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The editorial board of the Review of Croatian History accepts all papers that have not previously been published elsewhere, except research results published in the form of summaries and previously exhibited at a scientific conference (which should be highlighted in a note). Exceptionaly, the editorial board can approve the publishing of a translated paper in English that has been pre-published in Croatian, if it fits the journal's scope by its quality and innovative approach.
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**Review Process**
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The Review of Croatian History applies the double-blind review process typical of the humanities, in which the editor does not disclose the identity of the author or the reviewer. The editorial board strives to conduct the review process as quickly and efficiently as possible, but this is largely subject to the availability and responsibility of the reviewers and the quality of received manuscripts. Authors will be informed of the results of the review process, and are obliged to revise their paper according to any instructions, suggestions, demands, and remarks made by the reviewers and editors, and mark all such changes. The revised texts will be made available to the reviewers upon their request. Reviewers give their opinion about the quality of the manuscript and suggest a category for the paper, while the final decision regarding the category is made by the editor-in-chief and the editorial board. Categories for papers include: original scientific paper, preliminary communication, review paper, and professional paper. The category of the paper will be indicated upon publishing. Authors can request that specific persons do not review their paper, which the editor shall take into consideration and may accept provided that the request is substantiated.