

FREE STEINER LOOPS

SMILE MARKOVSKI, ANA SOKOLOVA

Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, Republic of Macedonia

ABSTRACT. A Steiner loop, or a sloop, is a groupoid $(L; \cdot, 1)$, where \cdot is a binary operation and 1 is a constant, satisfying the identities $1 \cdot x = x$, $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$, $x \cdot (x \cdot y) = y$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between Steiner triple systems and finite sloops.

Two constructions of free objects in the variety of sloops are presented in this paper. They both allow recursive construction of a free sloop with a free base X , provided that X is recursively defined set. The main results besides the constructions, are: Each subsloop of a free sloop is free too. A free sloop \mathbf{S} with a free finite base X , $|X| \geq 3$, has a free subsloop with a free base of any finite cardinality and a free subsloop with a free base of cardinality ω as well; also \mathbf{S} has a (non free) base of any finite cardinality $k \geq |X|$. We also show that the word problem for the variety of sloops is solvable, due to embedding property.

1. PRELIMINARIES

A Steiner loop, or a sloop, is an algebra $(L; \cdot, 1)$, where \cdot is a binary operation and 1 is a constant, that satisfies the following identities

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(S1)} & 1 \cdot x = x \\ \text{(S2)} & x \cdot y = y \cdot x \\ \text{(S3)} & x \cdot (x \cdot y) = y \end{array}$$

A Steiner triple system (STS) is a pair (L, M) where L is a finite set, M is a set containing three-element subsets of L with the property that for any $a, b \in L$ ($a \neq b$) there is a unique $c \in L$ such that $\{a, b, c\} \in M$. It is evident that any STS on a set L enables a construction of a sloop on the set $L \cup \{1\}$ where $1 \notin L$, and vice versa. So, there is a one-to-one correspondence between Steiner triple systems and finite sloops (see [4], [7]).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 08B20,05B07,08A50.

Key words and phrases. free algebra, sloop, Steiner loop, variety, word problem.

The class of involutory commutative loops is defined by the laws:

$$1x = x, \quad xx = 1, \quad xy = yx, \quad \forall x \forall y \exists ! z \exists ! u (xz = y \wedge ux = y).$$

PROPOSITION 1. *The variety of sloops is a proper subvariety of the class of involutory commutative loops.*

PROOF. If $(L; \cdot, 1)$ is a sloop then the equation $ax = b$ for any $a, b \in L$ has a unique solution $x = ab$. What follows is an example of an involutory commutative loop which is not a sloop:

\cdot	1	a	b	c	d	e
1	1	a	b	c	d	e
a	a	1	c	d	e	b
b	b	c	1	e	a	d
c	c	d	e	1	b	a
d	d	e	a	b	1	c
e	e	b	d	a	c	1

□

Further on we use the term *base* for a minimal generating set of an algebra, and *free base* for a base of an algebra in a given variety which has the universal mapping property. So, a set X is a free base of a sloop $\mathbf{S} = (S; \cdot, 1)$ iff X is its base and each mapping from X to L , where $\mathbf{L} = (L; \cdot, 1)$ is a sloop, can be extended to a homomorphism from \mathbf{S} into \mathbf{L} .

2. FREE SLOOPS - CONSTRUCTION 1

Let X be a given set. We define a chain of sets X_i and a set F_X by:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &:= X, & X_{i+1} &:= X_i \cup \{\{u, v\} \subseteq X_i \mid u \neq v, u \notin v, v \notin u\}, \\ F_X &:= (\cup (X_i \mid i \geq 1)) \cup \{1\} \quad \text{where } 1 \notin \cup (X_i \mid i \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 2. *An element $x \in X_{i+1} \setminus X_i$ iff $x = \{u, v\}$ for some uniquely determined u and v such that $u \in X_i \setminus X_{i-1}$ or $v \in X_i \setminus X_{i-1}$.*

Define an operation $*$ on F_X as follows. If $u, v \in F_X \setminus \{1\}$ then

$$u * v := \begin{cases} \{u, v\} & u \neq v, u \notin v, v \notin u \\ 1 & u = v \\ t & v = \{u, t\} \text{ or } u = \{v, t\} \end{cases}$$

and $1 * u := u, \quad u * 1 := u, \quad 1 * 1 := 1.$

THEOREM 2.1. $\mathbf{F}_X = (F_X; *, 1)$ is a free object in the variety of sloops with free base X .

PROOF. The commutativity is obvious. We check the identity $u*(u*v) = v$ in the following cases.

- 1) $u \neq v, u \notin v, v \notin u$: $u * (u * v) = u * \{u, v\} = v,$
- 2) $v = \{u, t\}$: $u * (u * v) = u * t = \{u, t\} = v,$
- 3) $u = \{v, t\}$: $u * (u * v) = u * t = v.$

In every other case, the statement is straightforward. So, \mathbf{F}_X is a sloop.

It is clear that X is a base of \mathbf{F}_X and it is a free one too. Namely, let $(L; \cdot, 1)$ be a sloop and $\phi : X \rightarrow L$ a mapping. Define inductively a chain of mappings $(\phi_i : X_i \rightarrow L \mid i \geq 1)$ as follows. $\phi_1 = \phi$ and if ϕ_i is defined, then for $x \in X_{i+1}$,

$$\phi_{i+1}(x) := \begin{cases} \phi_i(x) & x \in X_i \\ \phi_i(u) \cdot \phi_i(v) & x = \{u, v\} \in X_{i+1} \setminus X_i \end{cases}$$

By *Proposition 2*, ϕ_i is well defined for each $i \geq 1$.

Let $\phi^* := \cup(\phi_i \mid i \geq 1) \cup \{(1, 1)\}$. In order to prove that ϕ^* is a homomorphism we consider the following cases.

- 1) $u \neq v, u \notin v, v \notin u$ ($u, v \in X_i$ for some $i \geq 1$) : $\phi^*(u * v) = \phi^*(\{u, v\}) = \phi_{i+1}(\{u, v\}) = \phi_i(u) \cdot \phi_i(v) = \phi^*(u) \cdot \phi^*(v).$
- 2) $u = \{v, t\} \in X_i$ for some $i > 1$: $\phi^*(u * v) = \phi^*(t) = \phi_{i-1}(t) = (\phi_{i-1}(v) \cdot \phi_{i-1}(t)) \cdot \phi_{i-1}(v) = \phi_i(\{v, t\}) \cdot \phi_{i-1}(v) = \phi^*(u) \cdot \phi^*(v)$, since $t = v * (t * v)$ by (S2) and (S3).

The remaining cases are trivial. \square

Assuming that the set X is already well ordered (i.e. we work with sets of ZFC set theory (see [8])), we define an order on F_X extending the order of X , by induction on the number of pairs of braces, in the following way.

The element 1 is the smallest in F_X . If $\alpha, \beta \in F_X$ and α has smaller number of (pairs of) braces than β , then $\alpha < \beta$. If $\{\alpha, \beta\} \neq \{\gamma, \delta\} \in F_X$, $\{\alpha, \beta\}, \{\gamma, \delta\}$ have the same number of pairs of braces and $\alpha < \beta, \gamma < \delta$, then we set

$$\begin{aligned} \{\alpha, \beta\} &< \{\gamma, \delta\} \text{ if either } \alpha < \gamma \text{ or } \alpha = \gamma, \beta < \delta \text{ and} \\ \{\gamma, \delta\} &< \{\alpha, \beta\} \text{ if either } \gamma < \alpha \text{ or } \alpha = \gamma, \delta < \beta. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3. (F_X, \leq) is a well ordered set.

PROOF. Let $A \subseteq F_X$. If A contains an element without braces, then the smallest element in $(X \cup \{1\}) \cap A$ is the smallest in A . Else, let $k > 0$ be the smallest number of braces of an element of A and $A' = \{a \in A \mid \text{the number of braces in } a \text{ is } k\}$. Consider the set $A'' = \{u \in F_X \mid \{u, v\} \in A', u < v\}$. By the inductive hypothesis A'' has the least element α and $A''' = \{v \in F_X \mid \{\alpha, v\} \in A'\}$ has the least element β . Then $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is the least element in A' i.e. A . \square

Note that if X is a recursive set, then F_X is recursive too.

3. FREE SLOOPS - CONSTRUCTION 2

Here we will present another description of the free sloops by using the free term algebra $\mathbf{Term}_X = (Term; \cdot, 1)$ (i.e. the absolutely free algebra) over a set of free generators X , in the signature $\cdot, 1$. Any free sloop with free base

X can be obtained as a quotient algebra of \mathbf{Term}_X ([7, 2]). Instead of that, our new construction will use a subset of $Term$ as a universe of a free sloop.

Define inductively a mapping $d : Term \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where \mathbb{N} is the set of non-negative integers, by:

$$d(1) := 0, \quad d(x) := 0 \text{ for } x \in X, \quad d(t_1 \cdot t_2) := d(t_1) + d(t_2) + 1.$$

We shall refer to $d(t)$ as *weight* of the term $t \in Term$.

By induction on weight, define a mapping $C : Term \rightarrow F_X$ in the following way:

$$C(t) := \begin{cases} 1 & t = 1 \text{ or } t = t_1 \cdot t_2, C(t_1) = C(t_2) \\ t & t \in X \\ C(t_1) & t = t_1 \cdot t_2, C(t_2) = 1 \\ C(t_2) & t = t_1 \cdot t_2, C(t_1) = 1 \\ C(t_3) & t = t_1 \cdot t_2, C(t_2) = \{C(t_1), C(t_3)\} \text{ or} \\ & t = t_1 \cdot t_2, C(t_1) = \{C(t_2), C(t_3)\} \\ \{C(t_1), C(t_2)\} & t = t_1 \cdot t_2 \text{ and none of the previous holds} \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 4. *The mapping C is an epimorphism from \mathbf{Term}_X onto \mathbf{F}_X .*

Now, by the homomorphism theorem we have $\mathbf{Term}_X / \ker C \cong \mathbf{F}_X$. Further on we will determine a canonical representative for each congruence class as follows.

Assuming that X is a well ordered set we define a mapping $T : F_X \rightarrow Term$ using the well ordering of F_X , by:

$$T(1) := 1, \quad T(x) := x \text{ for } x \in X, \quad T(\{u, v\}) := T(u) \cdot T(v) \text{ where } u < v.$$

PROPOSITION 5. *T is injective.*

PROPOSITION 6. *$TCT = T, CTC = C$.*

PROOF. Let $\alpha \in F_X$. If $\alpha = 1$ or $\alpha \in X$, the statement holds trivially. Let $\alpha = \{u, v\}$, $u, v \in F_X$, $u < v$. Assume that the statement holds for any element of F_X smaller than α . So, $TCT(u) = T(u)$, $TCT(v) = T(v)$. Then $CT(u) = u$, $CT(v) = v$ by Proposition 5, and since $\alpha \in F_X$ we have $CT(u) \neq CT(v)$, $CT(u) \notin CT(v)$, $CT(v) \notin CT(u)$. Hence, $TCT(\alpha) = TC(T(u) \cdot T(v)) = T(\{CT(u), CT(v)\}) = T(\{u, v\}) = T(\alpha)$.

Now, $CTC = C$ follows by Proposition 5 and $TCT = T$. \square

For an element $t \in Term$ we say that it is *reduced* if $TC(t) = t$. The mapping $R = TC$ will be called *reduction*. Note that $(R(t), t) \in \ker C$ and in each congruence class there is only one reduced element which will be the canonical representative of the class.

The mapping R has the following properties.

PROPOSITION 7. *$R^n = R$, for each $n \geq 2$, and for all $t, s \in Term$ we have:*

$$(i) \quad R(1 \cdot t) = R(t);$$

- (ii) $R(t \cdot s) = R(s \cdot t)$;
- (iii) $R(t \cdot (t \cdot s)) = R(s)$;
- (iv) $R(t \cdot s) = t \cdot s \implies R(t) = t, R(s) = s$;
- (v) $R(R(t) \cdot s) = R(t \cdot s)$;
- (vi) $R(R(t) \cdot R(s)) = R(t \cdot s)$.

PROOF. $R^n = R$ for $n \geq 2$ follows from Proposition 6. (i), (ii) and (iii) are straightforward since C is a homomorphism and \mathbf{F}_X is a sloop, and (vi) is a consequence of (ii) and (v).

(iv) $R(t \cdot s) = TC(t \cdot s) = t \cdot s$ implies that $C(t \cdot s) = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ where $\alpha < \beta$, $T(\alpha) = t$, $T(\beta) = s$. Now, $TC(t) = TCT(\alpha) = T(\alpha) = t$ by Proposition 6, and in the same way $TC(s) = s$.

(v) Since $CR(t) = CTC(t) = C(t)$ we have

$$C(R(t) \cdot s) = \begin{cases} 1 & C(t) = C(s) \\ C(s) & C(t) = 1 \\ C(t) & C(s) = 1 \\ C(l) & C(t) = \{C(s), C(l)\} \text{ or } C(s) = \{C(t), C(l)\} \\ \{C(t), C(s)\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

i.e. $C(R(t) \cdot s) = C(t \cdot s)$ and hence $TC(R(t) \cdot s) = TC(t \cdot s)$. \square

Let G_X be the set of reduced terms i.e. $G_X = R(\text{Term}) = T(F_X)$. Define an operation \circ on G_X by

$$t \circ s := R(t \cdot s) \text{ for all } t, s \in G_X.$$

THEOREM 3.1. $\mathbf{G}_X = (G_X; \circ, 1)$ is a free sloop with free base X .

PROOF. We will prove that the bijective mapping T is an isomorphism between $(F_X; *, 1)$ and $(G_X; \circ, 1)$. For each $t, s \in \text{Term}_X$ by Proposition 4 and 5 we have that $t/\ker C \cdot s/\ker C = (t \cdot s)/\ker C = (R(t \cdot s))/\ker C = R(R(t) \cdot R(s))/\ker C = (R(t) \circ R(s))/\ker C$. Since $\text{Term}_X/\ker C \cong \mathbf{F}_X$ we obtain $C(t) * C(s) = C(R(t) \circ R(s))$ and if $u = C(t)$, $v = C(s)$, then $T(u * v) = T(C(t) * C(s)) = T(C(R(t) \circ R(s))) = R(R(t) \circ R(s)) = R(t) \circ R(s) = TC(t) \circ TC(s) = T(u) \circ T(v)$. \square

Note that if X is a recursive set, then since C and T are recursively defined, we have that G_X is a recursive set too.

4. SOME PROPERTIES OF FREE SLOOPS

PROPOSITION 8. If X is a free base of a free sloop \mathbf{S} , then \mathbf{S} is finite if and only if $|X| \leq 2$.

PROOF. $S = \{1\}$ for $X = \emptyset$, $S = \{1, a\}$ for $X = \{a\}$ and $S = \{1, a, b, ab\}$ for $X = \{a, b\}$. If $X = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$ where $|\{a, b, c\}| = 3$, consider the set $M = \{x_i \mid i \geq 1\}$ where $x_1 = a$, $x_2 = b$, $x_{2n+1} = ax_{2n}$, $x_{2n+2} = cx_{2n+1}$ for $n \geq 1$. We have $M \subseteq S$, and M is infinite since $x_i \neq x_j$ for $i \neq j$. \square

THEOREM 4.1. *Every subsloop of a free sloop is free too.*

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{G}_X = (G_X; \circ, 1)$ be a free sloop as in Construction 2, and let G' be a subsloop of \mathbf{G}_X . Recall that $R(t) = t$ for each $t \in G_X$.

If $x, y \in G' \setminus \{1\}$, then we say that x is a divisor of y if and only if there is a $t \in \text{Term} \setminus \{1\}$ such that $y = t \cdot x$ or $y = x \cdot t$. Then also $t \in G' \setminus \{1\}$, since by Proposition 7, (iv), the definition of \circ and (S2), (S3) we have $t \in G_X$ and $t = x \circ y$. Note that if x is a divisor of y then $d(x) < d(y)$, which implies that any sequence $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, \dots$ such that t_{i+1} is a divisor of t_i , $i \geq 1$, is finite.

We shall prove that $B = \{t \in G' \setminus \{1\} \mid t \text{ has no divisors}\}$ is a free base for G' .

At first, by an induction on weight we show that B is a generating set of G' . Let $z \in G' \setminus \{1\}$. If $z \notin B$, then z has divisors, i.e. $z = x \cdot y$ for some $x, y \in G'$ and $z = R(z) = R(x \cdot y) = x \circ y$. By the inductive hypothesis x and y are generated by B and so is z .

Next we show that B is a base of G' . Namely, let $b \in B$ and let G'' be the subsloop of G' generated by $B \setminus \{b\}$. Then $G'' = \cup(G''_i \mid i \geq 1)$ where $G''_1 = B \setminus \{b\}$, $G''_{i+1} = \{t \circ s \mid t, s \in G''_i\}$. Now, $b \notin G''_2$ since if $b = t \circ s$ for some $t, s \in G''_1 = B \setminus \{b\}$, then $b = t \cdot s$. If $b \in G''_{i+1} \setminus G''_i$ for some $i \geq 2$, then $b = t \circ s$ for some $t, s \in G''_i$ such that $t \in G''_i \setminus G''_{i-1}$ (or $s \in G''_i \setminus G''_{i-1}$). We have to consider several cases. The case $t = s$ is not possible, since $t \circ t = 1$ and $b \neq 1$. If $t = s \circ u$ (or $s = t \circ u$) for some $u \in G''_{i-1}$, then $b = u \in G''_{i-1}$. The only case left is $b = t \cdot s$, contradicting $b \in B$.

Let $(L; *, 1)$ be an arbitrary sloop and $f : B \rightarrow L$ a mapping. We extend f to homomorphism $f' : G' \rightarrow L$ by an induction on weight in the following way: $f'(1) := 1$, $f'(b) := b$ for each $b \in B$, $f'(t) := f'(x) * f'(y)$ when $t = x \cdot y \in G' \setminus B$.

Then for any $t, s \in G'$ we have:

$$t \circ s = R(t \cdot s) = \begin{cases} 1 & t = s \\ s & t = 1 \\ t & s = 1 \\ l & s = t \cdot l \text{ or } s = l \cdot t \text{ or} \\ & t = s \cdot l \text{ or } t = l \cdot s \\ t \cdot s & \text{if none of the previous} \\ & \text{holds and } C(t) < C(s) \\ s \cdot t & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In all of the cases listed, from the definition of f' and the fact that $(L; *, 1)$ is a sloop, it follows that $f'(t \circ s) = f'(t) * f'(s)$. \square

COROLLARY 1. *Every free sloop with at least 3 element free base has a free subsloop with infinite free base, and a free subsloop with free base of any finite cardinality.*

PROOF. Let \mathbf{G}_X be the free sloop with free base X obtained by the construction 2, and let $a, b, c \in X$. Let $M = \{x_i \mid i \geq 1\} \subseteq \text{Term}$, where $x_1 = ab$, $x_2 = ac$, $x_{2n+1} = (x_{2n-1}c)(x_{2n}b)$, $x_{2n+2} = (x_{2n}b)(x_{2n+1}c)$. Let G' be the subsloop of \mathbf{G}_X generated by M . Since M is the set of elements of G' that have no divisors, by *Theorem 3* we have that G' is a free subsloop of \mathbf{G}_X with infinite free base M . Out of the same reason, if $K = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\} \subset M$, then the subsloop of \mathbf{G}_X generated by K is a free one with k -element free base K . \square

PROPOSITION 9. *A free sloop with free base X , $|X| \geq 3$, has infinitely many free bases.*

PROOF. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ be a free base of a free sloop S . Denote a sequence of elements of S by $b_0 = b$, $b_{2k+1} = ab_{2k}$, $b_{2k+2} = cb_{2k+1}$, $k \geq 0$. Then $X_i = \{a, b_i, c\}$ is a free base of S as well. \square

The variety of sloops has nontrivial finite algebras, so there are no two isomorphic free sloops with finite free bases of different cardinality [7]. Nevertheless, we will show that any free sloop with finite base X , $|X| \geq 3$, has a base of any finite cardinality greater than $|X|$. Namely, it is a consequence of the following property, where \mathbf{G}_X denotes the free sloop of construction 2.

PROPOSITION 10. *If $X = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_k\}$, $k \geq 3$, is a base of \mathbf{G}_X , then \mathbf{G}_X has also a base $\{b_1, b_2, b'_3, b''_3, b_4, \dots, b_k\}$, where*

$$b'_3 = (b_1 \cdot (b_2 \cdot b_3)) \cdot (b_2 \cdot (b_1 \cdot b_3)), \quad b''_3 = b'_3 \cdot b_3.$$

PROOF. Let S be the subsloop of \mathbf{G}_X generated by $\{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$, and let S' be the subsloop of \mathbf{G}_X generated by $\{b_1, b_2, b'_3, b''_3\}$. Since $b_3 = b'_3 \cdot b''_3$, it is clear that $S' = S$. We shall prove that $\{b_1, b_2, b'_3, b''_3\}$ is a base for S' .

Let S'' be the subsloop of \mathbf{G}_X generated by $\{b_1, b_2, b'_3\}$. We shall prove that $b_3 \notin S''$.

For this purpose, first note that $S'' = \cup(S''_i \mid i \geq 1)$ where $S''_1 = \{b_1, b_2, b'_3\}$ and $S''_{i+1} = S''_i \cup \{x \circ y \mid x, y \in S''_i\}$.

It is clear that $b_3, t_1 = b_1 \cdot (b_2 \cdot b_3), t_2 = b_2 \cdot (b_1 \cdot b_3) \notin S''_2$. Let $b_3, t_1, t_2 \notin S''_i$. Then $b_3 \notin S''_{i+1}$ since in order to extract b_3, b'_3 must be multiplied by t_1 or t_2 . Also, since $b_3 \notin S''_i$ we have $t_1, t_2 \notin S''_{i+1}$.

In a similar manner, it follows that the subsloops of S' generated by each of the sets $\{b_1, b_2, b''_3\}, \{b_2, b'_3, b''_3\}, \{b_1, b'_3, b''_3\}$ are proper subsets of S' . \square

5. THE WORD PROBLEM FOR SLOOPS

We show that the word problem for the variety of sloops is solvable. Namely, we use the following T. Evans' result ([3]):

If V is a variety with the property that any incomplete V -algebra can be embedded in a V -algebra, then the word problem is solvable for V .

According to Evans' definition of incomplete algebras, an incomplete sloop with universe G is a quadruple $(G, \cdot, 1, D)$, where $D \subseteq G^2, 1 \in G, \cdot : D \rightarrow G$

is a mapping (called an incomplete operation on G), satisfying the following conditions:

- (IS1) $(x, x) \in D \implies x \cdot x = 1$
- (IS2) $(x, y) \in D \implies (y, x) \in D, x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
- (IS3) $(x, 1) \in D \implies x \cdot 1 = x$
- (IS4) $(x, y) \in D \implies (x, x \cdot y) \in D, x \cdot (x \cdot y) = y$

PROPOSITION 11. *Any incomplete sloop can be embedded into a sloop.*

PROOF. Let $(G, \cdot, 1, D)$ be an incomplete sloop. Denote $G_0 = G$, $D_0 = D \cup \{(x, x) | x \in G\} \cup \{(1, x), (x, 1) | x \in G\}$ and let $\cdot_0 : D_0 \rightarrow G$ be defined by $x \cdot_0 y := x \cdot y$, for $(x, y) \in D$, $x \cdot_0 x := 1$, $x \cdot_0 1 := x$, $1 \cdot_0 x := x$ for $x \in G$. Then $(G_0, \cdot_0, 1, D_0)$ is an incomplete sloop such that $D \subseteq D_0 \subseteq G_0^2$.

If $(G_i, \cdot_i, 1, D_i)$ is defined incomplete sloop, we form a new one as follows.

Denote $C_i = \{\{x, y\} | x, y \in G_i, (x, y) \notin D_i\}$ and put $G_{i+1} = G_i \cup C_i$ (assuming that $C_i \cap G_i = \emptyset$). Define an incomplete operation \cdot_{i+1} by:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \in D_i &\implies x \cdot_{i+1} y := x \cdot_i y, \\ (x, y) \in G_i^2 \setminus D_i &\implies x \cdot_{i+1} y := \{x, y\}, \\ x \in G_{i+1} &\implies x \cdot_{i+1} x := 1, x \cdot_{i+1} 1 := 1 \cdot_{i+1} x := x, \\ x \in G_i, \{x, y\} \in C_i &\implies x \cdot_{i+1} \{x, y\} := y, \{x, y\} \cdot_{i+1} x := y. \end{aligned}$$

Let D_{i+1} be the set of all $(x, y) \in G_{i+1}$ for which $x \cdot_{i+1} y$ is defined.

It is clear that (IS1) - (IS3) hold for $(G_{i+1}, \cdot_{i+1}, 1, D_{i+1})$. Several cases have to be considered in order to check (IS4) and the nontrivial ones are:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \in G_i^2 \setminus D_i &\implies x \cdot_{i+1} y = \{x, y\} \implies x \cdot_{i+1} (x \cdot_{i+1} y) = x \cdot_{i+1} \{x, y\} = y; \\ x \in G_i, y = \{x, z\} \in C_i &\implies x \cdot_{i+1} y = x \cdot_{i+1} \{x, z\} = z \implies \\ x \cdot_{i+1} (x \cdot_{i+1} y) &= x \cdot_{i+1} z = \{x, z\} = y. \end{aligned}$$

That way we obtained chains of sets $(G_i | i \geq 0)$, $(D_i | i \geq 0)$, $(\cdot_i | i \geq 0)$, with the properties:

$$G_i \subseteq G_{i+1}, D_i \subseteq G_i^2 \subseteq D_{i+1}, \cdot_i \subseteq \cdot_{i+1}.$$

Let

$$G^* = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} G_i, D^* = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} D_i, \cdot^* = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \cdot_i.$$

Now for $x, y \in G^*$, there exists $i \geq 0$ such that $x, y \in G_i$, so $(x, y) \in D_{i+1}$, i.e. $(x, y) \in D^*$. Hence, $D^* = (G^*)^2$ i.e. $(G^*, \cdot^*, 1)$ is a sloop in which $(G, \cdot, 1, D)$ is embedded. \square

As a corollary of Proposition 11 and [3] we get the following result.

THEOREM 5.1. *The word problem for the variety of sloops is solvable.*

REFERENCES

- [1] R. U. Bruck: *A survey of Binary Systems*, Berlin - Göttingen - Heidelberg, 1958
- [2] Ć. Čupona, S. Markovski: *Primitive varieties of algebras*, Algebra universalis 38 (1997), 226-234
- [3] Trevor Evans: *The word problem for abstract algebras*, The Journal of The London Mathematical Society, vol. XXVI, 1951, 64-71
- [4] P. M. Hall: *Combinatorial Theory*, Blaisdell publishing company, Waltham Massachusetts, Toronto, London, 1967
- [5] S. Markovski, A. Sokolova: *Free Basic Process Algebra*, Contributions to General Algebra, vol. 11, 1998, 157-162
- [6] S. Markovski, A. Sokolova: *Term rewriting system for solving the word problem for sloops*, Matematički bilten 24(L), 2000, 7-18
- [7] R. N. McKenzie, W. F. Taylor, G. F. McNulty: *Algebras, Lattices, Varieties*, Wadsworth & Brooks, Monterey, California, 1987
- [8] J. R. Shoenfield: *Mathematical Logic*, Addison-Wesley Publ. Comp., 1967

Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics,
Institute of Informatics, p.f.162 Skopje,
Republic of Macedonia
E-mail: smile,anas@pmf.ukim.edu.mk

Received: 07.07.1999.

Revised: 29.02.2000.