

# **Acta Adriatica**

## **Publication ethics and malpractice statement**

Acta Adriatica is a peer-reviewed international scientific journal committed to promoting ethical publishing practices and preserving the integrity of the scientific record. Acta Adriatica's **Publication ethics and malpractice statement** has been written in accordance with the documents of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE): Code of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors and Code of Conduct for Journal Publishers, and defines what is expected of the key participants in the publication process: authors, reviewers and editors. Their duties and guidelines for the publication process are listed below.

### *Authors*

#### **Reporting standards**

Submitted manuscripts should accurately present the work performed and include an objective discussion of its significance. The manuscript should provide sufficient detail and references to allow others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behaviour and are unacceptable. The authors guarantee that the manuscript contains no unlawful statements, does not infringe the rights of others, and that all necessary written permissions to quote from other sources have been obtained.

#### **Originality, plagiarism and acknowledgement of sources**

By submitting the manuscript, the authors confirm that it is an original manuscript that has not been published before and has not being considered for publication elsewhere. If the authors have used the work and/or words of others, appropriate citations should be provided. All sources of financial support for the research carried out and the results obtained should be disclosed.

#### **Ethical conduct in research**

The authors certify that the research was carried out in accordance with the ethical principles of research with respect to research participants, and that professional standards were maintained in its presentation.

#### **Authorship of the manuscript**

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a substantial contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All persons who have made a substantial contribution should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be named in an Acknowledgement section.

#### **The corresponding author**

The corresponding author is responsible for communicating with the journal for publication. The corresponding author should ensure that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the manuscript and have agreed to its submission for publication.

#### **Fundamental errors in published papers**

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in own published paper, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the editors and cooperate with them to retract or correct the paper.

## *Reviewers*

### **Assistance**

The reviewers should assist the editors in making editorial decisions and the authors in improving the manuscript.

### **Timelines**

Any invited reviewer who does not feel qualified to review the research reported in a submitted manuscript or knows that its timely review is not possible, should notify the editor immediately so that alternative reviewers can be contacted.

### **Confidentiality**

All manuscripts received for review must be treated confidentially. They may not be shown to or discussed with others unless authorised by the editor. The names of the reviewers are confidential in order to ensure a critical evaluation of the manuscript. Therefore, reviewers are asked not to disclose their names or contact details in the comments intended for the authors.

### **Objectivity and fairness**

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Any personal criticism of the author is unacceptable and will result in review rejection. Reviewers should express their views clearly and with appropriate supporting arguments.

### **Plagiarism awareness and acknowledgement of sources**

Reviewers should check for and, where possible, detect any form of plagiarism or academic misconduct in citation while reviewing the submitted manuscript. Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement on observations, derivations, or arguments that had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. Reviewers should also bring to the editor's attention any significant similarities or overlaps between the manuscript under review and any other published data of which they have personal knowledge.

### **Conflict of interest**

Privileged information or ideas obtained during a peer- review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not evaluate manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest arising from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the submitted manuscript.

## *Editors*

### **Publication decisions**

The editors are responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal after undergoing peer review will be published. The decision should be based on the paper's importance, originality and

clarity, and its relevance to Acta Adriatica's scope. However, editors have no authority to influence the reviewers who are conducting the review of the submitted manuscripts. Editors should be guided by legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. Editors may consult other editors or reviewers when making publication recommendations and decisions. Editors should maintain the integrity of the academic record and always be willing to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed.

### **Evaluation**

The editors guarantee a fair evaluation of manuscripts on their intellectual merit, regardless of the author's race, gender, sexual orientation, religious beliefs, ethnicity, nationality, institutional affiliation or political persuasion of the authors.

### **Confidentiality**

The editors guarantee that the manuscripts will be treated confidentially and they should not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the editorial staff and potential reviewers. Unpublished material disclosed in a submitted manuscript may not be used in an own research without the author's written consent.

### **Conflict of interest**

The editors are obliged to disclose any conflict of interest. In case of their own conflict of interest, editors should recuse themselves from handling the manuscript (i.e. they should ask other member of the editorial team to process the manuscript in which they have conflicts of interest arising from competing, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the manuscript). Editors should require all contributors in the publishing process to disclose relevant competing interests and publish corrections if competing interests are discovered after publication. If necessary, other appropriate action should be taken, such as publishing a retraction or expression of concern.

### **Commercial and financial interests**

The editors are committed to ensure that advertising, reprints or other commercial revenues do not impact or influence editorial decisions.

### **Misconduct and malpractice**

The editors guarantee to conduct a fair investigation in the case of suspected misconduct by authors, editorial team members or reviewers. Editors should protect the integrity of published material by making corrections and retractions when necessary and pursuing suspected or alleged misconduct in research and publication. Editors should pursue reviewer and editorial misconduct. Editors should take appropriate action when ethical complaints concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper have been presented.