

## **Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement KoG**

The journal KoG is a scientific and professional journal of Croatian Society for Geometry and Graphics for the fields of geometry, applied geometry and computer graphics. It is a single blind peer-reviewed journal. The published article is a direct reflection of the quality of the work of the authors and the institutions that support them. It is necessary to agree upon standards of expected ethical behaviour for all parties involved in the act of publishing: the author, the journal editor, the peer reviewer and the publisher.

### **Editorial Board**

#### **Publication decisions**

The editor is responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal after undergoing single blind peer review should be published. The editor may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editor may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision. However, neither the Editor-In-Chief nor the other Editors have the authority to influence the reviewers who are conducting the review of the articles submitted for peer review.

#### **Fair play**

An editor at any time evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

#### **Confidentiality**

The Editor-In-Chief as well the other Editors and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author.

### **Reviewers**

#### **Assistance**

Peer review should assist the editor in making editorial decisions and the author in improving the manuscript.

#### **Timelines**

Any invited reviewer who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its timely review will be impossible should immediately notify the editor so that alternative reviewers can be contacted.

#### **Confidentiality**

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except if authorized by the editor.

#### **Objectivity and fairness**

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Any personal criticism of the author is unacceptable and will be lead toward the review to be discarded. Reviewers should express their views clearly with appropriate supporting arguments.

### **Plagiarism**

Reviewers should check and identify if possible any form of plagiarism or academic misconduct in citing while reviewing a submitted manuscript. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published data of which they have personal knowledge.

### **Conflict of Interest**

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

## **Authors**

### **Accuracy**

The author warrants that the article present an accurate account of the work performed is original, written by stated author(s), has not been published before, contains no unlawful statements, does not infringe the rights of others, is subject to copyright that is vested exclusively in the author and free of any third party rights, and that any necessary written permissions to quote from other sources have been obtained by the author(s).

### **Duplicate submission**

By submitting this manuscript, the author(s) confirm that the submitted manuscript is not being considered for publication elsewhere.

### **Originality and Plagiarism**

The author(s) should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the author(s) have used the work and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted.

### **Acknowledgement of Sources**

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

### **Authorship of the Paper**

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be named in an Acknowledgement section.

### **Corresponding Author**

The corresponding is the author responsible for communicating with the journal for publication. The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

### **Conflicts of Interest**

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

**Fundamental errors in published works**

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.