

## Ethics

Editor in chief has the responsibility to communicate with authors, readers, reviewers and editorial board and inform them about ethical standards and journal policy. The manuscripts are evaluated based on their scientific or expert impact through impartial double blind peer review.

Data contained within the submitted manuscript will not be used either by the authors in another submittance procedure nor by the editorial staff for other purposes unless consent is obtained and operations with the data are within publishing ethical codes

(<http://www.elsevier.com/wps/find/authorsview.authors/rights> and

[http://www.publicationethics.org/files/u2/Best\\_Practice.pdf](http://www.publicationethics.org/files/u2/Best_Practice.pdf))

All data on the manuscript and the authors are privileged information and should not be disclosed or used through the submittance and review process for other purposes.

Patients have a right to privacy that should not be infringed without informed consent. Identifying information, including patients' names, initials, or hospital numbers, should not be published in written descriptions, photographs, and pedigrees unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and the patient (or parent or guardian) gives written informed consent for publication. Informed consent for this purpose requires that a patient who is identifiable be shown the manuscript to be published. When informed consent has been obtained it should be indicated in the published article. (Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals, February 2006).

When reporting experiments on human subjects, authors should indicate whether the procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000.

Authors may be asked to provide the original data related to the manuscript if reviewers need more clarification. Requirements for the authorship are active involvement in research/manuscript draft, data gathering, literature search, crucial interpretation of data and manuscript writing. Authors responsibility is similarity awareness, precise quoting of other publications. These are checked by a computer software prior to review process.

Conflict of interest should be avoided in both submittance and review process and potential conflicts with industry and institutions should be declared within the cover letter. In case the conflict of interest is not declared and is discovered after the publication the Editor reserves the right to withdraw the already accepted/published article and inform the appropriate bodies of this breach in ethical code.

Reviewers are chosen by the editorial board based on their field of expertise. They are obliged to review the manuscript with a given timeframe or else excuse themselves from the process upon receiving the request. The manuscript are not to be shown or distributed to the third parties except with the approval of the Editor in Chief. All remarks should be well argued. The references should be evaluated for their upto date content and relevance. The reviewer is responsible for declaring his/her conflict of interest for competition or collaboration reasons or involvement with the industry and withdraw from the review process in case these exist.

Intellectual property will be protect as indicated in Commitee on Publication Ethics guidelines by the Journal. Financing of the Journal is a public information and will be available at the Journal website. The financing sources do not influence the publication policy. All the publication are stored in hard copy and since 2003 in a digital repository.