

ETHICAL PRINCIPLES FOR EDITORIAL WORK IN THE JOURNAL AND RIGHTS OF USE

CROATICA ET SLAVICA IADERTINA

<https://hrcak.srce.hr/csi>

Croatica et Slavica Iadertina supports DOAJ principles of open access (<https://doaj.org>). Authors retain the copyright to their paper and the right to publish without restrictions. Free of charge and without delay, users can read, download, copy, distribute, print, or search the material, provide links to it, as well as modify or use it in other legal ways with obligatory citation of the original source in accordance with the CC BY 4.0 licence.

The journal charges only for its printed version which is, regarding its content, identical to its electronic version available in the open access. Requests for subscription to the printed version of the journal should be sent to izdavastvo@unizd.hr.

RECEIVING PAPERS AND DESCRIPTION OF THE REVIEW PROCESS

The Editorial Board does not charge for submission of contributions and their processing.

Duties and responsibilities of authors, the Editorial Board, and reviewers are based on the COPE guidelines (https://publicationethics.org/files/u2/Best_Practice.pdf) and the Code of Ethics Codex for authors, editors, and reviewers for publications of the University of Zadar.

Contributions are received by the editorial assistant at csi.unizd@gmail.com. Upon submission, contributions are anonymised in order to ensure fully impartial editorial evaluation based on the content. Until the final decision of the Editorial Board, the author's identity is known solely to the editorial assistant.

After inspecting the previously anonymised manuscript, the Editorial Board makes a decision about initiating the independent review process. The Editorial Board reserves the right to reject, in a written justification, without starting the review process, manuscripts which are deficient in research or whose content is not connected with the scope of the journal.

The Editorial Board makes a decision about publication of research papers as well as their classification after two positive double-blind reviews. Manuscripts which receive one positive and one negative review in the first round of reviews must be evaluated by a third reviewer.

Authors are provided with anonymised reviews.

The review and decision-making process concerning publication of positively evaluated articles lasts up to six months as a rule. In case of particularly complex articles and articles which need more substantial revisions, the process may last longer.

Reviewers and the members of the Editorial Board declare not to use the data contained in the received contributions without the author's written consent.

AUTHOR RESPONSIBILITIES

Authenticity of Authorship

Authors declare that scientific papers submitted to *CSI* are exclusively the result of their own work.

The authorship of contributions must be limited to persons who have significantly contributed to the concept, elaboration, structure, or interpretation of the submitted article. Other persons who have significantly contributed to the article must be listed as co-authors. Authors are also obliged to provide the remaining authors with the submitted version of the article, ask them for their consent for submission and publication of the article, and list them as co-authors of the submitted article.

Duplicate Publications

Authors are obliged to submit original articles. Authors are expected not to publish papers dealing with the same research in more than one journal. Authors are obliged not to submit the papers which are under review for publication in the journal to other journals and publishers.

Citation

Other authors' words must be cited using quotation marks, in-text reference, and bibliographical description of the source. Apart from that, authors are required to list all the sources of the data and ideas which they used in their research and paper writing. Citation and bibliography should be written in accordance with the guidelines required by the journal.

Communication Standards

The presentation of the research must be thorough, objective, and accurate. Argumentation must be verifiable.

Fundamental Errors in Published Papers

If, at any moment, the author detects a significant error or inaccuracy in the paper submitted for consideration and publication in *CSI*, he/she is obliged to inform the Editorial Board thereof. If the Editorial Board receives that information from a third party, the author is obliged to withdraw the article without delay or correct the specified data.

Conflict of Interest Statement

Authors are expected to declare any form of conflict of interest.

EDITORIAL BOARD RESPONSIBILITIES

Responsibility for Published Papers

The Editor-in-Chief together with other members of the Editorial Board and reviewers makes a decision about publication of the articles submitted to *CSI*.

Impartiality

The Editorial Board considers the intellectual content of the received contribution not influenced by the author's gender, work, religious group, citizenship, etc. In order to ensure full impartiality of editorial work, contributions are anonymised prior to evaluation by the Editorial Board.

Data Confidentiality

The Editorial Board is obliged to keep the information relating to the received contributions confidential and not to deliver it to anybody but the authors, reviewers, members of the Editorial Board, and publisher.

Data Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

The unpublished material contained in the received contributions must not be used by the Editorial Board and reviewers for their own research without the author's written consent. The members of the Editorial Board are not allowed to participate in making decisions about the articles in case of any conflict of interest arising from that situation.

Plagiarism policy

The Editorial Board adheres to COPE's definitions and procedures. In case of suspected plagiarism, the editors will take the necessary steps to confirm (or refute) the suspicion. In case of confirmation of plagiarism, the article will not be published. Depending on the gravity of the offense, the Editorial Board will consider informing the author's institution (<https://publicationethics.org/sites/default/files/plagiarism-submitted-manuscript-cope-flowchart.pdf>). In case of plagiarism in a published article, corrections or retractions will be required, depending on the gravity of the offence. In case of a significant transgression, the Editorial Board will inform the author's institution" (<https://publicationethics.org/resources/flowcharts/plagiarism-published-article>).

Procedure in Case of Suspected Breach of Integrity

If the members of the Editorial Board suspect more serious research deficiencies or breach of ethical norms of scientific research and communication in the received manuscript, the Editorial Board will stop the process of evaluation of the received manuscript or publication of the already reviewed manuscript until the Editor-in-Chief has conducted an investigation in order to determine whether those suspicions are reasonable. If the reported suspicions prove to be unreasonable during the investigation conducted by the Editor-in-Chief, the Editorial Board will continue with the review process or publication of the article. If the investigation conducted by the Editor-in-Chief shows that suspicions about unethical research practices are reasonable or that there are unsolvable research deficiencies in the article, the article will be rejected in the Editor-in-Chief's written justification. In case of identified unethical practices, the publisher and other bodies in charge should be informed thereof.

REVIEWER RESPONSIBILITIES

Cooperation and Standards of Objectivity

With their expertise, reviewers assist the Editorial Board with making a decision about publication of the article. If the reviewer estimates that he/she is not sufficiently qualified for evaluation of the article, he/she is obliged to inform the Editorial Board thereof and withdraw from the review process.

Reviews should be objective and sufficiently thorough in order to assist the Editorial Board with decision-making and authors with improvement of the paper. Criticism should be directed exclusively at the content of the paper and not at its authors.

Timeliness

When the reviewer estimates that he/she will not be able to review the paper within the specific time limit, he/she is obliged to inform the Editorial Board thereof in due time.

Data Confidentiality

Reviewers are obliged to keep all information in relation to the submitted papers confidential and regard it as privileged information. They can present it to other persons or discuss it with them only if they receive the Editor-in-Chief's explicit consent.

Cooperation in Prevention of Unethical Practices of Authors

Reviewers are obliged to inform the Editorial Board about any observed form of unattributed overlaps of words, ideas, and data in the papers under consideration with other works with which they are familiar, but also about all other forms of justified suspicions in unethical practices of the author.

Data Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

Reviewers should decline to review the article if they know the author or if the review could lead to any conflict of interest.

Without the author's written consent, the Editorial Board and reviewers must not use the unpublished material contained in the received contributions for their own research. Confidential data and ideas received in the review process must be confidential and must not be used for their personal benefit.

For more information on ethics and publishing:

<http://publicationethics.org/>

http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/Best_Practice.pdf