

Ivana Canjuga¹, Marinka Mravak-Stipetić¹, Božana Lončar¹, Josipa Kern²

Prevalencija sustavnih bolesti i lijekova u bolesnika s oralnim lichenom planusom

The Prevalence of Systemic Diseases and Medications in Patients with Oral Lichen Planus

¹ Zavod za Oralnu medicinu Stomatološkog fakulteta Sveučilišta u Zagrebu
Department of Oral Medicine, School of Dental Medicine, University of Zagreb

² Zavod za medicinsku statistiku, epidemiologiju i medicinsku informatiku, Škola narodnog zdravlja Andrija Štampar, Zagreb
Department of Medical Statistics, Epidemiology and Medical Informatics, Andrija Štampar School of Public Health, Zagreb

Sažetak

Lichen planus je kronična imunosna upalna bolest koja često zahvaća sluznicu usne šupljine. Sustavne bolesti i lijekovi smatraju se precipitirajućim čimbenicima u njegovom nastanku. **Svrha rada:** ispitati prevalenciju sustavnih bolesti i lijekova u bolesnika s oralnim lichenom planusom(OLP) i utvrditi njihovu međusobnu povezanost. **Materijal i metode:** anamnestički podatci uzeti su retrospektivno iz medicinskih kartona 145 bolesnika s OLP-om od čega 104 žene i 41 muškarca koji su radi liječenja OLP-a upućeni na Zavod za oralnu medicinu. U svih je bolesnika dijagnoza OLP-a postavljena na temelju kliničkih i histopatoloških kriterija. Podatci o sustavnim bolestima i lijekovima u bolesnika s OLP-om bilježeni su za period od dvije godine (jesen 2007.- jesen 2009.) i usporedjeni sa prevalencijom sustavnih bolesti i najčešće korištenih lijekova u bolesnika s oralnom leukoplakijom (LPL) kao i s podatcima opće populacije prema nacionalnom registru bolesti. Svi su podaci obradjeni primjenom χ^2 testa, s razinom značajnosti od $p<0,05$. **Rezultati:** sustavne bolesti imalo je 77,9% bolesnika s OLP-om; značajno više nego bolesnika s LPL, pri čemu je najučestalija bolest bila hipertenzija. Hipertenzija je najučestalija bolest u općoj populaciji prema podatcima iz nacionalnog registra. **Zaključak:** sustavne bolesti učestalije su u populaciji pacijenata s OLP-om u odnosu na LPL, pri čemu se veća prevalencija hipertenzije među bolesnicima s OLP-om ne može smatrati etiološkim čimbenikom za razvoj OLP-a jer hipertenzija prevalela i u općoj populaciji.

Zaprimitljeno: 24. travnja 2010.
Prihvaćeno: 1. lipnja 2010.

Adresa za dopisivanje

Prof.dr.sc. Marinka Mravak-Stipetić
Zavod za oralnu medicinu
Stomatološkog fakulteta
Sveučilišta u Zagrebu
Gundulićeva 5,
10000 Zagreb
Tel: +385 1 4802114
Fax: +385 1 4802159
e-mail:mrvak@sfzg.hr

Ključne riječi

oralni lichen planus; leukoplakija;
hipertenzija; sustavne bolesti

Uvod

Lihen planus je kronična upalna bolest kože i sluznica koja često zahvaća i sluznicu usne šupljine. Točan etiopatogenetski mehanizam nije razjašnjen, ali postoje dokazi koji upućuju da je riječ o poremećaju imunološkog sustava (1). Sustavne bolesti i lijekovi smatraju se čimbenicima koji potiču nastanak oralnog lichen planusa (OLP). Mnogobrojna istraživanja upućuju na to da pacijenti s OLP-om imaju češće šećernu bolest (2,3) i bolesti jetara negoli oni bez OLP-a. No, postoje i suprotna mišljenja (4,5). Neki stručnjaci ističu povezanost lichen planusa i virusa hepatitisa C (6-12), a drugi je isključuju (13-15).

Povezanost OLP-a s hipertenzijom nije razjašnjena, i podatci su raličiti. Eisen i Xue sa suradnicima nisu pronašli povezanost OLP-a s bolestima srca i krvnih žila (1,16). U istraživanju Cebecia i suradnika u kojoj je sudjelovalo pet tisuća odraslih Turaka, nije nađena povezanost između sustavnih bolesti i lezija sluznice usne šupline. Među svim ispitanim lezijama, oralni lichen planus i leukoplakija (LPL) pronađeni su u 2,2% ispitanih (17).

Introduction

Lichen planus is a chronic inflammatory disease of the skin and mucous membranes that frequently involves oral mucosa. The exact aetopathogenesis has not been clarified, but the immunological system is believed to play a leading role (1). Systemic diseases and medications are considered to be precipitating factors in the development of oral lichen planus (OLP). A number of previous reports have suggested that patients with OLP have associated diabetes (2,3) and liver diseases more often than patients without OLP. Conversely, some reports have not supported these presumptions (4,5). Also, some authors have reported association between lichen planus and hepatitis C virus (6-12) which was not confirmed by others (13-15).

Association of OLP and hypertension remains unclear and controversial. Eisen and Xue and al. have found no association between OLP and cardiovascular diseases (1,16). In the study of Cebeci et al. that comprised 5000 turkish adults no relation was found between systemic diseases and oral mucosal lesion occurrence. Among all examined lesions OLP and leukoplakia (LPL) were observed in 2,2% of the patients (17).

Lijekovi i stres također su čimbenici za koje se vjeruje da potiču razvoj OLP-a. U Eisenovoj studiji stres je zabilježen kao najučestaliji uzrok akutne egzarcebacije OLP-a (16).

Posljednjih godina u literaturi mnogo je opisa slučajeva oralne lihenoidne reakcije na inhibitore enzima konvertaze angiotenzina i nesteroidne antiupalne lijekove (NSAUL-e). Često se kao mogući uzroci lihenoidne reakcije spominju i oralni hipoglikemici, penicilamin te zlato (18). No, još nisu definirana histološka obilježja prema kojima se lihenoidnu reakciju može pouzdano razlikovati od oralnog licheni i drugih lihenoidnih lezija (19). Zato se potvrda dijagnoze oralne lihenoidne reakcije temelji samo na kliničkim kriterijima.

Svrha ovog rada bila je ispitati prevalenciju sustavnih bolesti i uzimanja lijekova u bolesnika s OLP-om i ustanoviti njihovu moguću uzročnu povezanost.

Usporedba s podatcima iz opće populacije trebala je pokazati ima li prevalencija pojedinih bolesti kod OLP-a etiološki značaj ili je samo refleksija bolesti u općoj populaciji.

Ispitanici i postupci

Podaci su prikupljeni retrospektivno iz kartona 145 pacijenata, 104 žena i 41 muškarca koji su upućeni na Zavod za oralnu medicinu Stomatološkog fakulteta Sveučilišta u Zagrebu. U istraživanje su bili uključeni ispitanici koji su ispunjavali kliničke i histopatološke kriterije (18) za dijagnozu OLP-a. Ispitanici koji su ispunjavali kriterije za lihenoidnu reakciju na amalgam nisu bili uključeni. Podaci su se skupljali dvije godine (od jeseni 2007. – do jeseni 2009.) Medicinski podatci uzeti iz anamnestičkih kartona svakog ispitanika obuhvaćali su: kliničku sliku OLP-a, sustavne bolesti (hipertenzija, šećernu bolest, bolesti jetara i ostale bolesti, primjeric, gastrointestinalne, bolesti štitnjače, krv, psihijatrijske, alergije i infekcije). S obzirom na to da su bolesti u skupini „druge bolesti“ bile manje učestale, prikazane su zajedno u jednoj skupini. Zabilježeni su i podaci o lijekovima koje su ispitanici uzimali. Sve to zabilježeno je i za ispitanike kontrolne skupine koja je obuhvaćala 137 bolesnika s leukoplakijom (LPL-om). Podaci o tome koliko su česte sustavne bolesti uspoređeni su između skupina ispitanika s OLP-om i LPL-om te s učestalošću sustavnih bolesti u općoj populaciji prema podatcima iz nacionalnog statističkog registra (20-22). Za kontrolnu skupinu bili su odabrani bolesnici s leukoplakijom (LPL) zbog njezine kliničke sličnosti s lihem planusom te dokazane različite patogeneze tih dviju bolesti. Ispitanici s LPL-om prema dobi su odgovarali ispitanicima s OLP-om. Statistička analiza uključivala je deskriptivnu statistiku i χ^2 test, a obavljen je pomoću SPSS-verzijom 16.0 za Windows. Razina značajnosti od $p<0,05$ smatrana se statistički značajnom.

Rezultati

Srednja dob ispitanika s OLP-om bila je 51 godinu (± 17), a srednja dob ispitanika s LPL-om 49 (± 18). Naši rezultati pokazali su da ispitanici s OLP-om imaju najčešće jednu ili više sustavnih bolesti – dokazane su u 77,9% ispitanika. Naj-

Drugs and stress are also factors which are believed to be included in the development of OLP. In the study of Eisen stress was reported as the most frequent cause of acute exacerbations of OLP (16).

More recently, the literature has been replete with case reports and a few limited studies of oral lichenoid drug reactions to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). However, oral hypoglycemic drugs, penicillamine and gold have also been frequently implicated (18). There are no clear or distinct clinical or histological features that reliably distinguish oral lichenoid drug reactions from oral lichen planus or other lichenoid lesions (19). Therefore, confirmation of the diagnosis of oral lichenoid drug reactions remains problematic.

The purpose of this study was to examine the prevalence of systemic diseases and medications in patients with OLP and to determine their possible causal relationship.

Comparison with data from the general population was aimed to determine whether the prevalence of certain diseases in OLP patients have etiological significance or is a reflection of the prevalence of diseases in general population.

Material and Methods

Retrospective data included medical records of 145 patients, 104 women and 41 men who were referred to the Department of Oral Medicine, School of Dental Medicine, University of Zagreb. All patients had clinical and histopathological criteria fulfilled (19) for diagnosis of OLP. Patients in whom criteria for lichenoid reaction to amalgam were full-field were excluded. Data were collected for the period of two years (autumn 2007- autumn 2009). Type of OLP, systemic diseases (hypertension, diabetes, liver diseases and other diseases that included gastrointestinal, thyroid, blood, psychiatric diseases, allergies and infections) were recorded. Since other diseases were of low frequency, they were presented in one group termed „other diseases“. Used medications were recorded in a questionnaire for each patient. Data on the use of drugs and prevalence of systemic diseases were also recorded in the control group which included 137 patients with LPL. These data were compared between OLP and LPL group and compared with Croatian population according to the national statistical register (20-22). Control group included patients with leukoplakia because of clinical similarities with OLP and proved different pathogenesis of these two diseases. Patients with LPL were age-matched with OLP patients. Statistical analysis, which included descriptives and χ^2 test was performed using SPSS version 16.0 for Windows and $p<0,05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

The mean age of patients with OLP was 51 (± 17) and mean age of patients with LPL was 49 (± 18). Our results have shown that patients with OLP mostly have one or more systemic diseases. Systemic diseases associated with OLP were

učestalija je bila hipertenzija (46% ispitanika), a slijede bolesti jetara i šećerna bolest. Najčešći oblik OLP-a bio je retikularni (80,6%), a zatim erozivni (18,7%) i bulozni (0,7%).

Dokazana je statistički značajna razlika u raspodjeli sustavnih bolesti između ispitanika s OLP-om u odnosu na kontrolnu skupinu s leukoplakijom (Tablica 1).

Također je dokazana statistički značajna razlika između ispitanika s OLP-om i LPL –om koji nisu uzimali lijekove; značajno više ispitanika koji nisu uzimali lijekove bilo je u kontrolnoj skupini s LPL-om (Tablica 2). Suprotno tome, između ispitanika s OLP-om i LPL-om koji uzimaju lijekove nije bilo statistički značajne razlike.

Tablica 1. Prevalencija sustavnih bolesti u bolesnika s OLP-om i LPL-om
Table 1 The prevalence of systemic diseases in patients with OLP and LPL

	OLP	LPL	p*
Visoki tlak • Hypertension	67	46	0,028
Šećerna bolest • Diabetes mellitus	22	9	0,026
Bolesti jetre • Liver diseases	41	12	<0,0001
Ostale bolesti • Other diseases	20	72	<0,0001
Bez sustavnih bolesti • No systemic diseases	32	41	0,058
Ukupno • Total	182	180	

* χ^2 test

Rasprava

Najčešća bolest u ispitanika s OLP-om je hipertenzija u (46%), a slijede bolesti jetara i šećerna bolest.

Općenito, u ispitanika s OLP-om prevalencija sustavnih bolesti uključujući hipertenziju i šećernu bolest bila je viša nego u ispitanika s leukoplakijom. Usporedba prevalencije sustavnih bolesti u ispitanika o OLP-om s podacima Hrvatskog zdravstveno-statističkog ljetopisa iz 2006. godine (21) te nedavno provedene probirne prospektivne studije koja je uključivala ispitanike s hipertenzijom u ordinacijama obiteljske medicine (20), pokazuje da je hipertenzija najčešća bolest u općoj populaciji (37,5%), ali učestalost po pojedinim županijama varira (29%-43,5%). Naši su rezultati u skladu s rezultatima Xue i suradnika i Eisena – oni nisu pronašli razlike u prevalenciji bolesti srca i krvnih žila u bolesnika s OLP-om u odnosu prema općoj populaciji (1,16). Uspoređujući naše rezultate s rezultatima usporedne retrospektivne studije Thongprasom i suradnika koja je uključivala hrvatske i tajlandske ispitanike s OLP-om, evidentno je da prevalencija hipertenzije u bolesnika s OLP-om odgovara prevalenciji u općoj populaciji. U istoj studiji dokazano je da je u bolesnika s bolestima jetara najčešći atrofično-erozivni oblik OLP-a (23). No, naši su rezultati oprečni rezultatima Cekić-Arambašin i suradnika (24). U njihovoj studiji najčešće bolesti bile su gastritis, bolesti jetara i žući te šećerna bolest. Hipertenzija je zabilježena tek u manjeg broja ispitanika (2%). Te se razlike mogu objasniti činjenicom da su se u proteklih deset godina promjenili dijagnostički kriteriji za hipertenziju te da su bolesnici danas vjerojatno svjesnji nužnosti brige o zdravlju pa su mnogi slučajevi ranije otkriveni. Bolesti jetara bile su

found in 89% of patients. Predominant disease was found to be hypertension which is present in 37% of our patients, followed by liver diseases and diabetes. The most frequent form of OLP was reticular (80,6%), followed by erosive (18,7%) and bullous (0,7%).

Statistically significant difference was found in distribution of systemic diseases between OLP patients and control group which is shown in Table 1.

Statistically significant difference was found between patients who took no medications among OLP and LPL patients which is shown in Table 2. No statistically significant difference was found between taken medications among OLP and LPL patients.

Tablica 2. Raspodjela lijekova koje su uzimali bolesnici s OLP-om i LPL-om
Table 2 Distribution of taken medications in patients with OLP and LPL

	OLP	LPL	p*
Antihipertenzivi • Antihypertensives	38	41	0,637
Nesteroidni antiupalni lijekovi (NSAUL) • Nonsteroid antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)	20	17	0,328
Drugi lijekovi • Other medications	59	64	0,498
Ne uzimaju lijekove • Took no medications	28	54	0,028
Ukupno • Total	145	176	

* χ^2 test

Disscusion

The predominant disease found in OLP patients was hypertension which is present in (46%) of our patients, followed by liver diseases and diabetes.

The incidence of systemic diseases including hypertension and diabetes in OLP patients was higher than the prevalence of these diseases in patients with leukoplakia. When compared the prevalence of systemic diseases in OLP patient with the data from Croatian Health Service Yearbook 2006 (21) and recent large prospective study which included screening for hypertension in general medicine dispensary (20), we can see that hypertension is the most common disease in the general population (37.5%) but it's frequency varies in different counties (29%-43.5%). Our results are corresponding with the results of Eisen and Xue et al. who found no cardiovascular diseases in patients with OLP and general population (1,16). When compared with the results from comparative retrospective study of Thongprasom et al. which included Croatian and Thai patients with OLP, the prevalence of hypertension in OLP patients also correspond with the prevalence in the general population. In the same study, it was shown that in patients with liver diseases the most common type of OLP was atrophic-erosive type (23). However, our results are contrary to the results of Cekić-Arambašin et al (24). In their study, the most common diseases were gastritis, liver and gallbladder diseases and diabetes. Hypertension was present only in the minority of patients (2%) at the last place. These differences could be explained by the fact that diagnostic criteria for the hypertension have been changed during the last ten years and patients today are probably more aware of their health condition so many

učestalije u naših ispitanika s OLP-om nego s LPL-om. Čini se da povezanost OLP-a s infekcijom virusom hepatitisa C i bolestima jetre ovisi o zemljopisnim čimbenicima (16). Hrvatski bolesnici s OLP-om, kao dio mediteranske populacije češće imaju bolesti jetara (22%) nego što se bilježi u općoj populaciji (2,2%) (21). Iako su neki autori (25-27) izvjestili da je probir za kronične bolesti jetre i HCV-a u bolesnika s OLP-om u rutinskoj dijagnostici kontroverzan, u skladu s našim rezultatima, on može biti koristan u ranoj dijagnozi. Autori Ali i Suresh (3) također su izvijestili da OLP može biti povezan s povišenim vrijednostima jetrenih transaminaza. No, nisu ustanovili povezanost između OLP-a i HCV-infekcije. I šećerna bolest je dokazana u višem postotku u bolesnika s OLP-om nego LPL-om, što upućuje na to da se rutinskim određivanjem razine glukoze u krvi može otkriti bolesnike s još nedijagnosticiranom šećernom bolešću te ih dalje pratiti.

Lijekovi koje hrvatski bolesnici s OLP-om najčešće uzimaju su antihipertenzivi i zatim nesteroidni antiupalni lijekovi (NSAUL-i). Antihipertenzivi su također najčešći lijekovi u općoj populaciji (22). Među bolesnicima s OLP-om i LPL-om nema statistički značajne razlike prema vrstama najčeće propisivanih lijekova, premda je statistički značajno više bolesnika s LPL-om koji uopće ne uzimaju lijekove u odnosu na bolesnike s OLP-om.

Zaključak

Veća prevalencija hipertenzije među ispitanicima s OLP-om ne može se smatrati etiološkim čimbenikom za nastanak OLP-a budući da je hipertenzija i u općoj populaciji najčešća bolest. OLP se također ne može izravno povezati s određenim lijekom premda se u određenim slučajevima treba isključiti lichenoidna reakcija.

Zahvala

Istraživanje je provedeno u okviru projekta broj 065-0982464-2532 Ministarstva znanosti, obrazovanja i športa Republike Hrvatske.

Abstract

Lichen planus is a chronic immunologic inflammatory disease that frequently involves oral mucosa. Systemic diseases and medications are considered to be precipitating factors in the development of oral lichen planus. **Objective:** The purpose of this study was to examine the prevalence of systemic diseases and medications in patients with oral lichen planus (OLP) and to determine possible causal relationship. **Materials and methods:** Retrospective data included medical records of 145 patients, 104 women and 41 men who were referred to the Department of Oral Medicine. All patients had clinical and histopathological criteria fulfilled for diagnosis of oral lichen planus. Data were collected for the period of two years (autumn 2007- autumn 2009). These data were compared with the prevalence of systemic diseases in patients with oral leukoplakia (LPL) and general Croatian population according to the national statistical register. The same comparison was made for the used medications. Obtained data were analyzed by use of χ^2 test with level of significance $p<0,05$. **Results:** Systemic diseases associated with OLP were found in 77,9% of patients. The most frequent disease was hypertension. Frequency of systemic diseases between patients with OLP and LPL has shown statistically significant difference. **Conclusion:** Increased prevalence of hypertension among OLP patients in comparison to the prevalence in the general population can not be interpreted as the etiological factor for the development of OLP since hypertension is the most prevalent disease in general population.

cases are diagnosed earlier. Liver diseases were more common in our OLP than in LPL patients. It seems that OLP with both HCV infection and liver disease appears to be dependent on geographic factors (16). Croatian patients, as Mediterranean population, have OLP associated with liver diseases more often than the general population (2.2%) (21). Although some authors (25-27) have reported that screening for chronic liver disease and hepatitis C in the routine assessment of a patient with OLP is controversial, according to our results, routine screening of patients with OLP may be useful in early diagnosis of liver diseases. Ali and Suresh (3) have also reported that OLP might be related to elevated transaminase level. However, no correlation between OLP and HCV infection could be assessed in their study. Diabetes was also found in higher percent in OLP than in LPL patients which alert that routine blood glucose level could be useful in detecting patients with undiagnosed diabetes and monitoring.

The most commonly used medications in Croatian OLP patients were antihypertensives followed by non-steroid antiinflammatory drugs. Antihypertensives are also the most commonly used medications in the general population (22). There is no statistically significant difference between taken medication in OLP and LPL patients, except those patients who took no medications which was higher in LPL group. This could alert that certain percent of patients did not take their medications properly.

Conclusion

Increased prevalence of hypertension among OLP patients in comparison to the prevalence in the general population can not be interpreted as the etiological factor for the development of OLP.

OLP could not be directly connected with the certain medication, although, in suspected cases, oral drug lichenoid reaction should be considered.

Acknowledgment

The Croatian study was supported by grant no. 065-982464-2532 from the Ministry of Science, Education and Sports of the Republic of Croatia.

Received: April 24, 2010

Accepted: June 1, 2010

Address for correspondence

Professor Marinka Mravak-Stipetić
University of Zagreb
School of Dental Medicine
Department of Oral Medicine
Tel: ++ 385 1 4802 111
Fax: ++ 385 1 4802 159
mravak@sfzg.hr

Key words

Lichen Planus, Oral; Leukoplakia;
Hypertension; Diseases, Systemic

References

1. Xue JL, Fan MW, Wang SZ, Chen XM, Li Y, Wang L. A clinical study of 674 patients with oral lichen planus in China. *J Oral Pathol Med.* 2005 Sep;34(8):467-72.
2. Lowe NJ, Cudworth AG, Clough SA, Bullen MF. Carbohydrate metabolism in lichen planus. *Br J Dermatol.* 1976 Jul;95(1):9-12.
3. Ali AA, Suresh CS. Oral lichen planus in relation to transaminase levels and hepatitis C virus. *J Oral Pathol Med.* 2007 Nov;36(10):604-8.
4. Machado AC, Sugaya NN, Migliari DA, Matthews RW. Oral lichen planus. Clinical aspects and management in fifty-two Brazilian patients. *West Indian Med J.* 2004 Mar;53(2):113-7.
5. Gorsky M, Raviv M, Moskona D, Laufer M, Bodner L. Clinical characteristics and treatment of patients with oral lichen planus in Israel. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 1996 Dec;82(6):644-9.
6. Tanei R, Watanabe K, Nishiyama S. Clinical and histopathologic analysis of the relationship between lichen planus and chronic hepatitis C. *J Dermatol.* 1995 May;22(5):316-23.
7. Carrozzo M, Gandolfo S, Carbone M, Colombo P, Broccoletti R, Garzino-Demo P, Ghisetti V. Hepatitis C virus infection in Italian patients with oral lichen planus: a prospective case-control study. *J Oral Pathol Med.* 1996 Nov;25(10):527-33.
8. Bagán JV, Ramón C, González L, Diago M, Milián MA, Cors R, Lloria E, Cardona F, Jiménez Y. Preliminary investigation of the association of oral lichen planus and hepatitis C. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 1998 May;85(5):532-6.
9. Imhof M, Popal H, Lee JH, Zeuzem S, Milbradt R. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus antibodies and evaluation of hepatitis C virus genotypes in patients with lichen planus. *Dermatology.* 1997;195(1):1-5.
10. Ghodsi SZ, Daneshpazhooh M, Shahi M, Nikfarjam A. Lichen planus and Hepatitis C: a case-control study. *BMC Dermatol.* 2004 May 20;4:6.
11. Chuang TY, Stittle L, Brashear R, Lewis C. Hepatitis C virus and lichen planus: A case-control study of 340 patients. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 1999 Nov;41(5 Pt 1):787-9.
12. Chung CH, Yang YH, Chang TT, Shieh DB, Liu SY, Shieh TY. Relationship of oral lichen planus to hepatitis C virus in southern Taiwan. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci.* 2004 Apr;20(4):151-9.
13. Dupin N, Chosidow O, Lunel F, Fretz C, Szpirglas H, Frances C. Oral lichen planus and hepatitis C virus infection: a fortuitous association? *Arch Dermatol.* 1997 Aug;133(8):1052-3.
14. Ingafou M, Porter SR, Scully C, Teo CG. No evidence of HCV infection or liver disease in British patients with oral lichen planus. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1998 Feb;27(1):65-6.
15. Mobacken H, Nilsson LA, Olsson R, Sloberg K. Incidence of liver disease in chronic lichen planus of the mouth. *Acta Derm Venereol.* 1984;64(1):70-3.
16. Eisen D. The clinical features, malignant potential, and systemic associations of oral lichen planus: a study of 723 patients. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2002 Feb;46(2):207-14.
17. Cebeci AR, Gülsahı A, Kamburoglu K, Orhan BK, Oztaş B. Prevalence and distribution of oral mucosal lesions in an adult Turkish population. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2009 Jun 1;14(6):E272-7.
18. Al-Hashimi I, Schifter M, Lockhart PB, Wray D, Brennan M, Migliorati CA et al. Oral lichen planus and oral lichenoid lesions: diagnostic and therapeutic considerations. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2007 Mar;103 Suppl:S25.e1-12.
19. McCartan BE, McCreary CE, Healy CM. Studies of drug-induced lichenoid reactions: criteria for case selection. *Oral Dis.* 2003 Jul;9(4):163-4.
20. Društvo za razvitak nefrologije. EH-UH 2000 [database on the Internet]. Zagreb: Društvo za razvitak nefrologije; 2000 [cited 2007 Aug 31]. Available from: <http://www.eh-uh2000.org/pocetna/page.htm>
21. Baklać Ž, Dečković-Vukres V, Kuzman M, Rodin D. Croatian Health Service Yearbook 2006. Zagreb: Croatian National Institute for Public Health; 2007.
22. Agencija za lijekove i medicinske proizvode. Izvješće o prometu lijekova u Republici Hrvatskoj u 2008. godini [data base on the Internet]. Zagreb: Agencija za lijekove i medicinske proizvode; 2007 [cited 2009 Oct 25]. Available from: http://www.almp.hr/?ln=hr&w=publikacije&d=promet_lijekova_2008
23. Thongprasom K, Mravak-Stipetić M, Luckprom P, Canjuga I, Biocina-Lukenda D, Vidović-Juras D et al. Oral lichen planus: a retrospective comparative study between Thai and Croatian patients. *Acta Dermatovenerol Croat.* 2009;17(1):2-8.
24. Čekić-Arambasin A, Biocina-Lukenda D, Lazić-Segula B. Characteristics of oral lichen in the Croatian population. *Coll Antropol.* 1998 Dec;22 Suppl:73-81.
25. Lodi G, Scully C, Carrozzo M, Griffiths M, Sugerman PB, Thongprasom K. Current controversies in oral lichen planus: report of an international consensus meeting. Part 1. Viral infections and etiopathogenesis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2005; 100 (1):40-51.
26. Lodi G, Scully C, Carrozzo M, Griffiths M, Sugerman PB, Thongprasom K. Current controversies in oral lichen planus: report of an international consensus meeting. Part 2. Clinical management and malignant transformation. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2005 Aug;100(2):164-78.
27. Chainani-Wu N, Lozada-Nur F, Terrault N. Hepatitis C virus and lichen planus: a review. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2004 Aug;98(2):171-83.