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#### Abstract

The present paper deals with certain recurrence relations, integral representations, characterizations and a Rodrigue's type $n$-th derivative formula for the generalized Bessel polynomial of Chatterjea.


## 1. Introduction

In 1949 Krall and Frink [10] initiated serious study of what they called Bessel polynomials. In their terminology the simple Bessel polynomial is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}(x)={ }_{2} F_{0}\left[-n, 1+n ;-;-\frac{x}{2}\right] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the generalized one is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}(a, b, x)={ }_{2} F_{0}\left[-n, a-1+n ;-;-\frac{x}{b}\right] . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Several other authors including Agarwal [1], Al-Salam [2], Brafman [3], Burchnall [4], Carlitz [5], Dickinson [8], Grosswald [9], Rainville [11] and Toscano [14] have contributed to the study of the Bessel polynomials.

In 1965, Chatterjea [7] generalized (1.2) and obtained certain generating functions for his generalized polynomial defined by

$$
{ }_{2} F_{0}(-n, C+k n ;-; x),
$$

where $k$ is a positive integer.

[^0]In this paper we propose to study further the generalized Bessel polynomials due to Chatterjea [7]. In particular we shall find some recurrence relations, integral representations and certain characterizations of these polynomials.

We shall adopt in this paper a notation used by Al-Salam [2]. In the notation of hypergeometric series, the generalized Bessel polynomials due to Chatterjea [7] are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)={ }_{2} F_{0}\left[-n, k n+\alpha ;-;-\frac{x}{2}\right], \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is a positive integer and $n=0,1,2, \ldots$.
Sometimes we shall find it convenient to consider the following polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{n}^{(\alpha)}=x^{n} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Recurrence relations

From (1.3) it is easy to find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{(\alpha+1)}(x ; k)-y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=\frac{n x}{2} y_{n-1}^{(\alpha+k+1)}(x ; k) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This suggests the difference formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\alpha} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=\frac{n x}{2} y_{n-1}^{(\alpha+k+1)}(x ; k) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{\alpha} f(\alpha)=f(\alpha+1)-f(\alpha)$ and $\Delta_{\alpha}^{r+1} f(\alpha)=\Delta_{\alpha} \Delta_{\alpha}^{r} f(\alpha)$.
In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\alpha}^{n} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{n} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now Newton's formula

$$
f(\alpha+u)=\sum_{r}\binom{u}{r} \Delta^{r} f(\alpha)
$$

and (2.3) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{(\alpha+u)}(x ; k)=\sum_{r}\binom{u}{r} \frac{n!}{(n-r)!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{r} y_{n-r}^{(\alpha+r+r k)}(x ; k) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{n}^{(\alpha+u)}(x ; k)=\sum_{r}\binom{u}{r} \frac{n!2^{-r}}{(n-r)!} \theta_{n-r}^{(\alpha+r+r k)}(x ; k) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from (1.3) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=\frac{1}{2} n(k n+\alpha+1) y_{n-1}^{(\alpha+r+r k)}(x ; k) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.6) and (2.2), we see that the polynomial given in (1.3) satisfy the mixed equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\alpha} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=\frac{x}{k n+\alpha+1} \frac{d}{d x} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following recurrence relation can easily be verified:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}^{(\alpha-k+1)}(x ; k)-y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=\frac{1}{2} x(k n+n+\alpha+2) y_{n}^{(\alpha+1)}(x ; k) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Integral Representations

It is easy to derive the following integral representations for the Chatterjea's generalized Bessel polynomials (1.3):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{s t} t^{k n+\alpha}\left(1+\frac{s x t}{2}\right)^{n} d t=\frac{\Gamma(k n+\alpha+1)}{s^{k n+\alpha+1}} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)  \tag{3.3}\\
\int_{0}^{1} y_{m}^{(\alpha)}\left(\frac{t}{x} ; k\right) y_{n}^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{t}{1-x} ; k\right) x^{-k m-\alpha-1}(1-x)^{-k n-\beta-1} d x \\
=-\frac{\pi \sin \pi(\alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k m+k n+\alpha+\beta+1)}{\sin \pi \alpha \sin \pi \beta \Gamma(k m+\alpha+1) \Gamma(k n+\beta+1)} y_{m+n}^{(\alpha+\beta)}(t ; k) . \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\gamma-1} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x(1-t) ; k)=  \tag{3.2}\\
&=\frac{\Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta+\gamma)}{ }_{3} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-n, \gamma, k n+\alpha+1 ; & \\
\beta+\gamma ; & -\frac{x}{2}
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Some interesting particular cases of (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) are as follows:
(i) Taking $\beta=k n+1, \gamma=\alpha$ in (3.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} t^{k n}(1-t)^{\alpha-1} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x t ; k) d t=\frac{\Gamma(k n+1) \Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(k n+\alpha+1)} y_{n}^{(0)}(x ; k) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Taking $\beta=n+\alpha+1, \gamma=k n-n$ in (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} t^{n+\alpha}(1-t)^{k n-n-1} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x t ; k) d t=\frac{\Gamma(k n-n) \Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(k n+\alpha+1)} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) Replacing $\beta$ by $k n+\alpha+\beta+1$ and taking $\gamma=1-\beta$ in (3.1), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1} t^{k n+\alpha+\beta}(1-t)^{\beta} & y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x t ; k) d t=  \tag{3.7}\\
& =-\frac{\pi \Gamma(k n+\alpha+\beta+1)}{\sin \pi \beta \Gamma(1+\beta) \Gamma(k n+\alpha+1)} y_{n}^{(\alpha+\beta)}(x ; k)
\end{align*}
$$

(iv) Replacing $\beta$ by $k n+\alpha-\beta+1$ and taking $\gamma=1+\beta$ in (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} t^{k n+\alpha-\beta}(1-t)^{\beta} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x t ; k) d t=\frac{\Gamma(k n+\alpha-\beta+1) \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(k n+\alpha+1)} y_{n}^{(\alpha-\beta)}(x ; k) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Results similar to (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) will hold by suitable selection of $\beta$ and $\gamma$ in (3.2) also.
(v) Taking $\gamma=1$ in (3.3), it becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{k n+\alpha}\left(1+\frac{x t}{2}\right)^{n} d t=\Gamma(k n+\alpha+1) y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(vi) Taking $\gamma=1$ in (3.1), it reduces to

$$
\int_{0}^{1} t^{\beta-1} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x t ; k) d t=\frac{1}{\beta}{ }_{3} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-n, \beta, k n+\alpha+1 ; &  \tag{3.10}\\
\beta+1 ; & -\frac{x}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

(vii) Taking $\beta=1$ in (3.2), it reduces to

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{\gamma-1} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x(1-t) ; k) d t=\frac{1}{\gamma}{ }_{3} F_{1}\left[\begin{array}{rr}
-n, \gamma, k n+\alpha+1 ; &  \tag{3.11}\\
\gamma+1 ; & -\frac{x}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

(viii) Taking $\beta=k n+\alpha, \gamma=1$ in (3.1), it reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} t^{k n+\alpha-1} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x t ; k) d t=\frac{1}{k n+\alpha} y_{n}^{(\alpha+1)}(x ; k) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ix) Taking $\beta=1$ and $\gamma=k n+\alpha$ in (3.2), it becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{k n+\alpha-1} y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x(1-t) ; k) d t=\frac{1}{k n+\alpha} y_{n}^{(\alpha+1)}(x ; k) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(x) For $n=0$, (3.4) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} y_{m}^{(\alpha)}\left(\frac{t}{x} ; k\right) x^{-k m-\alpha-1}(1-x)^{-\beta-1} d x \\
& \quad=-\frac{\pi \sin \pi(\alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k m+\alpha+\beta+1)}{\sin \pi \alpha \sin \pi \beta \Gamma(k m+\alpha+1) \Gamma(1+\beta)} y_{m}^{(\alpha+\beta)}(t ; k) \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

(xi) For $m=0$, (3.4) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} y_{n}^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{t}{1-x} ; k\right) x^{-\alpha-1}(1-x)^{-k n-\beta-1} d x \\
& \quad=-\frac{\pi \sin \pi(\alpha+\beta) \Gamma(k n+\alpha+\beta+1)}{\sin \pi \alpha \sin \pi \beta \Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(k n+\beta+1)} y_{n}^{(\alpha+\beta)}(t ; k) \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

We now give a two dimensional version of (3.4). It is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{u+v \leq 1} y_{m}^{(\alpha)}\left(\frac{t}{u} ; k\right) y_{n}^{(\beta)}\left(\frac{t}{v} ; k\right) y_{p}^{(\gamma)}\left(\frac{t}{1-u-v} ; k\right) \\
& u^{-k m-\alpha-1} v^{-k n-\beta-1}(1-u-v)^{-k p-\gamma-1} d u d v \\
& = \\
& \frac{\pi^{2} \sin \pi(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)}{\sin \pi \alpha \sin \pi \beta \sin \pi \gamma}  \tag{3.16}\\
& \\
& \quad \frac{\Gamma(k m+k n+k p+\alpha+\beta+\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(k m+\alpha+1) \Gamma(k n+\beta+1) \Gamma(k p+\gamma+1)} y_{m+n+p}^{(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)}(t ; k)
\end{align*}
$$

where the integration is over the interior of the triangle bounded by the $u$ and $v$ axes and the line $u+v=1$. The extension of (3.16) to higher dimensions is immediate.

## 4. Some characterizations

In this section we obtain some characterizations of Chatterjea's generalized Bessel polynomials (1.3) similar to those obtained by Al-Salam [2] for Bessel polynomial.

Theorem 4.1. Given a sequence $\left\{f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)\right\}$ of polynomials in $x$ where $\operatorname{deg} f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=n$, and $\alpha$ is a parameter, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x} f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=\frac{1}{2} n(k n+\alpha+1) f_{n-1}^{(\alpha+k+1)}(x ; k) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(0 ; k)=1$. Then $f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)$.
Proof. Let

$$
f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=\sum_{r=0}^{n} C_{r}(\alpha, n, k)\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right)^{r}
$$

Then by (3.1), we have

$$
C_{r}(\alpha, n, k)=-\frac{n(k n+\alpha+a)}{r} C_{r-1}(\alpha+k+1, n-1, k)
$$

Since $C_{0}(\alpha, n, k)=1$,

$$
C_{r}(\alpha, n, k)=\frac{(-n)_{r}(k n+\alpha+1)_{r}}{r!}
$$

which proves the theorem.
Another characterization is suggested by (2.2) as given in the following theorem:

TheOrem 4.2. Given a sequence of functions $\left\{f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{\alpha} f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=\frac{1}{2} n x f_{n-1}^{(\alpha+k+1)}(x ; k)  \tag{4.2}\\
f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(0 ; k)=1, \quad f_{0}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=1 \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then $f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)$.
Proof. We observe from (4.2) that $f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)$ is a polynomial in $\alpha$ of degree $n$. Hence we can write

$$
f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=\sum_{r=0}^{n} C_{r}(n, x) \frac{(k n+\alpha+1)_{r}}{r!}
$$

Hence (4.2) gives

$$
C_{r}(n, x)=\frac{n x}{2} C_{r-1}(n-1, x) .
$$

From this recurrence and condition (4.3), we obtain

$$
C_{r}(n, x)=(-n)_{r}\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right)^{r} .
$$

This proves the theorem.
Now equation (2.7) gives the following:
TheOrem 4.3. Let the sequence $\left\{f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)\right\}$, where $f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ in $x$, and $\alpha$ is a parameter, satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\alpha} f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=\frac{x}{k n+\alpha+1} \frac{d}{d x} f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}^{(0)}(x ; k)={ }_{2} F_{0}\left[-n, k n+1 ;-;-\frac{x}{2}\right] . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)$.

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.1 and 4.2.
Similarly (2.8) suggests yet another characterization of $y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)$ given in the form of the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4. Given a sequence of functions $\left.f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n+1}^{(\alpha-k+1)}(x ; k)-f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=\frac{1}{2} x(k n+n+\alpha+2) f_{n}^{(\alpha+1)}(x ; k) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
f_{0}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=1 \quad \text { for all } x \text { and } \alpha
$$

Then $f_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)$.
The proof of this theorem follows by induction on $n$.

## 5. Rodrigue's formula

Krall and Frink [10] gave the following Rodrigue's type formula for the Bessel polynomials $y_{n}(x, a, b)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}(x, a, b)=b^{-n} x^{2-a} e^{b / x} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left(x^{2 n+a-2} e^{-b / x}\right) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to establish the following Rodrigue's type formula for the polynomial $y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x ; k)=\frac{1}{2^{n} x^{k n-n+\alpha}} e^{\frac{2}{x}} D^{n}\left[x^{k n+n+\alpha} e^{-\frac{2}{x}}\right], \quad D \equiv \frac{d}{d x} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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