## ON AUTOMORPHISMS OF ORDER p OF METACYCLIC p-GROUPS WITHOUT CYCLIC SUBGROUPS OF INDEX p

## YAKOV BERKOVICH University of Haifa, Israel

ABSTRACT. Let L be a metacyclic p-group, p > 2, without cyclic subgroups of index p and let  $a \in Aut(L)$  be of order p. We show that either a centralizes  $\Omega_1(L)$  or p = 3 and the natural semidirect product  $\langle a \rangle \cdot L$  is of maximal class so the subgroup L has very specific structure. This improves Lemma 4.9 from [MS].

According to [MS, Lemma 4.9], if p > 3 is a prime and a is an automorphism of order p of abelian group L of type  $(p^2, p^2)$ , then a centralizes  $\Omega_1(L)$  (the proof of this result is also reproduced in [AS, Lemma A.1.30]). The same conclusion is true provided L is abelian of type  $(p^m, p^n)$ , p > 3 and  $m \ge n > 2$  (it suffices to consider the restriction of a on  $\Omega_2(L)$ ). Our aim is to improve this result as follows:

THEOREM 1. Suppose that L is a metacyclic p-group without cyclic subgroup of index p, p > 2. An element  $a \in \operatorname{Aut}(L)$  of order p does not centralize  $\Omega_1(L)$  if and only if p = 3 and the natural semidirect product  $G = \langle a \rangle \cdot L$  is a 3-group of maximal class.<sup>1</sup>

By Theorem 1, if p > 3 and L is a metacyclic p-group without cyclic subgroup of index p, then  $\Omega_1(L)$  is centralized by A, where A is the subgroup generated by all elements of  $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$  of order p. We claim that, if  $W = A \cdot L$ is the natural semidirect product, then  $|W : C_W(L)|$  is a power of p. Indeed, if b is a p'-element of W, then b, as an element of A, centralizes  $\Omega_1(L)$  and so

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 20D15.

Key words and phrases. Metacyclic, minimal nonmetacyclic and minimal nonabelian *p*-groups, *p*-groups of maximal class.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The proof of this theorem shows that if L has a cyclic subgroup of index p, then either  $G = \langle a \rangle \cdot L$  is a group of maximal class and order  $p^4$  or a group (b2) of Lemma 3(b). It is known that an outer automorphism of L of order p exists; see, for example, [Hup, Satz III.19.1]

<sup>343</sup> 

## Y. BERKOVICH

the natural semidirect product  $\langle b \rangle \cdot L$  has no minimal nonnilpotent subgroups (see [B2, Theorem 10.8]) so it is nilpotent [I, Theorem 9.18]; in that case *b* centralizes *L*.

Our proof of Theorem 1 uses fairly deep results of finite p-group theory and so it is essentially differed from the proof of [MS, Lemma 4.9] which is based on intricate computations with elements of L and the given automorphism a of L of order p.

COROLLARY 2. Suppose that p > 2 and L is an abelian group of type  $(p^m, p^n), m > 1, n > 1$ . An element  $a \in Aut(L)$  of order p does not centralize  $\Omega_1(L)$  if and only if  $|m - n| \leq 1$ , p = 3 and the natural semidirect product  $G = \langle a \rangle \cdot L$  is a 3-group of maximal class.

To deduce Corollary 2 from Theorem 1, it suffices to apply Remark 4, below.

We use standard notation of finite p-group theory (see [B1–B5]).

In Lemma 3 we gathered all known results which are used in what follows.

LEMMA 3. Let  $G > \{1\}$  be a p-group.

(a) If G is regular, then  $\exp(\Omega_1(G)) = p$  and  $|G/\mho_1(G)| = |\Omega_1(G)|$ .

- (b) Blackburn; see also [B1, Theorem 6.1]. If p > 2 and G has no normal elementary abelian subgroup of order  $p^3$ , then one of the following holds:
  - (b1) G is metacyclic.
  - (b2)  $G = C\Omega_1(G)$ , where  $\Omega_1(G)$  is nonabelian of order  $p^3$  and exponent p and C is cyclic (in particular,  $G/\Omega_1(G)$  is cyclic and  $U_1(C) \leq Z(G)$ ).
  - (b3) G is a 3-group of maximal class not isomorphic to a Sylow 3subgroup of the symmetric group of degree  $3^2$ .<sup>2</sup>
- (c) Blackburn; see also [B2, Theorems 9.5 and 9.6]. Let a p-group G of maximal class be of order greater than p<sup>p</sup>. Then G is irregular, Ω<sub>1</sub>(Φ(G)) is of order p<sup>p-1</sup> and exponent p and |G/U<sub>1</sub>(G)| = p<sup>p</sup>. If, in addition, |G| > p<sup>p+1</sup>, then there is in G a unique regular maximal subgroup, say G<sub>1</sub>, and it is absolutely regular; all other maximal subgroups of G are of maximal class.<sup>3</sup>
- (d) A p-group of maximal class and order  $> p^3$  has no normal cyclic subgroup of order  $p^2$ , unless p = 2.
- (e) Blackburn; see also [B3, Theorem 7.5]. Suppose that a non-absolutely regular p-group G has an absolutely regular maximal subgroup H. Then

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ A Sylow 3-subgroup of the symmetric group of degree  $3^{2}$  is the unique 3-group of maximal class that contains an elementary abelian subgroup of order  $3^{3}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>A *p*-group X is absolutely regular if  $|X/\mho_1(X)| < p^p$ ; then X is regular, by Hall's regularity criterion [B2, Theorem 9.8(a)]. It follows that, if p > 2, then metacyclic *p*-groups are absolutely regular.

either G is irregular of maximal class or  $G = H\Omega_1(G)$ , where  $\Omega_1(G)$  is of order  $p^p$  and exponent p.

- (f) Blackburn; see also [J, Theorem 7.1] and [BJ, Theorem 7.1]. If a 2-group G is minimal nonmetacyclic, then G is one of the following groups: (i) E<sub>8</sub>, (ii) Q<sub>8</sub> × C<sub>2</sub>, (iii) D<sub>8</sub>\*C<sub>4</sub> (central product) of order 16, (iv) a special group of order 2<sup>5</sup> with |Z(G)| = 2<sup>2</sup>.
- (g) [B4, Proposition 19(a)]. If B is a nonabelian subgroup of order  $p^3$  of a p-group G such that  $C_G(B) < B$ , then G is of maximal class.
- (h) If a metacyclic p-group G has a nonabelian subgroup B of order  $p^3$ , then either G is a 2-group of maximal class or G = B.
- (i) [BJ, Lemma 3.2(a)] If G is a nonabelian two-generator p-group and G' ≤ Ω<sub>1</sub>(Z(G)), then G is minimal nonabelian.
- (j) Blackburn; see also [B3, Theorem 7.6]. If a p-group G has no normal subgroup of order p<sup>p</sup> and exponent p, then it is either absolutely regular or of maximal class.
- (k) Huppert; see also [B5, Corollary 13]. If p > 2 and G is such that  $|G/\mathcal{O}_1(G)| \leq p^2$ , then G is metacyclic.
- (l) Redei ([R]); see also [B2, Exercise 1.8a]. If G is a metacyclic minimal nonabelian p-group of order p<sup>m</sup>, then either G ≅ Q<sub>8</sub> or G = ⟨a,b | a<sup>p<sup>m</sup></sup> = b<sup>p<sup>n</sup></sup> = 1, a<sup>b</sup> = a<sup>1+p<sup>m-1</sup></sup>⟩. If G is nonmetacyclic minimal nonabelian of order > p<sup>3</sup>, then Ω<sub>1</sub>(G) ≅ E<sub>p<sup>3</sup></sub>.

Let us prove Lemma 3(d). Let p > 2 and X a p-group of maximal class and order  $> p^3$ . Then X has only one normal subgroup of order  $p^2$ ; since this subgroup is abelian of type (p, p) [B2, Lemma 1.4], we are done.

Let us prove Lemma 3(h). Assume that  $|G| > p^3$  and  $C_G(B) \not\leq B$ , where B is nonabelian of order  $p^3$  and G is metacyclic. If  $F \leq C_G(B)$  is of order  $p^2$ , then d(BF) > 2 so BF is a nonmetacyclic subgroup of a metacyclic group G, a contradiction. Thus,  $C_G(B) < B$ . Then, by Lemma 3(g), G is of maximal class so  $|G : G'| = p^2$  which is impossible for metacyclic p-groups of order  $> p^3$  with p > 2; in case p = 2, our G is of maximal class (Taussky).

REMARK 4 (Blackburn). Suppose that G is a 3-group of maximal class and order > 3<sup>4</sup> and  $G_1 < G$  is absolutely regular; then  $G_1$  is noncyclic (Lemma 3(c)) and metacyclic (Lemmas (c,k)). Assume that  $G_1$  has a cyclic subgroup of index 3. In that case,  $\Omega_2(\mathcal{O}_1(G_1))$  is cyclic of order  $3^2$ , contrary to Lemma 3(d). Suppose that  $G_1$  is abelian of type  $(3^m, 3^n)$  with  $m \ge n$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_n(G_1)$  is G-invariant and cyclic of order  $3^{m-n}$  so  $m - n \le 1$  (Lemma 3(d)). Now suppose that  $G_1$  is nonabelian. Then  $G'_1$  is cyclic and G-invariant so  $|G'_1| = 3$  (Lemma 3(d)). In that case,  $G_1$  is minimal nonabelian and  $G_1 = \langle a, b \mid a^{3^m} = b^{3^n} = 1, a^b = a^{1+3^{m-1}} \rangle$  (Lemma 3(i,l)). The center  $Z(G_1)$ is abelian of type  $(3^{m-1}, 3^{n-1})$  and G-invariant. Let  $k = \min \{m - 1, n - 1\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_k(Z(G_1))$  is G-invariant and cyclic of order  $3^{|m-n|}$  so  $|m - n| \le 1$ (Lemma 3(d)). Let G be a 3-group of maximal class and order >  $3^4$  and let L < G be absolutely regular maximal subgroup of G (Lemma 3(c)). By Remark 4, L is either abelian or minimal nonabelian; in addition, L has no cyclic subgroup of index 3. In any case, the abelian subgroup  $\Omega_1(L)$  of type (3,3) is contained in Z(L) (see Lemma 3(1)) so  $C_G(\Omega_1(L)) = L$  since |Z(G)| = 3. Therefore, if  $x \in G - L$  is of order 3 (note that, in general, such x need not exist), then x does not centralize  $\Omega_1(L)$ , and then such pair  $\{x, L\}$  satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. By Remark 4 and the paragraph following the remark, it suffices to prove that the natural semidirect product  $G = \langle a \rangle \cdot L$  is a 3-group of maximal class (obviously, this semidirect product is not metacyclic). We have  $|L| \ge p^4$  since the metacyclic subgroup L has no cyclic subgroup of index p.

Suppose that an element  $a \in \operatorname{Aut}(L)$  of order p does not centralize  $\Omega_1(L)$ . Let G be defined as in the previous paragraph. By Lemma 3(a),  $\Omega_1(L)$  and  $L/\Omega_1(L)$  are abelian of type (p, p), and  $\Omega_1(L) \triangleleft G$ . Since p > 2, the subgroup  $H = \langle a, \Omega_1(L) \rangle$  is nonabelian of order  $p^3$  and exponent p, by assumption. We have G = LH since  $H \not\leq L$  and L is maximal in G. Clearly, G has no subgroup of order  $p^4$  and exponent p (otherwise, the intersection of that subgroup with L will be of order  $> p^2$  and exponent p, which is impossible).

Assume that G is regular. Then  $\exp(\Omega_1(G)) = p$  (Lemma 3(a)) so, by the previous paragraph,  $|\Omega_1(G)| = p^3 = |H|$  hence  $\Omega_1(G) = H$ . It follows that G has no elementary abelian subgroup of order  $p^3$  so G is as in part (b2) of Lemma 3(b) (the group (b3) of Lemma 3(b) is irregular, by Lemma 3(c)). In that case, however, every metacyclic subgroup of that group has a cyclic subgroup of index p, contrary to the hypothesis.

Thus, G is irregular. In view of Remark 4 and the paragraph following it, one may assume that G is not a 3-group of maximal class. It follows from Lemma 3(c) that G is not of maximal class for all p > 3 (indeed,  $\Phi(G) < L$ and  $\Omega_1(\Phi(G))$  is of exponent p and order  $p^{p-1} > p^2 = |\Omega_1(L)|$ ). As we have noticed, L is absolutely regular. Therefore, by Lemma 3(e),  $G = L\Omega_1(G)$ , where  $\Omega_1(G)$  is of order  $p^p$  and exponent p. Since  $L \cap \Omega_1(G) = \Omega_1(L)$  is abelian of order  $p^2$ , we get p = 3. It follows that  $\Omega_1(G) = H = \langle x, \Omega_1(L) \rangle$ is nonabelian of order  $p^3$  and exponent p so G has no elementary abelian subgroup of order  $p^3$ . In that case, G is an *irregular* 3-group of maximal class (since, as we have noticed, any group of part (b2) of Lemma 3(b) has no such a subgroup as L), contrary to the assumption.

REMARK 5. Here we consider a similar, but more complicated, situation for p = 2. Suppose that a metacyclic 2-group L without cyclic subgroups of index 2 is maximal in a 2-group G; then  $\Omega_1(L)$  is a G-invariant four-subgroup (this follows immediately from Lemma 3(h)), and so G is not of maximal class. Let, in addition,  $\Omega_1(L) \leq Z(L)$ . Suppose that there is an involution  $a \in G - L$  that does not centralize  $\Omega_1(L)$ . Since  $\langle x, \Omega_1(L) \rangle \cong D_8$ , it follows that G is not metacyclic (otherwise, G is of maximal class, by Lemma 3(h)). By hypothesis,  $C_G(\Omega_1(L)) = L$ . If E < G is elementary abelian of order 8, then  $L \cap E = \Omega_1(L)$  so  $C_G(\Omega_1(L)) \ge LE = G$ , a contradiction. Let H be a minimal nonmetacyclic subgroup of G; then  $H \leq L$ . Since H has no subgroup  $\cong E_8$ , we get |H| > 8 and  $\exp(H) = 4$  (Lemma 3(f)). If  $Z(H) \cong E_4$ , then Z(H) is contained in every abelian subgroup of H of order  $\geq 8$  (Lemma 3(f)) so, since  $H \cap L$  contains an abelian subgroup of order 8 (Lemma 3(h)), we get  $Z(H) = \Omega_1(L)$  and  $C_G(\Omega_1(L)) \ge HL = G$ , a contradiction. Thus, Z(H) is cyclic so, by Lemma 3(f),  $H \cong D_8 * C_4$  is of order 16. A similar argument shows that if A < G and  $A \not\leq L$  is minimal nonabelian, then A has a cyclic subgroup of index 2. Indeed, A is metacyclic (Lemma 3(1)) so, if |A| > 8, then  $|\Omega_1(A)| \leq 4$  and, if  $\Omega_1(A) \cong E_4$ , then  $\Omega_1(A) \not\leq Z(A) = \Phi(A) \leq L$ so  $\Phi(A) = \mathcal{O}_1(A)$  is cyclic. Now we construct a group  $G = \langle a, L \rangle$ , where  $a \in G - L$  is an involution and L is metacyclic without cyclic subgroups of index 2 and such that  $\Omega_1(L) \leq Z(L)$  and  $\Omega_1(L) \leq Z(G)$ . Let G = Z wr C(wreath product), where Z is cyclic of order  $2^n > 2$  and  $C = \langle a \rangle$  is of order 2; then  $|G| = 2^{2n+1}$  and Z(G) is cyclic of order  $|Z| = 2^n$ . Let  $L = Z \times Z^a$  be the base of the wreath product G. We see that a does not centralize  $\Omega_1(L)$ and L is abelian of type  $(2^n, 2^n)$ .

Suppose that an abelian 2-group L of type  $(2^n, 2)$ , n > 2, is maximal in a 2-group  $G = \langle a, L \rangle$  and involution a does not centralize  $\Omega_1(L)$ . Then  $H = \langle a, \Omega_1(L) \rangle \cong D_8$ . We have  $C_G(\Omega_1(L)) = L$  so G has no subgroups  $\cong E_8$  (see Remark 5). Let Z < L be cyclic of index 2. We claim that  $H \cap Z = Z(H)$ . Indeed,  $H \cap L = \Omega_1(L)$  is abelian of type (2, 2) so cyclic  $H \cap Z < H \cap L$ , and our claim follows, since  $\Omega_1(Z) \triangleleft G$  (consider the kernel of representation of G by permutations of left cosets of Z and take into account that |G:Z| = 4 and n > 2). Thus, G = HZ, by the product formula. By the modular law,  $H * \Omega_2(C_G(H))$  is minimal nonmetacyclic of order  $2^4$ . Assume that M < G is minimal nonmetacyclic; then M is nonabelian,  $2^3 < |M| \le 2^5$ and  $\exp(M) = 4$  (Lemma 3(f)) so  $M \cap L$  (of order > 4) is abelian noncyclic (Lemma 3(g)). It follows that  $\Omega_1(L) < M \cap L$  so, if Z(M) is noncyclic, we get  $Z(M)) \not\leq M \cap L$  (otherwise,  $\Omega_1(L) = Z(M) \le Z(G)$ , a contradiction). It follows from Lemma 3(f) that Z(M) is cyclic so  $M = D_8 * C_4$ . As in Remark 5, if A < G is minimal nonabelian, then A has a cyclic subgroup of index 2.

Suppose that a nonmetacyclic subgroup U is maximal in a p-group  $G = \langle x, U \rangle$ , where o(x) = p > 2 and  $\Omega_1(U) \cong E_{p^2}$ ; then p = 3 and U is of maximal class and order  $> 3^3$  (Lemma 3(b)). Suppose, in addition, that there is an element of order 3 in G - U, and all such elements do not centralize  $\Omega_1(U)$  (if there are no such elements, then G is of maximal class, by the same Lemma 3(b)). Then  $C_G(\Omega_1(U)) = L$  is maximal in G since  $\Omega_1(U) \not\leq Z(U)$ , and G has no elementary abelian subgroups of order  $3^3$ . Therefore, L is metacyclic

and G is as in parts (b2) or (b3) of Lemma 3(b). However, a group of part (b2) has no maximal subgroup such as U. Thus, G is a 3-group of maximal class, and L is such as the subgroup  $G_1$  in Remark 4.

## References

- [AS] M. Aschbacher and S.D. Smith, The classification of quasithin groups. I. Structure of strongly quasithin *K*-groups, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 111. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [B1] Y. Berkovich, On subgroups of finite p-groups, J. Algebra **224** (2000), 198-240.
- [B2] Y. Berkovich, Groups of prime power order. Vol. 1. With a foreword by Zvonimir Janko, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 46, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2008.
- [B3] Y. Berkovich, On subgroups and epimorphic images of finite p-groups, J. Algebra 248 (2002), 472-553.
- [B4] Y. Berkovich, On abelian subgroups of p-groups, J. Algebra 199 (1998), 262-280.
- [B5] Y. Berkovich, Short proofs of some basic characterization theorems of finite p-group theory, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 41(61) (2006), 239-258.
- [BJ] Y. Berkovich and Z. Janko, Structure of finite p-groups with given subgroups, Contemp. Math. 402 (2006), 13-93.
- [Hup] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967.
- [I] I. Isaacs, Algebra. A graduate course, Brooks/Cole Publishing Co., Pacific Grove, CA, 1994.
- [J] Z. Janko, Finite 2-groups with exactly four cyclic subgroups of order 2<sup>n</sup>, J. Reine Angew. Math. 566 (2004), 135-181.
- [MS] U. Meierfrankenfeld and B. Stellmacher, *The generic groups of p-type*, preprint, Michigan State University, 1997.
- [R] L. Redei, Das "schiefe Produkt" in der Gruppentheorie mit Anwendung auf die endlichen nichtkommutativen Gruppen mit lauter kommutativen echten Untergruppen und die Ordnungzahlen, zu denen nur kommutative Gruppen gehören, Comment. Math. Helv. 20 (1947), 225-264.

Y. Berkovich Department of Mathematics University of Haifa Mount Carmel, Haifa 31905 Israel

Received: 22.11.2008. Revised: 15.1.2009.