



PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT

The journal *Criminology and Social Integration* encourages and promotes adherence to the highest ethical standards in scientific work, research, and publication. Such behaviour is expected from the editorial board, authors, and reviewers.

AUTHORSHIP

In accordance with the European Association of Science Editors Guidelines for Authors (EASE, 2016) (<http://ease.org.uk/publications/author-guidelines>), the Journal recommends that authors carefully review the list and sequence of authors before submitting the paper and uses the definition of authorship from the Code of Ethics of the Committee on Ethics in Science and Higher Education (<https://mzo.gov.hr/UserDocsImages/dokumenti/StrucnaTijela/Eti%C4%8Dki%20kodeks%20odborni%20za%20etiku%20u%20znanosti%20i%20high%20education.pdf>), which requires authorship to meet at least one condition in each of the following criteria:

- a) active participation in the development of the research idea, the creation of the research concept, and the form,
- b) collection and processing data or interpretation of research findings,
- c) writing and formatting a scientific paper and critically reviewing and approving it.

In addition to meeting the above conditions, authorship requires the ability to defend the intellectual content of the published paper.

All persons who contributed significantly to the creation of the paper, but do not meet the criteria for authorship should be listed in the Acknowledgments section of the text (technical support, help with writing, translation of the paper, general support, financial and material support, etc.). All persons mentioned in this part of the text must agree to be credited.

The journal does not support unethical practices such as guest or unearned (gift) authorship, as well as unrecognized or unmentioned (ghost), paid (sold), or false (adding a person to the list of authors without their knowledge and consent) authorship. In case of suspicion of such behaviour, the Editorial Board will be guided by the guidelines of the international organization for publishing ethics - Committee on Publication Ethics - COPE (<https://publicationethics.org/guidance/Guidelines>; <https://publicationethics.org/guidance/Flowcharts>).



Any changes in the authorship of the paper (omitting and/or adding an author, changing the order of authors) can be made before the paper is accepted and only with the approval of the Editorial Board. Changes in the authorship after the paper is published will be considered by the Editorial Board only in exceptional cases.

The journal will not publish papers that have already been published in any form or in parts (multiple publication and plagiarism) or submitted for publication in another journal (simultaneous publication).

In case of errors in the published paper, corrections are published in the form of erratums (errors in the publication process) or corrigendums (author's errors).

In case of violation of the principles of research ethics or ethical behaviour, the published paper will be withdrawn (for more details, see the COPE guidelines: <https://publicationethics.org/sites/default/files/retraction-guidelines-cope.pdf>).

COPYRIGHT

By agreeing to publish the paper in the journal, the author(s) grants the journal the right of first publication. Upon acceptance of an paper for publication in a journal, copyright is held by the publisher. The author(s) agree that their paper may be used under a Creative Commons license (BY-NC-ND), so that re-users are allowed to copy and distribute the material in any medium or format in un-adapted form and for non-commercial purposes only, as long as credit is given to the author.

The use of measuring instruments and computer programs should be in accordance with the applicable regulations.

RESEARCH ETHICS

All research involving humans (or animals) must state whether approval has been obtained from the appropriate ethics committee for the conduct of the research. In the absence of such consent, the author is required to provide documentation showing that ethics committee approval was not required for the specific research (e.g., national legal provisions stating that ethics committee approval is not required for a specific type of research). Papers that lack ethics committee approval or that clearly demonstrate that approval was not required for the specific research will not be published.

The editorial board will follow the COPE guidelines: <https://publicationethics.org/sites/default/files/ethical-problem-in-submitted-manuscript-cope-flowchart.pdf>.



When using copyrighted materials (images, documents or other materials), authors are required to obtain permission from the copyright holder.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Editors, authors, and reviewers are expected to report any conflict of interest, i.e., a situation that could affect the principle of objectivity, i.e., impartiality.

Editorial board members are expected to recuse themselves from any action where a conflict of interest may exist.

Authors are expected to report any financial or other forms of conflict of interest, i.e., situations or relationships that may have influenced the data processing, interpretation, and conclusions of the paper.

Reviewers are expected to declare any financial interest, knowledge of the author's identity (in the case of anonymous reviews), collaboration with the project's research team, or any other situation or relationship that might influence their judgment of the paper in any way.

REVIEW PROCESS

Upon receipt of the paper, the Editor-in-Chief conducts an initial review of the paper based on the suitability of the paper for publication in the journal (thematic area, adherence to the basic structure of the paper, basic criteria of scientific quality, and compliance with the journal's publication ethics). All received papers are checked for authenticity using the Turnitin program.

Paper that does not meet the above criteria will be returned to the authors with instructions to correct and resubmit the paper.

If the initial review of the paper is satisfactory, the Editorial Board sends the paper for (what is called) "double-blind review," in which the reviewer and author remain unknown to each other. In exceptional cases, a paper may also be forwarded for (so-called) "open peer review" (the identity of the authors and the reviewers are known to each other).

All papers will be reviewed by two reviewers, and if necessary (in case of conflicting opinions/reviews), the paper may be submitted to a third reviewer. Based on the reviews received, the Editor-in-Chief sends consolidated comments and/or recommendations to the author, which may include editorial comments. The author submits a new version of the paper and an accompanying document indicating which comments were accepted and how (with explanation and argumentation). If requested by the reviewer, the corrected paper is submitted to him/her for final review.



The reviewers may propose the following:

- Publication of the paper without changes;
- Publication of the paper after the proposed corrections;
- Major revisions necessary, and review the revised paper again;
- Rejection of the paper.

The paper will be sent to the author for major correction no more than twice. After the second opinion of the reviewer on the necessity of major corrections, the paper will be rejected for publication. If the reviewers have different opinions, the Editor-in-Chief makes the final decision (in consultation with other members of the Editorial Board, if necessary).

If the author is a member of the Editorial Board, he/she is exempt from all activities related to the review process, and his/her duties are assumed by another member of the Editorial Board.

The Editorial Board has the right to revise and correct the paper in accordance with the format and language standards of the Journal.

REVIEWERS' RESPONSIBILITY

Reviewers are required to declare a possible conflict of interest and should treat each paper received as confidential. They should be guided by the highest professional principles in evaluating the paper. Detailed instructions can be found in the document: Guidelines for Reviewers.

THE APPEAL PROCEDURE

The author can submit a complaint about the review process or the decision to reject the paper to the Editor-in-Chief at the journal e-mail address: ksi@erf.hr. The complaint should be specific and well-argued. The re-appeal will be discussed by the Editorial Board, which may appoint an independent arbitrator (Adjudicator).