

Publication ethics and publication malpractice statement

The interdisciplinary scientific journal *Studia lexicographica* acts in accordance with the accepted ethical principles in science and devotes special attention to preventing misconduct in academic publishing. The journal insists on the quality and value of published papers and on the objective categorisation of papers that undergo the peer-review process.

Ethical conduct is expected of all parties involved in publishing in the *Studia lexicographica* journal: the author, the editor-in-chief, reviewers, and publisher (The Miroslav Krleža Institute of Lexicography). The procedure of selection, review, evaluation, preparation, and publishing of papers is in accordance with the guidelines of the international [Committee on Publication Ethics](#) (COPE)

Responsibilities of the editorial team

The editor-in-chief and members of the editorial board are responsible for the entire content of the journal *Studia lexicographica*, and decide whether the received papers are appropriate for publishing, based on previously agreed upon terms. After the initial evaluation of the paper, editors may refuse to forward it to the reviewers if it determines that it does not meet the criteria published in the [Author Guidelines](#), or that it infringes the journal's ethical principles.

The editors are obliged to evaluate the intellectual content of the received manuscript, making no difference regarding the author's affiliation to any given institution, gender, race, religion, ethnicity, etc.

All members of the editorial team guarantee confidentiality towards all authors and their papers from the moment of reception up until it is published in the journal. The members of the editorial team are not allowed to use data from the received papers for their personal gain until said papers become public. They are also not allowed to use privileged information found in unpublished papers in any way.

The editorial board guarantees the confidentiality of the review process and does not publicly discuss the possible reviewers it intends to employ for the reviewing procedure. In order to avoid conflict of interest and secure objectivity, editorial board members are excluded from the evaluation procedure when they submit a paper for publishing in the journal, while other members of the board nominate independent external reviewers.

The journal's editorial team will not be held responsible for possible cases of plagiarism in received manuscripts. Full responsibility for every case of plagiarism and self-plagiarism is taken by the authors, regardless of whether it was discovered during the review process or after publishing in the journal.

The obligations and rights of the reviewers and authors are described here: [Peer review process](#) and [Author guidelines](#).

Retraction of papers

In cases where the editorial board possesses clear evidence that the data presented in the paper are unreliable, that they were created as the result of misconduct or honest error, that they were published in another publication, that they are partially or fully plagiarised, that they contain unauthorised materials, or if unethical behaviour during the research has been detected, the published papers shall be retracted from the publication according to the relevant instructions in the [COPE Retraction Guidelines](#).