

BAŠĆINSKI

JUŽNOHRVATSKI ETNOMUZIKOLOŠKI GODIŠNJAK • ETHNOMUSICOLOGICAL YEARBOOK OF SOUTHERN CROATIA

G L A S I

University of Split, Arts Academy in Split

Music Department:

The Department of Music Theory and Composition, The Department of Music Education

Fausta Vrančića 19, HR – 21000 Split

bascinskiqlasi@umas.hr

Review Form

A. Contribution Data

1. Title of the paper:
2. Date of submission for the review:
3. The language of the article:
4. Number of pages (one page consists of 1,800 characters with spaces):

B. Category of contribution:

(Original scientific paper, Short communication, Preliminary communication, Review article, Professional paper, Conference paper, Meeting abstract)

C. Recommendation for publishing:

(Accept without revision, Accept with minor revisions, The paper is not suitable for publication)

D. Short explanation of the review (up to 3 sentences):

(What is an original scientific contribution in the paper? What field or part of it is summarized and critically reviewed in the paper? Which is the purpose of professional paper? Is the paper interesting and relevant for the reader? Is the topic of the paper appropriate for the journal?)

E. Written Remarks (and Recommendations) in the Document:

(without remarks, a few remarks, numerous remarks)

F. Reviewing Criteria and Reviewer's Recommendations:

(Explanation of reviewing criteria: 1. Does the title reflect the major points of the paper? 2. Is the theme or the research significant? 3. Is the theme thoroughly elaborated? Are the research questions adequately stated? 4. Is the methodology of research described clearly and suitable for examining the identified issues? 5. Are the analyses, interpretations, results and conclusion presented clearly and credible? 6. Does the paper have an appropriate structure and is the writing clear? 7. Is the scientific/professional terminology clear and precise? Advise on errors, repetitions, contradictions! 8. Are there references to relevant sources? Is something omitted? Are the citations and references precise and clear? 9. What is the original scientific or professional contribution of the paper in the research field? Does the contribution provide the appropriate basis for further research? 10. Are the included examples, pictures, graphics and tables correct and necessary for the contribution?)

G. Reviewer Data:

1. Name and surname, scientific degree and title:
2. The name of the institution:

3. Address of the institution:
5. Phone/mobile and e-mail address:
6. Place and date of the completed review:
7. Signature:

This form should be completed as a Word document and submitted electronically to the Editorial Board.