

## **Publication ethics and malpractice statement**

Notitia – journal for economic, business and social issues is an international e-journal committed to adhering to the highest standards of publishing ethics. In order to provide our readers with a journal of the highest quality standards, we outline the following principles of Statement of Publication Ethics and Malpractice. All articles not in accordance with these standards will be removed from the journal if misuse is detected at any time, even after publication. Notitia – journal for economic, business and social issues reviews all papers through a double-blind peer-review process. Papers are also checked for plagiarism and fabricated research (fabricating research data); falsification (manipulation of existing research data, tables or images) and misuse of people or animals in research. In accordance with the code of conduct, all cases of plagiarism or duplicate publication will be reported.

Notitia – journal for economic, business and social issues reserves the right to use plagiarism detection software in the paper review process at any time.

## **AUTHORS**

### **Originality and plagiarism**

Authors must ensure that they have written an original work and objectively discuss its significance. Any work or words of other authors, collaborators. Or sources must be appropriately cited and referenced. Authors are also responsible for linguistic editing before submitting the article. Authors submitting their papers for publication as original articles confirm that the submitted works represent the personal contribution of the authors and are not copied or plagiarized, in the whole or in part, from other works without clear referencing.

### **Disclosure and conflict of interest**

All authors should disclose any financial or other conflicts of interest that could affect the results or interpretation of their work (financial support for the project should be specified).

### **Fundamental errors in published works**

If an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in their own published work, it is the authors obligation to immediately inform the journal editor and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the work.

### **Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication**

All articles must be submitted via email to [info@notitia.hr](mailto:info@notitia.hr). Simultaneous submission of papers to multiple publications constitutes a violation of the ethical policy of publishing works. In general, an author should not submit for consideration in another journal a previously published paper. Publication of some kinds of articles (e.g. translations) in more than one journal is sometimes justifiable, provided certain conditions are met. The authors and editors of the journals concerned must agree to the secondary publication, which must reflect the same data and interpretation of the primary document. The primary reference must be cited in the secondary publication.

## **Undisclosed authorship and ghost authorship**

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be named in an Acknowledgement section.

## **Data Access and Retention**

Authors may be asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such data (consistent with the ALPSP-STM Statement on Data and Databases), if practicable, and should in any event be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.

## **EDITORS**

### **Publication decisions**

Editors ensure a fair double-blind review of the submitted articles for publication. They will strive to prevent any potential conflict of interest between authors, editorial staff, and those reviewing the papers. The editor may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision. However, the Editor-in-Chief does not have the authority to influence the reviewers who are conducting the blind review of the articles submitted for peer review.

### **Fair play**

An editor at any time evaluates manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

### **Confidentiality**

Editors will also ensure that all information related to submitted papers is kept confidential before publication. The Editor-in-Chief will coordinate the work of the Editorial Board.

### **Disclosure and conflicts of interest**

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author.

## **REVIEWERS**

### **Objectivity and fairness**

Reviewers evaluate manuscripts based on content regardless of author's ethnic origin, gender, sexual orientation, citizenship, religion, or political views. They objectively evaluate submitted papers and clearly express opinions on the works in the review template.

## **Confidentiality**

They ensure that all information related to submitted papers is kept confidential and must report to the Editor-in-Chief if they are aware of copyright infringement and plagiarism by the author. Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

## **Promptness**

A reviewer who does not feel qualified to review the research published in the paper or knows that the review process in timely manner will be inadequate on their part must inform the Editor-in-Chief and excuse themselves from further review proceedings.

## **Plagiarism awareness**

Reviewers should check and identify if possible any form of plagiarism or academic misconduct in citing while reviewing a submitted manuscript. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published data of which they have personal knowledge.

## **Conflict of interest**

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider evaluating manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the submission.