

Code of Conduct in Publishing

Journal of the Historical Society of Križevci *Cris*

The editorial staff of the journal *Cris* complies with and adheres to high ethical and scientific standards in publishing. The document is publicly available (on the HRČAK websites – <http://hrcak.srce.hr/cris>).

The editorial staff accepts or rejects texts for publishing in the journal exclusively on the basis of the journal's standards and the intellectual and thematic contents of the manuscript, regardless of the race, sex, nationality, ethnical origin, religious beliefs or political affiliation of the author. The editor and the editorial staff have an obligation towards authors to maintain the integrity and confidentiality of their work during the evaluation of the paper intended for publication. The editor-in-chief is responsible for the work of the editorial staff, finding competent and qualified reviewers and maintaining the dynamics of the proper and timely execution of the review procedure. The review procedure entails two or more reviews of the same article. The review is a double blind peer-review, which means that the communication between the reviewer and the author is transmitted through the editor or the editorial staff, i.e. they do not expose the identities of the authors or the reviewers during the review procedure. The editor and the editorial staff guarantee the confidentiality of reviewer's identity even after the completion of the review. The editor can reject an article without external review if: a) they deem that its topic is outside the scope of the journal, b) they already accepted an article with a similar topic for publishing, c) the article is of poor quality or d) it does not comply with the requirements for the submission of the article to the journal. The editor may seek counsel with the editorial staff and the editorial board in relation to the selection of reviewers for an article. The editor may also request from the author to propose a reviewer for their paper, with the caveat that the proposed persons need not be the final reviewers of the article. In that case the editor requires that the author proposes persons who are not their coworkers, friends, family, etc.

Reviewers are obliged to inform the editor about any potential conflict of interest in relation to the article sent for review. They should be responsible, constructive and objective in accepting the evaluation of the paper and warn the editor if they are not qualified enough in relation to its theme. In most such cases the reviewers should disqualify themselves from

reviewing the paper. They are obliged to protect the integrity and authorship of the article entrusted to them for review, refrain from showing the text and material to other persons and using it for personal publications and research before the article is published. Reviewers help authors to improve the quality of their article and the editor and the editorial staff with the categorization, that is the objective evaluation of the scientific and professional contents of the article. If there is a discrepancy between the evaluation of two reviewers, the editorial staff can request an opinion of a third reviewer, while the final decision on the acceptance of the article for printing and the categorization – based on the evaluation of the reviewers – is made by the editor-in-chief.

Authors are responsible for the originality and authorship of their manuscript proposed for publication in the journal *Cris*. Sources of information should be properly cited and quoted. Authors are obliged to obtain authorization for the publishing of pictures, documents, and similar from the appropriate copyright holders. They have to indicate the organizations, scientific projects and institutions that supported the research published in their article. Authors may propose reviewers for their article, as well as request the exclusion of specific experts by providing a justification of why they believe that a person might be in a conflict of interest in relation to the article's review. They are not allowed to submit an already published text or a manuscript. This will be deemed as misuse of voluntary and non-remunerated work of the reviewers and the editorial staff and unacceptable behavior that is not in line with the customs of the academic community. By submitting their texts for publication in the journal *Cris*, the authors consent to the publication of their articles in PDF format on the HRČAK websites (the portal of scientific journals of Croatia) and the website of the Historical Society of Križevci, as well as making them available via other online bibliographic databases.

In case of suspected misuse of data, plagiarism, redundant publication, fabricated data or conflict of interest, the editorial staff of the journal *Cris* will take appropriate action according to the guidelines of the Croatian Ministry of Science, Education and Sport and the and the Code of Conduct flowchart of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COME) (available at: <http://public.mzos.hr/Default.aspx?art=7966&sec=2142>).

