ON (m, n)-JORDAN CENTRALIZERS IN RINGS AND ALGEBRAS

Joso Vukman

University of Maribor, Slovenia

ABSTRACT. Let $m \ge 0, n \ge 0$ be fixed integers with $m + n \ne 0$ and let R be a ring. It is our aim in this paper to investigate additive mapping $T: R \to R$ satisfying the relation $(m + n)T(x^2) = mT(x)x + nxT(x)$ for all $x \in R$.

This research is a continuation of our earlier work ([11]). Throughout, R will represent an associative ring with center Z(R). Given an integer $n \ge 2$, a ring R is said to be n-torsion free, if for $x \in R$, nx = 0 implies x = 0. As usual the commutator xy - yx will be denoted by [x, y]. We define $[y, x]_n$ inductively as follows: $[y, x]_1 = [y, x]$, $[y, x]_{n+1} = [[y, x]_n, x]$. We shall use the commutator identities [xy, z] = [x, z] y + x [y, z] and [x, yz] = [x, y] z + y [x, z], for all $x, y, z \in R$. A mapping F, which maps a ring R into itself, is called commuting on R in case [F(x), x] = 0 holds for all $x \in R$. Recall that a ring R is prime if for $a, b \in R$, aRb = (0) implies that either a = 0 or b = 0, and is semiprime in case aRa = (0) implies a = 0. An additive mapping $D: R \to R$, where R is an arbitrary ring, is called a derivation if D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$, and is called a Jordan derivation in case $D(x^2) =$ D(x)x + xD(x) is fulfilled for all $x \in R$. A derivation D is inner in case there exists $a \in R$, such that D(x) = [a, x] holds for all $x \in R$. Every derivation is a Jordan derivation. The converse is in general not true. A classical result of Herstein ([8]) asserts that any Jordan derivation on a prime ring with $char(R) \neq 2$ is a derivation. A brief proof of Herstein's result can be found

43

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 16W10, 46K15, 39B05.

Key words and phrases. Ring, prime ring, semiprime ring, Banach space, Hilbert space, algebra of all bounded linear operators, standard operator algebra, H^* -algebra, derivation, Jordan derivation, left (right) centralizer, two-sided centralizer, left (right) Jordan centralizer, (m, n)-Jordan centralizer.

This research has been supported by the Research Council of Slovenia.

in [3]. Cusack ([7]) generalized Herstein's result to 2-torsion free semiprime rings (see also [4] for an alternative proof). We denote by Q_r, C and RCMartindale right ring of quotients, extended centroid, and central closure of a semiprime ring R, respectively. For the explanation of Q_r, C , and RC we refer the reader to [2]. An additive mapping $T: R \to R$ is called a left centralizer in case T(xy) = T(x)y holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$. In case R has the identity element $T: R \to R$ is a left centralizer iff T is of the form T(x) = ax for all $x \in R$, where $a \in R$ is a fixed element. For a semiprime ring R all left centralizers are of the form T(x) = qx for all $x \in R$, where q is a fixed element of Q_r (see Chapter 2 in [2]). An additive mapping $T: R \to R$ is called a left Jordan centralizer in case $T(x^2) = T(x)x$ holds for all $x \in R$. The definition of right centralizer and right Jordan centralizer should be self-explanatory. We call $T: R \to R$ a two-sided centralizer in case T is both a left and a right centralizer. In case $T: R \to R$ is a two-sided centralizer, where R is a semiprime ring with extended centroid C, then there exists an element $\lambda \in C$ such that $T(x) = \lambda x$ for all $x \in R$ (see Theorem 2.3.2 in [2]). Zalar ([14]) has proved that any left (right) Jordan centralizer on a 2-torsion free semiprime ring is a left (right) centralizer. Molnár ([9]) has proved that in case we have an additive mapping $T: A \to A$, where A is a semisimple H^* -algebra, satisfying the relation $T(x^3) = T(x)x^2$ $(T(x^3) = x^2T(x))$ for all $x \in A$, then T is a left (right) centralizer. Let us recall that a semisimple H^* -algebra is a complex semisimple Banach^{*}-algebra whose norm is a Hilbert space norm such that $(x, yz^*) = (xz, y) = (z, x^*y)$ is fulfilled for all $x, y, z \in A$ (see [1]). For results concerning centralizers in rings and algebras we refer to [10–13] where further references can be found. Let X be a real or complex Banach space and let L(X) and F(X) denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on X and the ideal of all finite rank operators in L(X), respectively. An algebra $A(X) \subset L(X)$ is said to be standard in case $F(X) \subset A(X)$. Let us point out that any standard operator algebra is prime, which is a consequence of Hahn-Banach theorem. In case X is a real or complex Hilbert space we denote by A^* the adjoint operator of $A \in L(X)$.

We proceed with the following definition.

DEFINITION 1. Let $m \ge 0, n \ge 0$ be fixed integers with $m + n \ne 0$ and let R be a ring. An additive mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$ will be called an (m, n)-Jordan centralizer in case

1)
$$(m+n)T(x^2) = mT(x)x + nxT(x)$$

holds for all $x \in R$.

Obviously, (1,0)-Jordan centralizer is a left Jordan centralizer, (0,1)-Jordan centralizer is a right Jordan centralizer, and in case (1,1)-Jordan centralizer we have the relation

(2)
$$2T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x), x \in R.$$

Vukman ([11]) has proved that in case there exists an additive mapping $T: R \to R$, where R is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, satisfying the relation (2), then T is a two-sided centralizer. The above observations lead to the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 2. Let $m \ge 1, n \ge 1$ be some integers, let R be a semiprime ring with suitable torsion restrictions, and let $T : R \to R$ be an (m, n)-Jordan centralizer. In this case T is a two-sided centralizer.

In this paper we prove some results related to the above conjecture. First we prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3. Let $m \ge 0, n \ge 0$ be some integers with $m + n \ne 0$, let R be a ring and let $T : R \rightarrow R$ an (m, n)-Jordan centralizer. In this case we have

$$2(m+n)^2 T(xyx)$$

(3) $= mnT(x)xy + m(2m+n)T(x)yx - mnT(y)x^{2} + 2mnxT(y)x$ $- mnx^{2}T(y) + n(m+2n)xyT(x) + mnyxT(x),$

for all pairs $x, y \in R$.

PROOF. The linearization of the relation (1) gives

 $(4) \quad (m+n)T(xy+yx)=mT(x)y+mT(y)x+nxT(y)+nyT(x), x,y\in R.$

Putting in the above relation (m+n)(xy+yx) for y we obtain

$$(m+n)^{2}T(x^{2}y + yx^{2} + 2xyx)$$

= $m(m+n)T(x)(xy + yx) + m(m+n)T(xy + yx)x$
+ $n(m+n)xT(xy + yx) + n(m+n)(xy + yx)T(x), x, y \in R.$

Applying first the relation (4) and then the relation (1) we obtain

$$\begin{split} &2(m+n)^2T(xyx) + (m+n)mT(x^2)y + (m+n)mT(y)x^2 \\ &+ (m+n)nx^2T(y) + (m+n)nyT(x^2) \\ &= m(m+n)T(x)(xy+yx) + m(mT(x)y+mT(y)x + nxT(y) + nyT(x))x \\ &+ nx(mT(x)y+mT(y)x + nxT(y) + nyT(x)) + n(m+n)(xy+yx)T(x), \\ &x,y \in R. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &2(m+n)^2 T(xyx) + m(mT(x)x + nxT(x))y + (m+n)mT(y)x^2 \\ &+ (m+n)nx^2T(y) + ny(mT(x)x + nxT(x)) \\ &= m(m+n)T(x)(xy+yx) + m(mT(x)y + mT(y)x + nxT(y) + nyT(x))x \\ &+ nx(mT(x)y + mT(y)x + nxT(y) + nyT(x)) + n(m+n)(xy+yx)T(x) \\ &x,y \in R. \end{split}$$

Collecting terms we arrive at

$$\begin{split} &2(m+n)^2 T(xyx) \\ &= mnT(x)xy + m(2m+n)T(x)yx - mnT(y)x^2 + 2mnxT(y)x \\ &- mnx^2T(y) + n(m+2n)xyT(x) + mnyxT(x), \ x,y \in R. \end{split}$$

which completes the proof.

In particular for y = x the relation (3) reduces to the relation below which will be considered latter on.

(5)
$${2(m+n)^2 T(x^3)} = m(2m+n)T(x)x^2 + 2mnxT(x)x + n(2n+m)x^2T(x), x \in R.$$

The result below proves Conjecture 2 in case R is a prime ring.

THEOREM 4. Let $m \ge 1, n \ge 1$ be fixed integers and let R be a prime ring with char $(R) \ne 6mn(m+n)$. Suppose $T : R \rightarrow R$ is a (m,n)-Jordan centralizer. If Z(R) is nonzero, then T is a two-sided centralizer.

In the proof of Theorem 4 we shall use the result below proved by Brešar and Hvala ([6]).

THEOREM 5. Let n > 1 be an integer and let R be a prime ring such that char(R) = 0 or $char(R) \ge n$. Let $f_1, ..., f_n : R \to R$ be additive mappings satisfying the relation

$$f_1(x)x^{n-1} + xf_2(x)x^{n-2} + \dots + x^{n-1}f_n(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in R$. If Z(R) is nonzero, then there exist elements $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{n-1} \in RC + C$ and additive mappings $\zeta_1, ..., \zeta_n : R \to C$, such that

$$f_1(x) = xa_1 + \zeta_1(x),$$

$$f_k(x) = -a_{k-1}x + xa_k + \zeta_k(x), k = 2, ..., n - 1,$$

$$f_n(x) = -a_{n-1}x + \zeta_n(x),$$

for all $x \in R$. Moreover, $\zeta_1 + \ldots + \zeta_n = 0$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. Putting $(m+n)x^2$ for x in (1) and applying (1) we obtain

$$\begin{split} (m+n)^3 T(x^4) &= m(m+n)^2 T(x^2) x^2 + n(m+n)^2 x^2 T(x^2) \\ &= m(m+n)(mT(x)x + nxT(x)) x^2 \\ &+ n(m+n) x^2 (mT(x)x + nxT(x)) \\ &= m^2(m+n)T(x) x^3 + mn(m+n) xT(x) x^2 \\ &+ mn(m+n) x^2 T(x) x + n^2(m+n) x^3 T(x). \end{split}$$

We have therefore

(6)
$$(m+n)^3 T(x^4) = m^2 (m+n) T(x) x^3 + mn(m+n) x T(x) x^2 + mn(m+n) x^2 T(x) x + n^2 (m+n) x^3 T(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

On the other hand, putting in the relation (3) $y = (m+n)x^2$ and applying (1), we obtain

$$\begin{split} 2(m+n)^3T(x^4) &= mn(m+n)T(x)x^3 + m(2m+n)(m+n)T(x)x^3 \\ &- mn(m+n)T(x^2)x^2 + 2mn(m+n)xT(x^2)x \\ &- mn(m+n)x^2T(x^2) + n(m+2n)(m+n)x^3T(x) \\ &+ mn(m+n)x^3T(x) \\ &= 2m(m+n)^2T(x)x^3 - mn(mT(x)x + nxT(x))x^2 \\ &+ 2mnx(mT(x)x + nxT(x))x - mnx^2(mT(x)x + nxT(x)) \\ &+ 2n(m+n)^2x^3T(x) \\ &= (2m(m+n)^2 - m^2n)T(x)x^3 + mn(2m-n)xT(x)x^2 \\ &+ mn(2n-m)x^2T(x)x + (2n(m+n)^2 - mn^2)x^3T(x), \\ &x \in R. \end{split}$$

We have therefore

(7)

$$2(m+n)^{3}T(x^{4}) = (2m(m+n)^{2} - m^{2}n)T(x)x^{3} + mn(2m-n)xT(x)x^{2} + mn(2n-m)x^{2}T(x)x + (2n(m+n)^{2} - mn^{2})x^{3}T(x).$$

By comparing (6) with (7) we obtain

 $mn(2n+m)T(x)x^3 - 3mn^2xT(x)x^2 - 3m^2nx^2T(x)x + mn(2m+n)x^3T(x) = 0,$ for all $x \in R$, which reduces according to the requirements of the theorem to $(2n+m)T(x)x^3 - 3nxT(x)x^2 - 3mx^2T(x)x + (2m+n)x^3T(x) = 0, \quad x \in R.$

Now applying Theorem 5 one can conclude that

(8)
$$(2n+m)T(x) = xa_1 + \zeta_1(x), \ x \in R,$$

(9)
$$-3nT(x) = -a_1x + xa_2 + \zeta_2(x), \ x \in R,$$

(10)
$$-3mT(x) = -a_2x + xa_3 + \zeta_3(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R},$$

(11)
$$(2m+n)T(x) = -a_3x + \zeta_4(x), \ x \in R,$$

where $a_{1,a_2,a_3} \in RC + C$, and $\zeta_1...\zeta_4 : R \to C$ are additive mappings with $\zeta_1 + ... + \zeta_4 = 0$. Combining the relations from (8) to (11) one obtains

(12)
$$D_1(x) + D_2(x) + D_3(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $D_i(x)$ stands for $[a_i, x]$. Note that D_i are derivations. Combining relations (8) and (11), and putting x^2 for x we obtain

(13)
$$3(m+n)T(x^2) = x^2a_1 - a_3x^2 + \zeta_1(x^2) + \zeta_4(x^2), x \in \mathbb{R}$$

Left multiplication of the relation (9) by x and right multiplication of the relation (10) by x gives

(14)
$$-3nxT(x) = -xa_1x + x^2a_2 + \zeta_2(x)x, \ x \in R,$$

(15)
$$-3mT(x)x = -a_2x^2 + xa_3x + \zeta_3(x)x, \ x \in R.$$

Combining (13), (14) and (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 3((m+n)T(x^2) - mT(x)x - nxT(x)) \\ &= -xD_1(x) - D_2(x^2) - D_3(x)x + \zeta_1(x^2) + \zeta_2(x)x \\ &+ \zeta_3(x)x + \zeta_4(x^2), x \in R, \end{aligned}$$

which reduces because of (1) to

$$-xD_1(x) - D_2(x)x - xD_2(x) - D_3(x)x + \zeta_1(x^2) + \zeta_2(x)x + \zeta_3(x)x + \zeta_4(x^2) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Applying (12) in the above relation we obtain

$$D_1(x)x + xD_3(x) + \zeta_1(x^2) + \zeta_2(x)x + \zeta_3(x)x + \zeta_4(x^2) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

which gives

$$[D_1(x)x + xD_3(x), x] = 0, \ x \in R.$$

The above relation can be written in the form

$$D_1(x)x^2 + x(D_3(x) - D_1(x))x - x^2D_3(x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From the above relation it follows according to Corollary 3. 4.in [6] that $D_1(x) = D_3(x) = 0$ for all $x \in R$, whence it follows that $D_2(x) = 0$ because of (12). In other words, we have

$$[a_1, x] = [a_2, x] = [a_3, x] = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now applying the above relation in (9) we obtain

$$3n [T(x), x] = [a_1 x, x] - [xa_2, x] = [a_1, x] x - x [a_2, x] = 0, x \in R.$$

We have therefore $3n[T(x), x] = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$, which reduces to

$$[T(x), x] = 0, x \in R$$

according to the requirements of the theorem. In other words, T is commuting on R. Now, one can replace in (1) xT(x) by T(x)x, which gives $(m+n)T(x^2) = (m+n)T(x)x$, $x \in R$, whence it follows because of the requirements of the theorem that

$$T(x^2) = T(x)x$$

holds for all $x \in R$. Of course, we have also

$$T(x^2) = xT(x), x \in R.$$

In other words, T is a left and a right Jordan centralizer. By proposition 1.4. in [14] T is a left and a right centralizer, which completes the proof of the theorem.

An additive mapping $D: R \to R$, where R is an arbitrary ring, is called a Jordan triple derivation in case

$$D(xyx) = D(x)yx + xD(y)x + xyD(x)$$

holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$. One can easily prove that any Jordan derivation on arbitrary 2-torsion free ring is a Jordan triple derivation (see [3] for the details). Brešar ([5]) has proved that any Jordan triple derivation, which maps a 2-torsion free semiprime ring into itself, is a Jordan derivation. These observations and Proposition 3 lead to the definition and the conjecture below.

DEFINITION 6. Let $m \ge 0, n \ge 0$ be some integers with $m + n \ne 0$, and let R be an arbitrary ring. An additive mapping $D : R \rightarrow R$ is called an (m,n)-Jordan triple centralizer in case

$$2(m+n)^{2}T(xyx) = mnT(x)xy + m(2m+n)T(x)yx - mnT(y)x^{2} + 2mnxT(y)x - mnx^{2}T(y) + n(m+2n)xyT(x) + mnyxT(x),$$

holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$.

CONJECTURE 7. Let $m \ge 1, n \ge 1$ be some integers, let R be a semiprime ring with suitable torsion restrictions, and let $T : R \to R$ be an (m, n)-Jordan triple centralizer. In this case T is a two-sided centralizer.

We proceed with the following result.

THEOREM 8. Let X be Hilbert space over the real or complex field \mathcal{K} , let $A(X) \subset L(X)$ be a standard operator algebra which is closed under the adjoint operation, and let $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$ be some integers. Suppose there exists an additive mapping $T: A(X) \to L(X)$ satisfying the relation

(16)
$$2(m+n)^2T(A^3) = m(2m+n)T(A)A^2 + 2mnAT(A)A + n(2n+m)A^2T(A)$$

for all $A \in A(X)$. In this case T is of the form $T(A) = \lambda A$, for all $A \in A(X)$ and some $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}$. In particular, T is linear and continuous.

Let us point out that in the theorem above we obtain as a result the continuity of T under purely algebraic assumptions, which means that Theorem 8 might be of some interest from the automatic continuity point of view.

PROOF OF THEOREM 8. Let us first consider the restriction of T on F(X). Let A be from F(X) and let $P \in F(X)$, $P^* = P$ be a projection with AP = PA = A. We have also $A^*P = PA^* = A^*$. From the relation (16) one obtains

(17)
$$2(m+n)^2T(P) = m(2m+n)T(P)P + 2mnPT(P)P + n(2n+m)PT(P).$$

Right multiplication of the above relation by P gives

(18)
$$T(P)P = PT(P)P.$$

Similarly,

(19)
$$PT(P) = PT(P)P$$

Combining (18) and (19) we obtain

(20)
$$T(P)P = PT(P)$$

Applying (18), (19) and (20) in (17) we obtain

(21)
$$T(P) = T(P)P = PT(P).$$

Putting A + P for A in the relation (16) one obtains

$$2(m+n)^2 T(A^3 + 3A^2 + 3A + P)$$

$$= m(2m+n)T(A+P)(A^{2}+2A+P) + 2mn(A+P)T(A+P)(A+P) + n(2n+m)(A^{2}+2A+P)T(A+P)$$

which reduces to

$$2(m+n)^{2}(3T(A^{2}) + 3T(A))$$

= $m(2m+n)(T(P)A^{2} + 2T(A)A + 2T(P)A + T(A))$
+ $2mn(T(A)A + AT(P)A + T(P)A + AT(A) + T(A) + AT(P))$
+ $n(2n+m)(2AT(A) + T(A) + A^{2}T(P) + 2AT(P)).$

Putting in the above relation -A for A and comparing the relation so obtained with the above relation we obtain

(22)
$$6(m+n)^2 T(A^2) = m(2m+n)BA^2 + 4m(m+n)T(A)A + 2mnABA + 4n(m+n)AT(A) + n(2n+m)A^2B$$

and

(23)
$$(m+n)T(A) = mBA + nAB$$

where B stands for T(P). From the relation (23) one can conclude that T maps F(X) into itself. Combining (22) with (23) we obtain

$$6(m+n)(mBA^2 + nA^2B)$$

= $m(2m+n)BA^2 + 4m(mBA + nAB)A + 2mnABA$
+ $4nA(mBA + nAB) + n(2n+m)A^2B$

which reduces to $5mnBA^2 + 5mnA^2B - 10mnABA = 0$ and finally to

$$BA^2 + A^2B - 2ABA = 0,$$

which can be written in the form

(24)
$$[[B, A], A] = 0.$$

Let us denote by F_P the set $\{A; A \in F(X), AP = PA\}$. The set F_P is an algebra which is closed under the adjoint operation. According to (20) one can conclude that $B \in F_P$. Let us prove that F_P is semiprime. Suppose that

$$ACA = 0,$$

holds for some $A \in F_P$ and all $C \in F_P$. Putting in the above relation $C = A^*$ and multiplying the relation so obtained from the left side by A^* , we obtain $(A^*A)^*(A^*A) = 0$, whence it follows $A^*A = 0$, which gives A = 0. The linearization of the relation (24) gives

$$[[B, A], C] + [[B, C], A] = 0.$$

Putting AC for A in the above relation we obtain

$$0 = [[B, A], AC] + [[B, AC], A]$$

= [[B, A], A] C + A [[B, A], C] + [[B, A] C + A [B, C], A]
= A [[B, A], C] + [[B, A], A] C + [B, A] [C, A] + A [[B, C], A]
= [B, A] [C, A].

We have therefore

$$B, A] [C, A] = 0.$$

The substitution CB for C in the above relation gives [B, A] C [B, A] = 0, for all pairs $A, C \in F_P$. Since F_P is semiprime we have

$$[B,A] = 0$$

for all $A \in F_P$. Now the relation (23) reduces to T(A) = BA = AB, which gives

$$T(A^2) = BA^2 = A^2B = (BA)A = A(AB) = T(A)A = AT(A)A$$

Thus we have $T(A^2) = T(A)A = AT(A)$, for all $A \in F(X)$. In other words, T is a left and a right Jordan centralizer on F(X). Since F(X) is prime one can conclude by Proposition 1.4 in [14] that T is a two-sided centralizer. One can easily prove that T is of the form

$$\Gamma(A) = \lambda A$$

for any $A \in F(X)$ and some $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}$ (see [10] for the details). It remains to prove that the above relation holds on A(X) as well. Let us introduce $T_1: A(X) \to L(X)$ by $T_1(A) = \lambda A$ and consider $T_0 = T - T_1$. The mapping T_0 is, obviously, additive and satisfies the relation (16). Besides, T_0 vanishes on F(X). Let $A \in A(X)$, let $P \in F(X)$, be an one-dimensional projection and S = A + PAP - (AP + PA). Note that S can be written in the form S = (I - P)A(I - P), where I denotes the identity operator on X. Since, obviously, $S - A \in F(X)$, we have $T_0(S) = T_0(A)$. Besides, SP = PS = 0. We have therefore the relation

$$2(m+n)^2 T_0(S^3) = m(2m+n)T_0(S)S^2 + 2mnST_0(S)S + n(2n+m)S^2T_0(S).$$

Applying the above relation and the fact that $T_0(P) = 0$, SP = PS = 0, we obtain

$$\begin{split} m(2m+n)T_0(S)S^2 + 2mnST_0(S)S + n(2n+m)S^2T_0(S) \\ &= 2(m+n)^2T_0(S^3) = 2(m+n)^2T_0(S^3+P) = 2(m+n)^2T_0((S+P)^3) \\ &= m(2m+n)T_0(S+P)(S+P)^2 + 2mn(S+P)T_0(S+P)(S+P) \\ &+ n(2n+m)(S+P)^2T_0(S+P) \\ &= m(2m+n)T_0(S)(S^2+P) + 2mn(S+P)T_0(S)(S+P) \\ &+ n(2n+m)(S^2+P)T_0(S). \end{split}$$

We have therefore

$$m(2m+n)T_0(S)S^2 + 2mnST_0(S)S + n(2n+m)S^2T_0(S)$$

= $m(2m+n)T_0(S)(S^2+P) + 2mn(S+P)T_0(S)(S+P)$
+ $n(2n+m)(S^2+P)T_0(S),$

which reduces to (25)

$$(2m+n)T_0(A)P + 2mPT_0(A)S + 2mST_0(A)P + 2mPT_0(A)P + 2mPT_0(A) = 0.$$

Multiplying the above relation from both sides by P we obtain

$$PT_0(A)P = 0.$$

Right multiplication of the relation (25) by P gives because of (26)

(27)
$$(2m+n)T_0(A)P + 2mST_0(A)P = 0.$$

Putting in the above relation -A for A, and comparing the relation so obtained with the above relation, (let us recall that S = (I - P)A(I - P)) we obtain

$$T_0(A)P = 0.$$

Since P is an arbitrary one-dimensional projection, one can conclude that $T_0(A) = 0$, for any $A \in A(X)$. In other words, we have proved that T is of the form $T(A) = \lambda A$, for all $A \in A(X)$ and some $\lambda \in \mathcal{K}$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

It should be mentioned that in the proof of Theorem 8 we used some ideas and methods similar to those used by Molnár in [9].

References

- W. Ambrose, Structure theorems for a special class of Banach algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 57 (1945), 364–386.
- [2] K. I. Beidar, W. S. Martindale III and A. V. Mikhalev, Rings with generalized identities, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1996.
- [3] M. Brešar and J. Vukman, Jordan derivations on prime rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 37 (1988), 321–323.
- [4] M. Brešar, Jordan derivations on semiprime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), 1003–1006.
- [5] M. Brešar, Jordan mappings of semiprime rings, J. Algebra 127 (1989), 218–228.
- [6] M. Brešar and B. Hvala, On additive maps of prime rings, II, Publ. Math. Debrecen 54 (1999), 39–54.
- [7] J. Cusack, Jordan derivations on rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1975), 321–324.
 [8] I. N. Herstein, Jordan derivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1104–1110.
- [9] L. Molnár, On centralizers of an H^{*}-algebra, Publ. Math. Debrecen 46 (1995), 89–95.
- [10] I. Kosi-Ulbl and J. Vukman, On centralizers of standard operator algebras and semisimple H^{*}-algebras, Acta Math. Hungar. 110 (2006), 217–223.
- J. Vukman, An identity related to centralizers in semiprime rings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 40 (1999), 447–456.
- [12] J. Vukman and I. Kosi–Ulbl, Centralizers on rings and algebras, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 71 (2005), 225–234.
- [13] J. Vukman and I. Kosi–Ulbl, On centralizers of semisimple H^{*}-algebras, Taiwanese J. Math. 11 (2007), 1063–1074.
- [14] B. Zalar, On centralizers of semiprime rings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 32 (1991), 609–614.

J. Vukman Department of Mathematics and Computer Science University of Maribor FNM, Koroška 160, 2000 Maribor Slovenia *E-mail*: joso.vukman@uni-mb.si

Received: 23.12.2008.

Revised: 20.2.2009.