

## PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE

**Publication ethics in this section pertains to all actors: authors, reviewers and editors that are involved in scholarly publishing. It is aimed at enhancing the quality of the journal and assisting authors, reviewers and editors in dealing with ethical issues. Its sole purpose is in providing guidance with the aim of bettering scholarly publication practices.**

### AUTHORS

#### Accuracy

The author warrants that the article present an accurate account of the work performed is original, written by stated author(s), has not been published before, contains no unlawful statements, does not infringe the rights of others, is subject to copyright that is vested exclusively in the author and free of any third party rights, and that any necessary written permissions to quote from other sources have been obtained by the author(s).

#### Duplicate submission

By submitting this manuscript, the author(s) confirm that the submitted manuscript is not being considered for publication elsewhere.

#### Ethical conduct in research and plagiarism

By submitting this manuscript, the author(s) certify that the research for this study has been carried out in accordance with the ethical principles of research with respect to the research participants, and that professional standards were adhered to in its presentation. Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Author(s) should also cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

#### Undisclosed authorship and ghost authorship

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be named in an Acknowledgement section.

### REVIEWERS

#### Assistance

Peer review should assist the editor in making editorial decisions and the author in improving the manuscript.

### **Timelines**

Any invited reviewer who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its timely review will be impossible should immediately notify the editor so that alternative reviewers can be contacted.

### **Confidentiality**

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except if authorized by the editor.

### **Objectivity and fairness**

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Any personal criticism of the author is unacceptable and will be led toward the review to be discarded. Reviewers should express their views clearly with appropriate supporting arguments.

### **Plagiarism awareness**

Reviewers should check and identify if possible, any form of plagiarism or academic misconduct in citing while reviewing a submitted manuscript. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published data of which they have personal knowledge. Conflict of interest Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider evaluating manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the 2 authors, companies, or institutions connected to the submission.

### **EDITORS**

The editor warrants that he/she will be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board as well as by legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editor may confer with other editors or reviewers when making publication decisions. The editor should maintain the integrity of the academic record, preclude business needs from compromising intellectual and ethical standards, and always be willing to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions, and apologies when needed.

### **Evaluation**

The editor warrants to be fair in evaluating manuscripts for intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the author(s). The editor will not disclose any information about a manuscript under consideration to anyone other than the author(s), reviewers and potential reviewers, and in some instances the editorial board members, as appropriate.

Confidentiality

The editor warrants to treat the manuscript confidentially. The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate. Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript will not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage.

### **Conflict of interest**

The editor warrants to disclose conflicts of interest. In case of conflictual interest editors should excuse themselves from handling the manuscript (i.e. should ask a co-editor, associate editor or other member of the editorial board to process the manuscript in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or (possibly) institutions connected to the papers). The editor should require all contributors to disclose relevant competing interests and publish corrections if competing interests are revealed after publication. If needed, other appropriate action should be taken, such as the publication of a retraction or expression of concern.

### **Commercial and financial interests**

The editor is committed to ensuring that advertising, reprint or other commercial revenue has no impact or influence on editorial decisions.

### **Misconduct and malpractice!**

The editor warrants to conduct faire investigation in case of suspected misconduct on behalf of author, members of editorial board or reviewers.

**The editor should guard the integrity of the published record by issuing corrections and retractions when needed and pursuing suspected or alleged research and publication misconduct. The editor should pursue reviewer and editorial misconduct. The editor should take reasonably responsive measures when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper. The editor will be guided by COPE's Guidelines for Retracting Articles when considering retracting, issuing expressions of concern about, and issuing corrections pertaining to articles that have been published in Etnološka tribina.**