.$$

**Proof**. The proof of this corollary is obvious, therefore we shall omit it.

**Remark 3.** For  $L_{2\pi}^p$  we write

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le p < \infty,$$
  
$$\|f\|_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_x |f(x)| \quad \text{for} \quad p = \infty.$$

We observe that estimates (7)-(10) in Lemma 1 and estimate (17) in Lemma 2 with all the corresponding proofs hold true when the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is replaced by the norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$  for  $1 \le p \le \infty$ .

XH. Z. KRASNIQI

# Acknowledgment

The author is highly grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions for the improvement of the paper.

## References

- A. S. BELOV, On conditions of the average convergence (upper boundness) of trigonometric series, J. of Math. Sciences 144(2008), 5–17.
- [2] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometric series Vol. I, Mir, Moscow, 1965, in Russian.
- [3] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometric series Vol. II, Mir, Moscow, 1965, in Russian.