Factors Predicting Suicide among Russians in Estonia in Comparison with Estonians: Case-Control Study

Kairi Kõlves^{1,2}, Merike Sisask¹, Liivia Anion¹, Algi Samm^{1,2}, Airi Värnik^{1,2,3}

¹Estonian-Swedish Mental Health and Suicidology Institute, Estonian Centre of Behavioral and Health Sciences, Tallinn, Estonia ²Tartu University, Faculty of Social Sciences,

Tartu, Estonia

³Swedish National and Stockholm County Centre for Suicide Research and Prevention of Mental III-Health, Institute for Psychosocial Medicine; Department of Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

> Correspondence to:

Kairi Kõlves
Estonian-Swedish Mental Health and
Suicidology Institute, Estonian Centre of
Behavioural and Health Sciences
Õie 39
Tallinn 11615, Estonia
kaikolves@hot.ee

Received: June 6, 2006Accepted: September 26, 2006

> Croat Med J. 2006;47:869-77

Aim To explore differences between suicide victims among Russian immigrants in Estonia and native Estonians, according to socio-demographic background, substance use pattern, and recent life events to find out immigration-specific factors predicting suicide.

Methods The psychological autopsy study included 427 people who committed suicide in 1999 and 427 randomly selected controls matched by region, gender, age, and nationality.

Results The only variable that differed significantly between Russian and Estonian suicide cases was substance use pattern. Logistic regression models showed that factors associated with suicide for both nationalities were substance dependence and abuse (Russians: odds ratio [OR], 12.9; 95% confidence interval [95% CI], 4.2-39.2; Estonians: OR, 8.1; 95% CI, 3.9-16.4), economical inactivity (Russians: OR 5.5; 95% CI, 1.3-22.9; Estonians: OR, 3.1; 95% CI, 1.3-7.1), and recent family discord (Russians: OR, 3.2; 95% CI, 1.1-9.9; Estonians: OR, 4.5; 95%, CI, 2.1-9.8). The variables that remained significant in the final model were having no partner (Estonians: OR, 3.0; 95% CI, 1.6-5.5), being unemployed (Estonians: OR, 5.5; 95% CI, 2.0-15.4), and being an abstainer (Estonians: OR, 6.7; 95% CI, 2.5-17.6) for Estonians, and somatic illness (Russians: OR, 4.1; 95% CI, 1.4-11.7), separation (Russians: OR, 32.3; 95% CI, 2.9-364.1), and death of a close person (Russians: OR, 0.2; 95% CI, 0.04-0.7) for Russians.

Conclusion Although the predicting factors of suicide were similar among the Estonian Russians and Estonians, there were still some differences in the nature of recent life events. Higher suicide rate among Estonian Russians in 1999 could be at least partly attributable to their higher substance consumption.

www.cmj.hr 869

Several studies compared suicide rates of immigrant population, native population in the host country, and population in the country of origin. Previous research has shown variance in the suicide rates of immigrant groups with different ethnic background, <u>as</u> well as different suicide rates in their home countries (1-8). Differences between suicide rates of immigrant groups and native population are not quite clear, but most of the immigrant groups have higher suicide rates than the population in their countries of origin. A number of studies found that migrants who had high suicide rates came from countries with high rates and vice versa (1,2,4,9,10).

Reports from England and Wales (3), Canada (5), and Sweden (6,11) showed very high suicide rates among Russian immigrants compared with the rates of the population both in their country of origin and in the host country. Johansson et al (11) found that suicide rate of Russian male immigrants in Sweden was 201.9 per 100000 in comparison with 41.4 in Russian men in Russia and 44.5 in Swedish men in 1986-1989.

Värnik et al (12) compared suicide rates of Russians in Estonia, Estonians in Estonia, and inhabitants of Russia before (1983-1990) and after (1991-1998) Estonia gained independence. Suicide rates of Russian immigrants were lowest in the period before Estonian independence and highest in the period after reestablishing independence. In 1998, Russian and Estonian suicide rates were similar (12), but in the 1991-2001 period, mean suicide rates in Estonian Russians were higher than in Estonians (38.2 and 31.9 per 100000, respectively) (13).

There is a lack of studies on specific suicide risk factors for immigrants on the individual level. As far as we know, the only study available was a psychological autopsy study on suicides of Ethiopian immigrants to Israel (14). They found that 67% of suicide victims were dissatisfied with their employment, 50% with their economic status, 44% with their marital relationships, and

53% with their fluency in the host language. However, in this study no controls were used.

Since there were differences in suicide rates between Russian immigrants in Estonia and native Estonians, the purpose of the present study was to explore possible differences between suicide victims of these two main ethnic groups in Estonia according to socio-demographic background, substance use pattern, and recent life events to find out immigration-specific factors predicting suicide on the individual level.

Material and methods

Data collection

Suicides. A preliminary list of completed suicides was obtained from the police and the bureau of forensic medicine and it was verified by the data of the Estonian Statistical Office. In 1999, a total of 469 suicide cases (code E950-E959 by ICD-9) were registered. A psychological autopsy study (15) was carried out in 427 suicide cases (91% of total, representative in terms of region, gender, and age) by means of face-to-face interviews with relatives and intimates of suicide victims, conducted by psychiatrists trained for the study. The questionnaire used for the semi-structured interviews was created in Finland (16). Additional information was compiled from the medical records in hospital archives. The approval for the study was obtained from the Karolinska Institute Research Ethics Committee North.

Control group. Persons (n=427) with the same parameters (gender, age, nationality) as suicide victims were randomly selected from the lists of general practitioners (GPs) for the years 2002-2003. GP lists are compiled from population registers and contain the names of all local residents, regardless of whether they had consulted a doctor for their health problems. Controls were paired with suicide cases by region, gender, age $(\pm 2 \text{ years})$, and nationality. Interviews with the control group were carried out by GPs trained for the study, using semi-structured question-

naires similar to those used for the psychological autopsy. The controls' response rate was 96%.

Description of subjects

A total of 57.1% of people who committed suicide were Estonians and 42.9% non-Estonians. Ninety-four percent of non-Estonian suicide victims were of Slavic origin – Russian (88%), Ukrainian (7%), Belarusian (5%), and Polish (2%) – with homogeneous cultural and linguistic backgrounds, and are subsumed under the term "Russians" in the present study. Other 8 (4%) non-Estonian suicide victims were excluded from the study.

Instrument

The instrument applied was based on the questionnaire elaborated for the National Suicide Prevention Project in Finland (16). The semistructured interview included everyday life, life event, and substance use questionnaires. Life event questionnaire was based on the Recent Life Change Questionnaire formulated by Rahe (17) with modifications from the list of Paykel et al (18). Life event categories analyzed in the study are described in more detail elsewhere (19).

Alcohol and drug diagnoses

One author-interviewer (AV) coded alcohol and drug use in all suicide and control cases, by blind method, using the psychological autopsy data and medical documentation, according to hierarchical DSM-IV principles (20,21). Pattern of substance use was classified as follows: 1) substance dependence or abuse, 2) abstinence (including former use), 3) indistinct, and 4) moderate use of alcohol. Alcohol users not assigned to categories 1-3 were considered "moderate."

Background of Russian immigrants in Estonia

The population of Estonia was ethnically rather homogenous until the Second World War. According to the population census from 1934, Estonians constituted 88.1% of the total popula-

tion and Russians constituted the largest ethnic minority group (8.2%) (22).

In the post-war period, due to the geopolitical change related to the incorporation of Estonia into the Soviet Union, the Russian population grew to approximately 30% in 1989 (22). In 1993-1996 period, a remigration of Russians, mainly military forces, took place. According to the 2000 census the Estonian population consisted of 67.9% Estonians, 25.6% Russians, and 6.5% other nationalities (23).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 11.5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and Stats-Direct version 2.3.7 (StatsDirect Ltd, Altrincham, UK). To estimate the association between matched pairs in terms of potential risk factors, the odds ratio (OR) was calculated using conditional logistic regression with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The binomial test was used when a specific factor was not observed in a comparison subject, since the OR could not be computed in such cases. To estimate the differences between suicides in nationality groups, gender, and age adjusted OR and 95% CI were calculated. To estimate the independent contribution of different risk factors predicting suicide among Estonians and Russians, conditional logistic regression models were performed. Backward selection of variables was carried out to identify those variables to be retained in the final model. The level of statistical significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Drop-out

In the substance use analysis, 12 pairs in which sufficient data on either the suicide cases or the controls were not available to make research diagnoses were classified as indistinct and excluded. Four people who committed suicide were prevented from using substances in their last 12 months by being in prison or a nursing home. These pairs, too, were excluded from the statis-

tical analysis. Thus, ten pairs of Russians (5.7%) and six pairs of Estonians (2.5%) were excluded from the analysis.

Results

Differences between Estonian and Russian suicide victims

There was significant difference in the mean age of Estonians and Russians suicide victims (t=2.96, P=0.003). Differences according to gender between Estonian and Russian suicides and controls were close to significance (χ^2 =3.32, P=0.068). Estonian and Russian suicide victims did not differ significantly by the key informant (χ^2 =1.64, P=0.649) (Table 1).

Comparison between Estonian and Russian suicide cases in Estonia by socio-demographic

factors and occurrence of recent life events did not show any significant differences. Significant differences by nationality were found only in the substance use pattern (Table 2). Gender and age adjusted OR showed that Russian suicide victims had higher risk of being substance dependent, abusers, and abstainers than Estonian suicide victims, when moderate alcohol users were selected as a referent group.

Table 1. Russian and Estonian suicide victims by gender, age, and key informant

| and no j morniant | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------|--|
| | No. (%) of suicide victims | | | |
| Characteristic | Russian | Estonian | total | |
| Gender: | | | | |
| male | 133 (76.0) | 203 (83.2) | 336 (80.2) | |
| female | 42 (24.0) | 41 (16.8) | 83 (19.8) | |
| Mean age (years) | 45.1 | 50.5 | 48.3 | |
| Key informant: | | | | |
| parents | 39 (22.3) | 46 (18.9) | 85 (20.3) | |
| spouses or partners | 49 (28.0) | 69 (28.3) | 118 (28.2) | |
| children | 28 (16.0) | 34 (13.9) | 62 (14.8) | |
| other relatives or friends | 59 (33.7) | 95 (38.9) | 154 (36.8) | |

| | No. (%) of | suicide victims | | |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------|
| Factor | Russian | Estonian | adjusted OR (95% CI) | Р |
| Sociodemographic | | | | |
| Family status: | | | | |
| no partner | 94 (54.7) | 144 (59.0) | 0.8 (0.5-1.2) | 0.284 |
| partner | 79 (45.1) | 100 (41.0) | 1.0 | |
| Socio-economic status: | | | | |
| unemployed | 46 (26.3) | 47 (19.3) | 1.4 (0.8-2.3) | 0.222 |
| inactive | 61 (34.9) | 98 (40.2) | 1.0 (0.6-1.7) | 0.902 |
| employed | 68 (38.9) | 99 (40.6) | 1.0 | |
| Substance use pattern: | | | | |
| substance dependence and abuse | 114 (69.1) | 142 (59.4) | 2.4 (1.4-4.1) | 0.001 |
| abstinence | 25 (15.2) | 30 (12.6) | 2.3 (1.1-4.9) | 0.028 |
| moderate use | 26 (15.8) | 67 (28.0) | 1.0 | |
| Recent life events (last 3 mo) | , , | , , | | |
| Somatic illness: | | | | |
| present | 44 (25.1) | 64 (26.4) | 1.2 (0.7-2.0) | 0.451 |
| absent | 131 (74.9) | 178 (73.6) | 1.0 | |
| Illness in family: | | • • | | |
| present | 13 (7.4) | 10 (4.1) | 1.8 (0.7-4.2) | 0.192 |
| absent | 162 (92.6) | 232 (95.9) | 1.0 | |
| Death: | | | | |
| present | 9 (5.1) | 19 (7.8) | 0.6 (0.3-1.5) | 0.285 |
| absent | 166 (94.9) | 223 (92.1) | 1.0 | |
| Family discord: | | | | |
| present | 70 (40.0) | 82 (33.9) | 1.2 (0.8-1.8) | 0.455 |
| absent | 105 (60.0) | 160 (66.1) | 1.0 | |
| Separation: | , , | , , | | |
| present | 33 (18.9) | 34 (14.0) | 1.3 (0.8-2.3) | 0.301 |
| absent | 142 (81.1) | 208 (86.0) | 1.0 | |
| Financial deterioration: | , , | , , | | |
| present | 44 (25.1) | 63 (26.0) | 0.9 (0.6-1.4) | 0.612 |
| absent | 131 (74.9) | 179 (74.0) | 1.0 | |
| Loss of job: | • • | , , | | |
| present | 16 (9.1) | 20 (8.3) | 1.0 (0.5-2.0) | 0.982 |
| absent | 159 (90.9) | 222 (91.7) | 1.0 ` | |
| Change of residence: | • • | , , | | |
| present | 20 (11.4) | 21 (8.7) | 1.4 (0.7-2.7) | 0.338 |
| absent | 155 (88.6) | 221 (91.3) | 1.0 | |

Both Russian male and female suicide victims were more likely to be substance dependent or abusers (male: age adjusted OR, 2.0; 95% CI, 1.1-3.7; female: age adjusted OR 4.6; 95% CI, 1.3-16.7) with more abstainers among Russian women (age adjusted OR, 3.8; 95% CI, 1.1-13.7) in comparison with Estonian suicide victims. Concerning recent life events, Russian female suicide victims had higher risk of somatic illness than Estonian female suicide victims (age adjusted OR = 4.9, 95% CI = 1.5-15.6).

Differences between suicide victims and controls

Estonian and Russian suicide victims were more likely not to have a partner (single, widowed, divorced, or separated) and to be unemployed and inactive (not employed nor unemployed, eg, schoolchildren, disabled, retired people) than their controls (Table 3). For both nationalities, substance use pattern differed significantly between suicide victims and their controls - people who committed suicide had a higher risk of being substance dependent, abusers, or abstainers than their controls. Somatic illness, family discord, separation, and loss of job during the last three months were more frequent among suicide victims than among controls, in both nationalities. Only Estonian suicide victims had a significantly higher risk of financial deterioration than controls. Russian suicide victims experienced a death of a relative or friend considerably less often than controls, while Estonian suicide victims were less likely to have a change of residence than controls.

Risk and protective factors of Estonian and Russian male suicide victims were similar to the total results, with exception of the status of "being inactive" which did not reach significance in Russian men. Also, female suicide cases differed significantly from their controls by substance use pattern. Both Estonian and Russian female suicide victims were significantly more likely abstainers, but only Russian female suicide victims were more likely substance dependent or abusers. Female suicide victims of both nationalities

Table 3. Factors related to suicide, Russian and Estonian suicide victims in comparison with controls odds ratio (OR), using conditional logistic regression

| | Suicide victims | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| | Russian | s | Estoniar | ıs |
| Factors | OR (95% CI) | Р | OR (95% CI) | Р |
| Sociodemographic | | | | |
| Family status: | | | | |
| no partner | 1.8 (1.1-2.8) | 0.018 | 3.2 (2.1-5.0) | < 0.001 |
| partner | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| Socio-economic status: | | | | |
| unemployed | 4.6 (2.1-9.8) | < 0.001 | 7.3 (3.3-16.1) | < 0.001 |
| inactive | 2.9 (1.3-6.5) | 0.009 | 3.7 (2.0-6.9) | < 0.001 |
| employed | 1.0 ` | | 1.0 ` | |
| Substance use pattern: | | | | |
| substance dependence and abuse | 12.3 (5.8-26.1) | <0.001 | 10.8 (5.7-20.4) | <0.001 |
| abstinence | 3.2 (1.4-7.3) | 0.006 | 4.9 (2.2-10.9) | < 0.001 |
| moderate use | 1.0 ` | | 1.0 ` | |
| Recent life events (last 3 m | 10) | | | |
| Somatic illness: | | | | |
| present | 4.3 (2.1-8.9) | <0.001 | 2.5 (1.6-4.0) | <0.001 |
| absent | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| Illness in family: | | | | |
| present | 0.7 (0.4-1.5) | 0.371 | 0.7 (0.3-1.5) | 0.321 |
| absent | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| Death: | | | | |
| present | 0.2 (0.1-0.6) | 0.001 | 0.9 (0.4-1.6) | 0.622 |
| absent | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| Family discord: | | | | |
| present | 7.5 (3.6-15.7) | <0.001 | 5.5 (3.1-9.7) | < 0.001 |
| absent | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| Separation: | | | | |
| present | 11.0 (3.4-35.9) | <0.001 | 4.1 (1.9-8.9) | < 0.001 |
| absent | 1.0 ` | | 1.0 ` | |
| Financial deterioration: | | | | |
| present | 1.4 (0.8-2.4) | 0.260 | 1.6 (1.0-2.5) | 0.039 |
| absent | 1.0 | | 1.0 | |
| Loss of job: | | | | |
| present | 4.0 (1.3-2.0) | 0.013 | Not calculable | < 0.001 |
| absent | 1.0 | 0.0.0 | | 0.00. |
| Change of residence: | • | | | |
| present | 0.9 (0.5-1.8) | 0.866 | 0.5 (0.3-0.9) | 0.022 |
| absent | 1.0 | 0.000 | 1.0 | 0.022 |

had a higher risk of family discord in comparison with controls. Russian female suicide victims were more likely to have somatic illness and Estonian female suicide victims were more often socio-economically inactive than controls. Death of close relatives or friends proved to be less frequent among Russian female suicide victims than among controls.

Logistic regression models

To estimate the independent contribution of possible factors predicting suicide for Estonians and Russians in Estonia, conditional logistic regression models were performed. Backward selection of variables was carried out to identify which

variables would be retained in the final model, separately for both nationalities. Conditional logistic regression models showed that factors associated with suicide for both nationalities were substance dependence and abuse, socio-economical inactivity, and family discord during the last three months. In the final model variables that remained significant were having no partner, being unemployed, and being an abstainer for Estonians, and somatic illness, separation, and death of a close person for Russians (Table 4).

Discussion

The present study showed that the only variable differing significantly between Russians and Estonians suicide victims, both men and women, was substance use pattern. Russians had significantly higher risk of being substance dependent, abuser, or abstainer than Estonians.

Previous studies on aggregate level showed high suicide rates in migrants, especially in Russian immigrants (3,5,6,11). However, the Estonian study (12) comparing suicide rates of Russians in Estonia, Estonians in Estonia, and inhabitants of Russia before (1983-1990) and after (1991-1998) Estonia gained independence showed that Russians in Estonia had the lowest suicide rates before and the highest suicide rates after Estonia gained independence. High suicide rates among Estonian Russians during the transition period after gaining independence may have been caused by the drastic changes in their status - from a privileged position to the immigrant status. The demand for integration and acculturation, many years after immigration, could have caused stress-reaction in this population of immigrants (12).

Leinsalu et al (24) compared the mortality of Estonians and Russians in Estonia and showed that between 1989 and 2000 the mortality from alcohol poisoning, alcoholic liver cirrhosis, homicide, and suicide increased among both Estonians and Russians, but the increase was considerably

Table 4. Conditional logistic regression models predicting suicide among Russians and Estonians

| Nationality | OR (95% CI) | P |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| | OK (33 /6 CI) | F |
| Russians | | |
| Socio-economic status: | / | |
| unemployed | 2.5 (0.8-7.9) | 0.107 |
| inactive | 5.5 (1.3-22.9) | 0.020 |
| employed | 1.0 | |
| Substance use pattern: | | |
| substance dependence and abuse | | <0.001 |
| abstinence | 2.9 (0.9-9.8) | 0.087 |
| moderate use | 1.0 | |
| Recent life events (last 3 mo) | | |
| Family discord: | | |
| present | 3.2 (1.1-9.9) | 0.038 |
| absent | 1.0 | |
| Somatic illness: | | |
| present | 4.1 (1.4-11.7) | 0.009 |
| absent | 1.0 | |
| Death: | | |
| present | 0.2 (0.04-0.7) | 0.017 |
| absent | 1.0 | |
| Separation: | | |
| present | 32.3 (2.9-364.1) | 0.005 |
| absent | 1.0 | |
| Estonians | | |
| Family status: | | |
| no partner | 3.0 (1.6-5.5) | 0.005 |
| partner | 1.0 | |
| Socio-economic status: | | |
| unemployed | 5.5 (2.0-15.4) | 0.001 |
| inactive | 3.1 (1.3-7.1) | 0.009 |
| employed | 1.0 | |
| Substance use pattern: | | |
| substance dependence and abuse | e 8.1 (3.9-16.4) | < 0.001 |
| abstinence | 6.7 (2.5-17.6) | < 0.001 |
| moderate use | 1.0 | |
| Recent life events (last 3 mo) | | |
| Family discord: | | |
| present | 4.5 (2.1-9.8) | < 0.001 |
| absent | 1.0 | |
| | *** | |

higher among Russians. The results of their study suggested that, in the period 1989-2000, increasing alcohol consumption contributed first to the high mortality rates in Estonia in the 1990s and second to the widening mortality gap between Estonians and Russians.

Wasserman-Värnik group (25,26), studying the changes in alcohol consumption and suicides before, during, and after the major anti-alcohol campaign in the former USSR, found a strong correlation between alcohol consumption and male suicide rates in the Slavic and Baltic republics during the period 1984-1990. Approximately 60% of male and 26% of female suicides in the Baltic republics (Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania), and 70% and 24% in the Slavic republics (Russia, Ukraine, and Belarus), respectively, were attributable to alcohol (25,26).

Hence, we can only assume that the higher suicide rate among Russians in Estonia during the 1990s, after the strict alcohol restrictions during *Perestroika*, could be attributed to higher substance consumption. Still, heavier substance abuse among Russians in Estonia may have the same roots as their higher suicide rate; both may be caused by the changed status of Russians in Estonia. However, according to Nemtsov (27), the rate of alcohol-related suicides is very high and alcohol consumption plays a considerable role in suicide rates in Russia.

Suicide risk and protective factors for Russians in Estonia and Estonians

In the present study, comparison between people who committed suicide and controls showed that substance use pattern, family, and socio-economic status, and recent life events predict suicide for both Russians in Estonia and native Estonians. However, there were some differences, especially in the nature of recent life events. Final logistic regression model showed that family discord only was a significant risk factor for Estonians, whereas Russians were more vulnerable to family discord, separation, and somatic illness.

Studies showed that suicide is a complex phenomenon with several risk and protective factors (28,29). For example, financial strain can increase alcohol consumption and marital discord, which in turn can enhance suicide risk (30). Despite considerable differences in suicide rates and risk groups, similar risk factors seem to motivate people in different countries to commit suicide. Even suicide risk factors in West and East do not differ greatly (31-33). A study analyzing suicide risk factors in developing countries found that there were some differences between developed and developing countries, but substance abuse, low socio-economic status, and previous suicide attempts were universal. Beside these, recent stressful life events played an important role in both developing and developed countries (34). However, comparison of life events predicting suicide in Tallinn and Frankfurt am Main showed that people in Tallinn were more vulnerable to economical and financial events and family discord (19). These differences could be explained by the different positions on the survival/self-expression dimensions recorded by the World Value Survey (35). People in Estonia, like in other ex-communist countries tend to emphasize economic and physical security above all other goals, and feel threatened by changes in society. Emphasis on economic and physical security makes people also particularly vulnerable to unwanted and unexpected changes in intimate relationships.

Death of a close person (spouse, close relative, or close friend) was not found to increase suicide risk, but rather prevent it and it was a significant protective factor in Russians. Waern et al (36) found similar pattern in a study among the elderly. The reason may be that the death of a close person reduces the symbolic significance of suicide, especially among immigrants - they have nobody to whom they could convey the message that their meaningless and unbearable life cannot be continued (37). The protective effect for Russians could be explained by differences in the mourning ceremonies between Estonians and Russians in Estonia; traditional mourning ceremonies of Russians are socially more integrative and more conservative (38,39).

Statistical analysis of substance use pattern showed that not only substance abuse and dependence, but also abstinence can be a suicide risk factor, compared with moderate use as a reference category, especially for Estonians. In this study, we defined "abstainers" as persons not using any substances during the previous 12-month period. The group included former users and long-term abstainers, whose motives of behavior should be investigated further. In a large cohort study in the USA, Thun et al (40) found that overall mortality was highest among abstainers and lowest among moderate alcohol consumers. Still, they did not find any differences between

abstainers, moderate, and light drinkers in external causes of death, which was highest among heavy drinkers.

Similarly to previous studies, which showed gender differences in risk factors for suicide (41) and attempted suicide (42), the present study found that the risk and protective factors for both men and women of both nationalities differed.

Methodological considerations

Methodological limitations of psychological autopsy as a method include the possibility of incomplete and biased information (36,43). Data on suicide cases, collected retrospectively from indirect sources, such as survivors, may introduce reporter bias due to their possible partiality and personal perception of the victims. In the present study, there was no significant difference between Estonian and Russian suicide cases by the key informant.

In suicide case-control studies, the control groups' composition is an important issue. There have been several studies using living controls and deriving information either from their relatives (33,44) or by direct interviews with the individuals themselves (36,45).

In the present study, direct personal interviews with living controls matched by region, gender, age, and nationality were used. One of the limitations was the time lag of some three to four years between the interviews with the relatives of suicide victims (1999) and with controls (2002-2003). The potential effect of this fact is probably minor, since matched controls were used. In comparison to other studies (46,47) the response rate of the present study was high for both controls (96%) and suicide cases (91%).

One limitation of the statistical analysis was the low number of individuals in some subgroups (women and men by age groups), which was reflected in the wide confidence intervals.

The psychosocial factors are reflected in health behavior (48) and psychiatric disorders, the latter have been found to be suicide risk factors (49), especially among immigrants (50,51). Therefore the role of psychiatric disorders in the suicides of Russians in Estonia needs further study.

Acknowledgment

This study was made possible within the framework of the Estonian Scientific Foundation's project No. 5349, "Alcohol and drugs as social risk factors in the prevention of premature death and suicidal behaviour", and project number 6799, "The role of alcohol in the suicide process and in prevention of suicidal behaviour". We thank Kaisa-Kitri Niit for the thorough scrutiny of the language.

References

- Burvill PW. Migrant suicide rates in Australia and in country of birth. Psychol Med. 1998;28:201-8. Medline:9483697
- Burvill PW, Woodings TL, Stenhouse NS, McCall MG. Suicide during 1961-70 migrants in Australia. Psychol Med. 1982;12:295-308. Medline;7100353
- 3 Raleigh VS, Balarajan R. Suicide levels and trends among immigrants in England and Wales. Health Trends. 1992;24:91-4.
- 4 Kliewer E. Immigrant suicide in Australia, Canada, England and Wales, and the United States. J Aust Popul Assoc. 1991;8:111-28. <u>Medline:12284844</u>
- Kliewer EV, Ward RH. Convergence of immigrant suicide rates to those in the destination country. Am J Epidemiol. 1988;127:640-53. Medline:3341364
- 6 Ferrada-Noli M. A cross-cultural breakdown of Swedish suicide. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 1997;96:108-16. <u>Medline:9272194</u>
- Mäkinen IH, Wasserman D. Suicide mortality among immigrant Finnish Swedes. Arch Suicide Res. 2003;7:93-106.
- Pavlovic E, Marusic A. Suicide in Croatia and in Croatian immigrant groups in Australia and Slovenia. Croat Med J. 2001;42:669-72. Medline:11740852
- Lester D. Migration and suicide. Med J Aust. 1972;1:941-2. Medline:5029171
- 10 Sainsbury P, Barraclough B. Differences between suicide rates. Nature. 1968;220:1252. <u>Medline:5749735</u>
- Johansson LM, Sundquist J, Johansson SE, Bergman B, Qvist J, Traskman-Bendz L. Suicide among foreign-born minorities and Native Swedes: an epidemiological follow-up study of a defined population. Soc Sci Med. 1997;44:181-7. Medline:9015871
- 12 Varnik A, Kolves K, Wasserman D. Suicide among Russians in Estonia: database study before and after independence. BMJ. 2005;330:176-7. <u>Medline:15601680</u>
- Värnik A, Kőlves K, Tooding LM, Palo E. Estonians' and non-Estonians' suicides [in Estonian]. In: Värnik A, editor. Estonian-Swedish suicidology institute 10th anniversary collected papers: Suicide studies. Tallinn: Iloprint; 2003.
- 14 Arieli A, Gilat I, Aycheh S. Suicide among Ethiopian Jews: a survey conducted by means of a psychological autopsy. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1996;184:317-9. <u>Medline:8627279</u>
- 15 Shneidman E. The psychological autopsy. Suicide Life Threat Behav. 1981;11:325-40.

- 16 Lönnqvist J. National suicide prevention project in Finland: a research phase of the project. Psychiatria Fennica. 1988;19:125-32.
- 17 Rahe R.H. Epidemiological studies of life change and illness. In: Lipowski ZJ, Lipsitt DR, Whybrow PC, editors. Psychosomatic medicine. Current trends & clinical applications. New York: Oxford University Press; 1977:421-34.
- 18 Paykel ES, Myers JK, Dienelt MN, Klerman GL, Lindenthal JJ, Pepper MP. Life events and depression. A controlled study. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1969;21:753-60. Medline: 5389659
- 19 Kolves K, Varnik A, Schneider B, Fritze J, Allik J. Recent life events and suicide: a case-control study in Tallinn and Frankfurt. Soc Sci Med. 2006;62:2887-96. Medline:16427172
- 20 American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic criteria from DSM-IV. Washington, D.C.: The American Psychiatric Association; 1994.
- 21 Kolves K, Varnik A, Tooding LM, Wasserman D. The role of alcohol in suicide: a case-control psychological autopsy study. Psychol Med. 2006;36:923-30. Medline:16650347
- 22 Katus K, Puur A, Sakkeus L. Development of national minorities in Estonia. In: Haug W, Courbage Y, Compton P, editors. The demographic characteristics of national minorities in certain European states. Vol.2. Strasbourg: Council of Europe; 2000. p. 29-92.
- 23 Population and housing census 2000 II: citizenship, nationality, mother tongue and command of foreign languages. Tallinn: Statistical Office of Estonia; 2001.
- 24 Leinsalu M, Vagero D, Kunst AE. Increasing ethnic differences in mortality in Estonia after the collapse of the Soviet Union. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2004;58:583-9. Medline:15194720
- Wasserman D, Varnik A. Suicide-preventive effects of perestroika in the former USSR: the role of alcohol restriction. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl. 1998;394:1-4. Medline:9825011
- 26 Wasserman D, Varnik A, Eklund G. Male suicides and alcohol consumption in the former USSR. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 1994;89:306-13. Medline:8067268
- 27 Nemtsov A. Suicides and alcohol consumption in Russia, 1965-1999. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2003;71:161-8. Medline:12927654
- 28 Oksuz E, Malhan S. Socioeconomic factors and health risk behaviorsamonguniversitystudentsin Turkey: questionnaire study. Croat Med J. 2005;46:66-73. <u>Medline:15726678</u>
- 29 Goodwin RD, Marusic A. Feelings of inferiority and suicide ideation and suicide attempt among youth. Croat Med J. 2003;44:553-7. Medline:14515412
- 30 Stack S. Suicide: a 15-year review of the sociological literature. Part II: modernization and social integration perspectives. Suicide Life Threat Behav. 2000;30:163-76. Medline:10888056
- 31 Phillips MR, Yang G, Zhang Y, Wang L, Ji H, Zhou M. Risk factors for suicide in China: a national case-control psychological autopsy study. Lancet. 2002;360:1728-36. <u>Medline:12480425</u>
- 32 Cheng AT, Chen TH, Chen CC, Jenkins R. Psychosocial and psychiatric risk factors for suicide. Case-control psychological autopsy study. Br J Psychiatry. 2000;177:360-5. Medline:11116779
- 33 Vijayakumar L, Rajkumar S. Are risk factors for suicide universal? A case-control study in India. Acta Psychiatr

- Scand. 1999;99:407-11. Medline:10408261
- 34 Vijayakumar L, John S, Pirkis J, Whiteford H. Suicide in developing countries (2): risk factors. Crisis. 2005;26:112-9. Medline:16276753
- 35 Inglehart R, Baker WE. Modernization, cultural change, and the persistence of traditional values. Am Sociol Rev. 2000;65:19-51.
- 36 Waern M, Rubenowitz E, Runeson B, Skoog I, Wilhelmson K, Allebeck P. Burden of illness and suicide in elderly people: case-control study. BMJ. 2002;324:1355. Medline:12052799
- 37 Girard C. Age, gender, and suicide: a cross-national analysis. Am Sociol Rev. 1993;58:553-74.
- Torp-Kőivupuu M. The Transformation of the death cult over time: The example of the burial customs in historic Vőrumaa county. Folklore. 2003;22:62-91. Available from: http://www.folklore.ee/folklore/vol22/burial.pdf. Accessed: November 2, 2006.
- 39 Ponomarious C. Pussian orthodox' in Estonia [in Russian]. Tartu University; 1999.
- 40 Thun MJ, Peto R, Lopez AD, Monaco JH, Henley SJ, Heath CW Jr, et al. Alcohol consumption and mortality among middle-aged and elderly U.S. adults. N Engl J Med. 1997;337:1705-14. Medline:9392695
- 41 Qin P, Agerbo E, Westergard-Nielsen N, Eriksson T, Mortensen PB. Gender differences in risk factors for suicide in Denmark. Br J Psychiatry. 2000;177:546-50. Medline:11104395
- 42 Fekete S, Voros V, Osvath P. Gender differences in suicide attempters in Hungary: retrospective epidemiological study. Croat Med J. 2005;46:288-93. <u>Medline:15849852</u>
- 43 Beskow J, Runeson B, Asgard U. Psychological autopsies: methods and ethics. Suicide Life Threat Behav. 1990;20:307-23. Medline: 2087767
- Foster T, Gillespie K, McClelland R. Mental disorders and suicide in Northern Ireland. Br J Psychiatry. 1997;170:447-52. Medline:9307695
- 45 Conwell Y, Duberstein PR, Cox C, Herrmann JH, Forbes NT, Caine ED. Relationships of age and axis I diagnoses in victims of completed suicide: a psychological autopsy study. Am J Psychiatry. 1996;153:1001-8. Medline:8678167
- 46 Appleby L, Cooper J, Amos T, Faragher B. Psychological autopsy study of suicides by people aged under 35. Br J Psychiatry. 1999;175:168-74. Medline:10627801
- 47 Lesage AD, Boyer R, Grunberg F, Vanier C, Morissette R, Menard-Buteau C, et al. Suicide and mental disorders: a case-control study of young men. Am J Psychiatry. 1994;151:1063-8. Medline: 7503818
- 48 Averina M, Nilssen O, Brenn T, Brox J, Arkhipovsky VL, Kalinin AG. Social and lifestyle determinants of depression, anxiety, sleeping disorders and self-evaluated quality of life in Russia–a population-based study in Arkhangelsk. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 2005;40:511-8. Medline:16088370
- 49 Cavanagh JT, Carson AJ, Sharpe M, Lawrie SM. Psychological autopsy studies of suicide: a systematic review. Psychol Med. 2003;33:395-405. <u>Medline:12701661</u>
- 50 Bhugra D. Migration and depression. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl. 2003;418:67-72. Medline:12956818
- 51 Bhugra D. Migration and mental health. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2004;109:243-58. <u>Medline:15008797</u>