



PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT

Polemos – Journal of Interdisciplinary Research on War and Peace is a peer-reviewed international scientific journal committed to promoting the highest ethical publishing practises and preserving the integrity of the scientific record. In the publication process, the editors are guided by internationally accepted ethical standards that apply to all those involved in the publication process (authors, editors, reviewers and publishers) and which are set out in: Committee on Publication Ethics's (COPE) Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors.

Publication and Autorship. The journal publishes exclusively unpublished papers. Authors submitting articles to Polemos guarantee that the content of the manuscript is the result of their own original work, for which they accept scientific and ethical responsibility. Acknowledgements can be used to identify contributions to the work that do not fulfil the authorship criteri (supporting, mentoring, helping with data collection, etc.). Polemos considers practises such as unacknowledged or ghost authorship and similar to be unethical. Plagiarised manuscripts and manuscripts containing elements of plagiarism, including self-plagiarism and redundant or duplicate publications, will not be published. In case of errors in the published articles, changes and additions, these may be published later in the form of erratums or corrections. By submitting a manuscript, the authors confirm that the same manuscript has not already been published or submitted for publication elsewhere. In the event of a breach of the ethical behaviour and/or principle of research integrity in published articles, the editorial board of Polemos will withdraw the articles. Publication is free of charge for the submitting authors.

Authors' responsibilities. Authors who submit articles to the journal Polemos guarantee that the content of the manuscript is original. Submission by the authors also means that the manuscript has not been published in whole or in part in any other language and is not currently submitted for publication elsewhere. Simultaneous submission of the same manuscript to more than one journal constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable. Authors of reports of original research should provide an accurate account of the work performed and an objective discussion of its significance. The underlying data should be accurately presented in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to allow others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behaviour and are unacceptable. Author submission implies that all data in the article is genuine and authentic. Authors should retain the raw data related to their submitted paper and must make it available for editorial review upon request by the editor. Authors should cite all sources of data used in the research and cite publications that have influenced their research. If authors have used the work and/or words of others, they must ensure that they are appropriately cited or quoted. Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the research. All individuals who have made a significant contribution to the work should be listed as co-authors. If other individuals have contributed to specific aspects of the content of the research project, they should be recognised or listed as contributors. The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are named in the paper and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and agree to its publication. All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantial conflicts of interest that could be construed as influencing the results or interpretation of the manuscript. Authors of submitted articles are required to participate in the peer review process. If authors discover a significant error or inaccuracy in their published article, it is their duty to notify the journal editor immediately and submit retractions or error corrections.

Review Process. Articles submitted to the Polemos undergo an initial assessment by the Editorial Board, which checks whether the manuscript is suitable for publication in the journal. Every manuscript submitted for publication undergoes a double, anonymous review process. When selecting reviewers, the editorial board takes particular care to avoid conflicts of interest. Members of the Editorial Board may not be reviewers unless there are exceptional circumstances. When members of the Editorial Board submit a manuscript for publication, they are completely unaware of the peer review process in terms of identifying reviewers. If there is a conflict of interest between the members of the Board in a submitted article, they must be excluded from further processing.

Responsibility for the reviewers. All manuscripts received for review must be treated confidentially. Reviewers should respect the confidentiality of the peer review process and not disclose any details about the manuscript or its review that have not been released by the journal, either during or after the peer review process. Reviewers should commit to only reviewing manuscripts for which they have the expertise to make a proper assessment and which they can assess in a timely manner. If a selected reviewer does not feel able to review the research presented in a manuscript or the proposed timeline, he/she should notify the editor and excuse him/herself from the review process. The review of submitted manuscripts should be objective. Reviewers should express their views clearly and substantiate them with arguments without being hostile or inflammatory and without making defamatory or derogatory personal remarks. Reviewers should refer to relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Reviewers should not use information obtained during the peer review process for their own benefit or the benefit of another person or organisation, or to disadvantage or discredit others. All reviewers should not have a conflict of interest in relation to the research, the authors or the research funders associated with the manuscript. Reviewers should ensure that their evaluation is based on the merits of the work and is not influenced, positively or negatively, by personal, financial or other conflicting considerations or by intellectual bias. Reviewers should notify the journal immediately if they encounter any irregularities, have concerns about ethical aspects of the work, identify significant similarities between the manuscript and a simultaneous submission to another journal or published article, or suspect that misconduct may have occurred either in the research or in the writing and submission of the manuscript. However, reviewers should keep their concerns confidential and not personally investigate further unless the journal requests further information or advice.

Editorial responsibilities. The editor is responsible for everything that is published in the journal Polemos. The editor ensures that all published articles and research reports are reviewed by suitably qualified reviewers and that the peer review process is fair, unbiased and timely. The editor maintains the anonymity of the reviewers. The editor is responsible for ensuring that all information regarding manuscripts submitted to Polemos remains confidential. The editor ensures that each manuscript is first evaluated by him, using appropriate means to verify the originality of the manuscript's content. After the manuscript has passed this review, it is forwarded to two reviewers for double-blind review, each of whom makes a recommendation to publish the manuscript as is, to modify it or to reject it. The Editor's decision to accept or reject a paper for publication is based solely on the importance, originality and clarity of the paper and the relevance of the study to the journal's remit. The Editorial Board ensures that each manuscript received is evaluated on its intellectual merit without regard to the gender, race, religion, nationality, etc. of the authors. The Editor may not use unpublished material contained in a submitted manuscript for his own research without the prior written consent of the authors. The editor is prepared to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies at any time if necessary. If errors are found in an article, the editor will retract or correct them immediately. A new editor will not reverse the decision to publish articles made by the previous editor unless serious problems are identified. The editor will take action if he/she suspects misconduct or if he/she is accused of misconduct. This duty extends to both published and unpublished articles. The editor's decisions will not be influenced by the origin of the manuscript, including the nationality, ethnicity, political persuasion, race or religion of the authors. The decision to edit and publish a manuscript is not determined by the policies of governments or other bodies outside the journal itself.

Publishing Ethic Issues. The Editorial Board is responsible for monitoring publication ethics and preventing publication abuse. The Editor and the Editorial Board uphold the integrity of the scientific record. Unethical behaviour is unacceptable and the journal Polemos does not tolerate plagiarism or fraudulent data. The Editor and Editorial Board are always prepared to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when necessary. The publisher's relations with publishers and owners are based on the principle of editorial independence. The editor decides which articles to publish on the basis of their quality and suitability for the journal, without interference from the publisher, and according to intellectual and ethical standards rather than direct financial or political advantage. The publisher will provide reasonable support to the editor to enable him/her to comply with the COPE Code of Conduct for Journals. In accordance with the COPE Code of Conduct for Journal Editors, in cases of suspected or alleged misconduct in research or publication, the Editor will first



seek a response from those suspected of misconduct. If he/she is not satisfied with the response, he/she will ask the employers or institutions concerned to investigate. The Editor will make every reasonable effort to ensure that a proper investigation of the alleged misconduct is conducted. The publisher and the Polemos Editorial Board will inform institutions if they suspect misconduct by their researchers and provide evidence to substantiate these concerns; co-operate with investigations and respond to questions from institutions about allegations of misconduct; be prepared to make retractions or corrections if the results of investigations indicate misconduct; have guidelines for responding to institutions and other organisations investigating cases of research misconduct. Investigations into possible misconduct are usually conducted by the researcher's institution and not by the editors. If a journal has published unreliable or fraudulent information, the editor has a duty to correct or retract it. Therefore, even if there is clear evidence of misconduct (e.g. plagiarism or inappropriate image manipulation) and there is a need to correct the published record, the editor will contact the institutions and ensure that they are informed. The publisher follows the COPE guidelines for the retraction of publications. The publisher will consider retracting a publication if: there is clear evidence that the results are unreliable, either due to misconduct (e.g. data falsification) or honest error (e.g. miscalculation or experimental error); the results have already been published elsewhere without proper cross-referencing, authorisation or justification (i.e. cases of redundant publication); it is a case of plagiarism; unethical research is reported. The retraction is clearly recognisable to readers and indexing systems.

Conflict of Interest and Research Ethics. Authors should not have any conflicts of interest for manuscripts submitted to the Polemos, or if they do, this must be clearly stated when submitting the manuscript. Authors should include all relevant information, including information on financial support received, as well as acknowledgements. In addition, authors must adhere to the principles of ethical research and include in their manuscript details of approval by a research ethics committee if such approval was required for their research.