

## **SLPD Journal Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement**

### **1. Open Access Policy**

*Sports Law, Policy & Diplomacy Journal* (SLPD Journal) is an open access journal. Users may read, download, copy, redistribute, print, search, and link to the material, modify, transform, or build upon it, or use it for any other lawful purpose, as long as they acknowledge the source appropriately in accordance with the CC BY-NC licence until vol. 3., no. 1 (2025) and CC BY 4.0 licence from vol. 3, no. 2 (2025).

The Diamond Open Access model is used, i.e., no author processing fees and no fees for access to published articles apply, and authors retain copyright

The *SLPD Journal* fully supports the open-science approach, follows the [Open Science Policy](#) of the [University of Rijeka](#) which is in line with the [Strategy 2021-2025/2026](#) of the University and the leading [EU](#) and [UNESCO recommendations](#).

### **2. Archiving Policy (self-archiving and long-term preservation) & Repository Policy**

Authors may archive a preprint (i.e. pre-refereeing) version on their personal website, institutional repository or preprint servers, provided that it is clearly indicated that this is the version submitted to SLPD Journal with a link to the [Journal's website](#).

When submitting to our journal, authors must include in a footnote on the title page of the manuscript the name of the preprint server where the manuscript is uploaded, together with the URL and/or DOI of the preprint. Authors may archive post print (i.e. final draft post-refereeing) on their personal website, institutional or other repositories, provided that it is clearly indicated that this is the version accepted for publishing in SLPD Journal. DOI number and link to the in-press article on the Journal's website should be provided. Authors may archive the publisher's version/PDF provided that the published source is acknowledged with an appropriate bibliographic citation, including authors, manuscript title, journal title, volume, issue, year, page numbers and DOI. If authors have uploaded the pre-refereed version to a preprint server, they are advised to add a link and the DOI of the final published paper to the preprint version.

All articles are deposited in the National and University Library in Zagreb and Hrčak (Central portal of Croatian scientific journals).

### **3. Scientific misconduct, Procedure regarding scientific misconduct & Penalties**

3.1. If it affirms that authors, peer-reviewers, publisher or editors or other involved parties have committed any form of scientific or ethical misconduct, the appropriate actions will be taken.

3.1.1. Every person may report the scientific misconduct of any involved persons by e-mail to the Editorial office. The complaint should contain general info on the claimant, point out the person being charged for scientific misconduct and if possible point out the paper which is result of scientific misconduct, brief description of scientific misconduct and, if possible, evidences of misconduct.

3.1.2. Editor will examine all the circumstances of the complaint and collect all the necessary evidences by proper means. The editor shall contact the claimants within three months from receiving the complaint and inform them whether the complaint was justified or not along with the explanations.

3.1.3. In the case of conflict of interests, the editor will retreat from the procedure and ask the publisher to appoint another person to run the procedure.

3.3. If the complaint is justified, depending on the severity of the scientific misconduct, the following actions may be taken

- Informing or educating authors or peer-reviewers on the scientific misconduct with the request to redo their task in compliance with this PEMS.
- Withdrawal unpublished paper from the publishing or discharging and appointing the new peer-reviewer for the individual paper.
- Publishing of appropriate notice, corrigendum, erratum or formal article retraction disclosed in print and electronic versions of the Journal.

#### **4. Ethical principles: Author's responsibilities**

##### ***4.1. Standards***

The text of the paper submitted for publication must conform to accepted methodological and technical standards for writing a scientific paper and [Author Guidelines](#). The data presented in the paper must be accurate.

##### ***4.2. Originality, plagiarism and citations***

Authors should submit for publication only manuscripts that are their original texts and in which they clearly and precisely cite the work and/or parts of the work of other authors. Authors are required to cite not only parts of other authors' work that are directly cited in the paper, but also work that served as inspiration and stimulus for the paper.

##### ***4.3. Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication***

Papers dealing with the same research should generally not be published in more than one journal. Submission of the same paper to more than one journal is considered unethical and improper. Authors should not submit a paper for publication if it has already been published elsewhere or is under review in another journal. Only in justified cases and with the express permission of the Editor-in-Chief will publication of a previously published paper be permitted.

##### ***4.4. Authorship***

Only persons who have made a substantial contribution to the work may be listed as co-authors of the work. It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to list as co-authors only those persons who have made a substantial contribution to the writing of the paper and to ensure that the co-authors acknowledge the final version of the paper and agree to its publication.

#### **4.5. Conflict of interest and financing of the research**

Authors are required to disclose to the Editorial Board information about potential conflicts of interest of any kind (e.g., employment, writing reports to international and national bodies, providing legal opinions, project proposals, etc.) that may be related to the research results and conclusions presented in the paper at the earliest possible time of submission of the paper for publication. In particular, authors are required to provide information on financial support for the conduct of the research presented in the paper.

#### **4.6. Fundamental errors in published paper**

If the authors discover significant errors in their published paper, they are obliged to inform the editorial office immediately so that the paper can be withdrawn or corrected as soon as possible. If the editorial office receives the information from a third party, the author is obliged to immediately prove the accuracy of the data or submit corrections. In this case, the Editor-in-Chief reserves the right to withdraw the contribution from publication if necessary.

### **5. Authorship and AI**

With the rapid development of artificial intelligence (AI) tools, their use is expanding fast even in research publications. SLPD Journal joins Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and other authorities in stating that **AI tools cannot be** listed as an author of a paper. AI tools cannot meet the **requirements for authorship** as they cannot take responsibility for the content of the submitted work, cannot affirm the presence or absence of conflict of interest nor manage copyright and license agreements.

#### *Use of AI tools*

The SLPD Journal will not accept manuscripts that use AI tools (chatbots) as sources as the content generated by LLMs is not traceable or reproducible.

Acceptable uses of AI tools include proofreading, style check, translation, image improvement to increase quality.

Authors who use AI tools in the process of their research, such as for collection and/or analysis of data or for production of images and graphics, or software codes **must transparently disclose** in the initial part of the paper which AI tool and how it was used. The use of linguistic tools must be disclosed in the Acknowledgement section. Authors bear full responsibility for the content of their manuscript, including the parts produced by an AI tool, and are thus liable for any breach of publication ethics.

#### *Reviewers and AI*

Reviewers are responsible for keeping the confidentiality of data through the process of evaluation until the final decision is made. This must be kept in mind if using any LLM tools. Large parts of manuscript containing confidential information must not be copied into LLM-based tools. Reviewers are allowed to use only the tools that can assist in formatting language and style, they must not use AI tools to generate review reports.

