



## JOURNAL OF LITERARY STUDIES

University of Osijek • Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences  
L. Jägera 9 • HR-31000 • Osijek • Croatia  
Phone: +385-31-494-708 • E-mail: [anafora@ffos.hr](mailto:anafora@ffos.hr)  
ISSN Print: 1849-2339 / ISSN Online: 2459-5160  
<http://www.ffos.unios.hr/anafora>

### Peer-Review Process

*Anafora* follows strict double-blind reviewing of the submitted works. Each manuscript is primarily examined by the editor, and then subjected to a verification of the originality of content using [Turnitin/Ephorus](#) plagiarism screening service before being forwarded for blind review. The review process may take up from a week to one month. It is conducted by a minimum of two independent academic peers in accordance with the standards of objectivity, anonymity, and confidentiality of information, as well as the guidelines of the Editorial Board and the [Ordinance on Publishing Activities of the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences in Osijek](#) and [COPE Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers](#). The categorization of articles is done according to the current [MSES Guidelines for Editing and Formatting Journals](#).

By accepting to review an article, the reviewer confirms the absence of any conflict of interest between the function of a reviewer and any other professional or personal engagements.

Peer reviewers are selected based on their level of expertise in the field / topic of a manuscript. The final selection of peer reviewers is made by the Editorial Board.

The assessment of the reviewer reports and the decision on the submission is made by the Editorial Board. Manuscripts accepted for publication must receive two positive peer reviewer evaluations. In certain cases, if one of the reviewer reports is negative, the selection process includes a third peer review.

The Editorial Board reaches the final decision on the acceptance/rejection of an article based on the peer reviewers' recommendation. Except for communicating with each individual peer reviewer (sending/receiving the Manuscript Review Form and the manuscript submission, in which all personal data have been erased to secure anonymity), the Editorial Board is not involved in the peer review process.

For details on ethical expectations for reviewers, please see the journal's [Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement](#).

### Instructions to Reviewers

Before accepting to review an article, please make sure that the article is within your field of expertise and enquire after possible conflicts of interest. By accepting to review an article, the reviewer confirms the absence of any conflict of interest between the function of a reviewer and any other professional or personal engagements.

## Manuscript Review Form

Referee	
Name	
Academic Rank	
Affiliation	
Address	
E-mail Address	

Manuscript Title	
Received on	
Manuscript length (number of words / characters with spaces), number of sections, number of tables, number of images	

	Yes	No	Language
Abstract	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Summary	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Keywords	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Works Cited	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Assessment Criteria			
	Unacceptable	Acceptable	Excellent
Degree of originality and interest concerning subject-matter, method, information, conclusions, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Relevance concerning current research in the field	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Knowledge of previous research on the same issue	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Scientific rigor and depth of analysis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accuracy in the use of concepts, methods, and terms	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Use of appropriate and updated bibliography	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Organization of contents	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Correct use of language	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Clarity, elegance, and conciseness of style	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Completeness and adequacy of the abstract / summary	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Adherence to the MLA documentation style	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>General Assessment</b>			
<b>Acceptable</b>	<b>Unacceptable</b>	<b>Acceptable pending revision</b> no re-review needed	<b>Acceptable pending major revision</b> re-review required
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Article Type</b>			
<b>Original research article</b>	<b>Preliminary communication</b>	<b>Review paper</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Comments</b>			

**Date**

**Signed**