

## Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

**Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement** – Textile & Leather Review follows the COPE Code of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors and the Code of Conduct for Journal Publishers <http://publicationethics.org/resources/code-conduct> and COPE general guidelines as well <http://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines>.

### Duties for Editor-in-Chief

**Fair play** – Submitted manuscripts are evaluated for their intellectual content without regard to gender, age, race, religion, disability, ethnic or national origin, sexual or political orientation, marital or carrier status of the authors.

**Confidentiality** – The Editor-in-Chief and any of the editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

**Disclosure and conflicts of interest** – Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in the Editor's own research without the explicit written consent of the authors.

**Publication decisions** – The Editor-in-Chief is responsible for deciding which of the submitted manuscripts should be published. The Editor-in-Chief may be guided by the policy of the journal's Editorial Board and constrained by concurrent legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The Editor-in-Chief may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision.

### Duties for Reviewers

**Contribution to editorial decisions** – The reviewers assist the Editor-in-Chief in making editorial decisions and, through the editorial communication with the author, may also assist the author in improving the manuscript.

**Promptness** – Any invited reviewer feeling unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or time-limited must immediately notify the Editor-in-Chief so alternative reviewer could be contacted.

**Confidentiality** – Each ready for review manuscript must be treated as confidential document. The manuscript must not be shown to/or discussed with third parties unless authorized by the Editor-in-Chief.

**Standards of objectivity** – The review must be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is unacceptable. The reviewers must express their review clearly with appropriate supporting arguments.

**Acknowledgement of sources** – The reviewers should identify relevant published articles that have not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant reference. The reviewers should also call to the Editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published data of which they have personal knowledge.

**Disclosure and conflict of interest** – Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. The reviewers should not consider evaluating manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive,

collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the submission.

### **Duties for Authors**

**Reporting standards** – The authors reporting results of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. The underlying data should be represented accurately in the manuscript. The manuscript should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the research. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

**Originality and Plagiarism** – The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original manuscript. If the authors have used the research and/or ideas, statements, data of others, they need to make sure that this has been appropriately cited. During manuscript submission, authors are obliged to sign the originality research statement. All submitted manuscripts are checked using anti-plagiarism software.

**Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication** – The authors must not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Parallel submission of the same manuscript to more than one journal constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

**Acknowledgement of sources** – Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. The authors should also cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported research.

**Authorship of a manuscript** – The authorship must be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution or interpretation of the reported research. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as coauthors. If others have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be named in the Acknowledgement section. The corresponding author must ensure that all appropriate co-authors (according to the above definition) are included in the author list of the manuscript. Also, the corresponding author must ensure all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the manuscript and have agreed to its submission for publication.

**Hazards** – The research involving chemicals, procedures or equipment that have any unusual hazards inherent in their use must include a clear identification of such properties. The authors must make a statement that such chemicals, procedures or equipment (along with their properties) was used.

**Human research subjects** – The use of human subjects in the research must be clearly stated. The authors must make a statement that such research was conducted in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations for experiments involving humans or affirmation that informed consent of participation and publication was obtained from all human research subjects.

**Animal research subjects** – The use of animal subjects in the research must be clearly stated. The authors must make a statement that such research was conducted in accordance with national or international laws or relevant guidelines and regulations (e.g. ARRIVE Guidelines, available from: <https://arriveguidelines.org/>). A statement must include identification of the institution and/or licensing committee approving the experiments, including any relevant details.

**Disclosure and conflicts of interest** – All authors must disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or their interpretation in the manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project must be disclosed.

**Fundamental errors in published works** – When the author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published research, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal's Editor-in-Chief or publisher and cooperate with them to either retract the paper or to publish an appropriate erratum.

**Publisher's confirmation** – In cases of scientific misconduct, fraudulent publication or plagiarism, the Publisher and the Editor-in-Chief, will take all appropriate measures to clarify the situation and to amend the article in question. This includes a prompt publication of an erratum or, in the most severe cases, the complete retraction of the affected research.

The Publisher and the journal do not discriminate on the basis of gender, age, race, religion, disability, ethnic or national origin, sexual or political orientation, marital or carrier status publishing services and activities.