

## Some remarks on Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian energy of graphs

GHOLAM HOSSEIN FATH-TABAR<sup>1</sup> AND ALI REZA ASHRAFI<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Kashan, Kashan 87317-51167, Iran*

Received August 24, 2009; accepted April 7, 2010

---

**Abstract.** Suppose  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  are Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph  $G$ . The Laplacian energy of  $G$  is defined as  $LE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i - 2m/n|$ . In this paper, some new bounds for the Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian energy of some special types of the subgraphs of  $K_n$  are presented.

**AMS subject classifications:** 05C50

**Key words:** Laplacian eigenvalue, energy, Laplacian energy

---

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with the vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and edge set  $\mathcal{E}(G)$ . The first Zagreb index  $M_1(G)$  is defined as the sum of squares of degrees in  $G$ . It is well known that  $M_1(G) = \sum_{e=uv \in \mathcal{E}(G)} [d(u) + d(v)]$ . The adjacency matrix  $A(G) = [a_{ij}]$  of  $G$  is a square matrix of order  $n$  whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is equal to the number of edges between the vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ .

In the case that  $G$  is a simple graph, the adjacency matrix  $A(G)$  will be a  $(0,1)$ -matrix. The spectrum of  $G$  is defined as the set of eigenvalues of  $A(G)$ , together with their multiplicities. Let  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $G$ . The energy of  $G$  is the sum of absolute values of eigenvalues of  $G$ . This quantity, introduced by Ivan Gutman, has noteworthy chemical applications; see [6 – 9, 11] for details.

Let  $D(G) = [d_{ij}]$  be a diagonal matrix associated with the graph  $G$ , where  $d_{ii} = \deg(v_i)$  and  $d_{ij} = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ . Define  $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$ .  $L(G)$  is called the Laplacian matrix of  $G$ . The Laplacian polynomial of  $G$  is the characteristic polynomial of its Laplacian matrix,  $\phi(G, \mu) = \det(\mu I_n - L(G))$ . Let  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n$  be the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $G$ , i. e., the roots of  $\phi(G, \mu)$ . It is well known that  $\mu_1 = 0$  and that the multiplicity of 0 equals the number of connected components of  $G$ .

The Laplacian energy of  $G$  is a very recently defined graph invariant [10], defined as  $LE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i - 2m/n|$ , where  $n$  and  $m$  are the number of vertices and edges of  $G$ , respectively.  $LE$  is a proper extension of the graph-energy concept. An interested reader should consult the papers [1, 2, 5, 16 – 18, 20 – 22] for the main properties of the Laplacian energy of graphs.

---

\*Corresponding author. *Email addresses:* fathtabar@kashanu.ac.ir (G. H. Fath-Tabar), ashrafi@kashanu.ac.ir (A. R. Ashrafi)

The complement of a graph  $G$  is denoted by  $\bar{G}$ , where  $e \in E(G)$  if and only if  $e \notin E(\bar{G})$ . Suppose  $G$  and  $H$  are two graphs with disjoint vertex and edge sets. The disjoint union of  $G$  and  $H$  is a graph  $T$  such that  $V(T) = V(G) \cup V(H)$  and  $E(T) = E(G) \cup E(H)$ .

For the sake of completeness, we mention below some results which are important throughout the paper.

**Theorem A** [Polya-Szego Inequality, [15]]. *Suppose  $a_i$  and  $b_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , are positive real numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{M_1 M_2}{m_1 m_2}} + \sqrt{\frac{m_1 m_2}{M_1 M_2}} \right)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right)^2,$$

where

$$M_1 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i, M_2 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} b_i, m_1 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i \text{ and } m_2 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} b_i.$$

**Theorem B** [Ozeki's Inequality, [14]]. *If  $a_i$  and  $b_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , are nonnegative real numbers, then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right)^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{4} (M_1 M_2 - m_1 m_2)^2,$$

where  $M_i$  and  $m_i$  are defined similarly to Theorem A.

**Theorem C** [Mohar, [13]]. *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $e \in E(G)$ . Then*

$$\mu_1(G - e) \leq \mu_1(G) \leq \mu_2(G - e) \leq \mu_2(G) \leq \dots \leq \mu_n(G - e) \leq \mu_n(G).$$

**Theorem D** [Biggs, [3]]. *Suppose  $\lambda_i$  and  $\mu_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , are eigenvalues and Laplacian eigenvalues of  $G$ , respectively. Then*

$$\sum \lambda_i = 0, \sum \lambda_i^2 = 2m, \sum \mu_i = 2m \text{ and } \sum \mu_i^2 = 2m + M_1(G).$$

Throughout this paper  $C_n$ ,  $P_n$  and  $K_n$  denote the cycle, path and complete graphs on  $n$  vertices. The complete bipartite graph with a partition by  $m$  and  $n$  vertices is denoted by  $K_{m,n}$ . A graph  $G$  is called  $r$ -regular, if for any vertex  $x$ ,  $\text{deg}(x) = r$ . Our other notations are standard and taken mainly from [3, 4, 12].

## 2. The Laplacian eigenvalues of some subgraphs of complete graphs

A matching or edge-independent set of a graph  $G$  is a set of edges without common vertices in  $G$ . In this section, the Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian energy of some subgraphs of  $K_n$  are investigated.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $G$  is a subgraph of  $K_n$  with  $n' < n$  vertices, then the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $K_n - \mathcal{E}(G)$  are as follows:*

$$0, n - \mu_1(G), \dots, n - \mu_{n'}(G), \underbrace{n, \dots, n}_{n-n'-1 \text{ times}}.$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $G'_n = G \cup \{V(K_n) - V(G)\}$ . Then  $K_n - \mathcal{E}(G) = \bar{G}'_n$  and by [4, 2.6],  $\phi(\bar{G}'_n, x) = (-1)^n \frac{x}{x-n} \phi(G'_n, n-x)$ . We now apply [4, 2.4] to prove  $\phi(G'_n, x) = \phi(G, x)x^{n-n'}$ . Therefore,

$$\phi(\bar{G}'_n, x) = (-1)^n \frac{x}{x-n} \phi(G, n-x)(n-x)^{n-n'} = (-1)^n x \phi(G, n-x)(n-x)^{n-n'-1}$$

and a direct calculation implies the theorem. □

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose  $E_i \subseteq \mathcal{E}(K_n)$  is a matching with  $i$  elements. Then the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $K_n - E_i$  are as follows:*

$$0, \underbrace{n-2, \dots, n-2}_{i \text{ times}}, \underbrace{n, \dots, n}_{n-i-1 \text{ times}}.$$

**Proof.** The complement of  $K_n - E_i$  consists of  $i$  copies of  $K_2$  and  $n - 2i$  copies of  $K_1$ . Thus its spectrum is 0 ( $n - i$  times) and 2 ( $i$  times). So the result follows from the Lemma 1 and [4, 2.6]. □

**Corollary 2.** *If  $G_{2s}$  is a Cocktail-Party graph with  $2s$  vertices, then the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $G_{2s}$  are  $0, \underbrace{2s-2, \dots, 2s-2}_{s \text{ times}}, \underbrace{2s, \dots, 2s}_{s-1 \text{ times}}$ .*

**Corollary 3.**  $LE(K_n - E_i) = 2(n - i - 1) - (4i/n)(i + 1)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *The Laplacian eigenvalues of  $K_{n,n} - e$  are computed as follows:*

$$0, \frac{3n-2-\sqrt{n^2+4n-4}}{2}, \underbrace{n, \dots, n}_{n-3 \text{ times}}, \frac{3n-2+\sqrt{n^2+4n-4}}{2}.$$

Therefore,  $LE(K_{n,n} - e) = n + 2 - 4/n + \sqrt{n^2 + 4n - 4}$ .

**Proof.** We know that

$$0, \underbrace{n, n, \dots, n}_{n-2 \text{ times}}, 2n$$

are the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $K_{n,n}$  [3]. By Theorem C, the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $K_{n,n} - e$  satisfy the following inequalities:

$$0 = \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \mu_3 = n = \dots = \mu_{2n-1} \leq \mu_{2n}.$$

Since

$$\sum \mu_i = 2m, \sum \mu_i^2 = 2m + M_1(G), \mu_2 + \mu_{2n} = 3n - 2 \text{ and } \mu_2^2 + \mu_{2n}^2 = 5n^2 - 4n, \mu_2 \mu_{2n} = 2(n - 1)^2. \text{ This concludes that}$$

$$\mu_2 = \frac{3n-2-\sqrt{n^2+4n-4}}{2} \text{ and } \mu_{2n} = \frac{3n-2+\sqrt{n^2+4n-4}}{2}.$$

For the second part, we notice that  $LE(K_{n,n} - e) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |\mu_i - n + 1/n| = n + 2 - 4/n + \sqrt{n^2 + 4n - 4}$ , proving the result. □

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $G$  is a graph. Then  $|LE(G - e) - LE(G)| < 4$  and 4 is the best possible bound.*

**Proof.** Define  $\mu'_i = \mu_i(G - e)$ . By Theorem C,  $\mu_i - \mu'_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n [\mu_i - \mu'_i] = 2$ . So, there exists  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that  $\mu_i > \mu'_i$ . This implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \mu_i - \mu'_i - \frac{2}{n} \right| < \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ |\mu_i - \mu'_i| + \frac{2}{n} \right]$$

and we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |LE(G - e) - LE(G)| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \mu_i - 2\frac{m}{n} \right| - \left| \mu'_i - 2\frac{m-1}{n} \right| \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \left| \mu_i - 2\frac{m}{n} \right| - \left| \mu'_i - 2\frac{m-1}{n} \right| \right] \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \left| \mu_i - 2\frac{m}{n} \right| - \left| \mu'_i - 2\frac{m-1}{n} \right| \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \mu_i - \mu'_i - \frac{2}{n} \right| \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ |\mu_i - \mu'_i| + \frac{2}{n} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \mu_i - \mu'_i + \frac{2}{n} \right] = 4. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the argument we construct a sequence  $\{G_n\}_{n \geq 2}$  of graphs such that  $|LE(G_n - e) - LE(G_n)| \rightarrow 4$ . Define  $G_n = \bar{K}_n + e$ . Then  $LE(G_n) = 4 - \frac{4}{n}$  and  $LE(G_n - e) = 0$  and so  $|LE(G_n - e) - LE(G_n)| = 4 - \frac{4}{n} \rightarrow 4$ . This completes the argument.  $\square$

### 3. Bounds on the Laplacian eigenvalues and the Laplacian energy of graphs

In this section, a variety of upper and lower bounds for the Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph  $G$  and its Laplacian energy are presented. At first, we apply Ozeki's theorem to obtain a simple inequality on the energy of graphs. By Theorem B,

$$E(G) \geq \sqrt{2mn - \frac{n^2}{4}(a_n - a_1)^2},$$

where  $a_1$  and  $a_n$  are minimum and maximum values of the set  $\{|\lambda_i| \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose zero is not an eigenvalue of  $G$ . Then*

$$E(G) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2mn}\sqrt{a_1a_n}}{a_1 + a_n},$$

where  $a_1$  and  $a_n$  are minimum and maximum of the absolute value of  $\lambda_i$ s. In particular, if  $G$  is  $k$ -regular, then

$$LE(G) = E(G) \geq \frac{2nk\sqrt{2a_1}}{a_1 + k}.$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $\lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , are the eigenvalues of  $G$ . We also assume that  $a_i = |\lambda_i|$ , where  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$  and  $b_i = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Apply Theorem A to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n 1^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda_n|}{|\lambda_1|}} + \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda_1|}{|\lambda_n|}} \right)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| \right)^2.$$

Therefore, by  $\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 = 2m$  and a simple calculation,

$$E(G) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2mn}\sqrt{a_1a_n}}{a_1 + a_n}.$$

To prove the second part, it is enough to notice that  $a_n = k$  and  $2m = nk$  for  $k$ -regular graphs. □

**Theorem 3.** Let  $G$  be a connected graph with the smallest and largest positive Laplacian eigenvalues  $\mu_2$  and  $\mu_n$ , respectively. Then

$$\sqrt{\mu_n/\mu_2} + \sqrt{\mu_2/\mu_n} \geq (\sqrt{n-1}/m)\sqrt{2m + M_1(G)}.$$

In particular, if  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex tree, then  $\sqrt{\mu_n/\mu_2} + \sqrt{\mu_2/\mu_n} \geq \sqrt{(6n-8)/(n-1)}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $a_i = 1$  and  $b_i = \mu_i, 2 \leq i \leq n$ . Apply Theorem B to show that,

$$\sum_{i=2}^n 1^2 \sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mu_n}{\mu_2}} + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_n}} \right)^2 \left( \sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i \right)^2.$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i^2 = 2m + M_1(G), \quad \sqrt{\mu_n/\mu_2} + \sqrt{\mu_2/\mu_n} \geq (\sqrt{n-1}/m)\sqrt{2m + M_1(G)}.$$

When  $G$  is a tree with at least three vertices,  $d(u)+d(v) \geq 3$  and so  $M_1(G) \geq 3(n-1)$ , as desired. □

**Corollary 4.** With notation of Theorem 3,  $\mu_n/\mu_2 + \mu_2/\mu_n \geq \frac{n-1}{m}(2 + \frac{4m}{n}) - 2$ . In particular, when  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex tree,  $\mu_n/\mu_2 + \mu_2/\mu_n \geq 4 - \frac{4}{n}$ .

**Theorem 4.** Suppose  $G$  is a graph without isolated vertices. Then

$$\mu_n - \mu_2 \geq \frac{4}{(n-1)^2} [(n-1)(2m + M_1(G)) - 4m^2].$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $a_i = 1$  and  $b_i = \mu_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . Apply Theorem B to show that

$$(n-1) \sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i^2 - \left( \sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i \right)^2 \leq \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} (\mu_n - \mu_2)^2.$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i^2 = 2m + M_1(G), \quad \mu_n - \mu_2 \geq \frac{4}{(n-1)^2} [(n-1)(2m + M_1(G)) - 4m^2],$$

which proves the theorem.  $\square$

We now prove some new bounds for the energy and Laplacian energy of graphs.

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose  $G$  is a graph. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{2(n-1)}\sqrt{4m^2} \left[ LE(G) - \frac{2m}{n} \right] \\ & \geq \sqrt{{}^{n-1}\sqrt{4m^2} \left[ M_1 + 2m - (n+1) \frac{4m^2}{n^2} \right] + 2 \binom{n-1}{2} {}^{n-1}\sqrt{n^2 \phi(G, \frac{2m}{n})^2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

with equality if and only if  $G$  is an empty graph. In particular, if  $G$  is a non-empty graph, then

$$LE(G) > \frac{2m}{n} + \sqrt{2m - \frac{4m^2}{n^2} + 2 \binom{n-1}{2} {}^{n-1}\sqrt{\frac{n^2}{4m^2} \phi(G, \frac{2m}{n})^2}}. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, if  $T$  is an  $n$ -vertex tree,  $n \geq 3$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} LE(T) & > \frac{2(n-1)}{n} \\ & + \sqrt{M_1(T) + (n-1) \left( 6 - \frac{4}{n^2} \right) + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n} {}^{n-1}\sqrt{\frac{n}{4(n-1)^2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

**Proof.** By a well-known theorem of algebraic graph theory,  $\mu_1 = 0$  and so,

$$LE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right| = \frac{2m}{n} + \sum_{i=2}^n \left| \mu_i - \frac{2m}{n} \right|. \quad (4)$$

If  $x = \sum_{i=2}^n |\mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}|$ , then by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^2 &= \sum_{i=2}^n |\mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}|^2 + 2 \sum_{i \neq j, i, j=2,3,\dots,n} |\mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}| |\mu_j - \frac{2m}{n}| \\
 &= M_1 + 2m - \frac{4m^2}{n} - \frac{4m^2}{n^2} + 2 \sum_{i \neq j, i, j=2,3,\dots,n} |\mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}| |\mu_j - \frac{2m}{n}| \\
 &\geq M_1 + 2m - \frac{4m^2}{n} - \frac{4m^2}{n^2} + 2 \binom{n-1}{2} (\prod_{i=2}^n |\mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}|^{2(n-2)})^{1/(n-1)(n-2)} \\
 &= M_1 + 2m - \frac{4m^2}{n} - \frac{4m^2}{n^2} + 2 \binom{n-1}{2} (\prod_{i=2}^n (\mu_i - \frac{2m}{n}))^{2/(n-1)} \\
 &= M_1 + 2m - (n+1) \frac{4m^2}{n^2} + 2 \binom{n-1}{2} \sqrt[n-1]{\frac{n^2}{4m^2} \phi(G, \frac{2m}{n})^2}. \tag{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Equation (1) is a direct consequence of (4) and (5) with equality if and only if  $|\mu_i - 2m/n| |\mu_j - 2m/n| = |\mu_r - 2m/n| |\mu_s - 2m/n|, 2 \leq i, j \leq n, 2 \leq r, s \leq n$ . We claim that these equalities hold if and only if  $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = \dots = \mu_n = 2m/n$ . To prove this, we assume that one of  $\mu_i$  is equal to  $2m/n$ .

Then by a simple calculation,  $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = \dots = \mu_n = 2m/n$ . Otherwise,  $\mu_i \neq 2m/n, 2 \leq i \leq n$ . If  $\mu_i, \mu_j \geq 2m/n$  or  $\mu_i, \mu_j \leq 2m/n$ , then  $\mu_i = \mu_j$ . Otherwise,  $\mu_i + \mu_j = 4m/n$ . Thus Laplacian eigenvalues of  $G$  are

$$0, \underbrace{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_1}_{k_1 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{\mu_2, \dots, \mu_2}_{k_2 \text{ times}},$$

where  $k_1 + k_2 = n - 1$  and  $\mu_1 + \mu_2 = 4m/n$ . Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 2m, k_1 \mu_1 + k_2 \mu_2 = 2m$ . Thus

$$\mu_1 = \frac{2m}{n} \left( \frac{2k_1 - n + 2}{2k_1 - n + 1} \right) = \frac{2m}{n} \left( \frac{k_1 - k_2 + 3}{k_1 - k_2 + 2} \right).$$

Since  $(k_1 - k_2 + 3)/(k_1 - k_2 + 2) > 1, \mu_1 > 2m/n$ , a contradiction. Thus, in Equation (1) equality holds if and only if  $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = \dots = \mu_n = 2m/n$  if and only if  $G$  is an empty graph.

It is a well-known fact that  $M_1 \geq \frac{4m^2}{n}$ . Equation (2) is now derived from Equation (1) by this inequality.

To prove (3), suppose  $\phi(G, \frac{2m}{n}) = 0$ . Thus  $2m/n$  is a Laplacian eigenvalue and so it is an algebraic integer. So, by a well-known result in algebraic number theory  $\frac{2m}{n}$  is an integer. But for tree  $\frac{2m}{n} = \frac{2(n-1)}{n}$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\phi(G, \frac{2m}{n}) \neq 0$ . Suppose  $\phi(G, x) = x^n + b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + b_1x$ . Then

$$\phi(G, \frac{2m}{n}) = (\frac{2m}{n})^n + b_{n-1}(\frac{2m}{n})^{n-1} + \dots + b_1 \frac{2m}{n} \neq 0.$$

This implies that  $n^n |\phi(G, \frac{2m}{n})|$  is an integer and so  $|\phi(G, \frac{2m}{n})| \geq \frac{1}{n^n}$ . This completes the third part of the theorem.  $\square$

Suppose  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex tree. Since  $\sqrt[n]{n} \rightarrow 1$  and for any positive constant  $c$ ,  $\sqrt[n]{c} \rightarrow 1$ , one can see that for large  $n$ ,

$$LE(G) \geq \frac{2(n-1)}{n} + \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)(n^2+4)}{n^2} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2n}}.$$

**Corollary 5.** *If  $\frac{2m}{n} \notin Z$ , then*

$$LE(G) \geq \frac{2m}{n} + \sqrt{M_1 + 2m - (n+1)\frac{4m^2}{n^2} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n} \sqrt[n-1]{\frac{n}{4m^2}}}.$$

*In particular, for large  $n$ , the Laplacian energy of  $G$  is greater than or equal to*

$$\frac{2m}{n} + \sqrt{2m - \frac{4m^2}{n^2} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2n}}.$$

**Proof.** Apply part (2) of Theorem 5 and this fact that  $|\phi(G, \frac{2m}{n})| \geq \frac{1}{n^n}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *If  $G$  is tree, then*

$$LE(G) \geq \frac{2(n-1)}{n} + \sqrt{M_1 + \frac{2(n-1)(2-n^2)}{n^2} + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{n} \sqrt[n-1]{\frac{n}{4(n-1)^2}}}.$$

## Acknowledgement

We are greatly indebted to the referees for their critical suggestions that led us to correct our main result.

## References

- [1] N. M. M. DE ABREU, C. T. M. VINAGRE, A. S. BONIFACIO, I. GUTMAN, *The Laplacian Energy of Some Laplacian Integral Graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **60**(2008), 447–460.
- [2] T. ALEKSIĆ, *Upper bounds for Laplacian energy of graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **60**(2008), 435–439.
- [3] N. L. BIGGS, *Algebraic graph theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.
- [4] D. M. CVETKOVIĆ, M. DOOB, H. SACHS, *Spectra of graph theory and applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [5] G. H. FATH-TABAR, A. R. ASHRAFI, I. GUTMAN, *Note on Laplacian energy of graphs*, Bull. Acad. Serb. Sci. Arts (Cl. Math. Natur.) **137**(2008), 1–10.
- [6] I. GUTMAN, *Acyclic systems with extremal Hückel  $\pi$ -electron energy*, Theoret. Chim. Acta **45**(1977), 79–87.
- [7] I. GUTMAN, *The energy of a graph*, Ber. Math.-Statist. Sect. Forschungszentrum Graz. **103**(1978), 1–22.
- [8] I. GUTMAN, *The energy of a graph: Old and new results*, in: *Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications*, (A. Betten, A. Kohnert, R. Laue and A. Wassermann, Eds.), Springer, 2001, 196–211.



- [9] I. GUTMAN, X. LI, J. ZHANG, *Graph energy*, in: *Analysis of Complex Networks. From Biology to Linguistics*, (M. Dehmer and F. Emmert-Streib, Eds.), Wiley, 2009, 145–174.
- [10] I. GUTMAN, B. ZHOU, *Laplacian energy of a graph*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **414**(2006), 29–37.
- [11] B. J. McCLELLAND, *Properties of the latent roots of a matrix: the estimation of  $\pi$ -electron energies*, *J. Chem. Phys.* **54**(1971), 640–643.
- [12] D. MITRINOVIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ, A. M. FINK, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [13] B. MOHAR, *The Laplacian spectrum of graphs*, in: *Graph Theory, Combinatorics, and Applications*, (Y. Alavi, G. Chartrand, O. R. Oellermann and A. J. Schwenk, Eds.), Wiley, 1991, 871–898.
- [14] N. OZEKI, *On the estimation of inequalities by maximum and minimum values*, *J. College Arts Sci. Chiba Univ.* **5**(1968), 199–203, in Japanese.
- [15] G. POLYA, G. SZEGO, *Problems and Theorems in analysis, Series, Integral Calculus, Theory of Functions*, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [16] S. RADENKOVIĆ, I. GUTMAN, *Total-electron energy and Laplacian energy: How far the analogy goes?*, *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.* **72**(2007), 1343–1350.
- [17] M. ROBBIANO, R. JIMÉNEZ, *Applications of a theorem by Ky Fan in the theory of Laplacian energy of graphs*, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **62**(2009), 537–552.
- [18] H. WANG, H. HUA, *Note on Laplacian energy of graphs*, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **59**(2008), 373–380.
- [19] Y. S. YOON, J. K. KIM, *A relationship between bounds on the sum of squares of degrees of a graph*, *J. Appl. Math. & Comput.* **21**(2006), 233–238.
- [20] B. ZHOU, I. GUTMAN, T. ALEKSIĆ, *A Note on Laplacian Energy of Graphs*, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **60**(2008), 441–446.
- [21] B. ZHOU, I. GUTMAN, *On Laplacian energy of graphs*, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **57**(2007), 211–220.
- [22] B. ZHOU, *New upper bounds for Laplacian energy*, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **62**(2009), 553–560.