# Changes of thermocline depth at the Sumba Island offshore based on planktonic foraminiferal assemblages and its implication to eutrophication since the Last Deglaciation (~18 ka BP): a preliminary study

Rudarsko-geološko-naftni zbornik (The Mining-Geology-Petroleum Engineering Bulletin) UDC: 56; 550.8; 551.58; 551.8 DOI: 10.17794/rgn.2021.3.3

Original scientific paper



# Ryan Dwi Wahyu Ardi<sup>1,2</sup>; Aswan<sup>1</sup>; Khoiril Anwar Maryunani<sup>1</sup>; Eko Yulianto<sup>3</sup>; Purna Sulastya Putra<sup>1,3</sup>; Septriono Hari Nugroho<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Geological Engineering Study Program, Faculty of Earth Science and Technology, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Jl. Ganesha No. 10 Bandung 40132

<sup>2</sup> Agrotechnology Study Program, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Purwokerto, Jl. Sultan Agung No. 42 Purwokerto 53144

<sup>3</sup> Research Center for Geotechnology, Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Jl. Sangkuriang Kompleks LIPI Bandung 40135 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4417-5852 (PSP)

#### Abstract

Changes of the thermocline depth (DOT) at the Sumba Island offshore are not well-known compared to the DOT changes in the Timor Sea, the main exit passage of the Indonesian Through-flow (ITF). Planktonic foraminiferal assemblages in cores collected from the southwest Sumba offshore (STo8) and Sumba Strait (ST12, ST13, and ST14) were used as a tool to infer the DOT and paleoproductivity changes at the Sumba Island offshore. The DOT changes were indicated from the thermocline and mixed layer dwellers' relative abundance while the paleoproductivity changes were indicated from the relative abundance of *Neogloboquadrina dutertrei*. This study suggests a contrast between the DOT pattern at the Sumba Island offshore and the DOT pattern in the Timor Sea during the Last Deglaciation–Holocene. The contrast DOT pattern indicated that the multi-millennial changes of the Australian-Indonesian monsoon (AIM) during the Last Deglaciation–Holocene were the main factors behind the DOT changes in this region while the effects of *El Niño* Southern Oscillation (ENSO) –like, Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) –like, and ITF to DOT changes were minimal. Paleoproductivity enhancement at the Sumba Island offshore was not solely related to the monsoon-driven coastal upwelling intensification, which resulted in the DOT shoaling and eutrophic condition. The increase of nutrient availability in surface water due to river runoff increase and changes in the lifted water mass nature were also able to enhance productivity in this region.

#### **Keywords:**

Australian-Indonesian monsoon; applied micropaleontology; Indonesian Throughflow; Lesser Sunda Islands; paleoceanography

## 1. Introduction

The Depth of Thermocline (DOT), which is the distance between the upper limit of the thermocline layer and the ocean surface (Lana et al., 2017), is one of the most studied parameters in paleoceanographic studies (Spooner et al., 2005; Ding et al., 2013; Kwiatkowski et al., 2015). The study of the DOT changes is important due to their association with the variation of upwelling intensity and marine productivity (Brasier, 1995; Müller and Opdyke, 2000; Holbourn et al., 2005). The increase of the upwelling intensity is indicated in the shoaling of the DOT which triggers the eutrophication process as the nutrient-rich cool water layer reaches the photic zone (Brasier, 1995; Susanto et al., 2001). This condition is also known as eutrophic, which is associated with the regime of higher marine productivity (Brasier, 1995; Spooner et al., 2005; Andruleit et al., 2008).

dwa.ryan@students.itb.ac.id; dwa.ryan@gmail.com

On the other hand, the condition when the nutrient-rich cool water layer does not reach the photic zone is known as oligotrophic, associated with the regime of lower marine productivity (**Brasier**, 1995; Spooner et al., 2005; Andruleit et al., 2008).

The DOT in the Indonesian region varies spatially with the shallower DOT in its western part and the deeper DOT in its eastern part (Lana et al., 2017). The shallower DOT in western Indonesia is associated with the development of coastal upwelling at the south Sumatra offshore-Lesser Sunda Islands, also known as the Java upwelling region, especially during the Australia-Indonesian winter monsoon (AIWM) (Susanto et al., 2001, 2006; Andruleit et al., 2008). In eastern Indonesia, the upwelling-related DOT shoaling is hindered by the maximum flow of ITF's surface water, and as a result, the DOT is relatively deeper than in western Indonesia (Gordon and Fine, 1996; Lana et al., 2017). On the glacial-interglacial scale, changes in the DOT are also related to the changes of the Australia-Indonesian monsoon (AIM) configuration and the hydrographic changes

Corresponding author: Ryan Dwi Wahyu Ardi



Figure 1: Locations of gravity cores collection on the southwest Sumba offshore and Sumba Strait: STo8 (a), ST14 (b), ST13 (c), and ST12 (d). Bathymetric data were obtained from GEBCO Bathymetric Compilation Group 2020 (2020).

of ITF (Kuhnt et al., 2004; Spooner et al., 2005; Holbourn et al., 2011; Ding et al., 2013). During the Last Glacial-Deglaciation, the DOT became shallower due to the perpetual occurrence of AIWM in southern Indonesia, except in the main ITF outflow region (the Timor Sea), while the paleoproductivity in this region was generally higher (Kuhnt et al., 2004; Holbourn et al., 2005, 2011; Xu et al., 2006; Ding et al., 2013). In the Java upwelling region, the increased paleoproductivity was caused by the enhanced coastal upwelling (Andruleit et al., 2008; Lückge et al., 2009; Mohtadi et al., 2011a). The enhanced paleoproductivity in the Timor Sea was associated with the exposure of Sahul Shelf which enhanced the nutrient supply to the ocean (Kuhnt et al., 2004; Kawamura et al., 2006; Capelli et al., 2016) and the hydrographic change of ITF's water mass related to the slowdown of thermohaline circulation during the Last Glacial-Deglaciation (Martínez et al., 1999; Xu et al., 2006). During the Holocene, the paleoproductivity was generally lower and the DOT shoaling was only indicated in the Timor Sea (Kuhnt et al., 2004; Holbourn et al., 2005, 2011; Xu et al., 2006). The lower paleoproductivity was related to the weakened coastal upwelling and the submerged Sahul Shelf (Holbourn et al., 2005; Kawamura et al., 2006; Capelli et al., 2016).

The Sumba Island offshore is situated at the confluence of the ITF's exit passage and the Java upwelling region. The mechanism for the past DOT and paleoproductivity changes is still not well understood in this region, as most of the previous studies focused on the main ITF exit passage (Timor Sea) (Müller and Opdyke, 2000; Kuhnt et al., 2004; Holbourn et al., 2005, 2011; Xu et al., 2006, 2008; Xu, 2014), and similar studies on minor paths (i.e. Ombai Strait and Sumba Strait) are still scarce (Steinke et al., 2014b; Ardi et al., 2019; Putra and Nugroho, 2020). This preliminary research aimed to reveal the mechanism of paleoproductivity changes at the Sumba Island offshore, were they accompanied by the DOT changes? In this research, the DOT and paleoproductivity changes were inferred from foraminiferal assemblages of three marine sediment (gravity) cores taken from Sumba Strait (ST12, ST13, and ST14) and a gravity core taken from southwest Sumba offshore (ST08) (see **Figure 1**).

#### 2. Climate and Oceanographic Setting

The oceanography of the Indonesian seas is highly influenced by the AIM and ITF (Gordon, 2005; Ding et al., 2013; Sprintall and Révelard, 2014). The semiannual latitudinal shifts of the Inter-tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) result in the contrast climate condition between Australian-Indonesian summer monsoon (AISM) (December-March) and AIWM (April-September) (Mohtadi et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017). The peak of AISM coincides with the southern hemisphere/ austral summer when the ITCZ lies around the latitude of Northern Australia, while the AIWM reaches its peak during the northern hemisphere/boreal summer when the ITCZ lies around the latitude of Indochina (Wheeler and McBride, 2005; Yim et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2015) (see Figure 2). During the AISM, the northwest winds bring the moisture-rich air to the Indonesia region and induce the wet season, while the drier southeast winds during AIWM deliver the dry season (Wheeler and McBride, 2005). The rainfall difference between the wet and dry seasons in the Indonesian region is most prominent in its southern part (from Southern Sumatra to the Lesser Sunda Islands) which indicates the stronger monsoon influence (Aldrian and Susanto, 2003; Mohtadi et al., 2007).



Figure 2: Modern climate and oceanography of Indonesia adapted from several authors (Gordon, 2005; Qu et al., 2005; Andruleit et al., 2008; Sprintall et al., 2009; Ningsih et al., 2013; Kuhnt et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017; Bayhaqi et al., 2019). Red-outlined rectangular indicates the study area, white circles indicate the location of the studied cores (a. ST08, b. ST14, c. ST13, and d. ST12), blue arrows indicate ITF routes, cyan dashed arrows indicate the seasonally reversed currents (SJC and KSTF), the purple arrow indicates the Java upwelling region, yellow shading indicates the Boreal summer ITCZ and red shading indicates the Austral summer ITCZ. Bathymetric data were obtained from GEBCO Bathymetric Compilation Group 2020 (2020).

During AISM, the northwest winds bring the warm and relatively fresh surface waters from the South China Sea, Karimata Strait, and the Java Sea to the southern tip of Makassar Strait and the Flores Sea in the form of Karimata Strait Through-flow (KSTF) (Tomczak and Godfrey, 2003; Qu et al., 2005) (see Figure 2). This water mass blocks the ITF surface water and causes the formation of thermocline-dominated ITF, which in turn causes shoaling of the DOT in the ITF exit passage (Gordon et al., 2003; Qu et al., 2005). The northwest winds also affect the direction of another surface current, i.e. South Java Current (SJC), which flows to the southeast, bringing the water mass from the equatorial Indian Ocean to the Timor Sea before merging with the Leeuwin Current (LC), and the water mass from ITF to form the South Equatorial Current (SEC) (Schott and McCreary, 2001; Tomczak and Godfrey, 2003; Spooner et al., 2005) (see Figure 2). During AIWM, the

southeast winds cause the KSTF and SJC to flow in the opposite direction (to the northwest) (Schott and Mc-Creary, 2001; Tomczak and Godfrey, 2003; Qu et al., 2005). The northwest flow of KSTF dismisses the surface water barrier in the southern tip of Makassar Strait, thus allows the ITF surface water to enter the Flores Sea, causing the DOT shoaling in the ITF exit passage (Gordon et al., 2003; Qu et al., 2005). The occurrence of ITF's surface and thermocline water indicates that the intensity of ITF is relatively higher and reaches its maximum around August (Tomczak and Godfrey, 2003). The northwest flow of KSTF draws surface water masses from the Flores and Banda Sea, which triggers the minor upwelling in the Banda Sea (Spooner et al., 2005; Brijker et al., 2007). During this time, the SJC flows northwest and brings the water mass from the ITF exit passage to the equatorial Indian Ocean (Schott and Mc-Creary, 2001; Tomczak and Godfrey, 2003). The northwest flow of SJC triggers the seasonal coastal upwelling in the Java upwelling region, which reaches its maximum around August (Susanto et al., 2001; Ningsih et al., 2013).

Most of the ITF water mass (~15 Sv) enters the Indian Ocean through its main exit passage, which is the Timor Sea (~7.5 Sv), while some of it passes through the Lombok Strait (~2.6 Sv) and Ombai Strait (~4.9 Sv) (**Sprintall et al., 2009**). The ITF water mass that flows through the Ombai Strait enters the Savu Sea before diverging to the Sumba Strait and the Savu/Dao Straits (**Potemra et al., 2003; Sprintall et al., 2009**). A recent study by **Bayhaqi et al. (2019**) revealed very small transport of ITF (~0.1 Sv) that flows through the Sumba Strait compared to the Savu/Dao Straits.

On an interannual scale, the oceanography of the Indonesian region is influenced by the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD), especially the upwelling intensity (Ningsih et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2016; Kusuma et al., 2017; Hendrawan et al., 2019). The occurrence of El Niño (La Niña) and positive (negative) IOD phases is associated with the more (less) intense coastal upwelling due to the anomalous stronger southeast (northwest) winds (Susanto et al., 2001; Sprintall et al., 2003; Ningsih et al., 2013). ENSO-like and IOD-like mechanisms, which are defined as the occurrence of several periods with more frequent El Niño/La Niña/positive IOD/negative IOD phase at millennial - multi-millennial scale, are also inferred in the Indonesia region (Brijker et al., 2007; Abram et al., 2009; Kwiatkowski et al., 2015; Feng et al., 2018).

#### 3. Material and Methods

Four gravity cores collected during the 2016 Widya Nusantara Expedition (E-WIN) from off southwest Sumba (ST08) and from Sumba Strait (ST12, ST13, and ST14) were used as the research materials (see **Table 1**). The samples for foraminifera determination and count-

Core	Logation	Coordinate		Depth	Length (cm)	
	Location	Longitude (°E)	Latitude (°S)	(metres below sea level)		
ST08	Off southwest Sumba	118.772	10.195	2966	236	
ST12	Sumba Strait	120.243	9.068	830	223	
ST13	Sumba Strait	119.740	9.072	1004	173	
ST14	Sumba Strait	119.245	9.079	1283	243	

**Table 1:** Gravity cores used in this study

Lab Code	Depth Intervals	Materials	<sup>14</sup> C age (BP)	Calibrated <sup>14</sup> C age BP
Beta-492272	24–25 cm	Foraminifera : Neogloboquadrina spp.	$3330 \pm 30$	3236–2938
Beta-492271	74–75 cm	Foraminifera : Neogloboquadrina spp.	$4880 \pm 30$	5272-4948
Beta-492270	104–105 cm	Foraminifera : Neogloboquadrina spp.	$6390 \pm 30$	6920–6660
Beta-449813	166–167 cm	Bulk Sediment	$11930 \pm 30$	13430–13330 (13375)
Beta-449814	235–236 cm	Bulk Sediment	$15510 \pm 50$	18480–18255 (18360)

ing were taken following the sampling procedure of Ardi (2018), Ardi et al. (2019), Damanik et al. (2019), and Putra and Nugroho (2020). A total of 250 sub-samples were collected and ~5 g from each sub-sample were prepared using the swirling method (without  $H_2O_2$ ) to disengage the foraminifera specimens from the mud. Each sample was washed on the top of 200 mesh (0.074 mm) sieve and the residue was dried at the temperature of 60°C for ~6 hours.

Ouantitative determination of foraminiferal taxa was preceded by splitting the prepared sample until ~300 specimens of foraminifera were present in each part. All identified taxa in one part were counted while new taxa detected in the remaining parts were counted as one specimen even after normalization. The foraminiferal counts were normalized against the number of splits and the weight of the samples (Ardi et al., 2019). Planktonic foraminifera descriptions by Kenneth and Srinivasan (1983) and Bolli and Saunders (1985) were referred. The sample preparations and the determination and counting of foraminifera were conducted at the Sedimentary Laboratory of the Research Center for Geotechnology of the Indonesian Institute of Science (LIPI) and the Micropaleontology Laboratory of Institut Teknologi Bandung (ITB).

Accelerator Mass Spectrometer-measured radiocarbon (AMS <sup>14</sup>C) ages were only available on five depth intervals of core ST08 (see **Table 2**) (**Ardi, 2018**). The radiocarbon ages were converted to calibrated calendar and radiocarbon ages with High Probability Density Range (HPD) Method (**Bronk Ramsey, 2009**) (for 24– 25 cm, 74–75 cm, and 104–105 cm depth intervals), and the intercept of radiocarbon age with calibration curve (**Talma and Vogel, 1993**) (for 166–167 cm and 235–236 cm depth intervals) based on the MARINE13 calibration datasets (**Reimer et al., 2013**). The age model for the core ST08 was generated using the Clam package (version 2.3.4) on R (**Blaauw, 2010, 2020; R Core Team,**  **2013**). This standard statistic approach is the better choice to rapidly and systematically produce age-models for the low-resolution dating sites since the use of complicated Bayesian age-modeling methods might not add much accuracy and precision (Blaauw, 2010). Twenty runs were done, and a model with the lowest goodness-of-fit (-log) was chosen. This model employed linear interpolation, weighted average-based calendar age point estimates for depths, and the standard Gaussian distribution. The core top sediment was assumed to be aged -66 Before Present (BP) (Present=1950 Anno Domini/AD, core ST08 was retrieved in 2016) and the sedimentation rates between the aged intervals were assumed to be constant (see Figure 3 and Table 3). The sedimentation rates abruptly increased in 25-74 and 75-104 cm depth intervals which were coeval to Mid Holocene, while the sedimentation rates of 0-24 cm, 105-166 cm, and 167-236 cm depth intervals (coeval to Late Holocene, Late Deglaciation-Early Holocene, and Early Deglaciation) were relatively lower (see Figure 3). Relative age based on planktonic foraminiferal zonation (Bolli and Saunders, 1985) was also utilized to establish the chronological framework in this study. Pleistocene-aged sediments were only inferred on core ST08, ST13, and ST14 while Holocene-aged sediments were inferred on all cores, including core ST12.

The relative abundances of thermocline dwellers (i.e. *Pulleniatina obliqueloculata, Neogloboquadrina* spp. and *Globorotalia* spp.) and mixed layer dwellers (i.e. *Globigerinoides ruber, Globigerinoides trilobus,* and other *Globigerinoides* taxa) planktonic foraminifera (ratio of the total number of thermocline/mixed layer dwellers against the total number of planktonic foraminifera in a subsample) (see Supplementary Materials) were used to infer the changes in the DOT, as suggested by **Bé et al. (1977)** and **Ravelo et al. (1990)**. The shoaling (deepening) of the DOT was indicated by the increase



**Figure 3:** Age model based on the standard statistic approach using the Clam package on R (**Blaauw, 2010, 2020; R Core Team, 2013**). The best-estimated ages are hinted by the bold line (a). Sedimentation rates between the aged depth intervals (b).

Table 3: Sedimentation rates used in the age model, obtained from the Clam package on R (Blaauw, 2010, 2020; R Core Team, 2013).

Depth intervals	Sedimentation rates (cm/ka)
0–25 cm	7.602
25–75 cm	24.802
75–105 cm	17.825
105–167 cm	9.407
167–236 cm	13.864

(decrease) of the thermocline (mix layer) dwellers' abundance (**Ravelo et al., 1990; Spooner et al., 2005; Maryunani, 2009; Ding et al., 2013; Steinke et al., 2014b**). The relative abundance of *Neogloboquadrina* (*N.) dutertrei* (ratio of the *N. dutertrei* against the total number of planktonic foraminifera in a subsample) (see Supplementary Materials), a typical taxon that prefers to live in a high productivity condition around the Deep Chlorophyll Maximum (DCM) layer, was used to infer the paleoproductivity (**Barmawidjaja et al., 1993; Spooner et al., 2005; Mohtadi et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2016, 2019**).

## 4. Results and Discussion

The proxies used in this study (thermocline dwellers, mixed layer dwellers, and *N. dutertrei*) exhibit changes within the Holocene and Pleistocene (Last Deglaciation) periods. Spatial differences of thermocline dwellers, mix layer dwellers, and *N. dutertrei* changes are also observed in the research area.

#### 4.1 Core STo8 (off southwest Sumba)

Based on the age model of core ST08 (see Figure 3), the first occurrence (FO) of Globorotalia (Gl.) fimbriata which indicates the beginning of the Holocene is coeval to ~11 ka BP (see Figure 4). The abrupt changes in thermocline dwellers, shallow dwellers, and N. dutertrei were observed around this time, which indicated a contrast abundance pattern during the Last Deglaciation, and the Holocene. Thermocline dwellers and N. dutertrei were significantly higher during the Last Deglaciation and significantly lower during the Holocene, however, less notable changes were also observed within the Last Deglaciation and Holocene intervals (see Figures 5 and 6). Less notable increases of thermocline dwellers were indicated around Mid Holocene (80-120 cm and 60-65 cm intervals) and Late Holocene (0-20 cm interval) (see Figure 5). N. dutertrei was slightly increased around Mid Holocene (80-120 cm interval) and slightly decreased around Mid Deglaciation (180-200 cm interval) (see Figure 6). Mixed layer dwellers showed a contradictive pattern compared to the thermocline dwellers and N. dutertrei with lower abundance during the Last Deglaciation and higher abundance during the Holocene (see Figure 7). During the Holocene, minor decreases are detected around Mid Holocene (90-115 cm and 60-65 cm intervals) and Late Holocene (0–20 cm interval).

The higher abundance of thermocline dwellers and the lower abundance of mixed layer dwellers indicated a shallower DOT during the Last Deglaciation compared to the Holocene (see **Figures 5** and 7). Minor increases of the thermocline dwellers and minor decreases of the mixed layer dwellers around the Mid and Late Holocene also indicated DOT shoaling but to a lesser extent. Paleoproductivity was higher during the Last Deglaciation and lower during the Holocene (see **Figure 6**). A minor decrease of paleoproductivity was indicated around the Mid Deglaciation while its minor increase occurred around the Mid Holocene (see **Figure 6**).

#### 4.2 Core ST14 (western Sumba Strait)

The pattern of the thermocline and mixed layer dwellers were similar to the core ST08 but with a less obvious shift (see **Figures 5** and 7). The shift of the thermocline and mixed layer dwellers occurred earlier compared to the core ST08, which was around the Late Deglaciation (~170–180 cm interval) (see **Figures 5** and 7). A minor increase of thermocline dwellers and a minor decrease of mixed layer dwellers were also observed around the Late Holocene (0–30 cm interval). The abundance of *N. dutertrei* was more constant with a more obvious increase observed around the Mid Deglaciation (150–170 cm interval) and a less significant increase around the Mid Holocene (40–60 cm interval) (see **Figure 6**).

A shallower DOT was indicated during the Last Deglaciation, but a deepening of the DOT occurred earlier compared to the southwest Sumba offshore (around the Late Deglaciation). In addition, the shoaling of the DOT around the Mid Holocene was not detected (see **Figures 5** and 7). Minor DOT shoaling was also indicated around the Late Holocene, as the abundance of thermocline dwellers was gradually increased, and the abundance of mixed layer dwellers decreased gradually. Paleoproductivity was mostly constant with a more significant increase around the Mid Deglaciation and a less significant increase around the Mid Holocene (see **Figure 6**).

#### 4.3 Core ST13 (central Sumba Strait)

The pattern of the thermocline dwellers and *N. dutertrei* was relatively constant around the Last Deglaciation–Early Holocene (90 cm–bottom interval) and gradually increased afterward (0–90 cm interval) (see **Figure 5** and **6**). Mixed layer dwellers were less abundant during the Last Deglaciation until the earliest interval of Holocene (140 cm–bottom interval), before increasing around the Early Holocene (80–140 cm interval), and decreased gradually since the Mid Holocene (see **Figure 7**).

The DOT was relatively constant during the Late Deglaciation–Early Holocene before it gradually shoaled since the Mid Holocene (see **Figures 5** and 7). Paleoproductivity also indicated a similar pattern which gradually increased since the Mid Holocene (see **Figure 6**).

#### 4.4 Core ST12 (eastern Sumba Strait)

Core ST12 only recorded Holocene-aged sediments, indicated by the occurrence of *Gl. fimbriata* even in its lowest interval. The abundance of thermocline dwellers was slightly lower around the Early Holocene (100 cm–

bottom interval) compared to around Late Holocene (0–80 cm interval) while a significant decrease was observed around the Mid Holocene (80–110 cm interval) and a minor decrease was observed around the Late Holocene (0–20 cm interval) (see **Figure 5**). The abundance pattern of mixed layer dwellers mirrors the thermocline dwellers with a significant increase around Mid Holocene (80–110 cm interval) and a minor increase around the Late Holocene (0–20 cm interval) and a minor increase around the Late Holocene (0–20 cm interval) and a minor increase around the Late Holocene (0–20 cm interval) (see **Figure 7**). A significant decrease of *N. dutertrei* was indicated around the Mid Holocene (70–140 cm interval) while the higher abundance of *N. dutertrei* was observed around the Early Holocene (140 cm–bottom interval) and around the Late Holocene (0–70 cm interval) (see **Figure 6**).

During the Holocene, the shoaling of DOT occurred twice on the eastern Sumba Strait. The more significant DOT shoaling occurred around Mid Holocene, while the less significant DOT shoaling occurred around Late Holocene (see **Figures 5** and **7**). A paleoproductivity decrease was indicated around the Mid Holocene, while around the Late Holocene, it was increased (see **Figure 6**).

#### 4.5 The mechanism of DOT and paleoproductivity changes

Based on the analyzed proxies, the DOT at the Sumba Island offshore (southwest Sumba offshore and Sumba Strait) got shallower during the Last Deglaciation and deeper during the Holocene, as it was opposed to the DOT at the Timor Sea, the main exit passage of ITF (**Xu et al.**, **2008; Holbourn et al., 2011; Kuhnt et al., 2015**). This indicated that the glacial-interglacial hydrographic changes of ITF were not the main driver for the past DOT changes, but the multi-millennial changes of the AIM. DOT and paleoproductivity changes within the Holocene and Last Deglaciation periods are also inferred.

DOT shoaling at the Last Deglaciation was caused by the enhancement of coastal upwelling due to the stronger AIWM during this period (Spooner et al., 2005; Mohtadi et al., 2011a; Ding et al., 2013). Stronger AIWM during the Last Deglaciation was associated with the northward shift of the Austral summer ITCZ to around the latitude of Flores Island (Figure 8) hence the AISM northwest winds couldn't reach the Sumba Island offshore (Spooner et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2006; Ding et al., 2013; Kuhnt et al., 2015; Ishiwa et al., 2019). The enhanced coastal upwelling during this period resulted in the eutrophic condition indicated by higher paleoproductivity in the southern Indonesia region (Ding et al., 2013; Xu, 2014), including the Sumba Island offshore. A slight decrease of paleoproductivity around Mid Deglaciation (see Figure 6) was most likely not related to the upwelling intensity, as the DOT remained constant. The change of the lifted water mass characteristics was suggested as the cause for the paleoproductivity reduction. The lifted water mass was most likely the North



**Figure 4:** Plot of thermocline dwellers, mixed layer dwellers, and *N. dutertrei* abundances (%) of core STo8 based on the age model. The dashed line indicates the FO of *Gl. fimbriata* (Pleistocene–Holocene boundary).



**Figure 5:** Thermocline dwellers abundances (%) of core STo8, ST14, ST13, and ST12 against depth. The dashed line indicates the FO of *Gl. fimbriata* (Pleistocene–Holocene boundary).



**Figure 6:** *N. dutertrei* abundances (%) of core STo8, ST14, ST13, and ST12. The dashed line indicates the FO of *Gl. fimbriata* (Pleistocene–Holocene boundary).



Figure 7: Mixed layer dwellers abundances (%) of core STo8, ST14, ST13, and ST12 against depth. The dashed line indicates the FO of *Gl. fimbriata* (Pleistocene–Holocene boundary).



**Figure 8:** The southern limit of Austral summer ITCZ: present-day condition (the green dashed line) and tentative position during the Last Deglaciation (yellow dashed lines) (**Kuhnt et al., 2015; Ardi et al., 2020**). The red-lined rectangular indicates the study area.

Pacific Intermediate Water (NPIW) which entered the sea off Sumba Island as a part of the ITF (Bayhagi et al., 2019). The changes in the characteristics of ITF water mass were related to the strengthening (weakening) of Atlantic Meridional Ocean Circulation (AMOC) during the Last Deglaciation warm (cold) periods which accelerated (stalled) the thermohaline circulation (Kuhnt et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2006; Levi et al., 2007; Deplazes et al., 2013; Gibbons et al., 2014; Lynch-Stieglitz et al., 2014). The freshening and the warming of the thermohaline circulation water mass due to the melting of the North Atlantic ice sheets during the Last Deglaciation warm periods most likely resulted in a more nutrientdepleted water mass, and thus, a reduction in the paleoproductivity (Deplazes et al., 2013; Lynch-Stieglitz et al., 2014; Hendrizan et al., 2017).

The earlier DOT deepening and paleoproductivity reduction (around the Late Deglaciation) in the Sumba Strait (cores ST12, ST13, and ST14) might be related to its northward position compared to the southwest Sumba offshore (core ST08), thus the AISM reactivated earlier as the Austral summer ITCZ gradually shifted southward (Wyrwoll and Miller, 2001; Kuhnt et al., 2015). Despite the lower abundance of mixed-layer dwellers on the central Sumba Strait around the Late Deglaciation, DOT shoaling did not occur due to the relatively lower abundance of thermocline dwellers. Thermocline dwellers consist of the dissolution-resistant taxa (Ravelo et al., 1990; Martínez et al., 1999), thus their relative abundance changes are more robust as a proxy for the DOT. In southwest Sumba offshore, which is located southward, the AISM reactivation most likely occurred around the Last Deglaciation-Holocene transition. The lower interval of ST14 (170 cm-bottom interval) (western Sumba Strait), which indicated lower paleoproductivity, is most likely coeval to the 180–200 cm interval of ST08 (southwest Sumba offshore). This indicated that the Early Deglaciation sediment records were only available in the southwest Sumba offshore (ST08) core.

Around the Mid Holocene, DOT shoaling accompanied by higher paleoproductivity (eutrophic condition) only occurred at southwest Sumba offshore. The shoaling of DOT and eutrophic condition was most likely caused by the stronger AIWM (Steinke et al., 2014b; Ardi et al., 2020) which enhanced the coastal upwelling, similar to the DOT shoaling mechanism during the Last Deglaciation (Spooner et al., 2005; Ding et al., 2013). The stronger AIWM was most likely related to decreasing solar activity (Solanki et al., 2004; Steinke et al., **2014a**). Increasing austral summer insolation during this period should strengthen the AISM, but its effect was suppressed by the decreasing solar activity (Steinke et al., 2014a; Ardi et al., 2020). In the Sumba Strait, a change of the DOT was only indicated in its eastern part, which was DOT deepening. The deepening of DOT could be related to the intensification of surface water ITF owing to stronger AIWM (Ding et al., 2013). The intense AIWM would transfer the more saline Banda Sea waters to the southern tip of the Makassar Strait, thus displaced the freshwater plug that blocked the surface water ITF (Xu et al., 2006; Ding et al., 2013). The deepening of the DOT indicated that the effect of ITF variability could reach the Sumba Strait, even though this was only in its eastern part. The deepening of the DOT also resulted in the lower paleoproductivity (oligotrophic) condition at eastern Sumba Strait, as a thicker mix layer would inhibit the eutrophication process (Brasier, 1995) and vertical mixing was not effective



Figure 9: Plot of *N. dutertrei* abundances compared to riverine input proxy (ln K/Ca) in central and eastern parts of Sumba Strait (core ST13 and ST12).
 The dashed line indicates the FO of *Gl. fimbriata* (Pleistocene–Holocene boundary).

due to the narrow size of Sumba Strait (**Bayhaqi et al.**, **2019**). In central and western Sumba Strait, the effect of ITF variability was most likely counteracted by the AIWM-induced oceanographic changes, as indicated by the paleoproductivity enhancement in western Sumba Strait.

Late Holocene DOT shoaling was observed at southwestern Sumba offshore, western and central Sumba Strait while the eutrophic condition was only indicated in the central and eastern Sumba Strait. Late Holocene ENSO intensification which resulted in an El Niño-like condition (Brijker et al., 2007; Wanner et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2016) was most likely the cause for the DOT shoaling, along with the positive IOD-like condition (Abram et al., 2009; Kwiatkowski et al., 2015). Present El Niño and positive IOD years are associated with the anomalously strong southeast winds during AIWM which enhanced the coastal upwelling (Susanto et al., 2006; Ningsih et al., 2013). The relatively constant paleoproductivity at the southwest Sumba offshore and western Sumba Strait could be related to the lesser scale of upwelling enhancement compared to around the Mid Holocene and the Last Deglaciation. Despite the El Niño-like and positive IOD-like condition, which should have resulted in an enhanced AIWM condition, AISM was stronger, thus increasing the rainfall during this period (Steinke et al., 2014a; Ardi et al., 2020). Higher

rainfall enhanced the river runoff, which most likely caused the increase of nutrient availability in the surface water (Kawamura et al., 2006; Steinke et al., 2014a). This mechanism explains the eutrophic conditions inferred in the central and eastern Sumba Strait which coincided with the enhancement of the riverine input proxy (ln K/Ca) (see Figure 9). This mechanism was more effective in the central and eastern Sumba Strait due to its proximity to the surrounding islands compared to the southwest Sumba offshore and the western Sumba Strait. A similar mechanism was also indicated off southwest Java during the Late Holocene, which resulted in the higher paleoproductivity condition (Setiawan et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2017). The eutrophic condition in central and eastern Sumba Strait also indicates the direct relationship of N. dutertrei with the freshwater influx caused by the increase of river runoff due to AISM enhancement (Mohtadi et al., 2011b; Steinke et al., 2014a), especially in a geographically-restricted sea. The cause of the DOT deepening in the eastern Sumba Strait was most likely identical to the DOT deepening that occurred around the Mid Holocene while the intensification of surface water ITF was related to the El Niño-like condition (Hendrizan et al., 2017).

The use of the relative abundance of planktonic foraminifera as a proxy to interpret the DOT and paleoproductivity has been proven effective in the southern Indonesia region (**Spooner et al., 2005; Ding et al., 2013; Steinke et al., 2014b; Ardi, 2018; Ardi et al., 2019**). Despite the effectiveness, the interpreted DOT and paleoproductivity from the relative abundance of planktonic foraminifera were basically qualitative. The use of geochemical proxies from both the foraminifera tests ( $\delta^{18}$ O,  $\delta^{13}$ C, Mg/Ca, etc.) and bulk sediments (total organic carbon, carbonate content, etc.) is suggested to produce more plausible results in future studies. In addition, radiocarbon dating data of the Sumba Strait cores (ST12, ST13, and ST14) is needed to produce more robust geochronology and simplify the proxies comparison between the studied cores.

## 5. Conclusions

#### (1) DOT:

A contrast DOT pattern compared to the Timor Sea (main exit passage of ITF) during the Last Deglaciation– Holocene was indicated at the Sumba Island offshore based on thermocline and mixed layer dwellers' relative abundances. This concluded that the glacial-interglacial hydrographic changes of ITF did not cause the DOT changes at Sumba Island offshore, instead, they were primarily driven by the AIM variability. The role of EN-SO-like and IOD-like mechanisms to the DOT changes was only indicated around the Late Holocene. The effect of ITF changes might reach the eastern Sumba Strait, which was indicated by the shallower DOT around the Mid and Late Holocene.

(2) Paleoproductivity:

*N. dutertrei* relative abundance indicated that the monsoon-driven coastal upwelling intensification which resulted in DOT shoaling and eutrophic condition was not always the cause for the paleoproductivity enhancement. The increase of nutrient availability in surface water due to the increase of river runoff and the changes in the lifted water mass nature were also able to enhance paleoproductivity.

#### 6. Acknowledgement

This research is part of the concept of sustainable coastal environmental management for eutrophication disaster mitigation research in the Priority Research and Demand Drive Research Coremap-CTI (Number: SP DIPA- 079.01.1.664156/2020). We express our gratitude to Dr. Dyah Marganingrum for the permission to participate in this research. We also thank the Research Center for Oceanography of LIPI, especially Udhi Hermawan, Ph.D. as the chief scientist of E-WIN 2016 for the permission of data usage and administrative assistance and all crews of the Baruna Jaya VIII R.V., especially Singgih Adi Wibowo for technical supports and data collecting. The Research Center for Geotechnology of LIPI and Geological Engineering Department of ITB are thanked for the laboratory facilities. We also express our grati-

tude to the Indonesian Endowment Fund for Education (LPDP) (grant number: 202001110215954) for the financial assistance. Istiana, Adwi Arya, Adrianus Damanik, and Ahmad Nabil are thanked for their assistance in sample preparation and observation for core ST08, ST12, ST13, and ST14. Rizky Amalia Maulidiatsani is thanked for the assistance in proofreading.

#### 7. Abbreviations

AIM: Australian-Indonesian monsoon AISM: Australian-Indonesian summer monsoon AIWM: Australian-Indonesian winter monsoon AMS: Accelerator Mass Spectrometry DOT: Depth of thermocline E-WIN: Widya Nusantara Expedition FO: First occurence ENSO: El Niño Southern Oscillation HPD: High Probability Density IOD: Indian Ocean Dipole ITB: Institut Teknologi Bandung ITCZ: Inter-tropical Convergence Zone ITF: Indonesian Through-flow KSTF: Kalimantan Strait Through-flow LC: Leeuwin Current LIPI: Indonesian Institute of Sciences NPIW: North Pacific Intermediate Water SEC: South Equatorial Current SJC: South Java Current

#### 8. References

#### Papers:

- Abram, N. J., McGregor, H. V., Gagan, M. K., Hantoro, W. S., and Suwargadi, B. W. (2009): Oscillations in the southern extent of the Indo-Pacific Warm Pool during the mid-Holocene. Quaternary Science Reviews, 28(25–26), 2794– 2803. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.07.006
- Aldrian, E., and Susanto, R. D. (2003): Identification of three dominant rainfall regions within Indonesia and their relationship to sea surface temperature, International Journal of Climatology, 23(12), 1435–1452. https://doi.org/10. 1002/joc.950
- Andruleit, H., Lückge, A., Wiedicke, M., and Stäger, S. (2008): Late Quaternary development of the Java upwelling system (eastern Indian Ocean) as revealed by coccolithophores. Marine Micropaleontology, 69(1), 3–15. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.marmicro.2007.11.005
- Ardi, R. D. W., Aswan, Maryunani, K. A., Yulianto, E., Putra, P. S., Nugroho, S. H., and Istiana (2020): Last Deglaciation—Holocene Australian-Indonesian Monsoon Rainfall Changes Off Southwest Sumba, Indonesia. Atmosphere, 11(9), 932. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11090932
- Barmawidjaja, B. M., Rohling, E. J., van der Kaars, W. A., Vergnaud Grazzini, C., and Zachariasse, W. J. (1993): Gla-

cial conditions in the northern Molucca Sea region (Indonesia). Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 101(1–2), 147–167. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182 (93)90157-E

- Bayhaqi, A., Lenn, Y.-D., Surinati, D., Polton, J., Nur, M., Corvianawatie, C., and Purwandana, A. (2019): The Variability of Indonesian Throughflow in Sumba Strait and Its Linkage to the Climate Events. American Journal of Applied Sciences, 16(4), 118–133. https://doi.org/10.3844/ ajassp.2019.118.133
- Bé, A. W. H., Hutson, W. H., and Be, A. W. H. (1977): Ecology of Planktonic Foraminifera and Biogeographic Patterns of Life and Fossil Assemblages in the Indian Ocean. Micropaleontology, 23(4), 369. https://doi.org/10.2307/1485406
- Blaauw, M. (2010): Methods and code for 'classical' age-modelling of radiocarbon sequences. Quaternary Geochronology, 5(5), 512–518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2010. 01.002
- Brasier, M. D. (1995): Fossil indicators of nutrient levels. 1: eutrophication and climate change. Marine Palaeoenvironmental Analysis from Fossils, (83), 113–132.
- Brijker, J. M., Jung, S. J. A., Ganssen, G. M., Bickert, T., and Kroon, D. (2007): ENSO related decadal scale climate variability from the Indo-Pacific Warm Pool. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 253(1–2), 67–82. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.10.017
- Bronk Ramsey, C. (2009): Bayesian Analysis of Radiocarbon Dates. Radiocarbon, 51(1), 337–360. https://doi.org/10. 1017/s0033822200033865
- Capelli, E. L. G., Holbourn, A., Kuhnt, W., and Regenberg, M. (2016): Changes in Timor Strait hydrology and thermocline structure during the past 130 ka. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology. https://doi.org/10.1016 /j.palaeo.2016.09.010
- Chen, G., Han, W., Li, Y., and Wang, D. (2016): Interannual variability of equatorial eastern Indian Ocean upwelling: Local versus remote forcing. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 46(3), 789–807. https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-15-0117.1
- Chen, S., Hoffmann, S. S., Lund, D. C., Cobb, K. M., Emilegeay, J., and Adkins, J. F. (2016): A high-resolution speleothem record of western equatorial Pacific rainfall : Implications for Holocene ENSO evolution. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 442, 61–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl. 2016.02.050
- Deplazes, G., Lückge, A., Peterson, L. C., Timmermann, A., Hamann, Y., Hughen, K. A., Röhl, U., Laj, C., Cane, M. A., Sigman, D. M., and Haug, G. H. (2013): Links between tropical rainfall and North Atlantic climate during the last glacial period. Nature Geoscience, 6(3), 213–217. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1712
- Ding, X., Bassinot, F., Guichard, F., and Fang, N. Q. (2013): Indonesian Throughflow and monsoon activity records in the Timor Sea since the last glacial maximum. Marine Micropaleontology, 101, 115–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. marmicro.2013.02.003
- Feng, M., Zhang, N., Liu, Q., and Wijffels, S. (2018): The Indonesian throughflow, its variability and centennial

change. Geoscience Letters, 5(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40562-018-0102-2

- Gibbons, F. T., Oppo, D. W., Mohtadi, M., Rosenthal, Y., Cheng, J., Liu, Z., and Linsley, B. K. (2014): Deglacial δ18O and hydrologic variability in the tropical Pacific and Indian Oceans. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 387, 240–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.11.032
- Gordon, A. L. (2005): Oceanography of the Indonesian Seas and Their Throughflow. Oceanography, 18(4), 13–13. https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2005.18
- Gordon, A. L., and Fine, R. A. (1996): Pathways of water between the Pacific and Indian oceans in the Indonesian seas. Nature. https://doi.org/10.1038/379146a0
- Gordon, A. L., Susanto, R. D., and Vranes, K. (2003): Cool Indonesian throughflow as a consequence of restricted surface layer flow. Nature, 425(6960), 824–828. https://doi. org/10.1038/nature02038
- Hendrawan, I. G., Asai, K., Triwahyuni, A., and Lestari, D. V. (2019): The interanual rainfall variability in Indonesia corresponding to El Niño Southern Oscillation and Indian Ocean Dipole. Acta Oceanologica Sinica, 38(7), 57–66. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13131-019-1457-1
- Hendrizan, M., Kuhnt, W., and Holbourn, A. (2017): Variability of Indonesian Throughflow and Borneo Runoff During the Last 14 kyr. Paleoceanography, 32(10), 1054–1069. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016PA003030
- Holbourn, A., Kuhnt, W., Kawamura, H., Jian, Z., Grootes, P., Erlenkeuser, H., and Xu, J. (2005): Orbitally paced paleoproductivity variations in the Timor Sea and Indonesian throughflow variability during the last 460 kyr. Paleoceanography,20(3),1–18.https://doi.org/10.1029/2004PA001094
- Holbourn, A., Kuhnt, W., and Xu, J. (2011): Indonesian Throughflow variability during the last 140 ka: The timor sea outflow, Geological Society Special Publication, 355, 283–303. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP355.14
- Huang, E., Tian, J., and Liu, J. (2015): Dynamics of the Australian-Indonesian monsoon across Termination II: Implications of molecular-biomarker reconstructions from the Timor Sea. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 423, 32–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo. 2015.01.027
- Ishiwa, T., Yokoyama, Y., Reuning, L., McHugh, C. M., De Vleeschouwer, D., and Gallagher, S. J. (2019): Australian Summer Monsoon variability in the past 14,000 years revealed by IODP Expedition 356 sediments. Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40645-019-0262-5
- Kawamura, H., Holbourn, A., and Kuhnt, W. (2006): Climate variability and land-ocean interactions in the Indo Pacific Warm Pool: A 460-ka palynological and organic geochemical record from the Timor Sea. Marine Micropaleontology, 59(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marmicro. 2005.09.001
- Kenneth, J. P., and Srinivasan, M. S. (1983): Neogene planktonic foraminifera: A phylogenetic atlas. The Journal of Foraminiferal Research, Hutchinson and Ross, Stroudburg, Pennsylvania, 160-125.
- Kuhnt, W., Holbourn, A., Hall, R., Zuvela, M., and Käse, R. (2004): Neogene history of the indonesian throughflow,
- Rudarsko-geološko-naftni zbornik i autori (The Mining-Geology-Petroleum Engineering Bulletin and the authors) ©, 2021, pp. 31-45, DOI: 10.17794/rgn.2021.3.3

299-320 in Geophysical Monograph Series. https://doi. org/10.1029/149GM16

- Kuhnt, W., Holbourn, A., Xu, J., Opdyke, B., Deckker, P. De, and Mudelsee, M. (2015): Southern Hemisphere control on Australian monsoon variability during the late deglaciation and Holocene. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6916
- Kusuma, D. W., Murdimanto, A., Aden, L. Y., Sukresno, B., Jatisworo, D., and Hanintyo, R. (2017): Sea Surface Temperature Dynamics in Indonesia. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 98(1). https://doi. org/10.1088/1755-1315/98/1/012038
- Kwiatkowski, C., Prange, M., Varma, V., Steinke, S., Hebbeln, D., and Mohtadi, M. (2015): Holocene variations of thermocline conditions in the eastern tropical Indian Ocean, Quaternary Science Reviews, 114, 33–42. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2015.01.028
- Lana, A. B., Kurniawati, N., Purba, N. P., and Syamsuddin, M. L. (2017): Thermocline Layers Depth and Thickness in Indonesian Waters when Souteast Monsoon. Omni-Akuatika, 13(2), 65–72. https://doi.org/10.20884/1.oa.2017.13.2.70
- Levi, C., Labeyrie, L., Bassinot, F., Guichard, F., Cortijo, E., Waelbroeck, C., Caillon, N., Duprat, J., de Garidel-Thoron, T., and Elderfield, H. (2007): Low-latitude hydrological cycle and rapid climate changes during the last deglaciation. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 8(5), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GC001514
- Lückge, A., Mohtadi, M., Rühlemann, C., Scheeder, G., Vink, A., Reinhardt, L., and Wiedicke, M. (2009): Monsoon versus ocean circulation controls on paleoenvironmental conditions off southern Sumatra during the past 300,000 years. Paleoceanography, 24(1). https://doi.org/10.1029 /2008PA001627
- Lynch-Stieglitz, J., Schmidt, M. W., Gene Henry, L., Curry, W. B., Skinner, L. C., Mulitza, S., Zhang, R., and Chang, P. (2014): Muted change in Atlantic overturning circulation over some glacial-aged Heinrich events. Nature Geoscience, 7(2), 144–150. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2045
- Martínez, J. I., De Deckker, P., and Barrows, T. T. (1999): Palaeoceanography of the last glacial maximum in the eastern Indian Ocean: Planktonic foraminiferal evidence. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 147(1–2), 73–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(98)00153-9
- Mohtadi, M., Max, L., Hebbeln, D., Baumgart, A., Krück, N., and Jennerjahn, T. (2007): Modern environmental conditions recorded in surface sediment samples off W and SW Indonesia: Planktonic foraminifera and biogenic compounds analyses. Marine Micropaleontology, 65(1–2), 96–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marmicro.2007.06.004
- Mohtadi, M., Oppo, D. W., Lückge, A., DePol-Holz, R., Steinke, S., Groeneveld, J., Hemme, N., and Hebbeln, D. (2011a): Reconstructing the thermal structure of the upper ocean: Insights from planktic foraminifera shell chemistry and alkenones in modern sediments of the tropical eastern Indian Ocean. Paleoceanography, 26(3), n/a-n/a. https:// doi.org/10.1029/2011PA002132
- Mohtadi, M., Oppo, D. W., Steinke, S., Stuut, J. B. W., De Pol-Holz, R., Hebbeln, D., and Lückge, A. (2011b): Glacial to Holocene swings of the Australian-Indonesian monsoon.

Nature Geoscience, 4(8), 540–544. https://doi.org/10.1038/ ngeo1209

- Mohtadi, M., Prange, M., and Steinke, S. (2016): Palaeoclimatic insights into forcing and response of monsoon rainfall. Nature, 533(7602), 191–199. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature17450
- Müller, A., and Opdyke, B. N. (2000): Glacial-interglacial changes in nutrient utilization and paleoproductivity in the Indonesian Throughflow sensitive Timor Trough, easternmost Indian Ocean. Paleoceanography, 15(1), 85–94. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999PA900046
- Ningsih, N. S., Rakhmaputeri, N., and Harto, A. B. (2013): Upwelling variability along the southern coast of Bali and in Nusa Tenggara waters. Ocean Science Journal, 48(1), 49–57. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12601-013-0004-3
- Potemra, J. T., Sprintall, J., Hautala, S. L., and Pandoe, W. (2003): Observed estimates of convergence in the Savu Sea, Indonesia. Journal of Geophysical Research C: Oceans, 108(1), 1–1. https://doi.org/10.1029/2002jc001507
- Putra, P. S., and Nugroho, S. H. (2020): Holocene Climate Dynamics in Sumba Strait, Indonesia: A Preliminary Evidence From High Resolution Geochemical Records and Planktonic Foraminifera, Studia Quartenaria, 32(2), 91– 99. https://doi.org/10.24425/sq.2020.133753
- Qu, T., Du, Y., Strachan, J., Meyers, G., and Slingo, J. (2005): Sea Surface Temperature and Its Variability in the Indonesian Region. Oceanography, 18(4), 50–61. https://doi. org/10.5670/oceanog.2005.05
- Ravelo, A. C., Fairbanks, R. G., and Philander, S. G. H. (1990): Reconstructing Tropical Atlantic hydrography using planktonic foraminifera and an ocean model. Paleoceanography, 5(3), 409–431.
- Reimer, P. J., Edouard Bard, B., Alex Bayliss, B., Warren Beck, B. J., Paul Blackwell, B. G., and Christopher Bronk Ramsey, B. (2013): Intcal13 and Marine13 Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curves 0–50,000 Years Cal Bp. Radiocarbon, 55(4), 1869–1887. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033822 200048864
- Schott, F. A., and McCreary, J. P. (2001): The monsoon circulation of the Indian Ocean. Progress in Oceanography, 51(1), 1–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6611(01)00083-0
- Setiawan, R. Y., Mohtadi, M., Southon, J., Groeneveld, J., Steinke, S., and Hebbeln, D. (2015): The consequences of opening the Sunda Strait on the hydrography of the eastern tropical Indian Ocean. Paleoceanography, 30(10), 1358– 1372. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015PA002802
- Solanki, S. K., Usoskin, I. G., Kromer, B., Schüssler, M., and Beer, J. (2004): Unusual activity of the Sun during recent decades compared to the previous 11,000 years. Nature, 431 (7012), 1084–1087. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02995
- Spooner, M. I., Barrows, T. T., De Deckker, P., and Paterne, M. (2005): Palaeoceanography of the Banda Sea, and Late Pleistocene initiation of the Northwest Monsoon. Global and Planetary Change, 49(1–2), 28–46. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2005.05.002
- Sprintall, J., Potemra, J. T., Hautala, S. L., Bray, N. A., and Pandoe, W. W. (2003): Temperature and salinity variability in the exit passages of the Indonesian Throughflow. Deep-

Rudarsko-geološko-naftni zbornik i autori (The Mining-Geology-Petroleum Engineering Bulletin and the authors) ©, 2021, pp. 31-45, DOI: 10.17794/rgn.2021.3.3 Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 50(12–13), 2183–2204. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(03)00052-3

- Sprintall, J., and Révelard, A. (2014): The Indonesian Throughflow response to Indo-Pacific climate variability. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 119(2), 1161–1175. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009533
- Sprintall, J., Wijffels, S. E., Molcard, R., and Jaya, I. (2009): Direct estimates of the indonesian throughflow entering the indian ocean: 2004-2006. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 114(7), 2004–2006. https://doi.org/10. 1029/2008JC005257
- Steinke, S., Mohtadi, M., Prange, M., Varma, V., Pittauerova, D., and Fischer, H. W. (2014a): Mid- to Late-Holocene Australian-Indonesian summer monsoon variability. Quaternary Science Reviews, 93, 142–154. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.04.006
- Steinke, S., Prange, M., Feist, C., Groeneveld, J., and Mohtadi, M. (2014b): Upwelling variability off southern Indonesia over the past two millennia. Geophysical Research Letters, 41(21), 7684–7693. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061450
- Susanto, R. D., Gordon, A. L., and Zheng, Q. (2001): Upwelling along the coasts of Java and Sumatra and its relation to ENSO. Geophysical Research Letters, 28(8), 1599– 1602. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL011844
- Susanto, R. D., Moore, T. S., and Marra, J. (2006): Ocean color variability in the Indonesian Seas during the Sea-WiFS era. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 7(5), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GC001009
- Talma, A. S., and Vogel, J. C. (1993): A simplified approach to calibrating 14C dates. Radiocarbon, 35(2), 317–322. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033822200065000
- Wang, P. X., Wang, B., Cheng, H., Fasullo, J., Guo, Z., and Kiefer, T. (2017): Earth-Science Reviews The global monsoon across time scales : Mechanisms and outstanding issues, Earth-Science Reviews, 174(July 2016), 84–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.07.006
- Wanner, H., Beer, J., Bütikofer, J., Crowley, T. J., Cubasch, U., Flückiger, J., Goosse, H., Grosjean, M., Joos, F., Kaplan, J. O., Küttel, M., Müller, S. A., Prentice, I. C., Solomina, O., Stocker, T. F., Tarasov, P., Wagner, M., and Widmann, M. (2008): Mid- to Late Holocene climate change: an overview. Quaternary Science Reviews, 27(19–20), 1791– 1828. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.06.013
- Wheeler, M. C., and McBride, J. L. (2005): Australian-Indonesian monsoon, 125–173 in Intraseasonal Variability in the Atmosphere-Ocean Climate System, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-27250-X\_5
- Wyrwoll, K. H., and Miller, G. H. (2001): Initiation of the Australian summer monsoon 14,000 years ago. Quaternary International, 82(85), 119–128. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S1040-6182(01)00034-9
- Xu, J. (2014): Change of Indonesian Throughflow outflow in response to East Asian monsoon and ENSO activities since the Last Glacial. Science China Earth Sciences, 57(4), 791–801. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-014-4845-0
- Xu, J., Holbourn, A., Kuhnt, W., Jian, Z., and Kawamura, H. (2008): Changes in the thermocline structure of the Indo-

nesian out fl ow during Terminations I and II. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 273, 152–162. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.epsl.2008.06.029

- Xu, J., Kuhnt, W., Holbourn, A., Andersen, N., and Bartoli, G. (2006): Changes in the vertical profile of Indonesian Throughflow during Termination II: Evidence from the Timor Sea. Paleoceanography, 21(4), 1–14. https://doi. org/10.1029/2006PA001278
- Xu, Y., Wang, L., Yin, X., Ye, X., Li, D., Liu, S., Shi, X., Troa, R. A., Zuraida, R., Triarso, E., and Hendrizan, M. (2017): The influence of the Sunda Strait opening on paleoenvironmental changes in the eastern Indian Ocean. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 146, 402–411. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jseaes.2017.06.014
- Yim, S. Y., Wang, B., Liu, J., and Wu, Z. (2014): A comparison of regional monsoon variability using monsoon indices. Climate Dynamics, 43(5–6), 1423–1437. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00382-013-1956-9
- Zhang, P., Zuraida, R., Rosenthal, Y., Holbourn, A., Kuhnt, W., and Xu, J. (2019): Geochemical characteristics from tests of four modern planktonic foraminiferal species in the Indonesian Throughflow region and their implications. Geoscience Frontiers, 10(2), 505–516. https://doi.org/10.1016 /j.gsf.2018.01.011
- Zhang, P., Zuraida, R., Xu, J., and Yang, C. (2016): Stable carbon and oxygen isotopes of four planktonic foraminiferal species from core-top sediments of the Indonesian throughflow region and their significance. Acta Oceanologica Sinica, 35(10), 63–75. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13131-016-0890-1

#### Papers written in non-English language:

- Ardi, R. D. W., Maryunani, K. A., Yulianto, E., Putra, P. S., Nugroho, S. H. (2019): Biostratigrafi dan analisis perubahan kedalaman termoklin di lepas pantai barat daya Sumba sejak Pleistosen Akhir berdasarkan kumpulan foraminifera planktonik (*Biostratigraphy and analysis of changes in thermocline depth off the Southwest Coast of Sumba since the Late Pleistocene based on planktonic foraminifera assemblages*). Buletin Geologi, 3(2), 355–362. https://doi. org/10.5614/bull.geol.2019.3.2.3. (*in Indonesian with English Abstract*)
- Damanik, A., Putra, P. S., Nugroho, S. H., Kapid, R. (2019): Hubungan vertikal antara kelimpahan foraminifera dan karakteristik sedimen inti di Selat Sumba, Nusa Tenggara Timur (*The vertical relationship between foraminiferal abundance and characterisitics of core sediment in Sumba Strait, East Nusa Tenggara*). Jurnal Geologi Kelautan, 17(1), 19–32. https://doi.org/10.32693/jgk.17.1.2019.563. (*in Indonesian with English Abstract*)

#### *Chapters in books or proceedings with editor(s):*

Bolli, H. M., Saunders, J. B. (1985). Oligocene to Holocene low latitude planktic foraminifera. In H. M. Bolli, J. B. Saunders, & K. Perch-Nielsen (Eds.): Plankton Stratigraphy 1st edition. – New York: Cambridge University Press, 155–262, 1032 p. Tomczak, M., and Godfrey, J. S. (2003): Adjacent seas of the Indian Ocean and the Australasian Mediterranian Sea (The Indonesian Throughflow) (pdf version), 215–228 in Regional Oceanography: An Introduction, Daya Publishing House, Delhi.

#### Books/thesis:

Maryunani (2009): Microfossil approach based on Cendrawasih Bay data, to interpreting and reconstructing Equatorial Western Pacific paleoclimate since Last Glacial (Late Pleistocene). Institut Teknologi Bandung, 141 pp.

#### Books/thesis written in non-English language:

Ardi, R. D. W. (2018): Rekonstruksi paleoklimatologi dan palo-oseanografi sejak Pleistosen Akhir berdasarkan kumpulan foraminifera di lepas pantai barat daya Sumba, Nusa Tenggara Timur (*Paleoclimatology and paleo-oceanogra-*) phy reconstruction since Late Pleistocene based on foraminiferal assemblages off the southwest coast of Sumba Island), East Nusa Tenggara. Institut Teknologi Bandung, 65 pp. (in Indonesian with English Abstract)

#### Internet sources:

- GEBCO Bathymetric Compilation Group 2020 (2020): GEB-CO\_2020 Grid. URL: https://www.gebco.net/data\_and\_ products/gridded\_bathymetry\_data (accessed 22<sup>nd</sup> July 2020)
- Blaauw, M. (2020): clam: Classical Age-Depth Modelling of Cores from Deposits. R package version 2.3.4. URL: http://cran.r-project.org/package=clam (accessed 24<sup>th</sup> August 2020)
- R Core Team. (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. URL: http://www.r-project.org (accessed 21<sup>st</sup> August 2020)

# SAŽETAK

# Dubina promjene termoklina na otoku Sumba na temelju planktonskih sklopova foraminifera i njegove implikacije na eutrofikaciju od posljednje deglacijacije (~ 18 ka BP): preliminarna studija

Područje ispitivanja nalazi se uz otok Sumba, a promjene dubine termokline (DOT) u ovoj regiji nisu dobro poznate u usporedbi s Timorskim morem. Zajednice planktonskih foraminifera korištene su za prepoznavanje DOT-a i promjene paleoproduktivnosti u jezgrama prikupljenim s jugozapadne Sumbe (STo8) i iz tjesnaca Sumba (ST12, ST13 i ST14). Ova studija sugerira da su tisućljetne promjene u režimu australsko-indonezijskoga monsuna (AIM) bile glavni čimbenik DOT promjena na jugozapadu Sumbe i tjesnaca Sumba nakon posljednje deglacijacije, što je stvorilo suprotne uvjete u odnosu na Timorsko more. Učinak poput južnih oscilacija *El Niño* (ENSO) i dipola Indijskoga oceana (IOD) sličnih DOT promjenama naznačen je samo oko kasnoga holocena, dok su promjene indonezijskoga protoka (ITF) utjecale samo na istočni tjesnac Sumba oko srednjega i kasnoga holocena. Produbljavanje termokline usko je povezano s poboljšanjem AIWM (AISM), *El Niñom (La Niñom)* i pozitivnim (negativnim) IOD-om. Poboljšanje paleoproduktivnosti nije bilo povezano samo s jačanjem DOT-a, već i s većom dostupnošću hranjivih sastojaka zbog povećanoga donosa slatke vode rijekama. Promjene u prirodi podignute vodene mase također su utjecale na promjene u paleoproduktivnosti.

#### Ključne riječi:

australsko-indonezijski monsun, primijenjena mikropaleontologija, indonezijski protok, Mali Sundski otoci, paleoceanografija

## Author's contribution

**Ryan Dwi Wahyu Ardi (1)** (M. Sc., intern researcher, doctoral candidate, applied micropaleontologist, quarternary geologist) as the main contributor provided the micropaleontological analysis, paleoenvironmental interpretation, agedepth modeling, and presentation of the results. **Aswan (2)** (Dr., associate professor, quarternary geologist, paleontologist) provided the paleoenvironmental interpretation and presentation of the results. **Khoiril Anwar Maryunani (3)** (Dr., assistant professor, applied micropaleontologist, paleoclimatologist, paleoceanographer) provided paleoenvironmental interpretation and paleoclimatological and paleoceanographic views. **Eko Yulianto (4)** (Dr., senior researcher, quarternary geologist, paleoclimatologist) provided the paleoenvironmental interpretation and administered the research. **Purna Sulastya Putra (5)** (M. Sc., senior researcher, doctoral candidate, quarternary geologist, marine geologist) performed fieldwork and provided the regional marine geology view. **Septriono Hari Nugroho (6)** (M. Sc., junior researcher, doctoral candidate, quarternary geologist, marine geologist) performed the fieldwork and provided the regional marine geology view.

STO	8 Planktoni	c Foram	initera	Distrib	ution		-										
Num.	Depth interval (cm)	Age (ka BP)	Epoch	e planktonic foraminifera	lli and Saunders, 1985)	tratigraphic event	orotalia fimbriata tratigraphic range	anktonic foraminifera	ne dwellers ( <i>Pulleniatina</i> ulata, Neogloboquadrina nd Globorotalia spp.)	cline dwellers relative abundance (%)	cline dwellers relative ance smoothed (%)*	ted layer dwellers <i>iggerinoides ruber ,</i> <i>oides trilobus ,</i> and other b <i>igerinoides</i> taxa)	layer dwellers relative abundance (%)	layer dwellers relative ance smoothed (%)*	boquadrina dutertrei	uadrina dutertrei relative abundance (%)	uadrina dutertrei relative ance smoothed (%)*
	E			atitud	ne (Bo	Bios	Glob bios	otal pl	mocli n <i>ueloc</i> pp., a	herma	hermc abund	Miy (Glol igerin Glo	/ixed	/lixed   abund	leoglo	lobodol	globoq abund
	Top Botto			Low l	zor			Tc	Ther obliq sl	Ť.	1	Globi	2	≥ "	Ż	Neogl	Neog
1	0 1	-0.066						449	306	68.246	68.246	91 51	20.331	20.331	50 100	11.199	11.199
3	4 5	0.460						392	260	66.176	70.242	88	22.549	18.714	81	20.588	14.663
4 5	6 7 8 9	0.723						774 492	619 342	79.878 69.542	72.651 71.873	81 104	10.427 21.081	16.642 17.752	137 81	17.697 16.409	15.421 15.668
6	10 11 12 13	1.249						459	337	73.295	72.229	72	15.602	17.215	120 136	26.125	18.282
8	14 15	1.776						579	430	74.330	72.889	74	12.705	16.067	85	14.693	17.687
9 10	16 17 18 19	2.039						792 964	661 711	83.520 73.792	75.547 75.108	91 154	11.441 16.015	14.910 15.186	95 133	12.059 13.836	16.280 15.669
11	20 21	2.565						503	339	67.432	73.189	114	22.734	17.073	85	16.858	15.966
13	24 25	3.091						726	495	68.170	71.301	112	16.842	17.650	109	14.988	15.267
14 15	26 27 28 29	3.172 3.252						1186 675	823 353	69.395 52.380	70.824 66.213	233 195	19.627 28.842	18.145 20.819	251 113	21.171 16.801	16.743 16.757
16	30 31	3.333						635	374	58.796	64.359	144	22.685	21.285	82	12.963	15.809
18	34 35	3.494						575	381	66.171	65.685	128	22.237	21.715	128	22.218	17.176
19 20	36 37 38 39	3.575 3.656						835 711	547 448	65.508 63.071	65.641 64.998	177 149	21.223	21.690 21.520	175 97	20.897 13.697	18.106 17.004
21	40 41	3.736						650	489	75.314	67.577	88	13.553	19.529	128	19.647	17.665
22	44 45	3.898						563	422 402	71.993	69.394	110	21.785	19.353	148	20.810	19.562
24 25	46 47 48 49	3.978 4.059						1010 660	695 461	68.834 69.821	69.254 69.396	210 125	20.781 19.007	20.166 19.876	212 58	21.012 8.778	20.158 17.313
26	50 51	4.140						795	507	63.713	67.975	160	20.122	19.938	114	14.369	16.577
27	52 53 54 55	4.220						821	610 488	74.239 64.093	69.541 68.179	124 134	15.046 17.595	18.715 18.435	116 158	14.159 20.754	15.972
29 30	56 57 58 59	4.382			e			903 991	597	66.039	67.644	177	19.582	18.722	142 178	15.744	16.812
31	60 61	4.543			20N			1597	1129	70.728	67.090	240	13.028	18.322	413	25.838	19.276
32 33	62 63 64 65	4.623			zqn			1331 982	1100 706	82.645 71.895	70.978 71.208	131 147	9.842 14.924	16.202 15.882	272 224	20.452 22.767	19.570 20.369
34	66 67	4.785			J SI			996	723	72.621	71.561	138	13.860	15.377	223	22.404	20.878
36	70 71	4.885	e		iatc			1005	611	60.810	66.340	203	22.199	17.847	253	25.150	20.480
37 38	72 73 74 75	5.027 5.107	cer		ıpr			2547 2080	1495 1355	58.691 65.160	64.428 64.611	610 420	23.950 20.189	20.189 20.189	326 352	12.813 16.941	18.563 18.158
39	76 77	5.220	olo		fin			2758	1765	64.021	64.463	472	17.113	19.420	563	20.412	18.721
40	78 79	5.332	Ĭ		lia			513	307	59.850	63.380	105	20.470	19.464	407	15.774	18.908
42 43	79 80 80 81	5.388 5.444			ota			1107 2154	<u>697</u> 1429	62.934 66.329	63.268 64.034	180 372	<u>16.221</u> 17.263	18.842 18.447	217 390	19.642 18.130	18.538 18.436
44	82 83	5.556			ord			984	604	61.382	63.371	204	20.732	19.018	232	23.577	19.721
45	84         85           86         87	5.781			lob			1287	909	73.844	68.244	220	17.870	17.674	250	23.630	20.699
47 48	88 89 90 91	5.893 6.005			в			1866 1614	1339 1222	71.778 75.731	69.128 70.779	273 242	14.652 14.974	16.955 16.460	270 406	14.449 25.129	19.137 20.635
49	92 93	6.117						884	545	61.669	68.501	150	16.997	16.594	168	19.001	20.226
50	94 95 96 97	6.342		ЭС				912	639	70.117	70.808	151	17.372	16.126	302 187	20.510	21.709
52 53	98 99 100 101	6.454 6.566		zor				1153 1368	758 1039	65.748 75.927	69.414 71.042	198 167	17.206	16.396 15.349	189 310	16.437 22.637	20.166
54	102 103	6.678		es				1439	1008	70.045	70.793	207	14.389	15.109	306	21.300	20.913
55	104         105           106         107	6.790 7.003		oid				2415 1802	1755 1285	72.657	71.259	283 256	11.736 14.209	14.266 14.252	462 315	19.109 17.500	20.462
57 58	108 109 110 111	7.216		lin				1111 1447	812	73.084	71.719	157 255	14.097	14.213	372 320	33.513	23.169
59	112 113	7.641		atu				1459	1013	69.448	70.709	245	16.804	15.507	288	19.741	22.119
60 61	114         115           116         117	7.853 8.066		inc				923 1189	658 823	71.217 69.264	70.836	154 225	16.668 18.913	15.797 16.576	186 163	20.191	21.637 19.651
62 63	118 119 120 121	8.279		tru				1455 1054	943 604	64.819 57.336	69.037 66.112	288 184	19.787 17.502	17.379	301 157	20.661	19.904
64	122 123	8.704		ılia				1150	675	58.742	64.269	210	18.286	17.629	223	19.362	18.821
65	124 125 126 127	9.129		otc				985 1775	570 1166	65.669	63.420	195 244	19.799	18.171	143 290	14.564	17.756
67 68	128 129 130 131	9.342 9.554		bor				1842 2073	1129 1276	61.294 61.551	62.888 62.554	339 346	18.392 16.707	17.395 17.223	355 279	19.264 13.474	17.864 16.766
69	132 133	9.767		lole				2170	1277	58.839	61.625	365	16.842	17.128	289	13.337	15.909
70 71	134 135 136 137	9.979 10.192		Ċ				1372 1906	715	52.087 58.347	59.241 59.017	282 337	20.552 17.668	17.984	216 229	11.992	15.867
72 73	138 139 140 141	10.405 10.617						1763 1658	1063 1070	60.267 64.577	59.330 60.641	288 237	16.336 14.283	17.513 16.705	269 250	15.244 15.057	14.985 15.003
74	142 143	10.830						1377	788	57.221	59.786	261	18.937	17.263	239	17.340	15.587
75	144 145 146 147	11.042 11.255				Globorotalia fimbriata	•	2006 1568	1035 1149	51.615 73.296	57.744 61.632	351 232	17.494 14.819	17.321 16.695	298 322	14.859 20.513	15.405
77 78	148 149 150 151	11.468 11.680						1927 1740	1363 1251	70.734	63.907 65.906	275	14.274	16.090 15.077	348 502	18.068	17.028
79	152 153	11.893						631	496	78.581	69.075	59	9.329	13.640	132	20.979	20.230
80 81	154 155 156 157	12.105 12.318						996 1074	692 888	69.556 82.661	69.195 72.561	123 69	12.320 6.439	13.310 11.592	229 249	23.022	20.928
82 83	158 159 160 161	12.531						1017 2290	733 1759	72.114	72.450	180	17.683 9 999	13.115	246 697	24.153	22.153
84	162 163	12.956						1187	943	79.438	75.006	112	9.445	11.613	367	30.893	25.892
85 86	164 165 166 167	13.168 13.381						2263 1380	1817 1046	80.292 75.787	76.327 76.192	206 162	9.103 11.730	10.986 11.172	696 437	30.756 31.652	27.108 28.244
87 99	168 169 170 171	13.525						1726	1345	77.973	76.637	162	9.383	10.725	524 459	30.346	28.770
89	172 173	13.814						1860	1386	74.531	76.131	239	12.861	11.211	628	33.743	31.969
90 91	174 175 176 177	13.958 14.102			ne			1105 1502	773 1163	70.006	74.600 75.306	151 117	13.699 7.782	11.833 10.820	320 489	28.968 32.548	31.219 31.551
92 02	178 179 180 191	14.247			OZC			1414	1051	74.307	75.056	151	10.689	10.787	434	30.723	31.344
93 94	180 181 182 183	14.535			suk			1537	1220	79.375	76.914	130	8.480 9.650	10.210	420	28.387	29.851
95 96	184 185 186 187	14.679 14.824	ə		ła			1569 1571	1144 1182	72.911	75.913 75.739	144 157	9.197 9.996	9.852 9.888	337 444	21.471 28.287	27.756 27.889
97	188 189	14.968	cel		alic			2606	1894	72.693	74.978	272	10.433	10.024	604	23.169	26.709
98 99	190 191 192 193	15.256	sto		ac			1320	1098	80.303	74.852	124	8.380 9.596	9.609	359 393	29.798	27.042
100	194 195 196 197	15.401 15.545	lei		llət			665 1487	479 1000	72.106	75.188	87 244	13.132 16 418	10.490 11.972	158 371	23.846 24.978	26.243 25.927
102	198 199	15.689	<u>ц</u>		rir			1040	726	69.839	72.362	173	16.665	13.145	218	20.918	24.675

				-										
103 200 2	01 15.833		Je		1902	1549	81.434	74.630	179	9.391	12.207	553	29.056	25.770
104 202 2	03 15.978		ig		2133	1775	83.216	76.777	164	7.700	11.080	588	27.543	26.213
105 204 2	05 16.122		qc		2478	2011	81.157	77.872	237	9.543	10.696	880	35.506	28.537
106 206 2	07 16.266		16		3918	3113	79.460	78.269	458	11.680	10.942	1328	33.900	29.878
107 208 2	09 16.410		0		2414	1869	77.430	78.059	290	12.025	11.213	749	31.032	30.166
108 210 2	11 16.555				1314	931	70.850	76.257	259	19.670	13.327	439	33.429	30.982
109 212 2	13 16.699				1674	1216	72.657	75.357	246	14.717	13.674	513	30.662	30.902
110 214 2	15 16.843				2466	2015	81.681	76.938	154	6.247	11.818	1042	42.249	33.739
111 216 2	17 16.988				1949	1626	83.443	78.564	145	7.436	10.722	603	30.946	33.041
112 218 2	19 17.132				2273	1872	82.343	79.509	167	7.364	9.883	619	27.226	31.587
113 220 2	21 17.276				2879	2576	89.483	82.003	91	3.148	8.199	1051	36.495	32.814
114 222 2	23 17.420				3220	2836	88.073	83.520	209	6.476	7.768	1120	34.778	33.305
115 224 2	25 17.565				3352	2701	80.572	82.783	423	12.610	8.979	1371	40.910	35.206
116 226 2	27 17.709				1761	1396	79.286	81.909	140	7.964	8.725	730	41.436	36.764
117 228 2	29 17.853				3070	2489	81.073	81.700	314	10.240	9.104	1194	38.882	37.293
118 230 2	31 17.997				1510	1221	80.866	81.492	118	7.784	8.774	650	43.034	38.729
119 232 2	33 18.142			Globorotalia truncatulinoides,	2561	2227	86.982	82.864	173	6.766	8.272	928	36.242	38.107
120 234 2	35 18.286			Globogerinella calida $\longrightarrow$	5912	5072	85.787		488	8.257		2633	44.543	
*Smoothed va	lues are calcu	lated by expon	ential smo	othing (damping factor: 0.7)										

First Occurrence

→ Occurrence

Rudarsko-geološko-naftni zbornik i autori (The Mining-Geology-Petroleum Engineering Bulletin and the authors) ©, 2021, DOI: 10.17794/rgn.2021.3.3

ST12 Planktonic Foraminifera Distribution

<u> </u>			-													
Num.	p Depth interval (cm)	Epoch	w latitude planktonic foraminifera	zone (Bolli and Saunders, 1985)	Biostratigraphic event	Globorotalia fimbriata biostratigraphic range	Total planktonic foraminifera	ermocline dwellers (Pulleniatina liqueloculata, Neogloboquadrina spp., and Globorotalia spp.)	Thermocline dwellers relative abundance (%)	Thermocline dwellers relative abundance smoothed (%)*	Mixed layer dwellers (Globigerinoides ruber , bbigerinoides trilobus , and other Globigerinoides taxa)	Mixed layer dwellers relative abundance (%)	Mixed layer dwellers relative abundance smoothed (%)*	Neogloboquadrina dutertrei	ogloboquadrina dutertrei relative abundance (%)	ogloboquadrina dutertrei relative abundance smoothed (%)*
	Bot To		Lov					db db			ele				Nei	Ne
1	3 4						308	223	72.403	72.403	55	24.664	24.664	25	8.117	8.117
2	7 8						303	238	78.548	74.246	48	20.168	23.315	31	10.231	8.751
3	11 12						299	234	78.261	75.451	48	20.513	22.474	32	10.702	9.336
4	15 16						296	223	75.338	75.417	44	19.731	21.651	18	6.081	8.360
5	19 20						300	238	79.333	76.592	41	17.227	20.324	20	6.667	7.852
6	23 24						302	246	81.457	78.051	33	13.415	18.251	16	5.298	7.086
7	27 28						299	240	80.268	78.716	38	15.833	17.526	23	7.692	7.268
8	31 32						294	212	72.109	76.734	51	24.057	19.485	31	10.544	8.251
9	35 36						298	242	81.208	78.076	29	11.983	17.235	26	8.725	8.393
10	39 40						296	214	72.297	76.343	54	25.234	19.634	17	5.743	7.598
11	43 44						297	233	78.451	76.975	44	18.884	19.409	12	4.040	6.531
12	47 48						300	223	74.333	76.183	45	20.179	19.640	28	9.333	7.372
13	51 52						294	226	76.871	76.389	47	20.796	19.987	33	11.224	8.527
14	55 56						290	229	78.966	77.162	46	20.087	20.017	18	6.207	7.831
15	59 60						293	217	74.061	76.232	59	27.189	22.169	14	4.778	6.915
16	63 64						295	220	74.576	75.735	55	25.000	23.018	16	5.424	6.468
1/	6/ 68 71 72						296	214	72.297	74.704	64	29.907	25.085	8	2.703	5.338
10	75 76						207	220	68 687	72 656	78	29.625	20.307	8	2 694	J.265 4 508
20	79 80						302	204	73 841	73.012	60	26 906	29.089	6	1 987	3 751
21	80 81		b				299	219	73.244	73.081	63	28.767	28.993	9	3.010	3.529
22	81 82		ũ	e			299	213	71.237	72.528	61	28.638	28.886	4	1.338	2.872
23	82 83		ZC	n			299	209	69.900	71.740	59	28.230	28.689	10	3.344	3.013
24	83 84		S	5C			297	180	60.606	68.400	78	43.333	33.083	6	2.020	2.716
25	84 85		Je	qr			292	148	50.685	63.085	86	58.108	40.590	5	1.712	2.415
26	88 89		)ic	SI			304	175	57.566	61.429	78	44.571	41.785	4	1.316	2.085
27	92 93		ŭ	a			298	189	63.423	62.027	71	37.566	40.519	5	1.678	1.963
28	96 97	e	In	at			300	181	60.333	61.519	78	43.094	41.292	3	1.000	1.674
29	100 101	Ъ	ati	i ri			297	187	62.963	61.952	75	40.107	40.936	1	0.337	1.273
30	104 105	Ő	ы С	nt			303	204	67.327	63.565	66	32.353	38.361	0	0.000	0.891
31	108 109	Ř	n	fir			298	211	70.805	65./3/	6/	31.754	36.379	1	0.336	0.724
32	112 113	ĭ	tr	a			295	202	72 517	69 246	52	32.073	35.207	/	2.373	1.219
34	120 121		a	ilκ			298	199	66 779	67.876	57	23.744	30.860	0	0.000	1 223
35	124 125		al	ote			306	186	60.784	65.748	81	43.548	34.667	9	2.941	1.739
36	128 129		ot	22			301	206	68.439	66.555	62	30.097	33.296	8	2.658	2.014
37	132 133		J L	рq			306	210	68.627	67.177	57	27.143	31.450	22	7.190	3.567
38	136 137		q	10			307	216	70.358	68.131	64	29.630	30.904	20	6.515	4.451
39	140 141		10	9			300	216	72.000	69.292	53	24.537	28.994	26	8.667	5.716
40	144 145		0				293	201	68.601	69.085	56	27.861	28.654	23	7.850	6.356
41	148 149						309	192	62.136	67.000	80	41.667	32.558	26	8.414	6.974
42	152 153						304	218	71.711	68.413	57	26.147	30.634	24	7.895	7.250
43	150 157						303	208	58.647	68.483	54	25.962	29.233	12	3.960	6.263
44 // C	164 165						3UZ 200	226	74.834 60 700	70.389	61	20.991	20.500	23	7.010	0.009
45	168 169						230 296	208	73 640	71 242	5/	24 771	28.190	29	7 432	7.566
47	172 173						300	218	74.000	72.070	57	25.676	27.436	18	6.000	7.079
48	176 177						301	223	74.086	72.675	43	19.283	24.990	17	5.648	6.649
49	180 181						296	211	71.284	72.258	59	27.962	25.881	13	4.392	5.972
50	184 185						299	198	66.221	70.446	63	31.818	27.662	13	4.348	5.485
51	188 189						279	183	65.591	68.990	59	32.240	29.036	28	10.036	6.850
52	192 193						290	200	68.966	68.983	56	28.000	28.725	32	11.034	8.105
53	196 197						297	214	72.054	69.904	55	25.701	27.818	22	7.407	7.896
54	200 201						297	218	73.401	70.953	41	18.807	25.115	33	11.111	8.861
55	204 205						292	209	/1.575	/1.140	50	23.923	24./57	21	7.192	8.360
57	200 209						297	201	66 212	68 934	53 61	20.508	23.241	24	0.081 8 191	0.270 8 251
58	216 217						301	209	69.435	69.084	68	32.536	28.732	24	9.302	8.566
59	220 221				Globorotalia truncatulinoides,		291	211	72.509	70.112	56	26.540	28.074	32	10.997	9.295
60	222 223				Globorotalia fimbriata 🔶		289	199	68.858		56	28.141		29	10.035	

\*Smoothed values are calculated by exponential smoothing (damping factor: 0.7)

-> Occurrence

ST13 Planktonic Foraminifera Distribution

Num.	Top Botto Depth interval (cm) m	Epoch		Low latitude planktonic foraminifera zone (Bolli and Saunders, 1985)	Biostratigraphic event	Globorotalia fimbriata biostratiqraphic range	Total planktonic foraminifera	Thermocline dwellers (Pulleniatina obliqueloculata, Neogloboquadrina spp., and Globorotalia spp.)	Thermocline dwellers relative abundance (%)	Thermocline dwellers relative abundance smoothed (%)*	Mixed layer dwellers (Globigerinoides ruber , Globigerinoides trilobus , and other Globigerinoides taxa)	Mixed layer dwellers relative abundance (%)	Mixed layer dwellers relative abundance smoothed (%)*	Neogloboquadrina dutertrei	Neogloboquadrina dutertrei relative abundance (%)	Neogloboquadrina dutertrei relative abundance smoothed (%)*
1	0 1						223	92	41.256	41.256	68	30.493	30.493	24	10.762	10.762
2	89		Je	Je			293	83	28.328	37.377	105	35.836	32.096	23	7.850	9.889
3	16 17		o	0 IO			239	100	41.841	38.716	67	28.033	30.877	27	11.297	10.311
4	24 25		Z	ZC			316	102	32.278	36.785	110	34.810	32.057	36	11.392	10.636
5	32 33		SS	n			296	97	32.770	35.581	97	32.770	32.271	17	5.743	9.168
6	40 41		d€	S			307	87	28.339	33.408	105	34.202	32.85	17	5.537	8.079
7	48 49		oi	ta			276	98	35.507	34.038	83	30.072	32.017	20	7.246	7.829
8	56 57	Je	in	a			285	92	32.281	33.511	90	31.579	31.886	21	7.368	7.691
9	64 65	e	n	Luc Luc			304	. 72	23.684	30.563	113	37.171	33.471	11	3.618	6.469
10	72 73	SC	at	nk			331	. 109	32.931	31.273	120	36.254	34.306	21	6.344	6.432
11	80 81		JC	fir			283	93	32.862	31.750	106	37.456	35.251	21	7.420	6.728
12	88 89	우	ur	a			269	89	33.086	32.151	84	31.227	34.044	11	4.089	5.937
14	90 97 104 105	-	tr	lli			280	71	25.357	30.113	102	30.429	34.759	12	4.280	5.441
14	104 103		a	tc			202	. 78	20.000	20.379	115	27 5/12	35.369	26	9.97/	6 271
16	12 113		λli	ro			306	80	29.332	28.811	110	37.543	36 499	20	6 863	6 4 4 8
17	128 129		otc	00			310	85	26.646	28.000	113	34 796	35 988	21	6 583	6 489
18	136 137		rc	ok			301	79	26.246	27.627	111	37.209	36.355	22	7.309	6.735
19	144 145		00	15	I		298	90	30.201	28.399	75	25.168	32.999	14	4.698	6.124
20	152 153		0		Globorotalia fimbriata		332	92	27.711	28.193	101	30.422	32.225	22	6.627	6.275
21	160 161	161 <b>G</b>		Globorotalia truncatulinoides,	•	327	98	29.969	28.726	103	31.498	32.007	25	7.645	6.686	
22	168 169	Pleistocene	-	Giobigerinella calida subzone	Globigerinella calida 🛛 🔶	·	340	105	30.882		105	30.882		24	7.059	

\*Smoothed values are calculated by exponential smoothing (damping factor: 0.7)

➔ First Occurrence

→ Occurrence

**ST14 Planktonic Foraminifera Distribution** 

Num.	Top Denth interval (cm)	ottom	Epoch	Low latitude planktonic foraminifera zone (Bolli and Saunders, 1985)		Low latitude planktonic foraminifera zone (Bolli and Saunders, 1985)		Biostratigraphic event	Biostratigraphic event			Thermocline dwellers <i>Pulleniatina obliqueloculata,</i> <i>Neogloboquadrina</i> spp., and <i>Globorotalia</i> spp.)	hermocline dwellers relative abundance (%)	hermocline dwellers relative abundance smoothed (%)*	Mixed layer dwellers ( <i>Globigerinoides ruber</i> , <i>Globigerinoides trilobus</i> , and other <i>Globigerinoides</i> taxa)	Mixed layer dwellers relative abundance (%)	Mixed layer dwellers relative abundance smoothed (%)*	Neogloboquadrina dutertrei	Neogloboquadrina dutertrei relative abundance (%)	Neogloboquadrina dutertrei elative abundance smoothed (%)*
		Bo							–		⊢ 	+			~	_				
1	0	1							292	251	85.959	85.959	27	21 296	10.757	82 84	32.669	32.669		
3	10	11							282	210	85.374	83.817	25	9,960	12,731	114	45.418	37.800		
4	15	16							295	241	81.695	83.181	26	10.788	12.148	72	29.876	35.423		
5	20	21			ne				295	230	77.966	81.616	39	16.957	13.591	77	33.478	34.839		
6	25	26			0				289	240	83.045	82.045	31	12.917	13.389	88	36.667	35.388		
7	30	31			q				292	256	87.671	83.733	26	10.156	12.419	98	38.281	36.256		
8	35	36			su				298	217	72.819	80.459	47	21.659	15.191	95	43.779	38.513		
9	40	41			7				292	232	79.452	80.157	40	17.241	15.806	108	46.552	40.924		
10	45	46	b		atc				290	228	78.621	79.696	46	20.175	17.117	120	52.632	44.437		
11	50	51	Ũ		ric				288	237	82.292	80.475	34	14.346	16.286	122	51.477	46.549		
12	55 60	50 61	Ce		qu				289	230	79.585	80.208	42 51	23 20/	18 833	105	45.652	46.280		
14	65	66	0		in				285	218	80.412	79,489	43	18.376	18.696	87	37,179	43.175		
15	70	71	4		d t				290	228	78.621	79.228	44	19.298	18.877	87	38.158	41.670		
16	75	76	-	ne	lic				289	235	81.315	79.854	38	16.170	18.065	119	50.638	44.360		
17	80	81		Ō	ta				289	245	84.775	81.330	34	13.878	16.809	91	37.143	42.195		
18	85	86			5				296	226	76.351	79.837	60	26.549	19.731	88	38.938	41.218		
19	90	91		es	0				300	233	77.667	79.186	56	24.034	21.022	100	42.918	41.728		
20	95	96		id	ot				289	232	80.277	79.513	39	16.810	19.758	78	33.621	39.296		
21	100	101		οι	15				289	224	77.509	78.912	52	23.214	20.795	83	37.054	38.623		
22	105	106		lir	•		→				291	249	85.567	80.908	27	10.843	17.810	109	43.775	40.169
23	110	111		tu					268	221	82.463	81.375	41	18.552	18.032	90	40.724	40.335		
24	115	116		ca		Clabourtalia finabuiata			284	232	81.690	81.469	44	18.966	18.312	75	32.328	37.933		
25	120	121		in(	-	Globorotalia fimbriata 🛛 🗖			285	229	80.351	81.134	48	12 082	17.000	102	44.541	39.916		
20	125	120		ru	110				281	240	80 769	81 922	29	14 286	16 186	97	38 961	39 734		
28	135	136		a t	au				200	231	77,816	80,690	36	15,789	16.067	92	40.351	39,919		
29	140	141		ilic	eri				279	222	79.570	80.354	35	15.766	15.976	92	41.441	40.376		
30	145	146		ta	la la				279	217	77.778	79.581	31	14.286	15.469	67	30.876	37.526		
31	150	151		D	lot ilic ibz				282	232	82.270	80.388	37	15.948	15.613	44	18.966	31.958		
32	155	156		0	15 15 15	Globigerinella calida	▶		284	217	76.408	79.194	50	23.041	17.841	81	37.327	33.569		
33	160	161		oł					280	219	78.214	78.900	38	17.352	17.695	116	52.968	39.388		
34	165	166	رە رە	19					281	207	73.665	77.330	48	23.188	19.343	120	57.971	44.963		
35	170	171	ů.	-					288	209	72.569	75.902	42	20.096	19.569	115	55.024	47.981		
36	175	176	ce						286	240	83.916	78.306	28	11.667	17.198	112	46.667	47.587		
37	180	181	to						290	256	88.276	81.297	25	9.766	14.968	98	38.281	44.795		
38	185	101	ist						275	248	90.182	83.962	13	5.242	11 194	87	35.081	41.881		
39 40	190	191	le						200 282	231	84 752	84.920	23	11 297	11 218	00 00	37 657	38 930		
41	200	201	Ъ						221	192	86.878	85.472	12	6.250	9,728	80	41.667	39.751		
42	205	206							272	233	85.662	85.529	30	12.876	10.672	91	39.056	39.543		
43	210	211							266	225	84.586	85.246	35	15.556	12.137	81	36.000	38.480		
44	215	216							243	201	82.716	84.487	27	13.433	12.526	76	37.811	38.279		
45	220	221							284	231	81.338	83.542	35	15.152	13.314	79	34.199	37.055		
46	225	226							241	195	80.913	82.754	31	15.897	14.089	63	32.308	35.631		
47	230	231							200	170	85.000	83.427	20	11.765	13.392	66	38.824	36.589		
48	235	236							167	146	87.425	84.627	7	4.795	10.812	57	39.041	37.324		
49	240	241				Globorotalia truncatulinoides	≯		313	252	80.511		48	19.048		93	36.905			

\*Smoothed values are calculated by exponential smoothing (damping factor: 0.7)



→ Occurrence