



Publication ethics and malpractice statement

Acta Turistica is a scholarly journal published by the Faculty of Economics & Business, University of Zagreb whose mission is equally focused on research and education. Hence the journal supports and promotes top quality scholarly contributions and academic efforts.

Acta Turistica publishes peer-reviewed articles with cutting edge insights into the most recent developments dealing with various aspects of the tourism phenomenon in the fields of economics, geography, sociology, management, marketing, entrepreneurship, finance, and in any other related disciplines.

This statement originates from the fact that *Acta Turistica* strongly supports academic honesty and professional integrity. Therefore, high ethical standards are expected from all parties involved in the publishing process: authors, reviewers, and publishers. *Acta Turistica* is strategically oriented towards connecting the Croatian and the world tourism research community - its academics, practitioners and students. It is intended to encourage deliberations on the pertinent issues.

The journal upholds the legal provisions of the Republic of Croatia in relation to the copyright and publishing rights while adhering to the stated policies on open access, licensing and archiving, as well as to the generally accepted ethical and formal rules on academic writing.

This statement is based on main principles given by Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) in document *Guidelines on good publication practice*.

AUTHORS AND THEIR RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Acta Turistica does not charge any submission, processing, or publishing fees.

All contributions are subject to *Acta Turistica's* editorial approval and are refereed through a double-blind reviewing process anonymously, objectively, and independently.

Authors are responsible for ensuring that they respect anyone's copyright. This includes proper acknowledgement of the sources and authors, and their permission for using photographs, charts, graphs, tables or any other data sets. Authors are required to cooperate in the review process in order to reach the highest quality outcome.

Authorship should be limited to those who have contributed significantly and who shall be quoted as co-authors, while other participants should be acknowledged and/or recorded as contributors. The corresponding author is responsible for including all authors and for coordination between the journal and the authors.

Authors are required to clearly indicate whether or not financial support was provided at any stage of the writing process and the role of the sponsor, if any, in study design, data collection analysis and



interpretation, as well as in report writing or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Possible conflicts of interest, financial or other conflicts that might be interpreted as affecting the outcomes or the analyses of the research should be clearly stated.

Unpublished results or personal communiqués may be included in the text, but should be properly referenced and have the permission of the original author.

If the cited item had been accepted for publication but not published yet, it should be marked in the reference list as 'in press'.

The authors shall guarantee submitting entirely original work and not submitting it concurrently to other publishers. The originality of all manuscripts shall be verified by using the plagiarism detection software.

The authors shall refrain from fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements and represent the data accurately to allow for replication of their work, including list of references. If the author discovers an error in his/her published paper he/she should inform promptly the Editor-in-Chief who will act accordingly.

The authors are entitled to moral and intellectual rights regarding their manuscripts. By paper submission, authors acknowledge and accept the journal policy on copyright, archiving and access to content as stated on the journal web pages.

PEER-REVIEW PROCESS

The Editor-in-Chief evaluates the submitted manuscripts according to their topics, technical requirements and scholarly standards and retains the right to desk rejection of the manuscript.

The Editor-in-Chief is in charge of selecting the high-quality reviewers whose scholarly focus lies within the scope of the submitted manuscript. Each manuscript contributed to *Acta Turistica* is subjected to a double blind peer-review process by external, independent reviewers. If the two reviews are contrastive, the manuscript is sent to a third reviewer. If the content of the manuscript requires the involvement of a specialist for a specific segment of the manuscript, the Editor-in-Chief will act accordingly.

The reviewers are required to guarantee full professional objectivity and scrutiny in reviewing the manuscript. If at any point of the reviewing process the reviewer becomes aware of any potential conflict of interest, he/she should notify the Editor-in-Chief immediately.

Each reviewer is sent the manuscript anonymously together with the review form directly from the Editor-in-Chief of *Acta Turistica*. In this form the reviewer evaluates the manuscript in a professionally correct and unoffending style, as well as suggests its categorization.

The reviewers are expected to point out any relevant published work omitted in the manuscript.

The remarks and comments made by reviewers are delivered to contributors, but the reviewers stay unknown to the author/s of the manuscript, granting full confidentiality. Additionally, the reviewers of



the same manuscript remain unknown to each other.

Finally, based on the returned forms the Editor-in-Chief in cooperation with the relevant Editorial Board makes an objective judgment solely on academic merit and assumes full responsibility for his/her decision to publish the manuscript.

The reviewer is not allowed to abuse any of the ideas or information from the manuscript for his/her personal advantage.

EDITORS' AND PUBLISHERS' RESPONSIBILITIES

The members of the Editorial Board of *Acta Turistica* are internationally recognized experts who are highly acclaimed in their respective fields. Thus, they are actively involved in the process of continuous contribution to enhancing the quality of the journal. Their full names and affiliations are evident in the journal's hard copy and Web site.

The views expressed in the journal are not necessarily those of the Editor-in-Chief, the Editorial Board, or the publisher.

The Editor-in-Chief is fully responsible for the entire publishing process and all issues regarding this process should be addressed accordingly.

The publishers and editors of *Acta Turistica* shall not influence the content of the manuscripts for commercial or political reasons and must without compromise undertake suitable steps to recognize and avert any irregularity or misconduct prior to the publication of manuscripts.

The Editor-in-Chief is required to assess all manuscripts irrespectively of their authors' racial or gender background, religious or political conviction, nor their citizenship, ethnic origin, or sexual orientation.

If the Editor-in-Chief or the publisher becomes aware of any allegations of research misconduct they are required to react appropriately. The procedure for withdrawing or revising manuscripts is stated below.

Acta Turistica firmly adheres to the principle of publishing corrections. The readers are alerted promptly to any significant manuscript error.

RESEARCH MISCONDUCT

If any type of malpractice is discovered during the review process the Editor-in-Chief shall contact the author to deal with the addressed issue or reject the publishing of the manuscript. If malpractice is discovered at any date after the publication, the Editorial Board shall consider retracting the paper and make it public to all data bases that *Acta Turistica* is indexed in.