

JOURNAL OF MARITIME AND TRANSPORTATION SCIENCES

Publisher: Association for Research and Development of Maritime

Industries

Editor-in-Chief: Siniša Vilke, Ph. D.

Residence: Studentska 2, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia; Phone: +385/51/338-411; GSM: +385/91/443-4475

E-mail: udruga.pomorstvo@gmail.com

Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement

Introduction

The Journal of Maritime & Transportation Sciences is a scientific journal with a 59-year old

tradition, publishing scientific and professional papers in English language. The contributions

are reviewed by at least two prominent national and international reviewers in line with our

striving to maintain the journal's high scientific standard. In conformance to standards of ethical

guidelines and recommendations published by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE:

https://publicationethics.org/), it is expected that all participants (publishers, editors, authors

and reviewers) of the journal will conduct themselves in accordance with the ethical principles

and standards in the publication process.

Authors

Manuscript submission

By submitting a manuscript to Journal of Maritime & Transportation Sciences, the author(s)

warrant that the manuscript is their own, original work and that it has neither been published

previously nor is currently being considered for publication elsewhere. They also guarantee

that the sources of any ideas and/or words in the manuscript that are not their own have been

properly attributed through appropriate citations and/or quotes.

Originality and Plagiarism

Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behavior therefore being

unacceptable. Authors should ensure that the submitted work is original and has not been

published elsewhere in any language, and that if the authors have used the work and/or words

of others, they are appropriately cited.

Multiple, Redundant or Concurrent Publication

The authors should not submit for publication manuscripts describing essentially the same

research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to

more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is

unacceptable.

Authorship of the Paper

The corresponding author should ensure that there is a full consensus of all co-authors in

approving the final version of the paper and its submission for publication.

Undisclosed authorship and ghost authorship

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the

conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All persons who made

significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. The corresponding author should ensure

that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper, and

that all co-authors have read/seen the paper, approved the paper final version, and agreed upon

its submission for publishing.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any conflict of interest that might be construed

to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. Potential conflicts of interest should

be disclosed at the earliest stage possible.

Accuracy

The author guarantees that the article contains correct information, that it was written by the

named authors, that it was not published before, that it does not contain illegal statements and

that it does not violate the rights of others.

Hazards and Human or Animal Subjects

If the work involves chemicals, procedures or equipment that have any unusual hazards inherent

in their use, the author must clearly identify these in the manuscript.

Post-publication discussions and corrections

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it

is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with

the editor to retract or correct the paper. The editor or publisher will consider retractions,

corrections, or concerns in accordance with the COPE's Retraction guidelines

(https://publicationethics.org/retraction-guidelines).

Reviewers

Peer review process

Papers submitted for publication are reviewed by at least two prominent national and

international reviewers in line with our striving to maintain the journal's high scientific

standard. Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents.

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not

used for personal advantage. The reviewers act according to the COPE's Ethical guidelines for

peer reviewers (https://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines/cope-ethical-guidelines-

peer-reviewers).

Promptness

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or

knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself

from the review process, so that alternative reviewers can be contacted.

Contribution to Editorial Decisions

Peer reviews assist the editor in making editorial decisions and through the editorial

communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the paper.

Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not

be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

Standards of Objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively, and observations should be clearly stated with

supporting arguments so that authors can use them to improve the work.

Acknowledgement of sources and plagiarism awareness

Reviewers should promptly inform the editor of any similarities between the manuscript being

reviewed and another paper published or intended for publication in another journal.

Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not

used for personal gain. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have a conflict

of interest arising from a competitive, collaborative, or other relationship or relationship with

any of the authors, companies, or institutions.

Editor

Publication decisions

The editor has the right to make the final decision to accept or reject the manuscript, considering

the importance, originality, and clarity of the manuscript and its relevance to the journal. In any

case, the editor will inform the author(s) about the reviewers' results if the manuscript

evaluation was not successful.

Fair play

The editor should evaluate manuscripts exclusively based on their academic merit. The editor

should evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual

orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

a) Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in the

editor's own research without the written consent of the author.

b) Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential

and not used for personal advantage.

c) The editor should recuse her/himself from considering manuscripts in which he/she has

conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or

connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

d) The editor should require all contributors to disclose relevant competing interests and

publish corrections if competing interests are revealed after publication. If needed, other

appropriate action should be taken, such as the publication of a retraction or expression

of concern.

Commercial and financial interests

The editor is committed to ensuring that advertising, reprint, or other commercial revenue has

no impact or influence on editorial decisions.

Other considerations

Intellectual Property

The Editor will observe to the procedures for detecting plagiarism in submitted articles,

routinely and especially in cases of doubt. The Editor will take all necessary measures to

protect authors whose rights have been violated and authors who are victims of plagiarism.

The publisher takes all measures in accordance with COPE's guidance: Plagiarism in a

submitted manuscript (https://publicationethics.org/resources/flowcharts/plagiarism-

submitted-manuscript)

Misconduct and malpractice

In other cases of unethical behavior, publisher and editor will take reasonable steps to identify

and prevent the publication of papers where research misconduct has occurred, including but

not limited to plagiarism, citation manipulation, and data falsification/fabrication, among

others. Under no circumstances will the publisher or its editor encourage or knowingly permit

such misconduct. Should a publisher or editor of a journal have knowledge of an allegation of

misconduct in connection with an article published in its journal, the publisher or editor will

follow the guidelines of the Ethics Committee on Publications Ethics (COPE:

https://publicationethics.org/oversight).

Complaints and appeals

Complaints and appeals related to the journal's policies, procedures, publication process, and

editorial conduct should be sent via email to the journal's Editorial Board. All complaints and

appeals will be reviewed shortly and a decision will be emailed to the requesters. The Editorial

Board follows the general guidelines for handling allegations in complaints and appeals-

Publishing Ethics Resource Kit for editors (https://www.elsevier.com/editors/perk).

Ensuring the Integrity of the Academic Record

Each published Journal of Maritime & Transportation Sciences remains permanently listed on

the Portal of Croatian scientific and professional journals HRČAK.

Transparency

We strive to follow COPE's Principles of transparency and best practice in scholarly publishing (https://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines-new/principles-transparency-and-best-practice-scholarly).



Editor-in-Chief

Siniša Vilke, Ph.D.